

INFINITELY GENERATED DERKSEN AND MAKAR-LIMANOV INVARIANT

STEFAN MAUBACH

(Received November 16, 2006)

Abstract

In this paper, we give an example of a finitely generated 3-dimensional \mathbb{C} -algebra which has infinitely generated Derksen invariant as well as Makar-Limanov invariant.

1. Introduction and tools

The Derksen invariant and Makar-Limanov invariant are useful tools to recognize if two varieties or rings are not isomorphic. Both invariants use locally nilpotent derivations: if A is a commutative k -algebra (where k is a field of characteristic zero), then D is a derivation if D is k -linear and satisfies the Leibniz rule: $D(ab) = aD(b) + bD(a)$. A derivation is locally nilpotent if for each $a \in A$ we can find some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $D^n(a) = 0$. The kernel of a derivation, denoted by A^D , is the set of all elements that are mapped to zero under the derivation D . The Makar-Limanov invariant is defined as the intersection of all kernels of locally nilpotent derivations, while the Derksen invariant is defined as the smallest algebra containing the kernels of all nonzero locally nilpotent derivations.

In the paper [4] the question was posed if the Derksen invariant could be infinitely generated. In this paper we give an example of an infinitely generated Derksen invariant of a finitely generated \mathbb{C} -algebra. It will be at the same time an example of an infinitely generated Makar-Limanov invariant, as in this example, the Derksen invariant is equal to the Makar-Limanov invariant. By now, there are many examples of cases of “nice” subrings that are not finitely generated [1, 3, 5, 6, 7]. In regard of this, the author would like to remark that it will pay off to consider theorems as general as possible (with respect to not restricting to finitely generated algebras).

NOTATIONS. If R is a ring, then $R^{[n]}$ denotes the polynomial ring in n variables over R . We will use the letter k for a field of characteristic zero, and K for its algebraic closure. Denote by ∂_x the derivative with respect to x . By $LND(A)$ we will denote the set of all locally nilpotent derivations on a ring A .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 13N15, 13A50, 14R20.

Funded by a Veni-grant of the council for the physical sciences, Netherlands Organisation for scientific research (NWO).

The technique used for constructing this example, is based on the following general idea: grab a locally nilpotent derivation D on a polynomial ring $K^{[n]}$ having the required properties (non-finitely generated kernel). Then construct an equation f (or several equations f_i) which forces $A := K^{[n]}/(f)$ (or $A := K^{[n]}/(f_1, \dots, f_m)$) to be a ring that has only one locally nilpotent derivation (up to multiplication with an element of A), namely $D \bmod (f_1, \dots, f_m)$. Hopefully it still has the required properties (infinitely generated kernel).

Well-known facts that we need are the following:

Lemma 1.1. *Let $D \in \text{LND}(A)$ where A is a domain.*

- (1) *Then $D(A^*) = 0$.*
- (2) *If $D(ab) = 0$ with a, b both nonzero, then $D(a) = D(b) = 0$.*
- (3) *If $fD \in \text{LND}(A)$ where $f \neq 0$ then $D(f) = 0$ and $D \in \text{LND}(A)$.*

2. The example

This example is inspired by the example of Bhatwadekar and Dutta in [1]. We will write small letters for capital letters modulo a relation: for example, a below is defined as $A + (A^3 - B^2)$.

Define $R := \mathbb{C}[A, B]/(A^3 - B^2) = \mathbb{C}[a, b] \cong \mathbb{C}[T^2, T^3] \subseteq \mathbb{C}[T]$. Define $S := R[X, Y, Z]/(Z^2 - a^2(aX + bY)^2 - 1) = R[X, Y][z]$. We leave it to the reader to check that S is a domain. We will first try to find all locally nilpotent derivations on this ring.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $D \in \text{LND}(S)$. Then $D(a) = D(b) = D(aX + bY) = D(z) = 0$.*

Proof. Since $(z - a^2X - abY)(z + a^2X + abY) = 1$, we have by Lemma 1.1 Part 1 that $D(z - a^2X - abY) = D(z + a^2X + abY) = 0$, and thus since D is \mathbb{C} -linear, $D(z) = D(a^2X + abY) = 0$. Because of Lemma 1.1 Part 2, we have $D(a) = D(aX + bY) = 0$. Since $0 = 3a^2D(a) = D(a^3) = D(b^2) = 2bD(b)$ we have $D(b) = 0$. \square

Lemma 2.2. *$\text{LND}(S) = S^D \cdot D$ where $D := b\partial_X - a\partial_Y$.*

Proof. Let $D \in \text{LND}(S)$. Then $aD(X) = -bD(Y)$ by Lemma 2.1. Seeing S as a subring of $B := C \oplus C\bar{z} = \mathbb{C}[Z]/(Z^2 - T^8(X + TY)^2 - 1)$ where $C := \mathbb{C}[T][X, Y]$, we write $D(X) = f_0 + \bar{z}f_1$, $D(Y) = g_0 + \bar{z}g_1$ where $f_0, f_1, g_0, g_1 \in C$. Since $D(X) = -TD(Y)$ we see that T divides f_0 and f_1 . But since both are in $\mathbb{C}[T^2, T^3, X, Y]$ we know that even T^2 divides them. So, $D(X) = T^2h_0 + T^2\bar{z}h_1$ where $h_i := f_iT^{-2}$. But now $T(h_0 + h_1\bar{z}) = -g_0 - g_1\bar{z}$, so T divides the g_i . Again, we have that even T^2 divides g_i , which then gives that T divides h_i . In the end, T^3 divides $D(X)$ and T^2 divides $D(Y)$. Write $D(X) = T^3f = T^3f_0 + T^3zf_1$, $D(Y) = T^2g = T^2g_0 + T^2zg_1$. Then $T^3f = D(X) = -TD(Y) = -T^3g$ so $f_0 = -g_0$, $f_1 = -g_1$, and thus $f = -g$, and $D(X) = bf$, $D(Y) = -af$. Since $D(z) = D(a) = D(b) = 0$, $D = f(b\partial_X - a\partial_Y)$. By Lemma 1.1 we have that $f \in S^D$ and so we are done. \square

Proposition 2.3. *Let $D := T^3\partial_X - T^2\partial_Y$ on S as before. Then S^D is not finitely generated as a \mathbb{C} -algebra.*

Proof. Examining the natural extension of D on $B := \mathbb{C}[T][X, Y][z]$ it is easy to determine that $B^D = \mathbb{C}[T, z, X + TY]$. Now $S^D = B^D \cap S$, as can be easily checked. Defining $P := X + TY$, we are done if we show that $\mathbb{C}[T, z, P] \cap \mathbb{C}[T^2, T^3, z, X, Y]$ is not finitely generated. We will do this by writing elements in a unique way in a representant system.

Claim. *If $F \in S^D \setminus \mathbb{C}[T, z]$, then $F \in (T^2, T^3)S$.*

Proof of Claim. $S^D \bmod (T^2, T^3) = \mathbb{C}[T, X + TY, z]/(T^2, z^2 - 1) \cap \mathbb{C}[z, X, Y]/(z^2 - 1)$. If $F \in S^D$ is nonzero, then

$$F \bmod (T^2) = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i(\bar{T}, \bar{z})(X + \bar{T}Y)^i = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i(\bar{T}, \bar{z})(X^i + i\bar{T}X^{i-1}Y)$$

where $f_n \neq 0$. But since $F \bmod (T^2, T^3)S \in \mathbb{C}[\bar{z}, X, Y]$, this implies $n = 0$. So $F \in \mathbb{C}[T, z] + (T^2, T^3)S$, which proves the claim. □

If S^D is finitely generated, then $S^D = \mathbb{C}[T^2, T^3, z, F_1, \dots, F_n]$ where $F_i \in (T^2)B$ (by the Claim). Let d be the maximum of the X, Y -degree of the F_i . Take T^2P^{d+1} , which is in S^D . Write $T^2P^{d+1} = f(F_1, \dots, F_n)$ where f has coefficients in $\mathbb{C}[T^2, T^3] \oplus \mathbb{C}[T^2, T^3]z$. Computing modulo $(T^4)B$ (or $(T^4, T^5, T^6)S$) we see that $T^2P^{d+1} = f_0 + f_1F_1 + \dots + f_nF_n \bmod T^4$ where $f_i \in \mathbb{C}[\bar{T}^2, \bar{T}^3] \oplus \mathbb{C}[\bar{T}^2, \bar{T}^3]\bar{z}$, as $F_iF_j \in (T^4)B$ for all i, j . Now comparing the X, Y -degree from the right hand side (which is at most d) to the X, Y degree on the left hand side (which is $d + 1$) we get a contradiction, showing that the assumption “ S^D is finitely generated” is wrong. □

REMARK. The example in this paper is no UFD. In the paper [2] an example of a \mathbb{C} -algebra UFD of dimension 6 is given, which has infinitely generated Derksen and Makar-Limanov invariant.

References

[1] S.M. Bhatwadekar and A.K. Dutta: *Kernel of locally nilpotent R-derivations of $R[X, Y]$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **349** (1997), 3303–3319.
 [2] D. Finston and S. Maubach: *Constructing (almost) rigid rings and a UFD having infinitely generated Derksen and Makar-Limanov invariant*, preprint, 2007.
 [3] D. Daigle and G. Freudenburg: *A counterexample to Hilbert’s fourteenth problem in dimension 5*, J. Algebra **221** (1999), 528–535.

- [4] R.V. Gurjar, K. Masuda, M. Miyanishi and P. Russell: *Affine lines on affine surfaces and the Makar-Limanov invariant*, to appear in *Canad. J. Math.*
- [5] S. Maubach: *The linearisation conjecture and other problems over nonreduced rings*, *Comm. Algebra* **30** (2002), 1693–1704.
- [6] S. Kuroda: *A counterexample to the fourteenth problem of Hilbert in dimension four*, *J. Algebra* **279** (2004), 126–134.
- [7] S. Kuroda: *A counterexample to the fourteenth problem of Hilbert in dimension three*, *Michigan Math. J.* **53** (2005), 123–132.

Radboud University Nijmegen
Toernooiveld 1
The Netherlands
e-mail: s.maubach@science.ru.nl