## DECAY OF MASS FOR A SEMILINEAR PARABOLIC SYSTEM: THE CRITICAL CASE

PHILIPPE LAURENÇOT

(Received September 10, 2001)

## 1. Introduction and main result

In the recent paper [1], Amour & Raoux have studied the large-time behaviour of the  $L^1$ -norm of nonnegative and integrable solutions (u, v) to

(1) 
$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u + |\nabla v|^q = 0 \\ v_t - \Delta v + |\nabla u|^p = 0 \end{cases}$$
 in  $(0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N$ ,

with initial data

(2) 
$$u(0) = u_0, \quad v(0) = v_0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where p and q are real numbers satisfying  $1 \le p \le q$  and N is a positive integer. Assuming that  $u_0$  and  $v_0$  are nonnegative functions in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  with

$$\int |x| \ u_0(x) \ dx < \infty$$

and that (u, v) is a solution to (1)–(2) with  $u \ge 0$  and  $v \ge 0$ , they show that

(3) 
$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \|u(t) + v(t)\|_{L^1} > 0 \text{ if } q > q_p,$$

while

(4) 
$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \|u(t) + v(t)\|_{L^1} = 0 \text{ if } q < q_p,$$

where

(5) 
$$q_p = \frac{1}{N+1} + \frac{1}{p} \frac{N+2}{N+1},$$

the critical case  $q = q_p$  being left opened [1]. It is the purpose of this note to fill this gap and prove that (4) also holds true if  $q = q_p$ . More precisely, we assume that

$$(6) 1 \le p \le q \le q_p,$$

and observe that (6) implies that

$$(7) 1 \le p \le \frac{N+2}{N+1}.$$

We next assume that

(8) 
$$\begin{cases} u_0 \text{ and } v_0 \text{ are nonnegative functions in } L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ with} \\ \int |x|^{((N+2)-p(N+1))/p} u_0(x) dx < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Our result then reads as follows.

**Theorem 1.** Assume that p, q,  $u_0$  and  $v_0$  fulfil the conditions (6) and (8), and let (u, v) be a nonnegative solution to (1)–(2), that is, u and v are nonnegative functions satisfying

$$u \in \mathcal{C}([0, +\infty); L^1(\mathbb{R}^N))$$
 with  $\nabla u \in L^p((0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $v \in \mathcal{C}([0, +\infty); L^1(\mathbb{R}^N))$  with  $\nabla v \in L^q((0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ ,

and u and v are mild solutions to the first and the second equation of (1), respectively, with  $(u, v)(0) = (u_0, v_0)$ . Then

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} t^{((N+2)-p(N+1))/(2p)} \|u(t)\|_{L^1} = \lim_{t \to +\infty} \|v(t)\|_{L^1} = 0,$$

and thus

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty}\|u(t)+v(t)\|_{L^1}=0.$$

Let us stress here that only the case  $q=q_p$  is new in Theorem 1. However our proof works for the whole range of parameters (p,q) given by (6) and differs from the one used in [1] to handle the case  $q< q_p$ . We will thus give it in the general case described by (6). As in [1], the first step towards the proof of Theorem 1 is the following properties enjoyed by (u,v) which follow at once from (1) and the nonnegativity of u and v:

(9)  $t \mapsto \|u(t)\|_{L^1}$  and  $t \mapsto \|v(t)\|_{L^1}$  are nonincreasing functions on  $[0, +\infty)$ ,

(10) 
$$\int_0^\infty \int \left( |\nabla u(t,x)|^p + |\nabla v(t,x)|^q \right) dxdt < \infty,$$

and

(11) 
$$u(t,x) \le \left(e^{t\Delta}u_0\right)(x) \text{ and } v(t,x) \le \left(e^{t\Delta}v_0\right)(x)$$

for  $(t, x) \in [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N$ , where  $(e^{t\Delta})_{t\geq 0}$  denotes the linear heat semigroup in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . The second step, which is the main contribution of this work, is to deduce that

(12) 
$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} t^{((N+2)-p(N+1))/(2p)} \|u(t)\|_{L^1} = 0$$

by a careful use of (1) and (10). Notice that, for p < (N+2)/(N+1), (12) improves [1, Lemma 2] where the weaker bound

$$\sup_{t>0} t^{((N+2)-p(N+1))/(2p)-\varepsilon} \|u(t)\|_{L^1} < \infty$$

is proved for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The estimate (12) is actually the cornerstone of the proof of Theorem 1. Combining (10) and (12) then leads us to the expected result.

REMARK 2. Since  $0 \le (N+2) - p(N+1) \le p$  by (7) (with equality only if p = 1) the additional integrability property in (8) on  $u_0$  is weaker than the one required in [1].

Let us finally mention that we do not consider here the question of the existence of nonnegative solutions to (1)–(2) and refer to [2] for results in that direction. Moreover, the techniques developed in [5, 6] could possibly give further results.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

From now on, we fix p, q,  $u_0$  and  $v_0$  fulfilling the conditions (6) and (8) and let (u, v) be a nonnegative solution to (1)–(2). We recall that (1)–(2) and the nonnegativity of u and v yield that

(1) 
$$\int_0^\infty \int \left( |\nabla u(t,x)|^p + |\nabla v(t,x)|^q \right) dx dt \le ||u_0||_{L^1} + ||v_0||_{L^1}$$

after integration of (1) over  $(0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N$ . We then put

(2) 
$$\omega(t) = \left(\int_{t/2}^{\infty} \int |\nabla u(s, x)|^p dx ds\right)^{1/p}$$

for  $t \ge 0$  and notice that  $\omega \in \mathcal{C}([0, +\infty))$  is a nonincreasing function which satisfies

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \omega(t) = 0,$$

thanks to (1). Observe that we may assume that  $\omega(t) > 0$  for each  $t \geq 0$ . Indeed, if  $\omega(t_0) = 0$  for some  $t_0 \geq 0$ , we realize that  $\nabla u(t) \equiv 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$ , whence  $u(t) \equiv 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$  by the integrability of u(t). By (1), this also implies that  $\nabla v(t) \equiv 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$  and thus  $v(t) \equiv 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$ . Theorem 1 is then obvious in that case.

We now state some preliminary estimates which will be used throughout the paper. We first recall a Morrey-type inequality established in [4, Eq. (2.1)].

**Lemma 3** ([4]). If  $w \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and R > 0, there holds

(4) 
$$||w||_{L^1} \le 2R \int_{\{|x| \le 3R\}} |\nabla w(x)| \ dx + 2 \int_{\{|x| > R\}} |w(x)| \ dx.$$

Next, since both u and v are subsolutions to the linear heat equation, a control of u(t) and v(t) for large values of x and t is available and is a consequence of [4, Lemma 2.1].

**Lemma 4** ([4]). If  $r \in \mathcal{C}([0, +\infty))$  is a nonnegative function such that

(5) 
$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} r(t) \ t^{-1/2} = +\infty,$$

then

(6) 
$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \int_{\{|x| > r(t)\}} \left( u(t, x) + v(t, x) \right) dx = 0.$$

We finally adapt a technique from the proof of [3, Proposition 14] to obtain another estimate on u(t) for large values of x. We fix a function  $\varrho \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  satisfying  $0 \le \varrho \le 1$  with

$$\rho(x) = 0$$
 if  $|x| \le 1$  and  $\rho(x) = 1$  if  $|x| \ge 2$ .

For R > 0 and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  we put  $\varrho_R(x) = \varrho(x/R)$ . In the following, we denote by C any positive constant depending only on N, p, q,  $u_0$ ,  $v_0$  and  $\varrho$ .

**Lemma 5.** For  $t \ge 0$  and R > 0 we have

(7) 
$$\int_{\{|x| \ge 2R\}} u(t,x) \ dx \le \int_{\{|x| \ge R\}} u_0(x) \ dx + C \ R^{(p(N-1)-N)/p} \ t^{(p-1)/p}.$$

Proof. We multiply the first equation of (1) by  $\varrho_R$  and integrate over  $(0,t)\times\mathbb{R}^N$  to obtain

$$\int \varrho_R(x) \ u(t,x) \ dx \leq \int \varrho_R(x) \ u_0(x) \ dx + \frac{1}{R} \int_0^t \int \nabla \varrho \left(\frac{x}{R}\right) . \nabla u(s,x) \ dx ds.$$

Owing to the properties of  $\varrho$ , we infer from the Hölder inequality that

$$\int_{\{|x|\geq 2R\}} u(t,x) \ dx \leq \int_{\{|x|\geq R\}} u_0(x) \ dx + C \ R^{N(1-1/p)-1} \ \int_0^t \|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^p} \ ds$$

$$\leq \int_{\{|x|\geq R\}} u_0(x) \ dx + C \ R^{(p(N-1)-N)/p} \ t^{(p-1)/p} \left( \int_0^t \|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^p}^p \ ds \right)^{1/p},$$

from which (7) follows, thanks to (1).

REMARK 6. Observe that, if we take R = r(t)/2 in (7) with r as in Lemma 4, (7) yields a stronger decay estimate than (6) if p < (N+2)/(N+1).

We next prove the temporal decay estimate for  $||u(t)||_{L^1}$  claimed in the Introduction.

**Proposition 7.** There exists  $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}([0, +\infty))$ , positive and nonincreasing, such that

(8) 
$$t^{\alpha} \|u(t)\|_{L^{1}} \leq \sigma(t) \text{ for } t > 0 \text{ with } \lim_{t \to +\infty} \sigma(t) = 0,$$

where  $\alpha := ((N+2) - p(N+1))/(2p) \ge 0$ .

Proof. Consider t > 0, R > 0 and  $s \in [t/2, t]$ . On the one hand, we infer from (8) that

$$\int_{\{|x|>R\}} u_0(x) \ dx \le R^{-2\alpha} \ \int_{\{|x|>R\}} |x|^{2\alpha} \ u_0(x) \ dx.$$

Inserting this estimate in (7) yields

$$\int_{\{|x|>2R\}} u(s,x) \ dx \le C \ R^{-2\alpha} \ \left(I_R + \left(s \ R^{-2}\right)^{(p-1)/p}\right),$$

where

$$I_R := \int_{\{|x| > R\}} |x|^{2\alpha} \ u_0(x) \ dx.$$

After integrating this inequality with respect to s over (t/2, t), we obtain

(9) 
$$\int_{t/2}^{t} \int_{\{|x| \ge 2R\}} u(s,x) \ dxds \le C \ R^{-2\alpha} \ t \ \left(I_R + \left(t \ R^{-2}\right)^{(p-1)/p}\right).$$

On the other hand, it follows from the Hölder inequality and (2) that

$$\int_{t/2}^{t} \int_{\{|x| \le 6R\}} |\nabla u(s,x)| \ dxds$$

$$\leq C \left( t \ R^{N} \right)^{(p-1)/p} \left( \int_{t/2}^{t} \|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^{p}}^{p} \ ds \right)^{1/p}$$

$$\leq C \left( t \ R^{N} \right)^{(p-1)/p} \ \omega(t).$$

Combining (9), (10) and Lemma 3, we end up with

$$\int_{t/2}^{t} \|u(s)\|_{L^{1}} ds \leq C R^{-2\alpha} t \left(I_{R} + \left(t R^{-2}\right)^{(p-1)/p} + \omega(t) \left(t R^{-2}\right)^{-1/p}\right).$$

We take  $R = R(t) := t^{1/2} \omega(t)^{-1/2}$  in the previous inequality to conclude that

$$\frac{2}{t} \int_{t/2}^t \|u(s)\|_{L^1} ds \leq C \left(\frac{\omega(t)}{t}\right)^{\alpha} \left(I_{R(t)} + \omega(t)^{(p-1)/p}\right).$$

Owing to (9), the left-hand side of the above inequality is bounded from below by  $||u(t)||_{L^1}$  and we finally obtain that

$$||u(t)||_{L^1} < t^{-\alpha} \sigma(t)$$

where

$$\sigma(t) := C \ \omega(t)^{\alpha} \ \left( I_{R(t)} + \omega(t)^{(p-1)/p} \right)$$
.

Now the monotonicity of  $\omega$  and (3) warrant that R(t) increases to  $+\infty$  as  $t \to +\infty$  which implies that  $t \mapsto I_{R(t)}$  is a nonincreasing function which converges to zero as  $t \to +\infty$  by (8). Using once more the monotonicity of  $\omega$ , (3) and (7) as well, it is straightforward to conclude that  $\sigma$  is a nonincreasing function which converges to zero as  $t \to +\infty$ , whence (8).

We are now in a position to complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Consider t > 0, R > 0 and  $s \in (t/2, t)$ . By Lemma 3 and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$||v(s)||_{L^{1}} \leq 2R \int_{\{|x|\leq 3R\}} |\nabla v(s,x)| \ dx + 2 \int_{\{|x|>R\}} |v(s,x)| \ dx$$
  
$$||v(s)||_{L^{1}} \leq C R^{(q(N+1)-N)/q} ||\nabla v(s)||_{L^{q}} + 2 \int_{\{|x|>R\}} |v(s,x)| \ dx.$$

We integrate the previous inequality with respect to s over (t/2, t) and use again the Hölder inequality to obtain

$$\int_{t/2}^{t} \|v(s)\|_{L^{1}} ds \leq C R^{(q(N+1)-N)/q} t^{(q-1)/q} \left( \int_{t/2}^{t} \|\nabla v(s)\|_{L^{q}}^{q} ds \right)^{1/q}$$

+ 2 
$$\int_{t/2}^{t} \int_{\{|x|>R\}} |v(s,x)| dxds$$
.

On the one hand, the monotonicity (9) of  $s \mapsto ||v(s)||_{L^1}$  entails that

$$||v(t)||_{L^1} \le \frac{2}{t} \int_{t/2}^t ||v(s)||_{L^1} ds.$$

On the other hand, integrating the first equation of (1) over  $(t/2, t) \times \mathbb{R}^N$  yields

$$\int_{t/2}^{t} \|\nabla v(s)\|_{L^{q}}^{q} ds \leq \left\| u\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \right\|_{L^{1}}.$$

Combining the previous three inequalities and (8), we end up with

(11) 
$$||v(t)||_{L^{1}} \leq C R^{(q(N+1)-N)/q} t^{-(1+\alpha)/q} \sigma(t)^{1/q} + \frac{C}{t} \int_{t/2}^{t} \int_{\{|x|>R\}} |v(s,x)| dxds,$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\sigma$  are defined in Proposition 7.

Finally, since  $q \ge 1$ , let  $\delta$  be a positive real number such that

$$0 < \delta < \frac{1}{q(N+1) - N}$$

and take  $R = R(t) := t^{1/2} \sigma(t)^{-\delta}$  in (11). Owing to the monotonicity of  $\sigma$ ,  $s \mapsto R(s)$  is an nondecreasing function and we deduce from (11) that

(12) 
$$||v(t)||_{L^{1}} \leq C t^{(N+1)(q-q_{p})/(2q)} \sigma(t)^{(1-\delta(q(N+1)-N))/q} + \frac{C}{t} \int_{t/2}^{t} \int_{\{|x|>R(s)\}} |v(s,x)| dxds.$$

Now, by (6) and Proposition 7, we have

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} t^{(N+1)(q-q_p)/(2q)} \sigma(t)^{(1-\delta(q(N+1)-N))/q} = 0.$$

Consequently, since R(s)  $s^{-1/2} \to +\infty$  as  $s \to +\infty$ , we have

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{C}{t} \int_{t/2}^{t} \int_{\{|x| > R(s)\}} |v(s, x)| dxds = 0$$

by Lemma 4. We may then let  $t \to +\infty$  in (12) and conclude that

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \|v(t)\|_{L^1} = 0.$$

Theorem 1 follows at once from this last assertion and Proposition 7. 

Acknowledgements. I thank Saïd Benachour for helpful remarks on this paper.

## References

- [1] L. Amour and T. Raoux: L<sup>1</sup> decay properties for a semilinear parabolic system, Israel J. Math. **123** (2001), 157–177.
- [2] L. Amour and T. Raoux: The Cauchy problem for a coupled semilinear parabolic system, preprint (2000).
- [3] S. Benachour, Ph. Laurençot, D. Schmitt and Ph. Souplet: Extinction and non-extinction for viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equations in R<sup>N</sup>, Asymptot. Anal. 31 (2002), 229–246.
- [4] M. Ben-Artzi and H. Koch: *Decay of mass for a semilinear parabolic equation*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **24** (1999), 869–881.
- [5] M. Ben-Artzi, Ph. Souplet and F.B. Weissler: The local theory for viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equations in Lebesgue spaces, J. Math. Pures Appl. 81 (2002), 343–378.
- [6] N. Boudiba: Existence globale pour des systèmes de réaction-diffusion avec contrôle de masse, Thèse de l'Université de Rennes I, 1999.

Mathématiques pour l'Industrie et la Physique CNRS UMR 5640 Université Paul Sabatier – Toulouse 3 118 route de Narbonne F-31062 Toulouse cedex 4, France e-mail: laurenco@mip.ups-tlse.fr