# REGULAR TSUJI FUNCTIONS WITH INFINITELY MANY JULIA POINTS

## W. K. HAYMAN

To K. Noshiro on his 60th birthday

### 1. Introduction

Let D denote the unit disk |z| < 1, and C the unit circle |z| = 1. Corresponding to any function f meromorphic in D we denote by  $f^*$  the spherical derivative

$$f^*(z) = \frac{|f'(z)|}{1+|f(z)|^2}$$

We write

$$L(r) = \int_0^{2\pi} f^*(re^{i\theta}) rd\theta, \qquad 0 < r < 1,$$

and shall say that  $f \in T_1(l)$  if

$$\lim_{r\to 1} L(r) \le l < +\infty.$$

The functions  $f \in T_1(l)$  are called Tsuji functions by Collingwood and Piranian [1]. Following their notation we call a rectilinear segment S lying in D except for one end-point  $e^{i\theta}$  on C a segment of Julia for f provided that in each open triangle in D having one vertex at  $e^{i\theta}$  and meeting S, the function f assumes all values on the Riemann sphere except possibly two. A point  $e^{i\theta}$  is called a Julia point for f provided that each rectilinear segment S lying except for one endpoint  $e^{i\theta}$  in D is a segment of Julia for f.

Following Tsuji [3] Collingwood and Piranian [1] investigated the class  $T_1(l)$  and provided a number of illuminating examples. They proved among other results [1, Theorems 1, 5]

THEOREM A. There exists a meromorphic Tsuji function for which each point of C is a Julia point.

THEOREM B. The function

Received April 28, 1966.

$$w = \exp\left\{\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^2\right\}$$

is a regular Tsuji function with two segments of Julia at z = 1. Their examples led Collingwood and Piranian to the following 3 conjectures concerning regular Tsuji functions.

I. If f is a regular Tsuji function then at most finitely many points of C are endpoints of segments of Julia for f.

II. If f is a regular Tsuji function then at most finitely many segments in D are segments of Julia for f.

III. If f is a regular normal Tsuji function then f has no segments of Julia. In this paper we shall give a counter-example to I and II by proving

**THEOREM 1.** There exist regular Tsuji functions with infinitely many Julia points.

We shall prove elsewhere [2] that a normal meromorphic Tsuji function necessarily remains continuous in  $|z| \le 1$  in the metric of the closed sphere so that conjecture III holds even for meromorphic Tsuji functions. Also such a function can have no point other than  $f(e^{i\theta})$  in its range set at  $e^{i\theta}$ . We shall prove however

THEOREM 2. There exists a bounded Tsuji function, continuous in  $|z| \le 1$  and having zeros in each open triangle in D one of whose endpoints belongs to a certain infinite set on C.

Thus the range at  $e^{i\theta}$  need not be empty.

## 2. Preliminary results

We shall proceed by means of a series of lemmas We have first LEMMA 1. Let  $\Delta$  be the domain defined by  $w = \rho e^{i\beta}$ , where

$$2^{-n} < \rho < 1$$
, if  $\phi = \frac{\pi}{2^n}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, ...$   
 $0 < \rho < 1$ , if  $0 < \phi < \pi$ ,  $\phi \neq \frac{\pi}{2^n}$ .

Then a function 
$$w = f(z)$$
 which maps  $D(1, 1)$  conformally onto  $\Delta$  is a bounded  
Tsuji function which remains continuous on C and vanishes at a countable set of  
boints on C but no points of D.

Clearly  $\varDelta$  is a simply connected domain whose boundary r is rectifiable and of length

$$l = 2 + \pi + 2\sum_{1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} = 4 + \pi$$

Thus (see e.g [2, Lemmas 8 and 10])

$$\lim_{r\to 1}\int_0^{2\pi} |f'(re^{i\theta})| rd\theta = 4 + \pi,$$

so that  $f \in T_1(4 + \pi)$ . Also f remains continuous on C and maps C onto  $\gamma$  in such a way that each point of C corresponds in a (1, 1) manner to a prime end of  $\gamma$ . Since there are infinitely many prime ends of  $\gamma$  at the point w = 0, namely those for which

$$\frac{\pi}{2^{n+1}} < \phi < \frac{\pi}{2^n}$$
,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ , and  $\phi = 0$ ,

there exists a corresponding sequence of points  $z = e^{i\theta_n}$  on C which are mapped onto w = 0 by f(z). Further since  $\Delta$  does not contain w = 0, f(z) = 0 in D. This proves Lemma 1.

Theorems 1 and 2 will be a consequence of

**THEOREM 3.** Suppose that  $f(z) \in T_1(l)$ ,  $f(z) \equiv 0$ , and that F is a finite or countable set on C such that f(z) vanishes continuously at the points  $\zeta$  of F. Then there exists a sequence  $z_{\gamma}$  of points in D such that

- (i)  $\sum (1-|z_{\nu}|) < +\infty$ ,
- (ii) If  $\Pi(z) = \prod_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{z_{\nu} z}{1 \overline{z}_{\nu} z} \right) \frac{\overline{z}_{\nu}}{|\overline{z}_{\nu}|}$

then  $f(z)/\prod(z)$  and  $f(z)\prod(z)$  both belong to  $T_1(l')$  for some  $l' < +\infty$ .

(iii) Each point  $\zeta \in F$  is a Julia point for  $f(z)/\prod(z)$ , with zero as the only possible exceptional value.

(iv)  $f(z)\Pi(z)$  has infinitely many zeros in every triangle with vertex at  $\zeta \in F$ . Also  $f(z)\Pi(z)$  remains continuous at every point  $\zeta \in F$ .

We choose the sequence  $z_{\nu} = \rho_{\nu} e^{i \phi_{\nu}}$  to satisfy the following conditions

a)  $(1-\rho_{\nu+1})/(1-\rho_{\nu}) < \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\nu = 1, 2, \ldots, \rho_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ .

b) Every triangle in D with vertex at a point  $\zeta$  in F contains infinitely

many of the points  $z_{\nu}$ .

c)  $|f(re^{i\theta})| < 2^{-\nu}$ , for  $2\rho_{\nu} - 1 < r < 1$ , and  $|\theta - \phi_{\nu}| < 2^{\nu}(1 - \rho_{\nu})$ . d)  $f(z_{\nu}) \neq 0$ .

### 3. Proof of Theorem 3

We prove Theorem 3 in two stages.

**LEMMA** 2. The conditions a), b), c), d) are compatible, i.e. a sequence z, exists satisfying them all.

We assume that  $l_k$ , k = 1, 2, ... is a countable system of rays, such that every  $l_k$  has one endpoint at a point  $\zeta = e^{i\theta} \in F$ , and further such that every Stolz angle with vertex at such a point  $\zeta$  contains infinitely many of the rays  $l_k$ . Since F is finite or countable we can clearly choose such a system  $l_k$ . Next let  $n_p$  be a sequence of positive integers such that  $n_p$  assumes every positive integral value k infinitely often. For this we may choose for instance  $n_p = 1 + p - \lfloor vp \rfloor^3$ , where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denotes the integral part of x. We then choose  $z_p$  to lie on the ray  $l_{n_p}$ . In this way condition b) is certainly satisfied. We can also satisfy a) and c). Suppose in fact that  $\zeta = e^{i\theta}$  is the vertex of  $l_{n_p}$ . Then by hypothesis we have

$$|f(z)| < 2^{-p}$$
, if  $|z-\zeta| < \varepsilon_p$ , say and  $|z| < 1$ .

We now choose  $\rho_p$  so near 1, that

$$2^{p+2}|\zeta - z_p| = \min\{(1 - \rho_{p-1}), \epsilon_p\}.$$

Then  $(1 - \rho_p)/(1 - \rho_{p-1}) \le 2^{-p-2}$ , so that a) holds. We also suppose that  $f(z_p) \ne 0$ , so that d) holds. Further if  $z = re^{i\psi}$ , and  $2\rho_p - 1 \le r \le 1$ ,  $|\psi - \arg z_p| \le 2^p(1 - \rho_p)$ , then

$$|z-\zeta| < |z-z_{p}| + |z_{p}-\zeta| < |\psi-\arg z_{p}| + 2(1-\rho_{p}) + |z_{p}-\zeta|$$
  
$$< (2^{p}+2)(1-\rho_{p}) + |z_{p}-\zeta| < (2^{p}+3)|\zeta-z_{p}| < \varepsilon_{p}.$$

Thus  $|f(z)| < 2^{-b}$  and c) is also satisfied. This proves Lemma 2.

We have finally.

LEMMA 3. If the points  $z_{v}$  satisfy a), b), c) and d), then the conclusions of Theorem 3 hold.

In fact (i) is an immediate consequence of a). Again (iv) follows at once from b) and the fact that  $|\Pi(z)| < 1$  and so  $f(z)\Pi(z) \to 0$  as  $z \to \zeta \in F$  from |z| < 1.

We next prove (iii). We note that

$$\left|\frac{1-\overline{z}_{v}z}{z-z_{v}}\right|^{2}-1=\frac{(1-|z_{v}|^{2})(1-|z|^{2})}{|z-z_{v}|^{2}}.$$

Thus

$$\log \left|\frac{1}{\prod(z)}\right|^2 < \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-|z_{\nu}|^2)(1-|z|^2)}{|z-z_{\nu}|^2}.$$

Suppose now that |z| = r, where  $\frac{1}{2} < r < 1$ , and let q be the largest value of  $\nu$  for which  $|z_{\nu}| \le 2r - 1$ . Then, for  $0 \le t \le q - 1$ , we have from a)

$$1-|z_{q-t}| \ge 4^t(1-|z_q|) > 2.4^t(1-r).$$

Also

$$|z - z_{q-t}| \ge \frac{1}{2} (1 - |z_{q-t}|) \text{ so that}$$
$$\frac{1 - |z_{q-t}|}{|z - z_{q-t}|^2} \le \frac{(1 - |z_{q-t}|)}{\left[\frac{1}{2} (1 - |z_{q-t}|)\right]^2} < \frac{4}{2[4^t(1 - r)]}$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\nu \leq q} \frac{(1-|z_{\nu}|^{2})(1-|z|^{2})}{|z-z_{\nu}|^{2}} \leq 2\sum_{\nu \leq q} \frac{(1-|z_{\nu}|)(1-r)}{|z-z_{\nu}|^{2}} < 4\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} 4^{-t} < 6.$$

Again if p is the least value of  $\nu$  for which  $|z_{\nu}| \ge \frac{1}{2}(1+r)$ , we have for  $t \ge 0$  in view of a)

$$(1 - |z_{p+t}|) \le 4^{-t}(1 - |z_p|) \le \frac{1}{2} 4^{-t}(1 - r)$$

and if |z| = r,  $\nu \ge p$ , then  $|z - z_{\nu}|^2 \ge \left\{\frac{1}{2}(1 - r)\right\}^2$ .

Thus

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\frac{(1-|z_{p+t}|^2)(1-|z|^2)}{|z-z_{p+t}|^2} \leq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\frac{4^{-t}(1-r)(1-r)}{\left[\frac{1}{2}(1-r)\right]^2} \leq 4\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}4^{-t} < 6.$$

Thus if  $\Pi_1(z)$  denotes the product  $\Pi(z)$  with the omission of the factor corresponding to the value  $z_{*}$ , if any, for which

$$2r-1 < |z_{\nu}| < \frac{1}{2}(1+r), \tag{1}$$

then we have on |z| = r

$$\frac{1}{|\prod_1(z)|} < e^{12},$$

i.e.

$$A_1 < |\Pi_1(z)| < 1, \tag{2}$$

where  $A_1 = e^{-12}$ . We note that in view of a) there can be at most one  $\nu$  for which  $z_{\nu}$  lies in the range (1).

Suppose now that  $z_{\nu}$  is a zero of  $\Pi(z)$  and hence by d) a pole of  $f(z)/\Pi(z)$  and consider  $f(z)/\Pi(z)$  on the circle  $|z-z_{\nu}| = 2^{-(1/2)\nu}(1-\rho_{\nu})$ . On this circle we have in view of c)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(z)}{\Pi(z)} \right| &= \left| \frac{f(z)}{\Pi_{1}(z)} \right| \cdot \left| \frac{1 - \bar{z}_{\nu} z}{z - z_{\nu}} \right| < A_{1}^{-1} 2^{-\nu} \cdot \frac{(1 - |z_{\nu}|^{2}) + |z - z_{\nu}| |\bar{z}_{\nu}|}{2^{-(1/2)\nu} (1 - \rho_{\nu})} \\ &< \frac{3 A_{1}^{-1} 2^{-\nu} (1 - \rho_{\nu})}{2^{-(1/2)\nu} (1 - \rho_{\nu})} = 3 A_{1}^{-1} 2^{-(1/2)\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\frac{f(z)}{\Pi(z)}$  assumes every value w, with  $|w| > 3 A_1^{-1} 2^{-(1/2)\nu}$  equally often inside this circle, i.e. exactly once, and if w is fixed and  $w \ge 0$ , this condition is satisfied for all sufficiently large  $\nu$ . It follows that, in any Stolz angle containing one of the lines  $l_k$ , f(z) assumes infinitely often all values except possibly zero, and so these are all Julia lines. Since every Stolz angle at  $\zeta \in F$ contains such lines  $l_k$ , it follows that every ray with endpoint at  $\zeta$  is a Julia line, and so  $\zeta$  is a Julia point.

## 4. Proof of (ii)

It remains to prove (ii) and this is by far the hardest part of the argument. We proceed in a number of stages.

LEMMA 4. If  $\frac{1}{2} \le r \le 1$ , and  $\Pi_1(z)$  is formed from  $\Pi(z)$  by omitting the factor corresponding to that zero  $z_v$ , if any, for which (1) holds, then if  $F(z) = f(z)/\Pi_1(z)$  or  $F(z) = f(z)\Pi_1(z)$ , we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} F^*(re^{i\theta}) rd\theta < l_1 < +\infty,$$

where  $l_1$  is independent of r.

Consider first  $F(z) = f(z) \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} f(z)$ . We have

$$\frac{|F'(z)|}{1+|F|^2} \le \frac{|f'\Pi_1|}{1+|f\Pi_1|^2} + \frac{|f\Pi'_1|}{1+|f\Pi_1|^2}.$$
(3)

In view of (2) we have  $|f \Pi_1| > A_1 |f|$ , and so if |f| > 1, we have

$$\frac{1}{1+|f\prod_{1}|^{2}} < \frac{1}{|A_{1}|^{2}|f|^{2}} < \frac{2}{A_{1}^{2}(1+|f|^{2})},$$
(4)

while if |f| < 1

$$\frac{1}{1+|f\prod_1|^2} < 1 < \frac{2}{1+|f|^2}$$

Thus (4) holds in all cases and

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{|f'(re^{i\theta})\prod_{1}(re^{i\theta})|rd\theta}{1+|f(re^{i\theta})\prod_{1}(re^{i\theta})|^{2}} \leq \frac{2}{A_{1}^{2}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{|f'(re^{i\theta})|rd\theta}{1+|f(re^{i\theta})|^{2}} \leq \frac{4l}{A_{1}^{2}}.$$
(5)

if r is sufficiently near 1.

We now consider the second term on the right hand side of (3). In view of (4) we may write

$$\frac{|f\Pi_1'|}{1+|f\Pi_1|^2} \le \frac{2}{A_1^2} \frac{|f|}{1+|f|^2} |\Pi_1'| \le \frac{2}{A_1^2} \frac{|f|}{1+|f|^2} \left|\frac{\Pi_1'}{\Pi_1}\right|.$$

Also

$$\frac{\Pi_1'}{\Pi_1}\Big| = \Big|\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-|z_{\nu}|^2}{(1-\bar{z}_{\nu}z)(z-z_{\nu})}\Big| \le \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-|z_{\nu}|^2}{|z_{\nu}-z|^2}.$$
 (6)

We therefore proceed to estimate

$$\int_{|z|=r} \frac{|f|}{1+|f|^2} \frac{1-|z_{\nu}|^2}{|z_{\nu}-z|^2} |dz|.$$

Suppose first that  $|z_{\nu}| > \frac{1}{2}(1+r)$ . Then if  $z = re^{i\theta}$ ,  $z_{\nu} = \rho_{\nu}e^{i\delta_{\nu}}$ , we have

$$|z_{\nu}-z|^{2} = (\rho_{\nu}-r)^{2} + 2\rho_{\nu}r[1-\cos(\phi-\phi_{\nu})] \ge \frac{1}{4}(1-r)^{2} + \frac{(\phi-\phi_{\nu})^{2}}{\pi^{2}}.$$

for  $\phi_{\nu} - \pi \leq \phi \leq \phi_{\nu} + \pi$ . Thus

$$\int_{|z|=r} \frac{1}{|z_{v}-z|^{2}} |dz| \leq \pi^{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\phi}{\phi^{2}+(1-r)^{2}} \leq \pi^{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\phi}{\phi^{2}+(1-r)^{2}} = \frac{\pi^{3}}{1-r}.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{|z_{\nu}|>1/2(1+r)} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{|f|}{1+|f|^2} \frac{1-|z_{\nu}|^2}{|z_{\nu}-z|^2} |dz| < \frac{\pi^3}{2(1-r)} \sum_{|z_{\nu}|>1/2(1+r)} (1-|z_{\nu}|^2) < A_2, \quad (7)$$

in view of a).

Next suppose that  $|z_{\nu}| \leq 2r - 1$ . Then we have

$$|z_{\nu}-z|^{2} \ge \frac{1}{4} (1-\rho_{\nu})^{2} + \frac{2 \rho_{\nu} r (\phi-\phi_{\nu})^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \ge \frac{(1-\rho_{\nu})^{2} + (\phi-\phi_{\nu})^{2}}{2 \pi^{2}}, \qquad (8)$$

since  $\rho_{\nu} \ge \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $r \ge \frac{1}{2}$ . By c) we have, for  $|\phi - \phi_{\nu}| < 2^{1/2\nu}(1 - \rho_{\nu})$ ,

$$\frac{|f|}{1+|f|^2} \frac{(1-|z_{\nu}|^2)}{|z_{\nu}-z^2|} < \frac{2\pi^2 2^{-\nu} (1-\rho_{\nu}^2)}{(1-\rho_{\nu})^2} < \frac{2\pi^2 2^{1-\nu}}{(1-\rho_{\nu})}$$

Thus

$$\int_{|\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{z}_{\nu}|<2^{1/2}\nu(1-\rho_{\nu})} \frac{|f|}{1+|f|^{2}} \frac{1-|z_{\nu}|^{2}}{|z_{\nu}-z|^{2}} |dz| < A_{4} 2^{-(1/2)\nu}.$$
(9)

Again if  $|\phi - \phi_{\nu}| \ge 2^{(1/2)\nu} (1 - \rho_{\nu})$ , then

$$\frac{1}{|z_{\nu}-z|^2} < \frac{2 \pi^2}{(\phi-\phi_{\nu})^2},$$

and so

$$\int_{|\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\nu}|\geq 2^{(1/2)\nu}(1-\rho_{\nu})} \frac{|dz|}{|z_{\nu}-z|^{2}} \leq 4\pi^{2} \int_{2^{(1/2)\nu}(1-\rho_{\nu})}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{2}} = \frac{4\pi^{2}2^{-(1/2)\nu}}{(1-\rho_{\nu})}.$$
 (10)

On combining (9) and (10) we deduce that if  $|z_{\nu}| < 2r - 1$ ,

$$\int_{|z|=r} \frac{|f|}{1+|f|^2} \frac{1-|z_{\nu}|^2}{|z_{\nu}-z|^2} |dz| < A_5 2^{-(1/2)\nu}.$$
(11)

Now using (6), (7) and (11) we see that

$$\int_{|z|=r} \frac{|f \Pi_1'|}{(1+|f \Pi_1|^2)} |dz| < A_6.$$

From this and (5) Lemma 4 follows for the case  $F = f \Pi_1$ , when we apply (3) and (4).

The case  $F = f/\Pi_1$  is similar. We write

$$\frac{|F'|}{1+|F|^2} \le \frac{|f'\Pi_1|}{|\Pi_1^2|+|f|^2} + \frac{|f\Pi_1'|}{|\Pi_1^2|+|f|^2} \le A_7 \Big\{ \frac{|f'|}{1+|f|^2} + \frac{|f|}{1+|f|^2} \Big| \frac{\Pi_1'}{\Pi_1} \Big| \Big\}.$$

in view of (2). We now obtain our result as before, using (6), (7) and (11).

5. To complete the proof of Lemma 3 and so of Theorem 3 we now consider the possible effect of the single factor in  $\Pi(z)$  corresponding to a zero  $z_{\nu}$ , for which  $2r-1 < |z_{\nu}| < \frac{1}{2}(1+r)$ .

We consider first

$$F(z) = f(z) \prod_{1} (z), \ G(z) = F(z) a(z),$$

where  $a(z) = (z - z_v)/(1 - \overline{z}_v z)$  and  $z_v = \rho_v e^{i\phi_v}$ .

$$\frac{|G'(z)|}{1+|G|^2} \leq \frac{|F'(z)||a|}{1+|aF|^2} + \frac{\left|\frac{a'}{a}\right||aF|}{1+|aF|^2}.$$

If  $|z - z_{\nu}| > \frac{1}{2}(1 - |z_{\nu}|)$ , then we see from (8) that

$$\frac{1}{2} < |a(z)| < 1 \text{ and } \left| \frac{a'}{a} \right| < \frac{1 - |z_{\nu}|^2}{|z - z_{\nu}|^2} < \frac{2\pi^2(1 - \rho_{\nu}^2)}{(r - \rho_{\nu})^2 + |\phi - \phi_{\nu}|^2}.$$

Hence if E is the range of  $\phi$ , for which  $|re^{i\phi} - \rho_{\nu}e^{i\phi_{\nu}}| \ge \frac{1}{2}(1 - \rho_{\nu})$ , we have

$$\int_{E} \frac{|F'(z)| |a| |dz|}{1+|aF|^{2}} = \int_{E} \frac{\left|\frac{F'}{a}\right| d\phi}{\left|\frac{1}{a}\right|^{2}+|F|^{2}} < 2 \int_{|z|=r} \frac{|F'(z)| |dz|}{1+|F(z)|^{2}} < C,$$

say, while

$$\int_{E} \frac{|a'F|}{1+|aF|^2} d\phi \leq \int_{E} \left| \frac{a'}{a} \right| d\phi \leq 2 \pi^2 \int_{E} \frac{(1-\rho_{\nu}^2) d\phi}{(\phi-\phi_{\nu})^2 + (r-\rho_{\nu})^2}$$

If  $|r - \rho_{\nu}| < \frac{1}{4} (1 - \rho_{\nu})$ , we see that  $|\phi - \phi_{\nu}| \ge \frac{1}{4} (1 - \rho_{\nu})$  in our range so that the righthand side is bounded by an absolute constant. If  $|r - \rho_{\nu}| = \frac{1}{4} (1 - \rho_{\nu})$ , then

$$\int_{E} \frac{(1-\rho_{\nu}^{2}) d\phi}{(\phi-\phi_{\nu})^{2}+(r-\rho_{\nu})^{2}} \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\rho_{\nu}^{2}) dx}{x^{2}+(r-\rho_{\nu})^{2}} = \frac{\pi(1-\rho_{\nu}^{2})}{|r-\rho_{\nu}|} \leq 8 \pi.$$

Thus in either case

$$\int_{E} \frac{|G'(z)|}{1+|G(z)|^2} |dz| < C_1,$$
(12)

where  $C_1$  is independent of r.

Consider finally the range E' where  $|z - \rho_{\nu}e^{i\beta_{\nu}}| < \frac{1}{2}(1 - \rho_{\nu})$ . It follows from c) that in this range and even for  $\zeta$  in a disk centre z and radius  $\frac{1}{2}(1 - \rho_{\nu})$ , we have  $|f(\zeta)| < \frac{1}{2}$ , and so also  $|G(\zeta)| < \frac{1}{2}$ . so that

$$|G'(z)| < \frac{2}{(1-\rho_{\nu})}.$$

Thus if r is sufficiently near one, we have

$$\int_{E'} \frac{|G'(re^{i\theta})|}{1+|G(re^{i\theta})|^2} d\theta < \int_{E'} |G'(re^{i\theta})| d\theta < \frac{2}{1-\rho_{\nu}} 2(1-\rho_{\nu}) = 4.$$
(13)

On combining (12) and (13) we have Lemma 3 for  $G(z) = f(z) \prod (z)$ .

It remains to consider the case where

$$G(z) = \frac{f(z)}{\Pi(z)} = \frac{F(z)}{a(z)},$$

and  $F(z) = f(z)/\prod_1(z)$ . We consider now the two ranges E, where  $|z - z_v| > \frac{1}{3}(1 - |z_v|)$  and E', where  $|z - z_v| < \frac{1}{3}(1 - |z_v|)$ . Since

$$\frac{|G'|}{1+|G|^2} \leq \frac{|F'|\left|\frac{1}{a}\right|}{1+\left|\frac{F}{a}\right|^2} + \frac{\left|\frac{a'}{a}\right|\left|\frac{F}{a}\right|}{1+\left|\frac{F}{a}\right|^2},$$

we prove just as before that (12) holds.

However in E' our argument is different. We note that  $\frac{F(z)}{a(z)}$  has a pole of residue  $r_0 = F(z_v)(1 - |z_v|^2)$  at  $z = z_v$ , and write

$$G(z) = \frac{F(z)}{a(z)} = \frac{r_0}{z-z_v} + G_1(z) = c(z) + G_1(z)$$
 say.

Thus

$$G^{*}(re^{i\phi}) = \frac{|G'(re^{i\phi})|}{1+|G|^{2}} \leq \frac{|G'_{1}(re^{i\phi})|}{1+|G|^{2}} + \frac{|c'(re^{i\phi})|}{1+|G|^{2}}$$
$$\leq |G'_{1}(re^{i\phi})| + \frac{|c'(re^{i\phi})|}{1+|G|^{2}}.$$
(14)

In view of c) and (2) |F(z)|, |G(z)| and so  $|G_1(z)|$  are small for  $|z - z_{\nu}| = \frac{1}{2}(1 - |z_{\nu}|)$ when  $\nu$  is large and since  $G_1(z)$  is regular in  $|z - z_{\nu}| < \frac{1}{2}(1 - |z_{\nu}|)$ , we deduce that for large  $\nu$  we have on E',

$$|G_1(z)| < 1, |G'_1(z)| < (1 - |z_{\nu}|)^{-1}.$$

Since the length of E' is at most  $(1 - |z_{\nu}|)$  for large  $\nu$  we deduce that

$$\int_{F'} |G'_1(re^{i\phi})| d\phi < 1 \tag{15}$$

for large  $\nu$ .

To estimate the other term in (14) we let E'' be the part of E' where |c(z)| > 2.

Then in E'' we have

$$|G(z)| \ge |c(z)| - \frac{1}{2} |c(z)| = \frac{1}{2} |c(z)|,$$

$$\frac{|c'(z)|}{1+|G|^2} \leq \frac{4|c'|}{|c|^2} = 4/|r_0|.$$

Since the length of E'' is at most  $2|r_0|$  for large  $\nu$ , we deduce that

$$\int_{E''} \frac{|c'(re^{i\phi})|}{1+|G|^2} d\phi \le 8.$$
(16)

Finally if E''' is the part of E' outside E'', then

$$\int_{E'''} \frac{|c'(re^{i\beta})|}{1+|G|^2} d\phi \leq \int_{E'''} |c'(re^{i\beta})| d\phi = \int_{E'''} \frac{|r_0| d\phi}{|z-z_{\nu}|^2}.$$
 (17)

We have in  $E''' z = re^{i\phi}$ ,  $z_v = \rho_v e^{i\phi_v}$ , where

$$|z-z_{\nu}|^{2} = (r-\rho_{\nu})^{2} + 4 r \rho_{\nu} \sin^{2} \frac{(\phi-\phi_{\nu})}{2} > \frac{1}{4} |r_{0}|^{2}.$$

Suppose first that  $|r - \rho_v| > \frac{1}{4} |r_0|$ . Then since  $r \ge \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\rho_v \ge \frac{1}{2}$  we have

$$\int_{F'''} \frac{|r_0| d\phi}{|z - z_v|^2} \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\pi^2 |r_0| d\phi}{(r - \rho_v)^2 + (\phi - \phi_v)^2} = \frac{\pi^3 |r_0|}{|r - \rho_v|} < 4 \pi^3.$$
(18)

If on the other hand  $|r - \rho_{\nu}| \le \frac{1}{4} |r_0|$ , then we must have in  $E''' 4 r \rho_{\nu}$  $\sin^2 \frac{(\phi - \phi_{\nu})}{2} \ge \frac{1}{8} |r_0|^2$ , so that

$$|\phi-\phi_{\nu}|\geq \frac{|r_0|}{4}.$$

Thus in this case

$$\int_{R'''} \frac{|r_0| d\phi}{|z-z_v|^2} \leq 2 \int_{|r_0|/4}^{\infty} \frac{\pi^2 |r_0| dx}{x^2} = 2 \pi^2 |r_0| \cdot \frac{4}{|r_0|} = 8 \pi^2,$$

so that (18) still holds. On combining (14) to (18) we deduce

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}'} G^*(re^{i\phi}) d\phi < A_7,$$

if r is sufficiently near one. On combining this with (12) we deduce Lemma 3.

6. Proof of Theorems 1 and 2. By choosing the function f(z) of Lemma 1 and for F the corresponding countable set we see that Theorem 3 yields a non-zero Tsuji function  $f(z)/\Pi(z)$  having every point of F as a Julia point.

Then the function  $\Pi(z)/f(z)$  satisfies the conclusions of Theorem 1. Also  $\Pi(z)f(z)$  satisfies the conclusions of Theorem 2.

In fact to see this we have only to show that  $\Pi(z)f(z)$  remains continuous on C. This is obvious at all points of C which are not limits of zeros of  $\Pi(z)$ , since  $\Pi(z)$  remains continuous at such points. The only other points of C are the points where f(z) vanishes continuously and so  $\Pi(z)f(z)$  vanishes and so remains continuous also at these points, since  $|\Pi(z)| < 1$ .

I should like to thank the referee for pointing out two mistakes in the original argument.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- E. F. Collingwood and G. Piranian, Tsuji functions with segments of Julia. Math. Zeit., 84 (1964), 246-253.
- [2] W. K. Hayman, The boundary behaviour of Tsuji functions. Michigan Math. J. to appear.
- [3] M. Tsuji, A theorem on the boundary behaviour of a meromorphic function in |z|<1. Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul., 8 (1960), 53-55.

Imperial College, London S.W. 7. England.