

ON GENERALIZED CROSSED PRODUCT AND BRAUER GROUP

TERUO KANZAKI

(Received July 3, 1968)

For a commutative ring L which is a Galois extension of a ring k with Galois group G , Chase, Harrison, and Rosenberg, in [5] and [6] gave a seven terms exact sequence about cohomology groups of G and Brauer group $B(L/k)$ of Azumaya k -algebras split by L , by using the generalized Amiztur cohomology and spectral sequence. In this paper, we give a generalization of the concept of crossed product, and for a commutative Galois extension L of a ring k with Galois group G , we study the generalized crossed product of the commutative ring L and the group G , and concerning the group of isomorphism classes of finitely generated projective rank 1 L -modules. Finally, as an application to Brauer group, using the generalized crossed product, we shall derive immediately the "seven terms exact sequence theorem".

In §1, we define the generalized crossed product $\Delta(f, \Lambda, \Phi, G)$ of a k -algebra Λ and a group G with factor set f related to Φ , where Φ is a group homomorphism of G to the group of isomorphism classes of invertible Λ - Λ -bimodule (see [4], p. 76), and $f = \{f_{\sigma, \tau}; \sigma, \tau \in G\}$ is a family of isomorphisms of modules satisfying some commutative diagrams. In §2, we suppose that L is a commutative Galois extension of a ring k with finite Galois group G . Then we shall show that $\Delta(f, L, \Phi, G)$ is an Azumaya k -algebra with a maximal commutative subring L , and conversely, every Azumaya k -algebra with maximal commutative subring L can be written by $\Delta(f, L, \Phi, G)$ for some Φ and f . In §3, using the results of §2, we derive the seven terms exact sequence:

$$(1) \rightarrow H^1(G, L^*) \rightarrow P(k) \rightarrow P(L)^G \rightarrow H^2(G, L^*) \rightarrow B(L/k) \rightarrow H^1(G, P(L)) \\ \rightarrow H^3(G, L^*).$$

We suppose every ring has identity element and module is unital.

1. Generalized crossed product. Let k be a commutative ring with identity, Λ a k -algebra with identity. A Λ - Λ -bimodule P is called invertible if P is a finitely generated projective and generator (i.e. completely faithful by means of [3]) left Λ -module and $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda P, \Lambda P) \approx \Lambda^0$, where for $a \in k$ and $x \in P$,

$ax=xa$. Let $Pic_k(\Lambda)$ be the group of isomorphism classes $[P]$ of invertible Λ - Λ -bimodules P with law of composition induced by tensor product over Λ : $[P] \cdot [Q] = [P \otimes_{\Lambda} Q]$, then $[P]^{-1} = [P^*]$ where $P^* = \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P, \Lambda)$. We define the generalized crossed Product $\Delta(f, \Lambda, \Phi, G)$ of a k -algebra Λ and a group G with factor set $f = \{f_{\sigma, \tau}; \sigma, \tau \in G\}$ as follows: For given group G and k -algebra Λ , let $\Phi: G \rightarrow Pic_k(\Lambda)$ be a group homomorphism. Put $\Phi(\sigma) = [J_{\sigma}]$ for $\sigma \in G$. If $f = \{f_{\sigma, \tau}; \sigma, \tau \in G\}$ which is a family of Λ - Λ -isomorphisms $f_{\sigma, \tau}: J_{\sigma} \otimes_{\Lambda} J_{\tau} \rightarrow J_{\sigma\tau}$, $\sigma, \tau \in G$ satisfies the following commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 J_{\sigma} \otimes_{\Lambda} J_{\tau} \otimes_{\Lambda} J_{\gamma} & \xrightarrow{I \otimes f_{\tau, \gamma}} & J_{\sigma} \otimes_{\Lambda} J_{\tau\gamma} \\
 \downarrow f_{\sigma, \tau} \otimes I & \searrow f_{\sigma\tau, \gamma} & \downarrow f_{\sigma, \tau\gamma} \\
 J_{\sigma\tau} \otimes_{\Lambda} J_{\gamma} & \xrightarrow{f_{\sigma\tau, \gamma}} & J_{\sigma\tau\gamma}
 \end{array}$$

for every $\sigma, \tau, \gamma \in G$, then we call f to be factor set related to Φ . Put $\Delta(f, \Lambda, \Phi, G) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus J_{\sigma}$ as Λ - Λ -bimodule. When the multiplication of elements in $\Delta(f, \Lambda, \Phi, G)$ is defined by $x \cdot y = f_{\sigma, \tau}(x \otimes y)$ for $x \in J_{\sigma}, y \in J_{\tau}$, we call $\Delta(f, \Lambda, \Phi, G)$ a generalized crossed product of Λ and G with factor set f related to Φ .

Proposition 1. *Let G be a group and Λ a k -algebra. For a homomorphism $\Phi: G \rightarrow Pic_k(\Lambda)$ and a factor set $f = \{f_{\sigma, \tau}; \sigma, \tau \in G\}$ related to Φ , generalized crossed product $\Delta(f, \Lambda, \Phi, G)$ is an associative k -algebra with identity element, and $\Delta(f, \Lambda, \Phi, G)$ contains a subring isomorphic to Λ , i.e. if $\Phi(\sigma) = [J_{\sigma}]$ for $\sigma \in G$, $J_1 \approx \Lambda$ as k -algebra and Λ - Λ -bimodule.*

Proof. Let $\Phi(\sigma) = [J_{\sigma}], \sigma \in G$. Since $f_{1,1}: J_1 \otimes_{\Lambda} J_1 \rightarrow J_1$ is Λ - Λ -isomorphism, J_1 is a subring of $\Delta(f, \Lambda, \Phi, G)$. Since $\Phi(1) = [\Lambda] = [J_1]$, $J_1 \approx \Lambda$ as Λ - Λ -bimodules. There exists u in J_1 such that $J_1 = \Lambda u = u \Lambda$ and $\lambda u = u \lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Since $f_{1,1}(J_1 \otimes J_1) = J_1$, we can write $f_{1,1}(u \otimes u) = cu$ for some c in Λ , then c is a unit in the center of Λ . If we put $e = c^{-1}u$, then $f_{1,1}(e \otimes e) = e$, so the map $\Lambda \rightarrow J_1: \lambda \rightarrow \lambda e$ is a ring isomorphism. Furthermore, e is identity of $\Delta(f, \Lambda, \Phi, G)$. Because, for any $x \in J_{\sigma}, \sigma \in G$, there is y in J_{σ} such that $x = f_{1, \sigma}(e \otimes y)$, and $f_{1, \sigma}(e \otimes x) = f_{1, \sigma}(e \otimes f_{1, \sigma}(e \otimes y)) = f_{1, \sigma}(f_{1,1}(e \otimes e) \otimes y) = f_{1, \sigma}(e \otimes y) = x$. Similarly, we have $f_{\sigma, 1}(x \otimes e) = x$ for every $x \in J_{\sigma}, \sigma \in G$. Therefore, e is identity element of $\Delta(f, \Lambda, \Phi, G)$.

Now, in the following, we may regard $\Lambda = J_1$ in $\Delta(f, \Lambda, \Phi, G)$.

REMARK 1. Let Λ be a k -algebra and G a group. Let $\Phi: G \rightarrow Pic_k(\Lambda)$ be a homomorphism, and let the image of Φ consists of $[P]$ in $Pic_k(\Lambda)$ such that P is left Λ -free module. Then for any factor set f related to Φ , $\Delta(f, \Lambda, \Phi, G)$ coincides with an ordinary crossed product $\Delta(\rho, \Lambda, G)$ with a factor set ρ

contained in $Z^2(G, \Lambda^*)$, where Λ^* is the multiplicative group of unit in Λ .

REMARK 2. In Remark 1, in particular, let $\Phi(G)=(1)$, so $\Delta(f, \Lambda, \Phi, G)$ is an ordinary group ring of Λ and G with a factor set in $Z^2(G, C^*)$, where C^* is the group of units in the center of Λ .

REMARK 3. Let $\Lambda \supset k$ be a central Galois extension with finite Galois group G (cf. [9]). Then there exists a homomorphism $\Phi: G \rightarrow Pic_k(k)$ and a factor set f related to Φ such that $\Delta(f, k, \Phi, G) \approx \Lambda$ as k -algebras (see [9]).

2. Generalized crossed product for a Galois extension

Let L be a commutative k -algebra with identity, $Aut_k(L)$ the group of all k -algebra automorphisms of L . Then we have the homomorphism $\Psi: Pic_k(L) \rightarrow Aut_k(L)$ defined by $\Psi([P])=\sigma_P$ for $[P] \in Pic_k(L)$, where σ_P is defined by $\sigma_P(a)x=xa$ for all $a \in L, x \in P$ (cf. [4], p. 80). We put $Pic_L(L)=P(L)$. Then for $[P] \in P(L)$, P is regarded as new L - L -bimodule by new operation $*$ defined by $a*x=\sigma^{-1}(a)x=x\sigma^{-1}(a)$ and $x*a=xa$ (or $a*x=ax, x*a=x\sigma^{-1}(a)=\sigma^{-1}(a)x$) for all $a \in L$ and $x \in P$. We denote it by ${}_P P_L$ (or ${}_L P_P$). If $[P] \in P(L)$ and $\sigma \in Aut_k(L)$, then $[{}_P P_L]$ is in $Pic_k(L)$ and $\Psi([{}_P P_L])=\sigma$. Since the map $\Phi_0: Aut_k(L) \rightarrow Pic_k(L)$ defined by $\Phi_0(\sigma)=[{}_P P_L]$ is a homomorphism and satisfies $\Psi \circ \Phi_0 = I_{Aut_k(L)}$, we have the following right split exact sequence;

$$(1) \rightarrow P(L) \rightarrow Pic_k(L) \rightarrow Aut_k(L) \rightarrow (1), \quad (\text{cf. [4], p. 80}).$$

Now, we assume that $L \supset k$ is a Galois extension with finite Galois group G . Then $G \subset Aut_k(L)$. Since $P(L)$ is an abelian and normal subgroup of $Pic_k(L)$, for each $\sigma \in G, \sigma$ defines the automorphism of $P(L)$ by $[P]^\sigma = [{}_P P_L] \cdot [P] \cdot [{}_P P_L]^{-1}$. If we put $P^\sigma = {}_\sigma L_L \otimes_L P \otimes_L {}_{\sigma^{-1}} L_L, [P^\sigma] = [P]^\sigma$ in $P(L)$ for $\sigma \in G$. Let \mathfrak{G} be the set of all homomorphisms $\Phi: G \rightarrow Pic_k(L)$ such that $\Psi \circ \Phi = I_G$. Since $\Phi_0 \in \mathfrak{G}$, each Φ in \mathfrak{G} determines a function φ of G into $P(L)$ such that $\Phi(\sigma) = \varphi(\sigma) \cdot \Phi_0(\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in G$. Using Φ and Φ_0 to be group homomorphisms, we can easily check that $\varphi(\sigma\tau) = \varphi(\sigma) \cdot \varphi(\tau)^\sigma$ for every $\sigma, \tau \in G$. This means that φ is contained in 1-cocycle group $Z^1(G, P(L))$. Conversely, for any φ in $Z^1(G, P(L))$, putting $\Phi = \varphi\Phi_0$, i.e. $\Phi(\sigma) = \varphi(\sigma) \cdot \Phi_0(\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in G$, we see that Φ is a group homomorphism of G into $Pic_k(L)$ and Φ is in \mathfrak{G} . Therefore, between \mathfrak{G} and $Z^1(G, P(L))$ there exists the one to one correspondence $\Phi = \varphi\Phi_0 \leftrightarrow \varphi$. For $\Phi = \varphi\Phi_0$ and $\Phi' = \varphi'\Phi_0$ in \mathfrak{G} , we denote $(\varphi \cdot \varphi')\Phi_0$ by $\Phi \cdot \Phi'$. Then under this multiplication in $\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{G}$ is isomorphic to $Z^1(G, P(L))$.

REMARK 4. For any factor set f related to Φ_0 , by Remark 1 $\Delta(f, L, \Phi_0, G)$ is an ordinary crossed product $\Delta(\rho, L, G)$ with a factor set ρ in $Z^2(G, L^*)$, i.e. $\Phi_0(\sigma) = [{}_P P_L]$ and it has some L -free base $\{u_\sigma; \sigma \in G\}$ such that ${}_P P_L = Lu_\sigma, \sigma(x)u_\sigma = u_\sigma x$ for all $x \in L$ and $u_\sigma u_\tau = \rho(\sigma, \tau)u_{\sigma\tau}$.

Proposition 2. *Let $L \supset k$ be a Galois extension with Galois group G . For any $\Phi \in \mathfrak{G}$ such that there is a factor set f related to Φ , $\Delta(f, L, \Phi, G)$ is an Azumaya k -algebra (i.e. central separable), with maximal commutative subalgebra L .*

Proof. We put $\Delta = \Delta(f, L, \Phi, G) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus J_\sigma$, where $[J_\sigma] = \Phi(\sigma)$, $\sigma \in G$. At first, we shall show that $L = J_1$ is a maximal commutative subring of $\Delta(f, L, \Phi, G)$. The commutator ring $V_\Delta(L)$ of L in Δ contains L . On the other hand, if z is in $V_\Delta(L)$, then z can be written as $z = \sum_{\sigma \in G} z_\sigma$ for some z_σ in J_σ , and so $\sum_{\sigma \in G} az_\sigma = az = za = \sum_{\sigma \in G} z_\sigma a = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(a)z_\sigma$, for all $a \in L$. Therefore, we have $az_\sigma = \sigma(a)z_\sigma$ for every $a \in L$ and $\sigma \in G$. But, since $L \supset k$ is Galois extension, there exist a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n and b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n in L such that $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sigma(b_i) = \begin{cases} 1, & \sigma = I \\ 0, & \sigma \neq I \end{cases}$. Accordingly, $z_\sigma = \sum_i a_i b_i z_\sigma = \sum_i a_i \sigma(b_i) z_\sigma = 0$ for $\sigma \neq I$. Therefore, we have $z \in J_I = L$ and $V_\Delta(L) = L$. In other words, L is a maximal commutative subalgebra of $\Delta(f, L, \Phi, G)$. Secondly, we shall show that k is the center of $\Delta(f, L, \Phi, G)$. Since $V_\Delta(\Delta) \subset V_\Delta(L) = L$, for any $a \in V_\Delta(\Delta)$, we have $ax = \sigma(a)x$ for every $x \in J_\sigma$ and every $\sigma \in G$. Since J_σ is faithful L -module, $a = \sigma(a)$ for every $\sigma \in G$, therefore $a \in L^G = k$. Accordingly, k is the center of Δ . Finally, we shall show that $\Delta(f, L, \Phi, G)$ is separable over k . Since Δ is a finitely generated projective k -module, by [7], Proposition 1.1 Δ is separable over k if and only if $\Delta \otimes_k k_m$ is separable over k_m for all maximal ideal m of k . Therefore, we may work with $\Delta(f_m, L_m, \Phi_m, G) = \Delta \otimes_k k_m$, i.e. we may assume that k is local, so L is semi-local. Then every finitely generated rank 1 projective L -module is free, so Φ coincides with Φ_0 . Therefore, $\Delta(f, L, \Phi_0, G)$ is an ordinary crossed product, hence by [1], Theorem A. 12, $\Delta(f, L, \Phi, G)$ is separable over k . This completes the proof.

Proposition 3. *Let $L \supset k$ be a Galois extension with Galois group G , and let Λ be an Azumaya k -algebra containing L as a maximal commutative subalgebra. Then Λ is L -isomorphic to a generalized crossed product of L and G with some $\Phi \in \mathfrak{G}$ and some factor set f related to Φ , as k -algebra.*

Proof. For each $\sigma \in G$, we put $J_\sigma = {}_{\sigma^{-1}}\Lambda_I^L = \{a \in \Lambda; \sigma(x)a = ax, \text{ for all } x \in L\}$, then, regarding Λ and ${}_{\sigma^{-1}}\Lambda_I$ as $L \otimes_k \Lambda^0$ -left module, $J_\sigma \approx \text{Hom}_{L \otimes_k \Lambda^0}(\Lambda, {}_{\sigma^{-1}}\Lambda_I)$. Since Λ is a faithful $L \otimes_k \Lambda^0$ -left module and $L \otimes_k \Lambda^0$ is a separable k -algebra, it follows from [8], Theorem 1 that Λ is finitely generated projective generator as an $L \otimes_k \Lambda^0$ -left module, and $\text{Hom}_{L \otimes_k \Lambda^0}(\Lambda, \Lambda) = L$. Accordingly, we have $J_\sigma \otimes_L \Lambda \approx \text{Hom}_{L \otimes_k \Lambda^0}(\Lambda, {}_{\sigma^{-1}}\Lambda_I) \otimes_L \Lambda \approx {}_{\sigma^{-1}}\Lambda_I$ as left L - and right Λ -modules. Therefore, we obtain $[J_\sigma] \in P_{ic}k(L)$ and $J_\sigma \Lambda = \Lambda$. Using the inclusion map $J_\sigma \rightarrow \Lambda$, we define the L - L -homomorphism $\theta: \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus J_\sigma \rightarrow \Lambda; \theta(\sum_{\sigma \in G} x_\sigma) = \sum x_\sigma$ in Λ , for $x_\sigma \in J_\sigma$. In order to show that θ is an isomorphism it suffices to show that for

every maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of k , the localized map $\theta_{\mathfrak{m}}: \sum \oplus (J_{\sigma})_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is isomorphism. Therefore, we may suppose that k is a local ring, so L is a semi-local ring. Then J_{σ} is a free L -module of rank 1; there is u_{σ} in J_{σ} such that $J_{\sigma} = u_{\sigma}L = Lu_{\sigma}$. Since $\Lambda = u_{\sigma}\Lambda$, and $u_{\sigma}\Lambda$ is Λ -free, u_{σ} is a unit in Λ , and σ is extended to an inner automorphism induced by u_{σ} . Therefore, we obtain from [1], Theorem A. 13 that Λ is isomorphic to an ordinary crossed product $\Delta(\rho, \Lambda, G) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus \Lambda u_{\sigma}$. Consequently, θ is an isomorphism. Since $J_{\sigma} \cdot J_{\tau} \subset J_{\sigma\tau}$ and for every maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of k $(J_{\sigma}J_{\tau})_{\mathfrak{m}} = (J_{\sigma})_{\mathfrak{m}}(J_{\tau})_{\mathfrak{m}} = (J_{\sigma\tau})_{\mathfrak{m}}$, we obtain $J_{\sigma} \otimes_L J_{\tau} \approx J_{\sigma}J_{\tau} = J_{\sigma\tau}$. If we define $\Phi: G \rightarrow \text{Pic}_k(L)$ by $\Phi(\sigma) = [J_{\sigma}]$ for each $\sigma \in G$, and $f_{\sigma, \tau}: J_{\sigma} \otimes_L J_{\tau} \rightarrow J_{\sigma\tau}$ by $f_{\sigma, \tau}(x \otimes y) = xy$ for each $\sigma, \tau \in G$, then Φ is in \mathfrak{G} and $f = \{f_{\sigma, \tau}; \sigma, \tau \in G\}$ is a factor set related to Φ , and we obtain that Λ and $\Delta(f, L, \Phi, G)$ are k -algebra isomorphic and L -isomorphic.

Proposition 4. *Let $L \supset k$ be a Galois extension with Galois group G , and let Φ be an element in \mathfrak{G} . If $f = \{f_{\sigma, \tau}; \sigma, \tau \in G\}$ and $g = \{g_{\sigma, \tau}; \sigma, \tau \in G\}$ are factor sets related to Φ , then there is a cocycle ρ in $Z^2(G, L^*)$ such that $g = \rho f$, i.e. $g_{\sigma, \tau}(x \otimes y) = \rho(\sigma, \tau) \cdot f_{\sigma, \tau}(x \otimes y)$ for $x \otimes y \in J_{\sigma} \otimes_L J_{\tau}$, $\sigma, \tau \in G$, where L^* is a multiplicative group of units in L , and $\Phi(\sigma) = [J_{\sigma}]$ for $\sigma \in G$. Furthermore, $\Delta(f, L, \Phi, G)$ is L -isomorphic to $\Delta(\rho f, L, \Phi, G)$ as k -algebra if and only if ρ is in $B^2(G, L^*)$.*

Proof. Let $\Phi(\sigma) = [J_{\sigma}]$, $\sigma \in G$. Since $f_{\sigma, \tau}$ and $g_{\sigma, \tau}$ are isomorphisms of $J_{\sigma} \otimes_L J_{\tau}$ to $J_{\sigma\tau}$ for $\sigma, \tau \in G$, $g_{\sigma, \tau} \circ f_{\sigma, \tau}^{-1}$ is an automorphism of $J_{\sigma\tau}$, so there exists a unit $\rho(\sigma, \tau)$ in $\text{Hom}_L(J_{\sigma\tau}, J_{\sigma\tau}) = L$ such that $g_{\sigma, \tau}(x \otimes y) = \rho(\sigma, \tau) \cdot f_{\sigma, \tau}(x \otimes y)$ for every $x \otimes y \in J_{\sigma} \otimes_L J_{\tau}$. Since f and g are factor set related to Φ , we can check easily that ρ is in $Z^2(G, L^*)$. We write $g = \rho f$. If $h: \Delta(f, L, \Phi, G) \rightarrow \Delta(\rho f, L, \Phi, G)$ is a L -isomorphism as k -algebra, then $h(J_{\sigma}) = J_{\sigma}$ for each $\sigma \in G$. Because for any $x \in J_{\sigma}$, one can write $h(x) = \sum_{\tau \in G} z_{\tau}$ for z_{τ} in J_{τ} , so

$$\sum_{\tau \in G} \tau(a)z_{\tau} = \sum_{\tau} z_{\tau}a = h(x)a = h(\sigma(a)x) = \sigma(a)h(x) = \sum_{\tau \in G} \sigma(a)z_{\tau}.$$

Therefore, $\tau(a)z_{\tau} = \sigma(a)z_{\tau}$ for all $a \in L$ and each $\tau \in G$. If we take $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$ in L such that $\sum_i a_i \gamma(b_i) = \begin{cases} 1; & \gamma = I \\ 0; & \gamma \neq I, \end{cases}$ $\gamma \in G$, then $z_{\tau} = \sum_i a_i b_i z_{\tau} = \sum_i \tau(a_i) \tau(b_i) z_{\tau} = \sum_i \tau(a_i) \sigma(b_i) z_{\tau} = \tau(\sum_i a_i \tau^{-1} \sigma(b_i)) z_{\tau} = 0$ for $\tau \neq \sigma$. Thus we have $h(x) \in J_{\sigma}$. Therefore $h(J_{\sigma}) = J_{\sigma}$ and so, for each $\sigma \in G$, the isomorphism h determines the element d_{σ} in L^* such that $h(x) = d_{\sigma}x$ for all $x \in J_{\sigma}$. Since h is L -isomorphism, $d_I = 1$. Since h is ring-isomorphism, $h(f_{\sigma, \tau}(x \otimes y)) = d_{\sigma, \tau} \cdot f_{\sigma, \tau}(x \otimes y) = \rho(\sigma, \tau) \cdot f_{\sigma, \tau}(h(x), h(y)) = \rho(\sigma, \tau) \cdot d_{\sigma} \cdot \sigma(d_{\tau}) f_{\sigma, \tau}(x \otimes y)$ for all $x \otimes y \in J_{\sigma} \otimes_L J_{\tau}$. Accordingly, $\rho(\sigma, \tau) = d_{\sigma\tau} \cdot d_{\sigma}^{-1} \cdot \sigma(d_{\tau})^{-1}$ for $\sigma, \tau \in G$, hence ρ is in $B^2(G, L^*)$. Conversely, if ρ is in $B^2(G, L^*)$, there exists $\{d_{\sigma}; \sigma \in G\}$ in L^* such that $\rho(\sigma, \tau) = d_{\sigma\tau} \cdot d_{\sigma}^{-1} \cdot \sigma(d_{\tau})^{-1}$ for $\sigma, \tau \in G$. If one take $d_I = 1$, the map

$h: \Delta(f, L, \Phi, G) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus J_\sigma \rightarrow \Delta(\rho f, L, \Phi, G) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus J_\sigma$ defined by $h(x) = d_\sigma x$ for $x \in J_\sigma$ and $\sigma \in G$, is L -isomorphism as k -algebra.

Lemma 1. *Let $L \supset k$ be a Galois extension with Galois group G , $[P]$ an element of $P(L)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent;*

- 1) $\text{Hom}_k(P, P)$ is L -isomorphic to $\Delta(L, G)$ as k -algebra, where $\Delta(L, G)$ means the ordinary crossed product with trivial factor set.
- 2) There is an element $[P_0]$ in $P(k)$ such that $[P] = [P_0 \otimes_k L]$ in $P(L)$.

Proof. 1) \rightarrow 2); Since L is a Galois extension of k , L is finitely generated projective generator as a $\Delta(L, G)$ -module, and $\text{Hom}_{\Delta(L, G)}(L, L) = k$. Regarding P as $\Delta(L, G)$ -module, we have $P \approx \text{Hom}_{\Delta(L, G)}(L, P) \otimes_k L$. Since P is a finitely generated projective L -module of rank 1, $P_0 = \text{Hom}_{\Delta(L, G)}(L, P)$ is a finitely generated projective k -module of rank 1, so $[P_0] \in P(k)$ and $[P_0 \otimes_k L] = [P]$.

2) \rightarrow 1); If $[P_0] \in P(k)$ and $[P] = [P_0 \otimes_k L]$, then $\text{Hom}_k(P, P) \approx \text{Hom}_k(P_0 \otimes_k L, P_0 \otimes_k L) \approx \text{Hom}_k(P_0, P_0) \otimes_k \text{Hom}_k(L, L) \approx k \otimes_k \Delta(L, G) \approx \Delta(L, G)$ as L -modules and k -algebras.

REMARK 5. Let $L \supset k$ be a trivial Galois extension with Galois group G , i.e. $L = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus ke_\sigma$, $\sum_{\sigma} e_\sigma = 1$, $e_\sigma \cdot e_\tau = \begin{cases} e_\sigma; & \sigma = \tau \\ 0; & \sigma \neq \tau, \end{cases}$ and $\sigma(e_1) = e_\sigma$, $ke_\sigma \approx k$ as k -algebra, for $\sigma \in G$. Then $P(L)^G = \text{Im}(P(k) \rightarrow P(L))$ where $P(k) \rightarrow P(L)$ is defined by $[P_0] \rightsquigarrow [P_0 \otimes_k L]$, and $P(L)^G = \{[P] \in P[L]; [P]^\sigma = [P] \text{ for all } \sigma \in G\}$.

Proof. Let $[P] \in P(L)^G$, so ${}_\sigma L_I \otimes_L P \approx P \otimes_L {}_\sigma L_I$ as L - L -bimodule, for all $\sigma \in G$. Since $L = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus e_\sigma k$, we have $P = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus e_\sigma P$. Then $e_\sigma P$ and $e_\tau P$ are k -isomorphic for every $\sigma, \tau \in G$. Because, from the L - L -isomorphism $h_\sigma: {}_\sigma L_I \otimes_L P = \sum_{\tau \in G} \oplus \sigma(e_\tau) {}_\sigma L_I \otimes_L P \rightarrow P \otimes_L {}_\sigma L_I = \sum_{\tau \in G} \oplus e_\tau P \otimes_L {}_\sigma L_I$, we obtain the L - L -isomorphism $\sigma(e_\tau) {}_\sigma L_I \otimes_L P = {}_\sigma L_I \otimes_L e_\tau P \rightarrow e_{\sigma\tau} P \otimes_L {}_\sigma L_I$, for each σ and τ in G . Since ${}_\sigma L_I \otimes_L e_\tau P$ and $e_\tau P$ are k -isomorphic, and $e_{\sigma\tau} P$ and $e_{\sigma\tau} P \otimes_L {}_\sigma L_I$ are k -isomorphic, therefore $e_\tau P$ and $e_{\sigma\tau} P$ are k -isomorphic for every $\sigma, \tau \in G$. Since $[P] \in P(L)$, $P = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus e_\sigma P$ and $(e_1 P)_m \approx (e_\sigma P)_m$ for all maximal ideal m of k , we obtain $[e_1 P] \in P(k)$. Now, we shall show $L \otimes_k e_1 P \approx P$ as L -module. Let h_σ' be the k -isomorphism of $e_\sigma P$ to $e_1 P$ obtained above, for each $\sigma \in G$. We defined the map $h: P \rightarrow L \otimes_k e_1 P = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus e_\sigma k \otimes_k e_1 P$ by $h(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus e_\sigma \otimes h_\sigma'(e_\sigma x)$. Then $h(e_\tau x) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus e_\sigma \otimes h_\sigma'(e_\sigma e_\tau x) = e_\tau \otimes h_\tau'(e_\tau x) = e_\tau (\sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus e_\sigma \otimes h_\sigma'(e_\sigma x)) = e_\tau h(x)$, therefore h is L -isomorphism. We obtain $[e_1 P] \in P(k)$ and $[P] = [L \otimes_k e_1 P]$.

Proposition 5. *Let $L \supset k$ be a Galois extension with Galois group G . Let Φ be an element in \mathfrak{G} such that there exists a factor set f related to Φ and there is*

a finitely generated faithful projective k -module P which satisfies $\Delta(f, L, \Phi, G) \approx \text{Hom}_k(P, P)$ as k -algebras. Then, 1) $[P]$ is in $P(L)$, 2) we have $\Phi(\sigma) \cdot [P] = [P] \cdot \Phi_0(\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in G$ i.e. $\Phi = \varphi \cdot \Phi_0$ and $\varphi(\sigma) = [P] \cdot ([P]^{-1})^\sigma$ for all $\sigma \in G$.

Proof. Since L is a maximal commutative subalgebra of $\Delta(f, L, \Phi, G)$, regarding P as L -module, $L = V_{\text{Hom}_k(P, P)}(L) = \text{Hom}_L(P, P)$. Since L is separable over k , P is a finitely generated projective L -module, so $[P]$ is contained in $P(L)$. We put $\Phi(\sigma) = [J_\sigma]$ for $\sigma \in G$. Then from the proof of Proposition 3 we obtain $J_{\sigma^{-1}} = {}_{\sigma^{-1}}(\text{Hom}_k(P, P))_I^L = \{f \in \text{Hom}_k(P, P); \sigma(a)f(x) = f(ax) \text{ for all } x \in P, a \in L\}$. We shall show the map $\theta; {}_{\sigma^{-1}}(\text{Hom}_k(P, P))_I^L \otimes_L P \rightarrow P \otimes_L {}_\sigma L_I = P \otimes L u_\sigma$, defined by $\theta(f \otimes x) = f(x) \otimes u_\sigma$, is an L - L -isomorphism, where u_σ is a base of ${}_\sigma L_I$. Since $\theta(f \otimes xa) = f(xa) \otimes u_\sigma = f(ax) \otimes u_\sigma = \sigma(a)f(x) \otimes u_\sigma = f(x) \otimes \sigma(a)u_\sigma = f(x) \otimes u_\sigma a$ and $\theta(af \otimes x) = af(x) \otimes u_\sigma$ for $a \in L, x \in P$, so θ is a L - L -homomorphism. In order to show that is θ isomorphism, it suffices to show that for every maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of k $\theta_{\mathfrak{m}}: ({}_{\sigma^{-1}}(\text{Hom}_k(P, P))_I^L \otimes_L P)_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow (P \otimes_L {}_\sigma L_I)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is an isomorphism. But, $L_{\mathfrak{m}} = L \otimes_k k_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is semi-local and $({}_{\sigma^{-1}}(\text{Hom}_k(P, P))_I^L)_{\mathfrak{m}} = {}_{\sigma^{-1}}(\text{Hom}_{k_{\mathfrak{m}}}(P_{\mathfrak{m}}, P_{\mathfrak{m}}))_I^{L_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ is free $L_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module generated by a unit f in $\text{Hom}_{k_{\mathfrak{m}}}(P_{\mathfrak{m}}, P_{\mathfrak{m}})$. Therefore $\theta_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a homomorphism of $L_{\mathfrak{m}}f \otimes_{L_{\mathfrak{m}}} P_{\mathfrak{m}}$ to $P_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{L_{\mathfrak{m}}} L_{\mathfrak{m}}u_\sigma$ defined by $\theta_{\mathfrak{m}}(f \otimes x) = f(x) \otimes u_\sigma$. Since f is an automorphism of $P_{\mathfrak{m}}$, we obtain that $\theta_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is isomorphism. Thus, we obtain $J_\sigma \otimes_L P \approx P \otimes_L {}_\sigma L_I$, so $\Phi(\sigma) \cdot [P] = [P] \cdot \Phi_0(\sigma)$, $\sigma \in G$.

Corollary 1. Let $L \supset k$ be a Galois extension with Galois group G , and $[P]$ an element of $P(L)$. Then $\text{Hom}_k(P, P)$ is L -isomorphic to a generalized crossed product $\Delta(f, L, \Phi_0, G)$ of L and G with some factor set f related to Φ_0 as k -algebra, if and only if $[P]$ is contained in $P(L)^G$.

Proof. If $\text{Hom}_k(P, P) \approx \Delta(f, L, \Phi_0, G)$, then by Proposition 5, 2) we obtain $[P] = [P]^\sigma$ for all $\sigma \in G$, so $[P] \in P(L)^G$. Conversely, let $[P] \in P(L)^G$. Since $\text{Hom}_k(P, P)$ is an Azumaya k -algebra with maximal commutative subalgebra L , $\text{Hom}_k(P, P)$ is written by $\Delta(f, L, \Phi, G)$ for some Φ and f . Therefore, by Proposition 5, 2) we have $\Phi(\sigma) \cdot [P] = [P] \Phi_0(\sigma)$ and so $[P]^\sigma \Phi(\sigma) = [P] \Phi_0(\sigma)$. Accordingly $\Phi(\sigma) = \Phi_0(\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in G$, i.e. $\Phi = \Phi_0$.

Proposition 6. Let $L \supset k$ be a Galois extension with Galois group G . For any $\Phi = \varphi \Phi_0 \in \mathfrak{G}$ with some factor set f related to Φ , $\Delta(f, L, \Phi, G)$ has an opposite k -algebra $\Delta(f, L, \Phi, G)^0 = \Delta(f^0, L, \Phi^0, G)$ where $\Phi^0 = \varphi^{-1} \Phi_0$ and f^0 is some factor set related to Φ^0 .

Proof. Put $\Phi(\sigma) = [J_\sigma]$, $\varphi(\sigma) = [P_\sigma]$ and $\Phi^0(\sigma) = \varphi(\sigma)^{-1} \cdot \Phi_0(\sigma) = [P_\sigma^* \otimes_L {}_\sigma L_I] = [J_\sigma']$ for $\sigma \in G$, where $P_\sigma^* = \text{Hom}_L(P_\sigma, L)$. Since $1 = \varphi(1) = \varphi(\sigma \sigma^{-1}) = \varphi(\sigma) \cdot \varphi(\sigma^{-1})^\sigma$, we have $[P_\sigma] = \varphi(\sigma) = (\varphi(\sigma^{-1})^{-1})^\sigma = [P_{\sigma^{-1}}^*]^\sigma$. Thus P_σ and $(P_{\sigma^{-1}}^*)^\sigma = {}_\sigma L_I \otimes_L P_{\sigma^{-1}}^* \otimes_L {}_{\sigma^{-1}} L_I$ are L - L -isomorphic. Let $h_\sigma: P_\sigma \rightarrow (P_{\sigma^{-1}}^*)^\sigma$ be the L - L -isomorphism, and let $g_\sigma: (P_{\sigma^{-1}}^*)^\sigma = L u_\sigma \otimes_L P_{\sigma^{-1}}^* \otimes_L L u_{\sigma^{-1}} \rightarrow P_{\sigma^{-1}}^*$ be a k -isomor-

phism defined by $g_\sigma(u_\sigma \otimes x \otimes u_{\sigma^{-1}}) = x$. Then $g_\sigma \circ h_\sigma$ is a k -isomorphism satisfying $g_\sigma \circ h_\sigma(ax) = \sigma^{-1}(a)g_\sigma \circ h_\sigma(x)$ for all $x \in P_\sigma$ and $a \in L$. For each $\sigma \in G$, we define the map $g: J_\sigma = P_\sigma \otimes_L L_I \rightarrow J_{\sigma^{-1}} = P_{\sigma^{-1}} \otimes_L L_I$ as follows: For $x \otimes au_\sigma \in P_\sigma \otimes_L L_I = P_\sigma \otimes_L Lu_\sigma$, $g(x \otimes au_\sigma) = g_\sigma \circ h_\sigma(x) \otimes \sigma^{-1}(a)u_{\sigma^{-1}}$. It is easily checked that g is well defined. Then the map g induces the k -isomorphism of $\sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus J_\sigma$ to $\sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus J_{\sigma^{-1}}$, and satisfies $g(x \otimes ya) = g(x \otimes \sigma(a)y) = g(\sigma(a)x \otimes y) = ag(x \otimes y)$ and $g(ax \otimes y) = g(x \otimes ay) = g(x \otimes y)a$ for all $x \otimes y \in P_\sigma \otimes_L L_I = J_\sigma$ and $a \in L$. Now, we define the map $f_{\sigma, \tau}^0: J_{\sigma'} \otimes J_{\tau'} \rightarrow J_{\sigma\tau}$ as follows:

$$f_{\sigma, \tau}^0(x' \otimes y') = g(f_{\tau^{-1}\sigma^{-1}}(g^{-1}(y') \otimes g^{-1}(x'))) \quad \text{for } x' \otimes y' \in J_{\sigma'} \otimes J_{\tau'}.$$

Then $f_{\sigma, \tau}^0$ is L - L -isomorphism. Because, $f_{\sigma, \tau}^0(ax' \otimes y') = g(f_{\tau^{-1}\sigma^{-1}}(g^{-1}(y') \otimes g^{-1}(ax'))) = g(f_{\tau^{-1}\sigma^{-1}}(g^{-1}(y') \otimes g^{-1}(x')a)) = g(f_{\tau^{-1}\sigma^{-1}}(g^{-1}(y') \otimes g^{-1}(x'))a) = a \cdot g(f_{\tau^{-1}\sigma^{-1}}(g^{-1}(y') \otimes g^{-1}(x'))) = af_{\sigma, \tau}^0(x \otimes y)$, similarly, $f_{\sigma, \tau}^0(x' \otimes y'a) = f_{\sigma, \tau}^0(x' \otimes y')a$ for all $x' \otimes y' \in J_{\sigma'} \otimes J_{\tau'}$, $a \in L$. Furthermore, $f^0 = \{f_{\sigma, \tau}^0; \sigma, \tau \in G\}$ is a factor set related to $\Phi^0 = \varphi^{-1}\Phi_0$;

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\sigma, \tau}^0(f_{\sigma, \tau}^0(x' \otimes y') \otimes z') &= g(f_{\gamma^{-1}(\sigma\tau)^{-1}}(g^{-1}(z') \otimes g^{-1}(f_{\sigma, \tau}^0(x' \otimes y')))) \\ &= g(f_{\gamma^{-1}, \tau^{-1}\sigma^{-1}}(g^{-1}(z') \otimes f_{\tau^{-1}\sigma^{-1}}(g^{-1}(y') \otimes g^{-1}(x')))) \\ &= g(f_{\gamma^{-1}\tau^{-1}, \sigma^{-1}}(f_{\gamma^{-1}, \tau^{-1}}(g^{-1}(z') \otimes g^{-1}(y')) \otimes g^{-1}(x'))) \\ &= g(f_{(\sigma\tau)^{-1}, \sigma^{-1}}(g^{-1}(f_{\sigma, \tau}^0(y' \otimes z')) \otimes g^{-1}(x'))) \\ &= f_{\sigma, \tau}^0(x' \otimes f_{\sigma, \tau}^0(y' \otimes z')), \quad \text{for } x' \otimes y' \otimes z' \in J_{\sigma'} \otimes J_{\tau'} \otimes J_{\gamma'}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Φ^0 and f^0 define a generalized crossed product $\Delta(f^0, L, \Phi^0, G) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus J_{\sigma'}$ of L and G . Since $g(f_{\sigma, \tau}(x \otimes y)) = f_{\tau^{-1}\sigma^{-1}}(g(y) \otimes g(x))$, for $x \otimes y \in J_\sigma \otimes J_\tau$, g is an opposite k -algebra isomorphism of $\Delta(f, L, \Phi, G)$ to $\Delta(f^0, L, \Phi^0, G)$.

3. Application to Brauer group. The purpose of this section is to derive the seven terms exact sequence, using the results in §2. We define the maps θ_i in the sequence

$$H^1(G, L^*) \xrightarrow{\theta_1} P(k) \xrightarrow{\theta_2} P(L)^G \xrightarrow{\theta_3} H^2(G, L^*) \xrightarrow{\theta_4} B(L/k) \xrightarrow{\theta_5} H^1(G, P(L)) \xrightarrow{\theta_6} H^3(G, L^*)$$

in the following way: We suppose that L is a Galois extension of k with finite Galois group G .

$$(1) \quad \theta_1: H^1(G, L^*) \rightarrow P(k);$$

Let $\rho \in Z^1(G, L^*)$. We define the new operation of element σ of G on L ; for $\sigma \in G$, $x \in L$, $\sigma * x = \rho(\sigma) \cdot \sigma(x)$. Under this operation, we may regard L as $\Delta(L, G)$ -left module, then we denote L by ${}_\rho L$. We put $P_0 = {}_\rho L^G = \{a \in L; \sigma * a = \rho(\sigma) \cdot \sigma(a) = a \text{ for all } \sigma \in G\} \approx \text{Hom}_{\Delta(L, G)}(L, {}_\rho L)$. Since $L \supset k$ is a Galois extension, L is finitely generated projective generator as a $\Delta(L, G)$ -module, so

we have ${}_{\rho}L \approx \text{Hom}_{\Delta(L,G)}(L, {}_{\rho}L) \otimes_k L$. Since $L \supset k$ is a finitely generated projective k -module, $[P_0] = [{}_{\rho}L^G]$ is in $P(k)$. We define the map θ_1 by $\theta_1(\bar{\rho}) = [P_0] = [{}_{\rho}L^G]$ for $\bar{\rho} \in H^1(G, L^*)$. It is well defined. Because, if $\rho' = \rho_0\rho$ for $\rho_0 \in B^1(G, L^*)$, then there is $\alpha \in L^*$ such that $\rho_0(\sigma) = \alpha^{-1} \cdot \sigma(\alpha)$ for all $\sigma \in G$. Then $P_0' = {}_{\rho'}L^G = \{x \in L; x = \alpha^{-1}\sigma(\alpha)\rho(\sigma)\sigma(x), \text{ for all } \sigma \in G\} = \alpha^{-1} \cdot {}_{\rho}L^G$. Thus $P_0' \approx P_0$ as k -module, therefore $[P_0'] = [P_0]$ in $P(k)$.

Lemma 2. *The map $\theta_1: H^1(G, L^*) \rightarrow P(k)$ is a monomorphism.*

Proof. In order to show that θ_1 is a homomorphism, it suffices to show that for $\bar{\rho}_1, \bar{\rho}_2$ in $H^1(G, L^*)$, ${}_{\rho_1}L^G \otimes_k {}_{\rho_2}L^G \approx {}_{\rho_1\rho_2}L^G$ as k -module. It is easily seen that ${}_{\rho_1}L^G \cdot {}_{\rho_2}L^G \subset {}_{\rho_1\rho_2}L^G$. We consider the map $\eta: {}_{\rho_1}L^G \otimes_k {}_{\rho_2}L^G \rightarrow {}_{\rho_1\rho_2}L^G$ defined by $\eta(x \otimes y) = xy$ for $x \in {}_{\rho_1}L^G, y \in {}_{\rho_2}L^G$. Since ${}_{\rho_i}L^G \otimes_k L \approx {}_{\rho_i}L^G \cdot L = {}_{\rho_i}L$, for every maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of k , the localization $({}_{\rho_1}L^G)_{\mathfrak{m}}, ({}_{\rho_2}L^G)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $({}_{\rho_1\rho_2}L^G)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ are rank 1 $k_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -free module and generated by units in $L_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Therefore, $({}_{\rho_1}L^G)_{\mathfrak{m}} = k_{\mathfrak{m}}u_1, ({}_{\rho_2}L^G)_{\mathfrak{m}} = k_{\mathfrak{m}}u_2$ and $({}_{\rho_1}L^G \cdot {}_{\rho_2}L^G)_{\mathfrak{m}} = ({}_{\rho_1}L^G)_{\mathfrak{m}} \cdot ({}_{\rho_2}L^G)_{\mathfrak{m}} = k_{\mathfrak{m}}u_1u_2 \subset ({}_{\rho_1\rho_2}L^G)_{\mathfrak{m}} = k_{\mathfrak{m}}u_3$. Since $u_3 = (u_3u_2^{-1}u_1^{-1})u_1u_2$ and $u_3u_2^{-1}u_1^{-1} \in L_{\mathfrak{m}}^G = k_{\mathfrak{m}}$, we have $({}_{\rho_1}L^G \cdot {}_{\rho_2}L^G)_{\mathfrak{m}} = k_{\mathfrak{m}}u_1u_2 = k_{\mathfrak{m}}u_3 = ({}_{\rho_1\rho_2}L^G)_{\mathfrak{m}}$, so $\eta_{\mathfrak{m}}: {}_{\rho_1}L^G_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_k {}_{\rho_2}L^G_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow {}_{\rho_1\rho_2}L^G_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a $k_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -isomorphism. Accordingly, η is a k -isomorphism, and so θ_1 is a homomorphism. Let $\bar{\rho} \in H^1(G, L^*)$ and $\theta_1(\bar{\rho}) = [{}_{\rho}L^G] = [k]$, i.e. ${}_{\rho}L^G = k \cdot u$ where u is a free base in ${}_{\rho}L^G$, and so u is a unit in L . Therefore, $u = \rho(\sigma) \cdot \sigma(u)$ for every $\sigma \in G$, i.e. $\rho(\sigma) = u \cdot \sigma(u)^{-1}$ so ρ is in $B^1(G, L^*)$. Accordingly, θ_1 is a monomorphism.

(2). $\theta_2: P(k) \rightarrow P(L)^G;$

We put $\theta_2([P_0]) = [L \otimes_k P_0]$ for $[P_0] \in P(k)$. Then θ_2 is a homomorphism of $P(k)$ to $P(L)^G$ by Lemma 1 and Corollary 1.

Lemma 3. $H^1(G, L^*) \xrightarrow{\theta_1} P(k) \xrightarrow{\theta_2} P(L)^G$ is exact.

Proof. For any $\bar{\rho}$ in $H^1(G, L^*)$, $\theta_2\theta_1(\bar{\rho}) = \theta_2([{}_{\rho}L^G]) = [{}_{\rho}L^G \otimes_k L] = [{}_{\rho}L] = [L]$ in $P(L)$. Let $[P_0]$ be in $P(k)$ and $[P_0 \otimes_k L] = [L]$, i.e. there is an L -isomorphism $h: L \rightarrow P_0 \otimes_k L$. Since $\text{Hom}_k(P_0 \otimes_k L, P_0 \otimes_k L) \approx \text{Hom}_k(L, L) = \Delta(L, G) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus Lu_{\sigma}$, we can regard $P \otimes_k L$ as a faithful $\Delta(L, G)$ -module by the isomorphism h . Then Lu_{σ} is described as $J_{\sigma} = \{g \in \text{Hom}_k(P \otimes_k L, P \otimes_k L); g \cdot a = \sigma(a)g, \text{ for all } a \in L\}$. The k -isomorphism $\bar{\sigma} = I \otimes \sigma: P_0 \otimes_k L \rightarrow P_0 \otimes_k L$ is a unit element in $\text{Hom}_k(P_0 \otimes_k L, P_0 \otimes_k L)$ and is contained in J_{σ} for each $\sigma \in G$. Therefore, there exists d_{σ} in L^* such that $\bar{\sigma} = d_{\sigma}u_{\sigma}$ for each $\sigma \in G$. Then, the map $\rho: G \rightarrow L^*$ defined by $\rho(\sigma) = d_{\sigma}$ is in $Z^1(G, L^*)$. Because, $d_{\sigma\tau} = \bar{\sigma}\tau \cdot u_{\sigma\tau}^{-1} = \bar{\sigma} \cdot \tau u_{\tau}^{-1} \cdot u_{\sigma}^{-1} = \bar{\sigma} \cdot d_{\tau}u_{\sigma}^{-1} = \bar{\sigma}u_{\sigma}^{-1}\sigma(d_{\tau}) = d_{\sigma} \cdot \sigma(d_{\tau})$. It follows that $\theta_1(\bar{\rho}) = [{}_{\rho}L^G]$, and ${}_{\rho}L^G = \{x \in L; x = \rho(\sigma) \cdot \sigma(x), \text{ for all } \sigma \in G\} \approx \{y \in P_0 \otimes_k L; y = \rho(\sigma) \cdot u_{\sigma}y\} = \{y \in P_0 \otimes_k L; y = \rho(\sigma) \cdot d_{\sigma}^{-1} \cdot \bar{\sigma}(y), \text{ for all } \sigma \in G\} = \{y \in P_0 \otimes_k L; y = I \otimes \sigma(y) \text{ for all } \sigma \in G\} = (P_0 \otimes_k L)^{I \times G}$. Since $L \supset k$ is a Galois extension, there is an element c in L such that $\sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(c) = 1$, therefore any element $y = \sum x_i \otimes a_i \in (P_0 \otimes_k L)^{I \times G}$,

$y = \sum_{\sigma \in G} y\sigma(c) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} I \otimes \sigma(y\sigma(c)) = \sum_{\sigma} x_i \otimes \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(a_i c) = \sum_{\sigma} x_i \cdot \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(a_i c) \otimes 1$, so y is contained in $P_0 \otimes_k L^G = P_0 \otimes_k k = P_0$. Accordingly, we have $\theta_1(\bar{\rho}) = [P_0]$.

(3). $\theta_3: P(L)^G \rightarrow H^2(G, L^*);$

Let $[P] \in P(L)^G$. By Corollary 1, there exists a factor set f related to Φ_0 , i.e. $f = \rho \in Z^2(G, L^*)$, such that $\text{Hom}_k(P, P)$ is L -isomorphic to $\Delta(f, L, \Phi_0, G) = \Delta(\rho, L, G)$ as k -algebra. We define the map $\theta_3: P(L)^G \rightarrow H^2(G, L^*)$ by $\theta_3([P]) = \bar{\rho}$ for $[P] \in P(L)^G$. Then θ_3 is a homomorphism. Because, for $[P], [P'] \in P(L)^G$, we have $\text{Hom}_k(P, P) = \Delta(\rho, L, G) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus Lf_\sigma$ and $\text{Hom}_k(P', P') = \Delta(\rho', L, G) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus Lf_{\sigma'}$ where $\bar{\rho} = \theta_3([P])$, $\bar{\rho}' = \theta_3([P'])$, and $\{f_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in G}$ and $\{f_{\sigma'}\}_{\sigma \in G}$ are L -free basis in $\text{Hom}_k(P, P)$ and $\text{Hom}_k(P', P')$, respectively. Then the k -isomorphism $f_\sigma \otimes f_{\sigma'}: P \otimes_L P' \rightarrow P \otimes_L P'$ defined by $f_\sigma \otimes f_{\sigma'}(x \otimes y) = f_\sigma(x) \otimes f_{\sigma'}(y)$ for $x \otimes y \in P \otimes_L P'$, (it is well defined), satisfies $\sigma(a) \cdot f_\sigma \otimes f_{\sigma'} = f_\sigma \otimes f_{\sigma'} \cdot a$ for all a in L and $f_\sigma \otimes f_{\sigma'} \cdot f_\tau \otimes f_{\tau'} = \rho(\sigma, \tau) \cdot \rho'(\sigma, \tau) \cdot f_{\sigma\tau} \otimes f_{\sigma\tau'}$. Therefore, we can write $\text{Hom}_k(P \otimes_L P', P \otimes_L P') = \Delta(\rho \cdot \rho', L, G) = \sum \oplus L f_\sigma \otimes f_{\sigma'}$. Accordingly, $\theta_3([P] \cdot [P']) = \theta_3([P]) \cdot \theta_3([P'])$.

Lemma 4. $P(k) \xrightarrow{\theta_2} P(L)^G \xrightarrow{\theta_3} H^2(G, L^*)$ is exact.

Proof. If $[P_0] \in P(k)$ then $\theta_2([P_0]) = [P_0 \otimes_k L]$ and $\text{Hom}_k(P_0 \otimes_k L, P_0 \otimes_k L) \approx \text{Hom}_k(L, L) = \Delta(L, G)$ and so $\theta_3(\theta_2([P_0])) = 1$. Let $[P] \in P(L)^G$ and $\theta_3([P]) = 1$, so $\text{Hom}_k(P, P) \approx \Delta(L, G)$. By Lemma 1, there is $[P_0]$ in $P(k)$, and $P \approx P_0 \otimes_k L$, therefore $\theta_2([P_0]) = [P]$.

(4). $\theta_4: H^2(G, L^*) \rightarrow B(L/k);$

$B(L/k)$ denotes the Brauer group of k -Azumaya algebras split by L . $\theta_4: H^2(G, L^*) \rightarrow B(L/k)$ is defined by $\theta_4(\bar{\rho}) = [\Delta(\rho, L, G)]$ in $B(L/k)$ for $\bar{\rho} \in H^2(G, L^*)$, then θ_4 is a homomorphism by [1], Theorem A. 12.

Lemma 5. $P(L)^G \xrightarrow{\theta_3} H^2(G, L^*) \xrightarrow{\theta_4} B(L/k)$ is exact.

Proof. Let $[P] \in P(L)^G$ and $\text{Hom}_k(P, P) \approx \Delta(\rho, L, G)$. Then $\theta_4 \theta_3([P]) = [\Delta(\rho, L, G)] = [\text{Hom}_k(P, P)] = 1$ in $B(L/k)$. On the other hand, if $\bar{\rho}$ is an element in $H^2(G, L^*)$ such that $\theta_4(\bar{\rho}) = [\Delta(\rho, L, G)] = [k]$, then there is a finitely generated faithful projective k -module P such that $\Delta(\rho, L, G) \cong \text{Hom}_k(P, P)$. By Proposition 5, $[P] \in P(L)$ and by Corollary 1 $[P] \in P(L)^G$, and so $\bar{\rho} = \theta_3([P])$.

(5). $\theta_5: B(L/k) \rightarrow H^1(G, P(L));$

For any $[A] \in B(L/k)$, there is an Azumaya k -algebra Λ in $[A]$ such that Λ contains L as maximal commutative subalgebra (cf. [1], Theorem 5. 7). By Proposition 3, Λ is written by $\Delta(f, L, \Phi, G)$ for some Φ and f , and then $\Phi = \varphi \Phi_0$ for some φ in $Z^1(G, P(L))$. We put $\theta_5([A]) = \bar{\varphi}$. From the following lemma,

it is shown that θ_5 defines the map $B(L/k) \rightarrow H^1(G, P(L))$.

Lemma 6. *Let $\Phi = \varphi\Phi_0$ and $\Phi' = \varphi'\Phi_0$ be elements in \mathfrak{G} , and f and f' factor set related to Φ and Φ' , respectively. If $[\Delta(f, L, \Phi, G)] = [\Delta(f', L, \Phi', G)]$ in $B(L/k)$, then $\varphi'\varphi^{-1}$ is in $B^1(G, P(L))$.*

Proof. If $[\Delta(f, L, \Phi, G)] = [\Delta(f', L, \Phi', G)]$, then there is a finitely generated projective and faithful k -module P such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_k(P, P) &\approx \Delta(f', L, \Phi', G) \otimes_k \Delta(f, L, \Phi, G)^0 \\ &= \Delta(f, L, \Phi', G) \otimes_k \Delta(f^0, L, \Phi^0, G) \\ &\approx \Delta(f' \otimes f^0, L \otimes_k L, \Phi' \otimes \Phi^0, G \times G), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi'(\sigma) = [J_{\sigma'}]$, $\Phi^0(\sigma) = [J_{\sigma^0}]$ and $\Phi' \otimes \Phi^0(\sigma \times \tau) = [J_{\sigma'} \otimes_k J_{\tau^0}]$ in $\text{Pic}_k(L \otimes_k L)$, and $(f' \otimes f^0)_{\sigma \times \tau, \sigma' \times \tau'} \approx f'_{\sigma, \tau'} \otimes f_{\tau, \tau'}$. Regarding P as $L \otimes_k L$ -module, by Proposition 5, $[P] \in P(L \otimes_k L)$ and $(\Phi(\sigma) \otimes \Phi^0(\tau)) \cdot [P] = [P] \cdot (\Phi_0(\sigma) \otimes \Phi_0(\tau))$ for $\sigma, \tau \in G$. Since $\Phi' = \varphi'\Phi_0$, $\Phi^0 = \varphi^{-1}\Phi_0$, we have $\varphi'(\sigma) \otimes \varphi^{-1}(\tau) = [P] \cdot ([P]^{-1})^{\sigma \times \tau}$ in $P(L \otimes_k L)$. In particular, if one put $\Phi = \Phi'$, then obtain similarly $\varphi'(\sigma)^{-1} \otimes \varphi(\tau) = [Q] \cdot ([Q]^{-1})^{\sigma \times \tau}$ for some $[Q]$ in $P(L \otimes_k L)$. From $\varphi'(\sigma) \otimes \varphi^{-1}(\tau) = [P] \cdot ([P]^{-1})^{\sigma \times \tau}$ and $\varphi'(\sigma)^{-1} \otimes \varphi(\tau) = [Q] \cdot ([Q]^{-1})^{\sigma \times \tau}$, we obtain $[L] \otimes \varphi'(\tau) \varphi(\tau)^{-1} = [P \otimes_{L \otimes_k L} Q] \cdot ([P \otimes_{L \otimes_k L} Q]^{-1})^{\sigma \times \tau}$. We put $[R] = [P \otimes_{L \otimes_k L} Q]$ and $[P_\tau] = \varphi' \varphi^{-1}(\tau) = \varphi'(\tau) \cdot \varphi^{-1}(\tau)$, so we have $[L \otimes_k P_\tau] = [R] \cdot ([R]^{-1})^{\sigma \times \tau}$. If one takes $\tau = 1$, then from $\varphi' \varphi^{-1}(1) = [P] = [L]$, we have $[L \otimes_k L] = [R] \cdot ([R]^{-1})^{\sigma \times 1}$ and so $[R] = [R]^{\sigma \times 1}$ for all $\sigma \in G$. Regarding $L \otimes_k L$ as a Galois extension of L with Galois group $G \times I$, it is known that $L \otimes_k L$ is a trivial Galois extension of L with Galois group $G \times I$. From Remark 5, there is an element $[R_0]$ in $P(L)$ such that $[R] = [(L \otimes_k L) \otimes_L R_0] = [L \otimes_k R_0]$ in $P(L \otimes_k L)$. Therefore, $[L \otimes_k P_\tau] = [L \otimes_k R_0] \cdot ([L \otimes_k R_0]^{-1})^{\sigma \times \tau}$, and so it can be computed that $L \otimes_k P_\tau \approx L \otimes_k (R_0 \otimes_L L_\tau L_1 \otimes_L R_0^* \otimes_{\tau^{-1} L_1} L_1)$ as $L \otimes_k L$ -module for every $\tau \in G$. Therefore, $L \otimes_k L \otimes_L P_\tau \approx L \otimes_k L \otimes_L (R_0 \otimes_L R_0^{*\tau})$ as $L \otimes_k L$ -module. Since $L \otimes_k L = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus e_\sigma L$ is a trivial Galois extension of L , we have $\sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus e_\sigma L \otimes_L P_\tau \approx \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus e_\sigma L \otimes_L (R_0 \otimes_L R_0^{*\tau})$ as $L \otimes_k L = \sum e_\sigma L$ -modules, and so $e_\sigma L \otimes_L P_\tau \approx e_\sigma L \otimes_L (R_0 \otimes_L R_0^{*\tau})$ as $L \otimes_k L$ -module for each $\sigma \in G$. On the other hand, $e_\sigma L \otimes_L P_\tau$ and P_τ are L -isomorphic, and $e_\sigma L \otimes_L (R_0 \otimes_L R_0^{*\tau})$ and $R_0 \otimes_L R_0^{*\tau}$ are so. Therefore, we have $P_\tau \approx R_0 \otimes_L R_0^{*\tau}$ as L -module for every $\tau \in G$, i.e. $[P_\tau] = \varphi' \varphi^{-1}(\tau) = [R_0] \cdot ([R_0]^{-1})^\tau$ in $P(L)$ for every $\tau \in G$. Accordingly, $\varphi' \varphi^{-1}$ is in $B^1(G, P(L))$.

Lemma 7. $H^2(G, L^*) \xrightarrow{\theta_4} B(L/k) \xrightarrow{\theta_5} H^1(G, P(L))$ is exact.

Proof. If $\bar{\rho}$ is in $H^2(G, L^*)$, then $\theta_4(\bar{\rho}) = [\Delta(\rho, L, G)] = [\Delta(\rho, L, \Phi_0, G)]$, so $\theta_5 \theta_4(\bar{\rho}) = 1$. Let $[A] = [\Delta(f, L, \Phi, G)] \in B(L/k)$ and $\theta_5([A]) = \bar{\varphi} = 1$. Since

$\varphi \in B^1(G, P(L))$, there is $[P]$ in $P(L)$ and $\varphi(\sigma)=[P] \cdot ([P]^{-1})^\sigma$ for all $\sigma \in G$. Since $\text{Hom}_k(P, P)$ is an Azumaya k -algebra with maximal commutative subalgebra L , by Proposition 3 $\text{Hom}_k(P, P)$ is L -isomorphic to $\Delta(g, L, \varphi' \Phi_0, G)$ with some φ' and g , as k -algebra. From Proposition 5, we have $\varphi'(\sigma) \Phi_0(\sigma) \cdot [P] = [P] \cdot \Phi_0(\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in G$, and so $\varphi'(\sigma) = [P] \cdot ([P]^{-1})^\sigma = \varphi(\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in G$, i.e. $\varphi = \varphi'$. We put $\Phi = \varphi \Phi_0 = \varphi' \Phi_0$. By Proposition 4, there exists an element ρ in $Z^2(G, L^*)$ such the $f = \rho g$. Since $\rho \otimes \rho^{-1}$ is in $B^2(G \times G, (L \otimes_k L)^*)$ (cf. [1], Proposition A. 11), by Proposition 4, $\Delta((\rho \otimes \rho^{-1})(I \otimes \rho)(g \otimes I), L \otimes_k L, \Phi \otimes \Phi_0, G \times G)$ and $\Delta((I \otimes \rho)(g \otimes I), L \otimes_k L, \Phi \otimes \Phi_0, G \times G)$ are $L \otimes_k L$ -isomorphic as k -algebra. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta((\rho \otimes \rho^{-1})(I \otimes \rho)(g \otimes I), L \otimes_k L, \Phi \otimes \Phi_0, G \times G) \\ & \approx \Delta(\rho g, L, \Phi, G) \otimes_k \Delta(I, L, \Phi_0, G) = \Delta(f, L, \Phi, G) \otimes_k \Delta(L, G), \\ & \text{and } \Delta((I \otimes \rho)(g \otimes I), L \otimes_k L, \Phi \otimes \Phi_0, G \times G) \\ & \approx \Delta(g, L, \Phi, G) \otimes_k \Delta(\rho, L, \Phi_0, G) = \text{Hom}_k(P, P) \otimes_k \Delta(\rho, L, G). \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, $[A] = [\Delta(f, L, \Phi, G)] = [\Delta(\rho, L, G)] = \theta_4(\bar{\rho})$.

(6). $\theta_6; H^1(G, P(L)) \rightarrow H^3(G, L^*)$;

Let $\varphi \in Z^1(G, P(L))$. We put $\Phi = \varphi \Phi_0$ and $\Phi(\sigma) = [J_\sigma]$ for each $\sigma \in G$. One takes a family $\{f_{\sigma, \tau}; \sigma, \tau \in G\}$ of L - L -isomorphism $f_{\sigma, \tau}: J_\sigma \otimes_L J_\tau \rightarrow J_{\sigma\tau}$. Put $\omega(\sigma, \tau, \gamma) = f_{\sigma\tau, \gamma} \circ (f_{\sigma, \tau} \otimes I) \circ (I \otimes f_{\tau, \gamma})^{-1} \circ f_{\sigma, \tau\gamma}^{-1}$ for each $\sigma, \tau, \gamma \in G$. Since $\omega(\sigma, \tau, \gamma)$ is a unit in $\text{Hom}_L(J_{\sigma\tau\gamma}, J_{\sigma\tau\gamma}) = L$, we have a function $\omega: G \times G \times G \rightarrow L^*$; $(\sigma, \tau, \gamma) \rightsquigarrow \omega(\sigma, \tau, \gamma)$. We shall show that ω is in $Z^3(G, L^*)$ i.e. $\delta(\omega) = 1$ where δ is coboundary operator. Since $\delta(\omega)(\sigma, \tau, \gamma, \varepsilon)$ is a unit in L , $\delta(\omega)(\sigma, \tau, \gamma, \varepsilon) = 1$ for every $\sigma, \tau, \gamma, \varepsilon$ in G , if and only if for any maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of L , the image of $\delta(\omega)(\sigma, \tau, \gamma, \varepsilon)$ in $L_{\mathfrak{m}}$ equals to 1 for every $\sigma, \tau, \gamma, \varepsilon$ in G . But, if L is local, then, from that J_σ is a free L -module, there is a map ρ of $G \times G$ to L^* such that $\omega = \delta(\rho)$. Therefore, $\delta(\omega) = \delta^2(\rho) = 1$, i.e. $\delta(\omega)(\sigma, \tau, \gamma, \varepsilon) = 1$ for every $\sigma, \tau, \gamma, \varepsilon$ in G . Accordingly ω is in $Z^3(G, L^*)$. For Φ in \mathfrak{G} , if one takes another family $\{f'_{\sigma, \tau}; \sigma, \tau \in G\}$, then there is a map $\rho: G \times G \rightarrow L^*$ such that $f'_{\sigma, \tau} = \rho(\sigma, \tau) \cdot f_{\sigma, \tau}$ for every $\sigma, \tau \in G$. Then, it is easily computed that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega'(\sigma, \tau, \gamma) &= f'_{\sigma\tau, \gamma} \circ (f'_{\sigma, \tau} \otimes I) \circ (I \otimes f'_{\tau, \gamma})^{-1} \circ f'_{\sigma, \tau\gamma}^{-1} \\ &= \sigma(\rho(\sigma\tau, \gamma)) \cdot \rho(\sigma\tau, \gamma)^{-1} \cdot \rho(\sigma, \tau\gamma) \cdot \rho(\sigma, \tau) \cdot f_{\sigma\tau, \gamma} \circ (f_{\sigma, \tau} \otimes I) \circ (I \otimes f_{\tau, \gamma})^{-1} \circ f_{\sigma, \tau\gamma}^{-1} \\ &= \delta(\rho)(\sigma, \tau, \gamma) \cdot \omega(\sigma, \tau, \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

If $\varphi' = \varphi_0 \cdot \varphi$ for some φ_0 in $B^1(G, L^*)$, then there is $[P] \in P(L)$ such that $\varphi' \Phi_0(\sigma) = [P] \cdot \Phi(\sigma) \cdot [P^*]$. If $f_{\sigma, \tau}: J_\sigma \otimes_L J_\tau \rightarrow J_{\sigma\tau}$ and $I \otimes f_{\sigma, \tau} \otimes I: (P \otimes_L J_\sigma \otimes_L P^*) \otimes_L (P \otimes_L J_\tau \otimes_L P^*) = P \otimes_L J_\sigma \otimes_L J_\tau \otimes_L P^* \rightarrow P \otimes_L J_{\sigma\tau} \otimes_L P^*$ identify, then we can consider that $\omega(\sigma, \tau, \gamma)$ is in $\text{Hom}_L(P \otimes_L J_{\sigma\tau\gamma} \otimes_L P^*, P \otimes_L J_{\sigma\tau\gamma} \otimes_L P^*)$. Therefore, a element $\bar{\omega}$ in $H^3(G, L^*)$ is determined by an element $\bar{\varphi}$ in $H^1(G, L^*)$. We can define the map $\theta_6: H^1(G, P(L)) \rightarrow H^3(G, L^*)$ by $\theta_6(\bar{\varphi}) = \bar{\omega}$,

for $\bar{\varphi} \in H^1(G, P(L))$.

Lemma 8. $B(L/k) \xrightarrow{\theta_5} H^1(G, P(L)) \xrightarrow{\theta_6} H^3(G, L^*)$ is exact.

Proof. For $\bar{\varphi}$ in $H^1(G, P(L))$, we put $\Phi = \varphi\Phi_0$ and $\Phi(\sigma) = [J_\sigma]$ for $\sigma \in G$. Then it is easily seen that $\theta_6(\bar{\varphi}) = 1$ if and only if there is a family $\{f_{\sigma,\tau}: J_\sigma J_\tau \otimes_L \rightarrow J_{\sigma\tau}; L\text{-}L\text{-isomorphism, } \sigma, \tau \in G\}$ such that $\{f_{\sigma,\tau}; \sigma, \tau \in G\}$ is a factor set related to Φ . Therefore $\theta_6(\bar{\varphi}) = 1$ if and only if there is $\Delta[(f, L, \Phi, G)]$ in $B(L/k)$ such that $\theta_5([\Delta(f, L, \Phi, G)]) = \bar{\varphi}$.

We have obtained the following seven terms exact sequence.

Theorem (Chase, Harrison and Rosenberg).

$$(1) \longrightarrow H^1(G, L^*) \xrightarrow{\theta_1} P(k) \xrightarrow{\theta_2} P(L)^G \xrightarrow{\theta_3} H^2(G, L^*) \xrightarrow{\theta_4} B(L/k) \xrightarrow{\theta_5} H^1(G, P(L)) \xrightarrow{\theta_6} H^3(G, L^*)$$

is exact.

From Remark 5 and Therorm, we have

Corollary 2. If $L \supset k$ is a trivial Galois extension, then

$$(1) \longrightarrow H^1(G, L^*) \xrightarrow{\theta_1} P(k) \xrightarrow{\theta_2} P(L)^G \longrightarrow (1) \text{ and} \\ (1) \longrightarrow H^2(G, L^*) \xrightarrow{\theta_4} B(L/k) \xrightarrow{\theta_5} H^1(G, P(L)) \xrightarrow{\theta_6} H^3(G, L^*)$$

are exact.

OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY

References

- [1] M. Auslander and O. Goldman: *The Brauer group of a commutative ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **97** (1960), 367–409.
- [2] G. Azumaya: *On maximally central algebras*, Nagoya Math. J. **2** (1951), 119–150.
- [3] ———: *Completely faithful modules and self injective rings*, Nagoya Math. J. **27** (1966), 697–708.
- [4] H. Bass: *Lectures on topics in algebraic K-theory*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1967.
- [5] S.U. Chase, D.K. Harrison and A. Rosenberg: *Galois theory and Galois cohomology of cummutative rings*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1965).
- [6] S.U. Chase and A. Rosenberg: *Amitsur cohomology and the Brauer group*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1965).
- [7] S. Endo and Y. Watanabe: *On separable algebras over a commutative ring*, Osaka J. Math. **4** (1967), 233–242.

- [8] T. Kanzaki: *On commutator ring and Galois theory of separable algebras*, Osaka J. Math. **1** (1964), 103–115.
- [9] ———: *On Galois algebra over a commutative ring*, Osaka J. Math. **2** (1965), 309–317.