

# FINITE DIMENSIONAL APPROXIMATION TO BAND LIMITED WHITE NOISE

TAKEYUKI HIDA and HISAO NOMOTO

To Professor KIYOSHI NOSHIRO on the occasion of his 60th birthday

**1. Introduction.** One of the authors discussed finite dimensional approximations to a white noise and a periodic Brownian motion with period  $2\pi$  on the projective limit space of spheres ([2]). The group of unitary operators derived from the periodic white noise has a *pure point spectrum* which consists of all integers with countably infinite multiplicity. We also have much interest in the investigation of a *band limited white noise* which is another typical example having quite different spectral type. Indeed, the corresponding group of unitary operators has a *continuous spectrum* with countably infinite multiplicity.

A band limited white noise to the band from 0 to  $W$  is, as is well known, a Gaussian stationary stochastic process  $X_W(t, \omega)$ ,  $-\infty < t < \infty$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega(P)$ , which has the following spectral representation:

$$(1) \quad X_W(t) = \int_{-\pi W}^{\pi W} e^{it\lambda} dZ(\lambda),$$

where  $dZ(\lambda)$  is a complex Gaussian random measure defined on  $\mathcal{B}([-\pi W, \pi W])$ , the smallest Borel field generated by all open subsets of  $[-\pi W, \pi W]$ , satisfying

$$(2) \quad EZ(\mathcal{A}) = 0, \quad E|Z(\mathcal{A})|^2 = |\mathcal{A}| \quad (\text{the Lebesgue measure of } \mathcal{A})$$

and

$$Z(-\mathcal{A}) = \overline{Z(\mathcal{A})}, \quad \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{B}([-\pi W, \pi W]).$$

The covariance function of  $X_W(t)$  is given by the formula

$$(3) \quad r(h) = E(X_W(t+h)\overline{X_W(t)}) = \frac{2}{|h|} \sin \pi |h| W.$$

For simplicity we always assume that  $W = 1$  throughout this note.

In order to obtain a finite dimensional approximation to the process  $X_W(t)$ ,

---

Received July 11, 1966.

we shall begin with the construction of a random measure  $Z^{(n)}(\lambda)$  which approximates  $dZ(\lambda)$  appeared in the expression (1). Our method is quite similar to what was used in the course of approximation to the periodic white noise (cf. [2, § 3]).

Having got the Fourier transform of  $Z^{(n)}(\lambda)$

$$X^{(n)}(t) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{it\lambda} Z^{(n)}(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad -\infty < t < \infty,$$

we shall show that the stochastic process  $X^{(n)}(t)$  approaches to a band limited white noise required to be approximated in the sense to be prescribed as follows: The process  $X^{(n)}(t)$  determines a probability measure  $\mu_n$  on the space of all continuous functions on  $R^1$  with compact uniform topology. Appealing to Prokhorov's theorem [3], we shall prove that there exists a probability measure  $\mu$  which is the weak limit of  $\mu_n$ . This measure  $\mu$  will turn out to be the same measure as the one derived from a band limited white noise to the band from 0 to 1.

## 2. The complex white noise with circular parameter

We shall first list some results obtained in [1] and [2] which will be needed for our present purpose.

Let  $S^n$  be the  $n$ -dimensional sphere with radius  $\sqrt{n+1}$  and let  $x^{(n+1)} = (x_1^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_{n+1}^{(n+1)})$  be a point of  $S^n$ . Then  $x^{(n+1)}$  can be expressed in the form

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^{(n+1)} &= \sqrt{n+1} \prod_{i=1}^n \sin \theta_i, \\ x_k^{(n+1)} &= \sqrt{n+1} \cos \theta_{k-1} \prod_{i=k}^n \sin \theta_i, \quad 2 \leq k \leq n, \\ x_{n+1}^{(n+1)} &= \sqrt{n+1} \cos \theta_n, \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 \leq \theta_1 < 2\pi$ ,  $0 \leq \theta_i \leq \pi$ ,  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ . Let  $\Omega_n$  be a subset of  $S^n$  defined by

$$\Omega_n = \{x^{(n+1)}; x^{(n+1)} \in S^n, 0 < \theta_i < \pi, i \geq 2\}$$

and let  $P_n$  be the restriction to  $\mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{B}(\Omega_n)$  of the uniform probability measure over  $S^n$ . Then we obtain a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$  as the projective limit of measure spaces  $(\Omega_{2n}, \mathcal{B}_{2n}, P_{2n})$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  (see [1]).

Now we can introduce a flow  $\{T_\lambda^{(2^n)}; \lambda \text{ real}\}$  on  $(\Omega_{2n}, \mathcal{B}_{2n}, P_{2n})$  defined by

$$(4) \quad T_\lambda^{(2n)}(x^{(2n+1)}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & A_1(\lambda) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & A_n(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} x^{(2n+1)}, \quad x^{(2n+1)} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{(2n+1)} \\ \vdots \\ x_{2n+1}^{(2n+1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A_k(\lambda)$ 's are given by

$$A_k(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos k\lambda & -\sin k\lambda \\ \sin k\lambda & \cos k\lambda \end{bmatrix}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Since the flows  $\{T_\lambda^{(2n)}\}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , form a system of consistent flows, we can uniquely determine a flow  $\{T_\lambda; \lambda \text{ real}\}$  (see [2]). The flow  $\{T_\lambda\}$  is obviously a periodic flow with period  $2\pi$ .

We are now in a position to define a finite dimensional approximation  $Z^{(2n)}(\lambda, x^{(2n+1)})$  to the complex white noise  $dZ(\lambda, x)$ . Let us define unitary groups  $\{U_\lambda; \lambda \text{ real}\}$  and  $\{U_\lambda^{(2n)}; \lambda \text{ real}\}$  by

$$(5) \quad U_\lambda f(x) = f(T_\lambda x), \quad \text{for } f \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P), \quad -\infty < \lambda < \infty,$$

and

$$(5') \quad U_\lambda^{(2n)} f(x^{(2n+1)}) = f(T_\lambda^{(2n+1)} x^{(2n+1)}), \quad \text{for } f \in L^2(\Omega_{2n}, \mathcal{B}_{2n}, P_{2n}), \quad -\infty < \lambda < \infty,$$

respectively. Then it can be proved that  $U_\lambda$  and  $U_\lambda^{(2n)}$  are strongly continuous in  $\lambda$ ,  $\lambda$  real, and that both of them are periodic:

$$U_{\lambda+2\pi} = U_\lambda, \quad U_{\lambda+2\pi}^{(2n)} = U_\lambda^{(2n)}.$$

Since  $T_\lambda^{(2n)} x^{(2n+1)}$  together with  $x^{(2n+1)}$  may be regarded as  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional vectors, we may consider scalar products such as  $(x^{(2n+1)}, a)$ ,  $(T_\lambda^{(2n)} x^{(2n+1)}, b)$ , etc., where  $a$  and  $b$  are  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional vectors. Now let us take a particular  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional vector  $a$  such as

$$a = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi}, \frac{1}{\pi}, 0, \frac{1}{\pi}, 0, \dots, \frac{1}{\pi}, 0 \right).$$

A functional  $f_a(x^{(2n+1)})$  defined by

$$f_a(x^{(2n+1)}) = \frac{1+i}{2} (x^{(2n+1)}, a)$$

belongs to  $L^2(\Omega_{2n}, \mathcal{B}_{2n}, P_{2n})$ . We can therefore apply  $U_\lambda^{(2n)}$  to  $f_a$ . Define  $Z^{(2n)}(\lambda)$  by

$$(6) \quad Z^{(2n)}(\lambda) = U_\lambda f_a(x^{(2n+1)}) + U_{-\lambda} \bar{f}_a(x^{(2n+1)})$$

Then we have the following simple expression

$$(6') \quad \begin{aligned} Z^{(2n)}(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} x_1^{(2n+1)} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\cos k\lambda}{\pi} x_{2k}^{(2n+1)} - i \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\sin k\lambda}{\pi} x_{2k+1}^{(2n+1)} \\ &= Z_1^{(2n)}(\lambda) - iZ_2^{(2n)}(\lambda), \quad Z_1^{(2n)}(\lambda), Z_2^{(2n)}(\lambda) \text{ real.} \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $Z^{(2n)}(\lambda)$  and  $Z_i^{(2n)}(\lambda)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , can be regarded as random variables not only on  $(\Omega_{2n}, \mathcal{B}_{2n}, P_{2n})$  but also on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$ .

PROPOSITION 1. *i) For any  $f \in L^2([-\pi, \pi])$*

$$Z_i^{(2n)}(f) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} Z_i^{(2n)}(\lambda) f(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

*belong to real  $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$ , and they converge to Gaussian random variables which we denote by  $Z_i(f)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , in  $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$ .*

*ii) For almost all  $x \in \Omega$ , both  $Z_1(\varphi, x)$  and  $Z_2(\varphi, x)$ ,  $\varphi \in (\mathcal{D})_{[-\pi, \pi]}$ , are continuous linear functionals on  $(\mathcal{D})_{[-\pi, \pi]}$ .*

This proposition can be proved in a similar way to the discussions in [2, § 3] and the proof is omitted.

Define  $Z^{(2n)}(\mathcal{A}) = \int_{\mathcal{A}} Z^{(2n)}(\lambda) d\lambda$ , then

$$(7) \quad EZ^{(2n)}(\mathcal{A}) = 0, \quad E(Z^{(2n)}(\mathcal{A}_1) \overline{Z^{(2n)}(\mathcal{A}_2)}) \rightarrow |\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2| \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

and

$$Z^{(2n)}(-\mathcal{A}) = \overline{Z^{(2n)}(\mathcal{A})}.$$

### 3. Approximation to a band limited white noise

Consider the Fourier transform of  $Z^{(2n)}(\lambda)$ ,  $-\pi \leq \lambda \leq \pi$ :

$$(8) \quad X^{(2n)}(t, x^{(2n+1)}) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{it\lambda} Z^{(2n)}(\lambda, x^{(2n+1)}) d\lambda, \quad -\infty < t < \infty.$$

Since the relation (7) holds,  $\{X^{(2n)}(t); t \text{ real}\}$  is a real valued second order stochastic process defined on  $(\Omega_{2n}, \mathcal{B}_{2n}, P_{2n})$  (hence, on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$ ).

PROPOSITION 2. *For any  $t$ ,  $X^{(2n)}(t)$  approaches to a random variable  $\tilde{X}(t)$  of a band limited white noise in the sense of both mean square in  $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$  and almost sure ( $P$ ) convergence.*

*Proof.* As was proved in [1], we can show that

$$(9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_k^{(2n+1)} = \zeta_k, \quad y_k^{(2n+1)} = x_{k-n-1}^{(2n+1)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

exists almost surely. The collection  $\{\zeta_k\}$  forms a system of independent Gaussian random variables with mean 0 and variance 1. Since  $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\sin(t+k)\pi}{t+k} \right|^2 < \infty$  for every  $t$ , we can also prove that

$$(10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X^{(2n)}(t, x^{(2n+1)}) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(t+k)\pi}{t+k} \zeta_k, \quad \text{a.e. } (P),$$

in a similar manner to [2, § 4].

We denote by  $\tilde{X}(t)$  the right hand side of (10). Then  $\tilde{X}(t), -\infty < t < \infty$ , is obviously a Gaussian process. On the other hand, the band limited white noise  $X_1(t)$  ( $W = 1$ ) introduced by the formula (1) can be expressed in the form

$$(11) \quad X_1(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(t+k)\pi}{t+k} \xi_k,$$

where  $\{\xi_k\}$  is a system of independent standard Gaussian random variables. This shows that  $\{X_1(t)\}$  and  $\{\tilde{X}(t)\}$  are the same process. Consequently, almost sure convergence is proved.

The fact that  $X^{(2n)}(t)$  converges to  $\tilde{X}(t)$  strongly in  $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$  follows easily from Proposition 1, *i*).

**COROLLARY.** *Any finite dimensional distribution of the stochastic process  $\{X^{(2n)}(t)\}$  converges to the finite dimensional distribution of  $\{X_1(t)\}$ .*

Under these preparations we shall finally show much stronger convergence of  $X^{(n)}(t)$  to  $X_1(t)$ . By the expression (8) we see that  $X^{(2n)}(t, x^{(2n+1)})$  is continuous in  $t$  for all  $x^{(2n+1)} \in \Omega_{2n}$ , which means  $X^{(2n)}(t)$  determines a probability measure  $\mu_n$  on the measurable space  $(\mathbf{C}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{C}})$ , where  $\mathbf{C}$  is the space of all continuous functions on  $R^1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{C}}$  is the topological Borel field. The situation is the same for  $X_1(t)$  and we denote by  $\mu$  the derived probability measure from  $X_1(t)$ . Now we can state

**THEOREM.** *The measure  $\mu_n$  converges to  $\mu$  weakly.*

*Proof.* We have already proved that  $\mu_n(E)$  tends to  $\mu(E)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for any cylinder set  $E$  of  $\mathbf{C}$  (Corollary of Proposition 2). We shall now apply Prokhorov's theorem [3, Chapt. 2] to our discussions. We have

$$E|X^{(2n)}(t) - X^{(2n)}(s)|^2 = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=-n}^n \left| \frac{\sin(t+k)\pi}{t+k} - \frac{\sin(s+k)\pi}{s+k} \right|^2$$

since the system  $\{y_k^{(2n+1)}; -n \leq k \leq n\}$  forms an orthonormal basis of  $(\mathcal{Q}_{2n}, \mathcal{B}_{2n}, P_{2n})$ . Observing the Fourier coefficients of  $e^{it\lambda} - e^{is\lambda}$ , we obtain

$$E|X^{(2n)}(t) - X^{(2n)}(s)|^2 \leq C \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |e^{it\lambda} - e^{is\lambda}|^2 d\lambda \leq C'|t - s|^2,$$

where  $C$  and  $C'$  are constants being independent of  $n$ ,  $t$ , and  $s$ . Thus the assumptions of Prokhorov's theorem are satisfied, and hence our theorem is proved.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. Hida and H. Nomoto, Gaussian measure on the projective limit space of spheres. Proc. Japan Acad. 40, no. 5 (1964), 301-304.
- [2] T. Hida, Finite dimensional approximations to white noise and Brownian motion. J. Math. Mech., (to appear).
- [3] Yu. V. Prokhorov, Convergence of random processes and limit theorems in probability theory. Theory of Prob. and Appl. I, no. 2 (1956), 157-214 (English translation).