THE 2-DIMENSIONAL CALABI FLOW

SHU-CHENG CHANG

Abstract. In this paper, based on a Harnack-type estimate and a local Sobolev constant bounded for the Calabi flow on closed surfaces, we extend author's previous results and show the long-time existence and convergence of solutions of 2-dimensional Calabi flow on closed surfaces. Then we establish the uniformization theorem for closed surfaces.

§1. Introduction

Let (Σ, g_0) be a closed Riemann surface with a given conformal class $[g_0]$. In author's previous paper [Ch2], we consider the so-called Calabi flow on $(\Sigma, [g_0])$:

(1.1)
$$\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial t} = (\Delta R)g_{ij}, \quad g_{ij} \in [g_0].$$

If $g = e^{2\lambda}g_0$, for a smooth function

$$\lambda: \Sigma \times [0, \infty) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R},$$

then the equations (1.1) reduce to the following initial value problem of fourth order parabolic equation on $(\Sigma, [g_0])$

(1.2)
$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \triangle R\\ \lambda(p,0) = \lambda_0(p)\\ g = e^{2\lambda} g_0\\ \int_{\Sigma} e^{2\lambda_0} d\mu_0 = \int_{\Sigma} d\mu_0, \end{cases}$$

Received June 11, 2001.

Revised February 23, 2005, July 15, 2005.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 53C21; Secondary 58G03. Research supported in part by NSC.

where $\Delta = \Delta_g$, $\Delta_0 = \Delta_{g_0}$, R is the scalar curvature with respect to the metric g, R_0 is the scalar curvature with respect to the metric g_0 , $d\mu_0$ is the volume element of g_0 and $d\mu$ is the volume element of g.

For the background metric g_0 with constant Gaussian curvature, P. T. Chruściel proved that the following result ([Chru]).

PROPOSITION 1.1. Let (Σ, g_0) be a Riemann surface with constant Gaussian curvature. For any given smooth initial value λ_0 , there exists a smooth solution $\lambda(t)$ of (1.2) on $\Sigma \times [0, \infty)$. Furthermore, the metric converges to a constant curvature metric.

Remark 1.1. Since there always exists a constant Gaussian curvature metric, due to the uniformization theorem in a Riemann surface, Chruściel's proof appears to be satisfactory for most purposes. However motivated by many reasons such as the study of higher-dimensional Calabi flow, it is desirable to remove this assumption. We refer to the author's review paper [Ch4] for more details. Moreover, X. X. Chen ([Chen]) has provided a new proof of Chruściel's result from such a motivation (from a viewpoint which is quite different from ours). But he still needed to assume the uniformization theorem.

Later, we proved the long-time existence and asymptotic convergence of solutions of (1.2) on $\Sigma \times [0, \infty)$ for (Σ, g_0) with $h = \text{genus}(\Sigma) \geq 2$. Namely, we obtained the next result.

PROPOSITION 1.2. ([Ch2]) Let (Σ, g_0) be a closed surface of genus $h \geq 2$ with any arbitrary background metric g_0 . For any given smooth initial value λ_0 , there exists a smooth solution $\lambda(t)$ of (1.2) on $\Sigma \times [0, \infty)$. Furthermore, there exists a subsequence of solution, say $\lambda(t_j)$, such that $g = e^{2\lambda(t_j)}g_0$ converges to the constant negative curvature metric g_∞ as $t_j \to \infty$.

In this paper, we will extend Proposition 1.2 to more general cases. Our main results are the following two theorems.

THEOREM 1.3. Let (Σ, g_0) be a closed Riemann surface of genus h = 1. For any given smooth initial value λ_0 , there exists a smooth solution $\lambda(t)$ of (1.2) on $\Sigma \times [0, \infty)$. Furthermore, there exists a subsequence of solution $\lambda(t_j)$ such that $g = e^{2\lambda(t_j)}g_0$ converges to a zero scalar curvature g_∞ as $t_j \to \infty$.

For a closed Riemann surface of genus h = 0, there exists a metric g_1 with $R_1 > 0$. Moreover, from [KW, Lemma 6.1], it follows that there is a metric g_0 which is conformal equivalent to g_1 (i.e. g_0 is pointwise conformal to $\varphi^* g_1$ for some diffeomorphism φ) with $R_0 \ge 0$, $R_0 \ne 0$ and $R_0 = 0$ in a ball $B(p_0, \rho_0)$. Now we consider the Calabi flow on such a surface $(\Sigma, [g_0])$.

THEOREM 1.4. Let (Σ, g_0) be a closed Riemann surface of genus h = 0with $R_0 \ge 0$, $R_0 \ne 0$ and $R_0 = 0$ in a ball $B(p_0, \rho_0)$. For any given smooth initial value λ_0 , there exists a smooth solution $\lambda(t)$ of (1.2) on $\Sigma \times [0, \infty)$. Furthermore, there exists a subsequence of solutions which converges to a positive constant scalar curvature metric as $t_i \rightarrow \infty$.

In view of Proposition 2.2 below, we reduce the proof of our main Theorems to finding a uniformly lower bound of $\lambda(t)$ as in Section 2.

Remark 1.2. Recently, we showed the global existence and convergence of solutions of the Calabi flow on Einstein 4-manifolds which is an extention of Proposition 1.1 to 4-dimensional manifolds ([Ch3]).

Acknowledgements. The author would like to express his thanks to Prof. S.-T. Yau for constant encouragement, Prof. B. Chow and the referee for valuable comments.

$\S 2.$ A uniformly lower bound

For $g = e^{2\lambda}g_0$, $R_0 = R_{g_0}$, we have the following formulae for the quantities appearing in (1.2) and related ones:

(2.1)
$$R = R_g = e^{-2\lambda} (R_0 - 2\Delta_0 \lambda),$$

(2.2)
$$\Delta R = e^{-2\lambda} \Delta_0 R$$
, where $\Delta_0 = \Delta_{g_0}, \Delta = \Delta_g$,

(2.3)
$$d\mu = e^{2\lambda} d\mu_0$$
, where $d\mu_0 = d\mu_{g_0}, d\mu = d\mu_g$

(2.4)
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}d\mu = \triangle Rd\mu,$$

(2.5)
$$\int_{\Sigma} d\mu = \int_{\Sigma} e^{2\lambda} d\mu_0 = \int_{\Sigma} e^{2\lambda_0} d\mu_0 = \int_{\Sigma} d\mu_0$$

Remark 2.1. (2.5) implies the volume is fixed by the flow (1.2).

Then we have

LEMMA 2.1. Under the flow (1.2), we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} R^2 d\mu \le C(R_0, \lambda_0),$$

for $0 \leq T \leq \infty$.

In Chruściel's proof for Proposition 1.1, the crucial step is the so-called Bondi mass loss formula, i.e.

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} e^{3\lambda} d\mu_0 \le 0$$

if the background metric g_0 has constant Gaussian curvature. Then, by using elliptic estimate and Moser inequality, he got a C^0 -estimate. In general, this method does not work for any arbitrary background metric g_0 .

Here we generalize Bondi mass loss formula to the case of surfaces (Σ, g_0) with any arbitrary background metric g_0 . In our situation, Chruściel's method can not be applied directly. The main difficulty for any arbitrary background metric g_0 , the Bondi mass may not decay. Instead we follow the following alternative approach. First we get a kind of Harnack estimate ([Ch2]) on the Bondi mass $\int_{\Sigma} e^{3\lambda} d\mu_0$

(2.6)
$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} e^{3\lambda} d\mu_0 \leq C_1 + C_2 \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\lambda} d\mu_0 \\ - C_3 \int e^{\lambda} \Big[2 |\nabla^2 e^{-\lambda}|^2 - (\Delta_0 e^{-\lambda})^2 \Big] d\mu_0.$$

Then, from (2.6), one can show the next theorem.

PROPOSITION 2.2. ([Ch2]) Let (Σ, g_0) be a closed Riemann surface with any arbitrary background metric g_0 . For any given smooth initial value λ_0 , if

$$(2.7) \qquad \qquad \lambda(t) \ge -H$$

for the positive constant H which is independent of t, then there exists a smooth solution $\lambda(t)$ of (1.2) on $\Sigma \times [0, \infty)$. Furthermore, there exists a subsequence of solution, say $\lambda(t_j)$, such that $g = e^{2\lambda(t_j)}g_0$ converges to one of the constant curvature metric g_{∞} as $t_j \to \infty$.

Remark 2.2. The similar results hold for the 3-dimensional Calabi flow. We refer to [CW] for details.

Hence, in view of Proposition 2.2, in order to show the main Theorems, all we need is to find a uniformly lower bound on λ . In the sequel, we will follow the notion as in [Ch2].

DEFINITION 2.1. We say that $\lambda(t)$ satisfies the property (*) if there is a point $x \in \Sigma$ and positive constants ρ , ε , C such that, for $g = e^{2\lambda}g_0$ the inequality

(*)
$$\int_{B(x,\rho)} e^{-\varepsilon\lambda(t)} d\mu_0 \le C$$

holds.

LEMMA 2.3. ([Ch2]) For a fixed conformal class $(\Sigma, e^{2\lambda}g_0)$ where volume and $\int_{\Sigma} R^2 d\mu$ are bounded and such that λ satisfies the property (*). Then there are positive constants C_0 and δ_0 such that

(2.8)
$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{-\delta_0 \lambda} d\mu_0 \le C'_0.$$

As a consequence, there is a constant C_0 such that

$$(2.9) \qquad \qquad \lambda \ge -C_0.$$

In fact, for $h \ge 2$, one can show easily that

LEMMA 2.4. ([Ch2]) For a fixed conformal class $(\Sigma, e^{2\lambda}g_0)$ where volume and $\int_{\Sigma} R^2 d\mu$ are bounded and such that genus $h \ge 2$. Then λ satisfies (2.8) and then has a uniformly lower bound.

Remark 2.3. In the case where h = 1 and h = 0, first we will show that λ satisfies (*) and then we can show (2.9) using the Lemma 2.3.

For h = 1, we have the next lemma,

LEMMA 2.5. For a fixed conformal class $(\Sigma, e^{2\lambda}g_0)$ where volume and $\int_{\Sigma} R^2 d\mu$ are bounded and such that genus h = 1. Then λ satisfies (*).

S.-C. CHANG

Proof. Given $x \in \Sigma$, define the mass of x by

$$m(x) = \text{mass of } x = \lim_{\rho \to 0} \limsup_{t \to T} \int_{B(x,\rho)} e^{2\lambda} d\mu_0$$

and put

$$E(x) = \lim_{\rho \to 0} \limsup_{t \to T} \int_{B(x,\rho)} R^2 d\mu.$$

Remark 2.4. A point $x \in \Sigma$ will have large mass m(x) if e^{λ} concentrates at x. On the other hand, if m(x) is small enough, e^{λ} will be bounded in a small neighborhood of x.

Following [G, Proposition 2.1] or [Chen], for $g \in [g_0]$ we put $g = e^{2\lambda}g_0$. If $\int d\mu \leq V$ and $\int_{\Sigma} R^2 d\mu \leq \beta^2$, for some positive constants V, β , then for a given $x \in \Sigma$, either one of the following holds:

$$m(x) = 0$$

or

$$m(x) \ge \frac{4\pi}{E(x)} \ge \frac{4\pi}{\beta^2}.$$

Hence one has either ([CW]) (i)

(2.10)
$$\max_{\Sigma} \lambda \leq C \Big(\int d\mu, \int R^2 d\mu \Big),$$

or that

(ii) there is a nonempty finite set $S = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$ and a subsequence $\{t_j\}$ such that, given a compact set $K \subset \subset \widetilde{\Sigma} = \Sigma - S$,

(2.11)
$$\max_{K} \lambda \leq C\Big(K, \int d\mu, \int R^2 d\mu\Big).$$

Moreover, $w = \lim_{t_j \to T} \lambda$ is defined on $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ and the inequality

(2.12)
$$w \le C\left(\int d\mu, \int R^2 d\mu\right)$$

holds.

Now with respect to g_0 , from [C] and [Ch1, Lemma 3.2], we have the local Sobolev constant $A_0 = A_0(n)$, i.e., for $\varphi = e^{\lambda/2} f$, $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(B_{\rho})$, $\rho < i_0/2$,

(2.13)
$$\left(\int_{B_{\rho}} |\varphi|^{2l} d\mu_0\right)^{1/l} \le A_0 \left[\int_{B_{\rho}} |\overset{0}{\nabla}\varphi|^2 d\mu_0\right],$$

where i_0 is the injectivity radius with respect to g_0 and

$$l = \begin{cases} n/(n-2), & n > 2, \\ < \infty, & n = 2. \end{cases}$$

Next we are able to estimate the local Sobolev constant with respect to g in the fixed conformal class. For simplicity, we study only the case where l = 2. Now for $B_{\rho} \subset K$ where $\max_{K} \lambda \leq C(K, \int d\mu, \int R^{2} d\mu)$ and $\varphi = e^{\lambda/2} f, \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(B_{\rho}), \rho < i_{0}/2$, from (2.13) we have

$$(2.14) \qquad \left(\int_{B_{\rho}} |f|^{4} d\mu\right)^{1/2} = \left(\int_{B_{\rho}} |\varphi|^{4} e^{-2\lambda} d\mu\right)^{1/2} \\ = \left(\int_{B_{\rho}} |\varphi|^{4} d\mu_{0}\right)^{1/2} \\ \leq A_{0} \left(\int_{B_{\rho}} |\overset{0}{\nabla}\varphi|^{2} d\mu_{0}\right) \\ \leq C \int_{B_{\rho}} f^{2} |\overset{0}{\nabla}\lambda|^{2} e^{\lambda} d\mu_{0} + C \int_{B_{\rho}} |\overset{0}{\nabla}f|^{2} e^{\lambda} d\mu_{0} \\ \leq C_{7} \int_{B_{\rho}} f^{2} |\overset{0}{\nabla}\lambda|^{2} e^{\lambda} d\mu_{0} + C_{4} \int_{B_{\rho}} |\overset{0}{\nabla}f|^{2} d\mu_{0}.$$

Now in order to have the local Sobolev constant bound as in (2.18) and (2.19), we need to get a suitable estimate as in (2.17) for $\int_{B_{\rho}} f^2 |\overset{0}{\nabla} \lambda|^2 e^{\lambda} d\mu_0$ in (2.14).

In fact since $2\Delta_0\lambda = R_0 - e^{2\lambda}R$ and $B_\rho \subset K$, we have

$$\int_{B_{\rho}} (\Delta_0 \lambda)^2 d\mu_0 \le C + C \int_{B_{\rho}} R^2 d\mu \le C_5.$$

Then

$$\lambda - \overline{\lambda} \in W^{2,2}(B_{\rho/2}) \subset W^{1,p}(B_{\rho/2}),$$

for any $p < \infty$ and $\overline{\lambda} = \int_{B_{\rho}} \lambda d\mu_0 / \int_{B_{\rho}} d\mu_0$. Therefore we get

(2.15)
$$\int_{B_{\rho/2}} |\overset{0}{\nabla} \lambda|^p d\mu_0 \le C_6(p), \quad \text{for any } p < \infty.$$

Let $E_b = \{x \in B_{\rho/2} : |\nabla \lambda|(x) \ge b\}, b \gg 1$. The we get the estimate

$$(2.16) \quad \int_{B_{\rho/2}} f^2 |\nabla \lambda|^2 e^{\lambda} d\mu_0 = \int_{E_b^c} f^2 |\nabla \lambda|^2 e^{-\lambda} d\mu + \int_{E_b} f^2 |\nabla \lambda|^2 e^{-\lambda} d\mu$$
$$\leq b^2 \Big(\int_{B_{\rho/2}} f^4 d\mu \Big)^{1/2} \Big(\int_{B_{\rho/2}} d\mu_0 \Big)^{1/2} + \Big(\int_{B_{\rho/2}} f^4 d\mu \Big)^{1/2} \Big(\int_{B_{\rho/2}} |\nabla \lambda|^4 d\mu_0 \Big)^{1/2}.$$

From (2.15), we get for p > 4, that

$$C_6 \ge \int_{E_b} |\overset{0}{\nabla} \lambda|^p d\mu_0 \ge b^{p-4} \int_{E_b} |\overset{0}{\nabla} \lambda|^4 d\mu_0$$

and then

$$\int_{E_b} |\nabla^0 \lambda|^4 d\mu \le C_6 b^{4-p}.$$

From (2.16),

$$(2.17) \int_{B_{\rho/2}} f^2 |\nabla \lambda|^2 e^{2\lambda} d\mu_0 \le \left[b^2 \Big(\int_{B_{\rho/2}} d\mu_0 \Big)^{1/2} + (C_6 b^{4-p})^{1/2} \right] \Big(\int_{E_b} f^4 d\mu \Big)^{1/2}.$$

This and (2.14) imply

$$(2.18) \left(\int_{B_{\rho/2}} |f|^4 d\mu \right)^{1/2} \le C_7 \left[b^2 \left(\int_{B_{\rho/2}} d\mu_0 \right)^{1/2} + (C_6 b^{4-p})^{1/2} \right] \left(\int_{E_b} f^4 d\mu \right)^{1/2} + C_4 \int_{B_{\rho/2}} |\overset{0}{\nabla} f|^2 d\mu_0.$$

Choose b large enough (depending on p, A_0) and $\int_{B_{\rho/2}} d\mu_0$ small enough (depending on b). We can absorb the first term on the right-hand side of the above inequality into the left-hand side. On the other hand, for n = 2

and $f \in C_0^\infty(B_{\rho/2})$, we have $\int_{B_{\rho/2}} |\stackrel{0}{\nabla} f|^2 d\mu_0 = \int_{B_{\rho/2}} |\nabla f|^2 d\mu$, and hence we have

(2.19)
$$\left(\int_{B_{\rho/2}} |f|^4 d\mu\right)^{1/2} \le A'_0 \left(\int_{B_{\rho/2}} |\nabla f|^2 d\mu\right),$$

for $B_{\rho/2} \subset K$.

In general, for any $1 < l < \infty$, $\varphi = e^{\lambda/l} f$, $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(B_{\rho})$, doing the same trick as above, one can show that

(2.20)
$$\left(\int_{B_{\rho/2}} |f|^{2l} d\mu\right)^{1/l} \le A_0' \left(\int_{B_{\rho/2}} |\nabla f|^2 d\mu\right),$$

for $B_{\rho/2} \subset K$ with $\int_{B_{\rho/2}} d\mu_0$ small enough.

Next we study the inequality

$$-\Delta e^{-\lambda} = e^{-\lambda} (\Delta \lambda - |\nabla \lambda|^2) \le \frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda} (e^{-2\lambda} R_0 - R).$$

In the case where $R_0 = 0$ on Σ , we have

$$(2.21) -\Delta f \le bf$$

for $f = e^{-\lambda}$ and $b = \frac{1}{2}|R|$. But

(2.22)
$$\int f^2 d\mu \le C \quad \text{and} \quad \int b^2 d\mu \le C.$$

From (2.20), (2.21) and (2.22), we can apply Moser iteration as in [CW, Section 3]) for n = 2. It follows that, for $\int_{B_{n/2}} d\mu_0$ small enough,

$$\sup_{B_{\rho/4}} e^{-\lambda} \le C_8.$$

Hence λ satisfies (*).

In the case where $R_0 \neq 0$, we may assume R_0 is negative on some $B_{\rho} \subset K$ with small enough $\int_{B_{\rho/2}} d\mu_0$. Then the differential inequality (2.21) still holds. Again by the same method as above, we have

$$\sup_{B_{\rho/4}} e^{-\lambda} \le C_9.$$

Now we have proved that $\lambda(t)$ satisfies (*).

71

For a fixed conformal class where volume and $\int_{\Sigma} R^2 d\mu$ are bounded and such that h = 0, one has

LEMMA 2.6. For (S^2, g_0) where volume and $\int_{\Sigma} R^2 d\mu$ are bounded. If $R_0 \geq 0, R_0 \neq 0$ and $R_0 = 0$ in a ball $B(p_0, \rho_0)$, then $\lambda(t)$ does satisfy (*).

Proof. As before we have

$$-\Delta f \le bf$$

on $B(p_0, \rho_0)$ and $f = e^{-\lambda}$, $b = \frac{1}{2}|R|$. Then, based on the same arguments as in the previous lemma, we have

$$\sup_{B_{\rho}} e^{-\lambda} \le C_{10}$$

for some ball $B_{\rho} \subset B(p_0, \rho_0)$.

It follows that $\lambda(t)$ satisfies (*).

Then Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 follow easily from Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.5, Lemma 2.6 and Proposition 2.2.

References

- [C] C. B. Croke, Some isoperimetric inequalities and eigenvalue estimates, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Super., 13 (1980), 419–435.
- [Ca1] E. Calabi, Extremal Kähler metrics, Seminars on Differential Geometry (S. T. Yau, ed.), Princeton Univ. Press and Univ. of Tokyo Press, Princeton, New York (1982), pp. 259–290.
- [Ca2] E. Calabi, Extremal Kähler metrics II, Differential Geometry and Complex Analysis (I. Chavel and H. M. Farkas, eds.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York-Tokyo (1985), pp. 95–114.
- [Ch1] S.-C. Chang, Critical Riemannian 4-manifolds, Math. Z., **214** (1993), 601–625.
- [Ch2] S.-C. Chang, Global existence and convergence of solutions of Calabi flow on surfaces of genus $h \ge 2$, J. of Mathematics of Kyoto University, **40** (2000), no. 2, 363–377.
- [Ch3] S.-C. Chang, Global existence and convergence of solutions of the Calabi flow on Einstein 4-manifolds, Nagoya Math. J., 163 (2001), 193–214.
- [Ch4] S.-C. Chang, Recent developments on the Calabi flow, Contemporary Mathematics, 367 (2005), 17–42.
- [Chen] X. X. Chen, Calabi flow in Riemann surfaces revisited: a new point of view, IMRN (2001), no. 6, 275–297.

Π

THE CALABI FLOW

- [Chru] P. T. Chruściel, Semi-global existence and convergence of solutions of the Robinson-Trautman (2-dimensional Calabi) equation, Commun. Math. Phys., 137 (1991), 289–313.
- [CW] S.-C. Chang and J.-T. Wu, On the existence of extremal metrics for L²-norm of scalar caurvature on closed 3-manifolds, J. of Mathematics of Kyoto University, 39 (1999), no. 3, 435–454.
- [G] M. J. Gursky, Compactness of conformal metrics with integral bounds on curvature, Duke Math. J., 72 (1993), no. 2, 339–367.
- [GT] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, Elliptic partial differential equation of second order, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [KW] J. L. Kazdan and F. W. Warner, Existence and conformal deformation of metrics with prescribed Gaussian and scalar curvatures, Ann. of Math., 101 (2) (1975), 317–331.

Department of Mathematics National Tsing Hua University Hsinchu Taiwan 30043 R.O.C. scchang@math.nthu.edu.tw