

# CROSSED PRODUCTS AND RAMIFICATION

SUSAN WILLIAMSON

**Introduction.** Let  $S$  be the integral closure of a complete discrete rank one valuation ring  $R$  in a finite Galois extension of the quotient field of  $R$ , and let  $G$  denote the Galois group of the quotient field extension. Auslander and Rim have shown in [3] that the trivial crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(1, S, G)$  is an hereditary order if and only if  $S$  is a tamely ramified extension of  $R$ . And the author has proved in [7] that if the extension  $S$  of  $R$  is tamely ramified then the crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(f, S, G)$  is a  $\Pi$ -principal hereditary order for each 2-cocycle  $f$  in  $Z^2(G, U(S))$ . (See Section 1 for the definition of  $\Pi$ -principal hereditary order.) However, the author has exhibited in [8] an example of a crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(f, S, G)$  which is a  $\Pi$ -principal hereditary order in the case when  $S$  is a wildly ramified extension of  $R$ . The purpose of this paper is to present necessary and sufficient conditions for a crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(f, S, G)$  to be a  $\Pi$ -principal hereditary order when the extension  $S$  of  $R$  has a separable residue class field extension.

Let  $S$  be an extension of  $R$  (with separable residue class field extension) and let  $C$  denote the center of the first ramification group. In Section 1 we define for each element  $[f]$  of  $H^2(G, U(S))$  a subgroup  $R_f$  of  $C$  called the radical group of  $[f]$ . The main theorem of the paper states that the crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(f, S, G)$  is a  $\Pi$ -principal hereditary order if and only if the radical group of  $[f]$  is trivial. As a corollary we obtain the result of Harada (see [10]) that if  $R$  has perfect residue class field, then  $\mathcal{A}(f, S, G)$  is a  $\Pi$ -principal hereditary order if and only if  $S$  is a tamely ramified extension of  $R$ . In an appendix we present some facts concerning the cohomology of groups which shall be referred to in the paper.

The following notation shall be employed throughout the entire paper. If  $R$  is a ring then its multiplicative group of units shall be denoted by  $U(R)$ , and its radical by  $\text{rad } R$ . If  $R$  is a local ring, then  $\bar{R}$  shall denote its residue

---

Received January 24, 1966.

class field. Unless otherwise stated,  $S$  shall always denote the integral closure of a complete discrete rank one valuation ring  $R$  in a finite Galois extension of the quotient field of  $R$ , and  $G$  the Galois group of the quotient field extension. Since  $R$  is complete,  $S$  is also a complete discrete rank one valuation ring, and  $\Pi$  shall denote a prime element of  $S$ . The  $i^{\text{th}}$  ramification group of the extension  $S$  of  $R$  shall be denoted by  $G_i$ . That is to say,  $G_i$  is the set of all elements  $\sigma$  of  $G$  such that  $\sigma(s) \equiv s \pmod{\Pi^{i+1}}$  for all  $s$  in  $S$ . Each group  $G_i$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , and the inertia group  $G_0$  acts trivially on  $\bar{S}$ .

More generally, if  $G$  is a finite group and  $A$  is a  $G$ -ring over a unitary commutative ring  $R$ , then  $[f]$  shall denote the cohomology class in  $H^2(G, U(A))$  of the 2-cocycle  $f$  of  $Z^2(G, U(A))$ . Furthermore, if  $A$  is a local ring, then  $\bar{f}$  shall denote the image of  $f$  under the natural map  $Z^2(G, U(A)) \rightarrow Z^2(G, U(\bar{A}))$ . For the definitions of crossed product and hereditary order we refer the reader to [7]. The definitions of tame ramification and wild ramification are given on pp. 88-89 of [6].

For the convenience of the reader we summarize some important facts about ramification groups which may be found in Chapter IV of [5]. Let  $S$  denote the integral closure of a complete discrete rank one valuation ring  $R$  in a finite Galois extension of the quotient field of  $R$  such that the residue class field extension is separable. If  $\bar{R}$  has characteristic zero then the first ramification group vanishes. If the characteristic  $p$  of  $\bar{R}$  is non-zero then  $G_1$  is a  $p$ -group. The factor group  $G_0/G_1$  is a cyclic group whose order is relatively prime to  $p$ . For  $i \geq 1$ , each factor group  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is an Abelian  $p$ -group of type  $(p, p, \dots, p)$ .

**1. The radical group.** Let  $S$  denote the integral closure of a complete discrete rank one valuation ring  $R$  in a finite Galois extension  $K$  of the quotient field  $k$  of  $R$ , and let  $G$  denote the Galois group of  $K$  over  $k$ . If  $[f]$  is an element of  $H^2(G, U(S))$  then the crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(f, S, G)$  is an  $R$ -order in the central simple  $k$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}(f, K, G)$ . If  $\Pi$  denotes a prime element of  $S$  it is easy to verify from the definition of crossed product that the left ideal  $\mathcal{A}(f, S, G)\Pi$  of  $\mathcal{A}(f, S, G)$  is in fact a two-sided ideal. Therefore  $\Pi$  is always contained in the radical of  $\mathcal{A}(f, S, G)$  according to Lemma 1.4. In the case when  $\mathcal{A}(f, S, G)\Pi$  is precisely the radical of  $\mathcal{A}(f, S, G)$  we may conclude that the crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(f, S, G)$  is an hereditary order by the Corollary to

Theorem 2.2 of [2], since  $\mathcal{A}(f, S, G)\Pi$  is a free left  $\mathcal{A}(f, S, G)$ -module. This leads us to make the following definition.

**DEFINITION.** A crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(f, S, G)$  is called a  *$\Pi$ -principal hereditary order* if its radical is generated by the prime element  $\Pi$  of  $S$ .

We have already established the existence of a large class of  $\Pi$ -principal hereditary orders, namely each crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(f, S, G)$  in the case when  $S$  is a tamely ramified extension of  $R$ . The purpose of this paper is to study  $\Pi$ -principal hereditary orders in the more general case when the residue class field extension is separable. Observe that the crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(f, S, G)$  is a  $\Pi$ -principal hereditary order if and only if the crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, G)$  is a semi-simple ring.

Let  $S$  be an extension of  $R$  with  $\bar{S}$  separable over  $\bar{R}$ , and let  $C$  denote the center of the first ramification group. In Sections 2 and 3 the question of the semi-simplicity of  $\mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, G)$  shall be reduced to the question of the semi-simplicity of  $\mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, C)$ .

Therefore our object of study in Section 1 is the crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(f, F, C)$  where  $C$  is an Abelian  $p$ -group which acts trivially on a field  $F$  of characteristic  $p$ . We shall define for each element  $[f]$  of  $H^2(C, U(F))$  a subgroup  $R_f$  of  $C$  and prove that  $\mathcal{A}(f, F, C)$  is semi-simple if and only if  $R_f$  is trivial. The following remark establishes notation which shall be in constant use throughout this section.

*Remark 1.1.* Let  $C = E_1 \times \cdots \times E_t$  be a decomposition of an Abelian  $p$ -group  $C$  into a direct product of cyclic  $p$ -groups. It is well known that such a decomposition of  $C$  is unique up to isomorphism except for the order of the cyclic components, (see Theorem 3.3.2 of [4]). Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p$  such that  $C$  acts trivially on  $F$ . If  $[f]$  is an element of  $H^2(C, U(F))$  we may assume according to Cor. A.3 that  $f$  has been normalized in the sense of Abelian  $p$ -groups, so that  $f = f_1 \cdots f_t$  where each element  $f_i$  of  $Z^2(E_i, U(F))$  is normalized in the sense of cyclic groups. The symbol  $h_i(X)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$  shall denote the polynomial  $h_i(X) = X^{e_i} - a_i$  in  $F[X]$  where  $e_i$  is the order of  $E_i$ , and  $a_i$  is an element of  $U(F)$  such that  $[f_i]$  corresponds to  $a_i \bmod [U(F)]^{e_i}$  under the canonical identification  $H^2(E_i, U(F)) = U(F)/[U(F)]^{e_i}$ .

We next observe that the crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(f, F, C)$  is isomorphic to a

tensor product over  $F$  of factor rings of the polynomial ring  $F[X]$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.2.** *The crossed product  $\Delta(f, F, C)$  is  $F$ -algebra isomorphic to the tensor product  $A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes A_t$  over  $F$  where  $A_i = F[X]/(h_i(X))$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on the number  $t$  of cyclic components of the Abelian  $p$ -group  $C$ . If  $t = 1$ , then  $C$  is cyclic. Since  $f$  is normalized in the sense of cyclic groups, the map  $\psi : \Delta(f, F, C) \rightarrow F[X]/(h_1(X))$  induced by defining  $\psi(u_\sigma) = X$  is an  $F$ -algebra isomorphism where  $\sigma$  denotes a generator of  $C$ .

For the inductive step we now suppose that  $C$  has  $n$  cyclic components, say  $C = E_1 \times \cdots \times E_{n-1} \times E_n$ , and consider the subgroup  $C_{n-1} = E_1 \times \cdots \times E_{n-1}$ . Then  $\Delta(f, F, C) = \Delta(gf_n, F, C_{n-1} \times E_n)$  where  $g = f_1 \cdots f_{n-1}$ . Using the fact that  $f$  is normalized in the sense of Abelian  $p$ -groups one can easily verify that the natural map

$$\psi : \Delta(gf_n, F, C_{n-1} \times E_n) \rightarrow \Delta(g, F, C_{n-1}) \otimes_F \Delta(f_n, F, E_n)$$

is an  $F$ -algebra isomorphism. The induction hypothesis states that the assertion of the proposition is true for Abelian  $p$ -groups with  $n - 1$  cyclic components. Therefore the crossed product  $\Delta(g, F, C_{n-1})$  is  $F$ -algebra isomorphic to  $A_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{n-1}$ . And since  $E_n$  is cyclic, it follows from the first part of the proof that  $\Delta(f_n, F, E_n)$  is  $F$ -algebra isomorphic to  $A_n$ . Combining these results we conclude that  $\Delta(f, F, C)$  is  $F$ -algebra isomorphic to  $A_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes A_n$ .

The next object is to establish a criterion for the semi-simplicity of  $\Delta(f, F, C)$  in terms of the irreducibility of the polynomials  $h_i(X)$  and thus establish a connection between the semi-simplicity of  $\Delta(f, F, C)$  and cohomology. In order to do this we first prove two lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.3.** *Let  $F$  be a field, and let  $H(X)$  be a non-constant polynomial in  $F[X]$ . Denote the factor ring  $F[X]/(H(X))$  by  $L_2$ . If  $L_1$  is a field containing  $F$ , then the tensor product  $L_1 \otimes_F L_2$  is  $L_1$ -algebra isomorphic to  $L_1[Y]/(H(Y))$ .*

*Proof.* Define the map  $\varphi : L_1 \otimes L_2 \rightarrow L_1[Y]/(H(Y))$  by  $\varphi(\sum a_i \otimes f_i(X)/H(X)) = \sum a_i f_i(Y)/(H(Y))$  where the  $a_i$  are in  $L_1$  and the  $f_i$  are in  $F[X]$ . It is easy to verify that  $\varphi$  is a well-defined  $L_1$ -algebra epimorphism.

In order to prove that  $\varphi$  is a monomorphism we first observe that  $(1, X, \dots, X^{n-1})$  is a generating set for  $L_2$  over  $F$  where  $n$  is the degree of

$H(X)$ . Therefore any element of  $L_1 \otimes L_2$  may be written in the form  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i \otimes X^i / (H(X))$  where the  $a_i$  are in  $L_1$ . Suppose now that  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i \otimes X^i / (H(X))$  is in the kernel of  $\varphi$ . Then the equalities  $\varphi(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i \otimes X^i / (H(X))) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i Y^i / (H(Y)) = 0$  imply that the polynomial  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i Y^i$  of  $L_1[Y]$  is in the principal ideal generated by  $H(Y)$ , so that  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i Y^i = g(Y)H(Y)$  for some element  $g(Y)$  of  $L_1[Y]$ . Now the degree of  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i Y^i$  is less than or equal to  $n-1$ . However, the degree of  $g(Y)H(Y)$  is less than  $n$  if and only if  $g(Y)$  is the zero polynomial since  $H(Y)$  has degree  $n$  and  $L_1$  is a field. Therefore  $g(Y)$  is the zero polynomial, and so the equality  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i Y^i = g(Y)H(Y)$  implies that  $a_i = 0$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Therefore  $\ker \varphi = (0)$  and so  $\varphi$  is a monomorphism.

LEMMA 1.4. *Let the extension  $S$  of  $R$  be a ring extension. If  $S$  is a finitely generated left  $R$ -module and  $(\text{rad } R)S = S(\text{rad } R)$  then  $\text{rad } R$  is contained in  $\text{rad } S$ .*

*Proof.* To show that  $\text{rad } R$  is contained in  $\text{rad } S$  it suffices to show that if  $M$  is a finitely generated left  $S$ -module and  $S(\text{rad } R)M = M$ , then  $M = 0$ . The fact that  $S(\text{rad } R) = (\text{rad } R)S$  implies that  $S(\text{rad } R)M = (\text{rad } R)SM = (\text{rad } R)M$ . Since  $M$  is a finitely generated left  $S$ -module and  $S$  is a finitely generated left  $R$ -module it follows that  $M$  is a finitely generated left  $R$ -module. Therefore the equality  $(\text{rad } R)M = M$  implies that  $M = (0)$ . Hence  $S(\text{rad } R)$  is contained in  $\text{rad } S$ .

PROPOSITION 1.5. *Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ , and let  $H_i(X)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$  be elements of  $F[X]$  of the form  $H_i(X) = X^{e_i} - a_i$  where each  $e_i$  is a  $p^{\text{th}}$  power. Let  $A$  denote the tensor product  $L_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes L_t$  over  $F$  where  $L_i = F[X]/(H_i(X))$ . Then the following statements are equivalent*

- 1)  $A$  is semi-simple
- 2)  $A$  is a field
- 3) each polynomial  $H_i(X)$  is irreducible in a splitting field for  $\prod_{j < i} H_j(X)$  over  $F$ .

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on the number  $t$  of polynomials  $H_i(X)$ . We first prove that the statements are equivalent when  $t = 1$ . In this case  $A$  is of the form  $A = F[X]/(H(X))$  where  $H(X) = X^e - a$  and  $e$  is a  $p^{\text{th}}$  power. Since  $F$  has characteristic  $p$ , a factorization of  $H(X)$  into a product of irreducible

polynomials of  $F[X]$  is of the form  $H(X) = (X^m - b)^{e/m}$  where  $b^{e/m} = a$  and  $m$  is a divisor of  $e$ . The radical of the commutative Artin ring  $F[X]/(X^e - a)$  is generated by the residue class of the polynomial  $X^m - b$ . Therefore  $A$  is semi-simple if and only if  $m = e$ , that is if and only if  $H(X)$  is irreducible in  $F[X]$ . Therefore 1) is equivalent to 3). However, the polynomial  $H(X)$  is irreducible in  $F[X]$  if and only if  $F[X]/(H(X))$  is a field. Therefore 3) is equivalent to 2) and this completes the proof in the case when  $t = 1$ .

For the inductive step we assume the equivalence of the statements for  $t < n$ , and prove their equivalence for  $t = n$ . Throughout the rest of the proof it shall be convenient to use the notation  $A_i = L_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes L_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $A_0 = F$ .

We show first that 1) implies 2). So suppose that  $A = A_n$  is semi-simple. Using the induction hypothesis we shall prove that each  $A_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$  must be a field. Observe that the natural map  $A_i \rightarrow A$  is an injection because each  $A_i$  is a free  $F$ -module. Since  $A$  is a finitely generated commutative  $A_i$ -algebra, the radical of  $A_i$  is contained in the radical of  $A$  according to Lemma 1.4. From the semi-simplicity of  $A$  we conclude that  $A_i$  has zero radical. Hence  $A_i$  is semi-simple because it is an Artin ring. The induction hypothesis implies therefore that  $A_i$  is a field for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ .

Now we may prove that 1) implies 2). For since  $A_{n-1}$  is a field, and  $A = A_{n-1} \otimes L_n$ , we know that  $A$  is  $A_{n-1}$ -algebra isomorphic to  $A_{n-1}[Y]/(Y^{e_n} - a_n)$  by Lemma 1.3. Thus we have reduced the problem to the case  $t = 1$ , and so the assumption that  $A$  is semi-simple implies that  $A$  is a field.

In order to prove that 1) implies 3) we first observe that if  $A$  is semi-simple, then each  $A_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  is a splitting field over  $F$  for the polynomial  $\sum_{j \leq i} H_j(X)$ . For by the above, the semi-simplicity of  $A$  implies that each  $A_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  is a field and is therefore  $A_{i-1}$ -algebra isomorphic to  $A_{i-1}[Y]/(H_i(Y))$  according to Lemma 1.3. It now follows easily by induction that  $A_i$  is a splitting field for  $\prod_{j \leq i} H_j(X)$  over  $F$ . Now we may prove that 1) implies 3). For the fact that  $A_i$  is  $A_{i-1}$ -algebra isomorphic to  $A_{i-1}[Y]/(Y^{e_i} - a_i)$  together with the fact that  $A_i$  is a field implies that  $H_i(Y)$  is irreducible over  $A_{i-1}$  which is a splitting field for  $\prod_{j < i} H_j(X)$ .

We prove next that 3) implies 2). Consider the  $n$  polynomials  $H_i(X)$  and assume that each polynomial  $H_i(X)$  is irreducible in a splitting field for  $\prod_{j < i} H_j(X)$ .

Then certainly each  $H_i(X)$  for  $i < n$  is irreducible in a splitting field for  $\prod_{j < i} H_j(X)$ . By the induction hypothesis we may conclude therefore that  $A_{n-1}$  is a field. We have already shown that if  $A_{n-1}$  is a field it is necessarily a splitting field for  $\prod_{j < n} H_j(X)$ . Now  $A = A_{n-1} \otimes L_n$  is  $A_{n-1}$ -algebra isomorphic to  $A_{n-1}[Y]/(H_n(Y))$  by Lemma 1.3. Since  $H_n(X)$  is irreducible in  $A_{n-1}[Y]$  we conclude that  $A$  is a field.

The trivial observation that 2) implies 1) completes the proof of the proposition.

Prop. 1.5 motivates the definition of the radical group which we present next. An element  $[f]$  of  $H^2(C, U(F))$  gives rise to a chain of fields  $L_0 \subseteq L_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq L_{t-1}$  defined inductively in the following way. Let  $L_0 = F$ . When  $L_i$  has been defined, we then define  $L_{i+1}$  to be a splitting field for the polynomial  $h_{i+1}(X)$  over  $L_i$ , (see Remark 1.1).

We define  $R_{f,i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$  to be the maximal subgroup of  $E_i$  with the property that the image of  $[f_i]$  under the natural map  $H^2(E_i, U(F)) \rightarrow H^2(R_{f,i}, U(L_{i-1}))$  is trivial.

DEFINITION. *The radical group  $R_f$  of an element  $[f]$  of  $H^2(C, U(F))$  is defined to be the direct product  $R_{f,1} \times \dots \times R_{f,t}$  where the  $R_{f,i}$  are defined as above.*

Observe that the definition of  $R_f$  depends upon the order of the cyclic components  $E_i$  of  $C$ . However, the non-triviality of  $R_f$  shall be seen to be independent of the order of the cyclic components of  $C$  (see Theorem 1.10). Once the order of the  $E_i$  has been fixed, the definition of  $R_f$  depends only upon the cohomology class of  $f$ .

It is convenient to make the following definition now.

DEFINITION. Let the extension  $S$  of  $R$  have a separable residue class field extension, and let  $[f]$  be an element of  $H^2(G, U(S))$ . Then *the radical group  $R_f$  of  $[f]$*  is defined to be the radical group of  $[\bar{f}]$  where  $[\bar{f}]$  is the image of  $[f]$  under the natural maps

$$H^2(G, U(S)) \rightarrow H^2(G, U(\bar{S})) \rightarrow H^2(C, U(\bar{S}))$$

and  $C$  is the center of the first ramification group of  $S$  over  $R$ .

The following observation is immediate from the definition of the radical

group, since the higher ramification groups of a tamely ramified extension vanish.

**PROPOSITION 1.6.** *If  $S$  is a tamely ramified extension of  $R$ , then  $R_f = (1)$  for each element  $[f]$  of  $H^2(G, U(S))$ .*

The following example shows that  $R_f$  need not equal  $C$ .

**EXAMPLE 1.7.** Let  $R = Z[X]_{(2)}$  and  $S = R[\sqrt{2}]$ . Then  $S$  is a wildly ramified extension of  $R$  and  $C = (1, \sigma)$  is cyclic of order two. Let  $f$  be the element of  $Z^2(C, U(S))$  defined by  $f(\sigma, \sigma) = X$ . Then since  $h(Y) = Y^2 - X$  is irreducible over  $\bar{S} = (Z/(2Z))(X)$  we conclude that  $R_f = (1)$ .

The following proposition states necessary and sufficient conditions for the  $i^{\text{th}}$  component of the radical group to be trivial.

**PROPOSITION 1.8.** *The group  $R_{f,i}$  is trivial if and only if  $h_i(X)$  is irreducible in  $L_{i-1}[X]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $h_i(X) = X^{e_i} - a_i = (X^{m_i} - b_i)^{e_i/m_i}$  be a factorization of  $h_i(X)$  in  $L_{i-1}[X]$  with  $X^{m_i} - b_i$  irreducible. Note that  $b_i^{e_i/m_i} = a_i$ . We shall prove that  $R_{f,i} = (\sigma^{m_i})$  where  $\sigma$  is a generator of the cyclic group  $E_i$ . To show that  $(\sigma^{m_i})$  is contained in  $R_{f,i}$  we observe that  $f_i$  is cohomologous to the trivial 2-cocycle. For the order of  $(\sigma^{m_i})$  is  $e_i/m_i$  and  $H^2((\sigma^{m_i}), U(L_{i-1})) = U(L_{i-1})/[U(L_{i-1})]^{e_i/m_i}$ .

It remains to show that  $R_{f,i}$  is contained in  $(\sigma^{m_i})$ . Let  $\sigma^x$  denote a generator of  $R_{f,i}$ . Then  $a_i = c_i^{e_i/x}$  for some element  $c_i$  in  $U(L_{i-1})$ . From the inclusion  $(\sigma^{m_i}) \subseteq R_{f,i}$  it follows that  $e_i/m_i$  divides  $e_i/x$  so that  $(e_i/m_i)d = x$  for some positive integer  $d$ . The equalities  $b_i^{e_i/m_i} = c_i^{e_i/x} = (c_i^d)^{e_i/m_i}$  imply that  $b_i = c_i^d$ . Therefore  $X^{m_i} - b_i = (X^x - c_i)^d$ . Since  $X^{m_i} - b_i$  is irreducible over  $L_{i-1}$  we conclude that  $d = 1$  and so  $x = m_i$ . Therefore  $R_{f,i}$  is contained in  $(\sigma^{m_i})$ .

The group  $R_{f,i}$  is trivial therefore if and only if  $m_i = e_i$ , that is if and only if  $h_i(X)$  is irreducible in  $L_{i-1}[X]$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.9.** *The radical group  $R_f$  is trivial if and only if each polynomial  $h_i(X)$  is irreducible in a splitting field for  $\prod_{j < i} h_j(X)$  over  $F$ .*

*Proof.* The radical group  $R_f$  is trivial if and only if each cyclic component  $R_{f,i}$  is trivial. By Prop. 1.7, the group  $R_{f,i}$  is trivial if and only if  $h_i(X)$  is irreducible in  $L_{i-1}[X]$ . However, the field  $L_{i-1}$  was defined to be a splitting



field for  $\prod_{j < t} h_j(X)$  over  $F$ .

Now we may prove the main theorem of this section.

**THEOREM 1.10.** The following statements are equivalent

- 1) the crossed product  $\Delta(f, F, C)$  is semi-simple
- 2)  $\Delta(f, F, C)$  is a field
- 3) the radical group  $R_f$  is trivial

*Proof.* By Prop. 1.2, the crossed product  $\Delta(f, F, C)$  is  $F$ -algebra isomorphic to the tensor product  $A_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes A_t$  over  $F$  where  $A_i = F[X]/(h_i(X))$ . Combining the results of Prop. 1.5 and Prop. 1.9 we arrive at the desired equivalence.

The following corollary gives technical information about the radical of  $\Delta(f, F, C)$  in the case when  $\Delta(f, F, C)$  is not a field which shall be of use in Section 2. Let  $C = E_1 \times \cdots \times E_t$  be a decomposition of the Abelian  $p$ -group  $C$  into a direct product of cyclic groups; and for convenience of notation let  $E_0 = (1)$ .

**COROLLARY 1.11.** *If the crossed product  $\Delta(f, F, C)$  is not a field, then there exists an element of the form  $u_\tau - \delta$  in  $\text{rad } \Delta(f, F, C)$  where  $\tau \neq 1$  is in  $E_q$  for some  $q \geq 1$ , and  $\delta$  is in the subring  $\Delta(f, F, E_1 \times \cdots \times E_{q-1})$ .*

*Proof.* We assume as usual that the 2-cocycle  $f$  has been normalized in the sense of Abelian  $p$ -groups. The assumption that  $\Delta(f, F, C)$  is not a field implies that the radical group  $R_f$  of  $[f]$  is non-trivial according to the theorem. We may therefore consider the least positive integer  $q$  for which the component  $R_{f,q}$  is non-trivial. By the choice of  $q$  it is clear that the crossed product  $\Delta(f, F, E_1 \times \cdots \times E_{q-1})$  is a field which shall henceforth be denoted by  $L$ . Let  $\sigma$  denote a generator of  $E_q$ . Then the  $L$ -algebra map  $\psi : \Delta(f, F, E_1 \times \cdots \times E_q) \rightarrow L[X]/(h_q(X))$  induced by defining  $\psi(u_\sigma) = X$  is an  $L$ -algebra isomorphism. If  $h_q(X) = (X^m - b)^{e/m}$  is a factorization of  $h_q(X)$  in  $L[X]$  with  $X^m - b$  irreducible, then the fact that  $L[X]/(h_q(X))$  is not a field implies that  $m < e$ . The radical of  $L[X]/(h_q(X))$  is generated by the residue class of the element  $X^m - b$ , whose preimage in  $\Delta(f, F, E_1 \times \cdots \times E_q)$  under  $\psi$  is of the form  $u_\tau - \delta$  where  $\tau = \sigma^m$  and  $\delta$  is in  $\Delta(f, F, E_1 \times \cdots \times E_{q-1})$ . Note that  $\tau \neq 1$  because  $1 \leq m < e$ . The fact that  $\Delta(f, F, C)$  is a finitely generated commutative  $\Delta(f, F, E_1 \times \cdots \times E_q)$ -algebra now implies that  $u_\tau - \delta$  is in  $\text{rad } \Delta(f, F, C)$ .

**2.  $p$ -groups.** In Section 1 we noted that the crossed product  $\Delta(f, S, G)$  is a  $\Pi$ -principal hereditary order if and only if  $\Delta(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, G)$  is a semi-simple ring. The purpose of this section is to establish that  $\Delta(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, G_1)$  is semi-simple if and only if  $\Delta(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, C)$  is semi-simple, where  $C$  denotes the center of the first ramification group  $G_1$ . Our object of study in this section is therefore the crossed product  $\Delta(f, F, G_1)$  where  $G_1$  is a  $p$ -group with trivial action on a field  $F$  of characteristic  $p$ .

The notion of a splitting field of a cohomology class shall play an important role in Sections 2 and 3 by reducing questions concerning semi-simplicity to the case of a trivial crossed product.

**DEFINITION.** Let  $G$  be a finite group, and  $F$  and  $K$  fields such that  $K$  is a  $G$ -ring over  $F$ . Let  $[f]$  be an element of  $H^2(G, U(K))$ . Then an extension field  $L$  of  $K$  is called a *splitting field of  $[f]$*  if  $[f]$  is in the kernel of the natural map  $H^2(G, U(K)) \rightarrow H^2(G, U(L))$  induced by the inclusion of  $K$  in  $L$ . If  $L$  is a splitting field for  $[f]$  we say that  $L$  is a splitting field of the crossed product  $\Delta(f, K, G)$ . Finally, if a splitting field  $L$  of  $\Delta(f, K, G)$  is a purely inseparable extension of  $K$  we call  $L$  a *purely inseparable splitting field of  $\Delta(f, K, G)$* .

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ , and  $C$  an Abelian  $p$ -group with trivial action on  $F$ . Let  $[f]$  be an element of  $H^2(C, U(F))$ . Then the crossed product  $\Delta(f, F, C)$  has a purely inseparable splitting field  $L$ . In the case when  $\Delta(f, F, C)$  is a field we may take  $L = \Delta(f, F, C)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C = E_1 \times \cdots \times E_t$  be a decomposition of  $C$  into a direct product of cyclic groups. We may assume that  $f$  is normalized in the sense of Abelian  $p$ -groups, and write  $f = f_1 \cdots f_t$  where the element  $f_i$  of  $Z^2(E_i, U(F))$  is normalized in the sense of cyclic groups. Let  $a_i$  be an element of  $U(F)$  such that  $[f_i]$  corresponds to  $a_i \bmod [U(F)]^{e_i}$  under the canonical identification  $H^2(E_i, U(F)) = U(F)/[U(F)]^{e_i}$  where  $e_i$  denotes the order of  $E_i$ . Let  $L$  be the field obtained by adjoining the roots of the polynomials  $X^{e_i} - a_i$  to  $F$ . Then  $L$  is a purely inseparable extension of  $F$  and  $[f]$  is in the kernel of the map  $H^2(C, U(F)) \rightarrow H^2(C, U(L))$  induced by the inclusion of  $F$  in  $L$ .

In the case when  $\Delta(f, F, C)$  is a field let  $L = \Delta(f, F, C)$ . To verify that  $L$  is a splitting field of  $\Delta(f, F, C)$  it is sufficient to observe that  $X^{e_i} - a_i = (X - u_i)^{e_i}$

so that each polynomial  $X^{e_i} - a_i$  splits into linear factors in  $L[X]$ . Since  $L$  is a splitting field for the polynomial  $\prod (X^{e_i} - a_i)$  over  $F$  it is clear that  $L$  is a purely inseparable extension of  $F$ .

Let  $G_1$  be a  $p$ -group with trivial action on a field  $F$  of characteristic  $p$ . The main theorem of this section states that the crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(f, F, G_1)$  is semi-simple if and only if  $\mathcal{A}(f, F, C)$  is a field where  $C$  is the center of  $G_1$ . The proof involves an inductive process.

Consider the following chain of subgroups of the  $p$ -group  $G_1$

$$C_n \supset \dots \supset C_i \supset \dots \supset C_0 \supset C_{-1}$$

where the groups  $C_i$  are defined inductively in the following way. Let  $C_{-1} = (1)$ . When  $C_i$  has been defined, we then define  $C_{i+1}$  to be the preimage of  $\overline{C_{i+1}}$  in  $G_1$  where  $\overline{C_{i+1}}$  is the center of  $G_1/C_i$ . Note that  $C_0 = C$  where  $C$  is the center of  $G_1$ , and  $C_n = G_1$ . It is easy to verify that each  $C_i$  is a normal subgroup of  $G_1$ . Furthermore, each inclusion  $C_i \subset C_{i+1}$  is strict since  $G_1$  is a  $p$ -group. The following lemma states a property of the subgroups  $C_i$  which shall be useful later in this section.

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $\rho$  be an element of  $C_k/C_{k-2}$  not in the subgroup  $C_{k-1}/C_{k-2}$ . Then there exists an element  $\tau$  in  $G_1/C_{k-2}$  such that the commutator  $c = \tau\rho\tau^{-1}\rho^{-1}$  is in  $C_{k-1}/C_{k-2}$  and  $c \neq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\tau\rho = \rho\tau$  for all elements  $\tau$  in  $G_1/C_{k-2}$ . Since  $C_{k-1}/C_{k-2}$  is by definition the center of  $G_1/C_{k-2}$  it would then follow that  $\rho$  is in  $C_{k-1}/C_{k-2}$  which contradicts the assumption on  $\rho$ . Therefore we may consider an element  $\tau$  of  $G_1/C_{k-2}$  such that  $\tau\rho \neq \rho\tau$ .

Now the isomorphism  $(G_1/C_{k-2})/(C_{k-1}/C_{k-2}) \approx G_1/C_{k-1}$  together with the fact that  $C_k/C_{k-1}$  is the center of  $G_1/C_{k-1}$  implies that  $\tau$  commutes with  $\rho$  modulo  $C_{k-1}/C_{k-2}$ . Therefore  $\tau\rho = c\rho\tau$  for some element  $c$  in  $C_{k-1}/C_{k-2}$  with  $c \neq 1$ .

The lemma concerning the existence of purely inseparable splitting fields shall be used to prove the next proposition.

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *Let  $G_1$  be a  $p$ -group with trivial action on a field  $F$  of characteristic  $p$ , and let  $[f]$  be an element of  $H^2(G_1, U(F))$ . Then there exists a chain of fields*

$$F = L_0 \subset L_1 \subset \dots \subset L_i \subset \dots \subset L_n$$

and 2-cocycles  $g_i$  in  $Z^2(G_1, U(L_i))$  such that

- 1) each extension  $L_i \subset L_{i+1}$  is purely inseparable
- 2)  $g_i$  is cohomologous to the image of  $f$  in  $Z^2(G_1, U(L_i))$  for each  $i$
- 3) each  $g_i$  is in the image of the inflation map  $Z^2(G_1/C_{i-1}, U(L_i)) \rightarrow Z^2(G_1, U(L_i))$

*Proof.* The construction of the fields  $L_i$  and the 2-cocycles  $g_i$  is done inductively. Let  $L_0 = F$  and  $g_0 = f$ . It is clear that  $L_0$  and  $g_0$  satisfy statements 1), 2), and 3). When  $L_i$  and  $g_i$  have been defined, we then define  $L_{i+1}$  and  $g_{i+1}$  in the following way.

For convenience of notation we denote the preimage of  $g_i$  in  $Z^2(G_1/C_{i-1}, U(L_i))$  by  $\hat{g}_i$  also. Then the field  $L_{i+1}$  is defined to be a purely inseparable splitting field for the crossed product  $\Delta(\hat{g}_i, L_i, C_i/C_{i-1})$ . The existence of such a field  $L_{i+1}$  is guaranteed by Lemma 2.1; when  $\Delta(\hat{g}_i, L_i, C_i/C_{i-1})$  is a field we take  $L_{i+1} = \Delta(\hat{g}_i, L_i, C_i/C_{i-1})$ .

We next use  $L_{i+1}$  in order to define the 2-cocycle  $g_{i+1}$ . Let  $\hat{g}_i$  denote the image of  $g_i$  in  $Z^2(G_1, U(L_{i+1}))$  under the map of  $Z^2(G_1, U(L_i))$  into  $Z^2(G_1, U(L_{i+1}))$  induced by the inclusion of  $L_i$  in  $L_{i+1}$ . From the definition of  $L_{i+1}$  it follows that the preimage of  $[\hat{g}_i]$  in  $H^2(G_1/C_{i-1}, U(L_{i+1}))$  is trivial on  $C_i/C_{i-1} \times C_i/C_{i-1}$ .

Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H^2((G_1/C_{i-1})/(C_i/C_{i-1}), U(L_{i+1})) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & H^2(G_1/C_i, U(L_{i+1})) \\
 \downarrow \text{inf} & & \downarrow \text{inf} \\
 H^2(G_1/C_{i-1}, U(L_{i+1})) & \xrightarrow{\text{inf}} & H^2(G_1, U(L_{i+1})) \\
 \downarrow \text{res} & & \\
 H^2(C_i/C_{i-1}, U(L_{i+1})) & & 
 \end{array}$$

where the map  $\psi$  is induced by the second Noether isomorphism theorem. It may be verified from the definitions of the maps that the diagram is commutative. Furthermore, by Prop. A.7 we know that the column is exact. By diagram chasing we conclude that there exists a 2-cocycle  $g_{i+1}$  in  $Z^2(G_1, U(L_{i+1}))$  cohomologous to  $\hat{g}_i$  and in the image of the inflation map  $Z^2(G_1/C_i, U(L_{i+1})) \rightarrow Z^2(G_1, U(L_{i+1}))$ . Observe that since the map  $H^2(G_1/C_i, U(L_{i+1})) \rightarrow H^2(G_1, U(L_{i+1}))$  is an injection, we may assume that the preimage of  $g_{i+1}$  in  $Z^2(G_1/C_i, U(L_{i+1}))$  is normalized on  $C_{i+1} \times C_{i+1}$  in the sense of Abelian  $p$ -groups.

The notation used in the statement of Prop. 2.3 shall be in use throughout the rest of Section 2. The next object is to prove that each crossed product

$\Delta(g_i, L_i, C_i/C_{i-1})$  is a field whenever  $\Delta(f, F, C)$  is a field. In order to do this we present three lemmas. The first two are of a general nature and shall be referred to several times in the paper. The third lemma gives technical information about the  $g_i$  and  $L_i$  of Prop. 2.3.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let the extension  $S$  of  $R$  be an extension of Artin rings. Then  $(\text{rad } S) \cap R$  is a nilpotent two-sided ideal of  $R$ . Therefore  $(\text{rad } S) \cap R$  is contained in  $\text{rad } R$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $S$  is an Artin ring it is well known that  $\text{rad } S$  is a nilpotent two-sided ideal of  $S$ . It follows easily now that  $(\text{rad } S) \cap R$  is a nilpotent two-sided ideal of  $R$  and is therefore contained in  $\text{rad } R$ .

LEMMA 2.5. *Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $R$  a unitary commutative ring, and  $A$  a  $G$ -ring over  $R$ . Let  $G = \cup H\tau_i$  be a disjoint right coset decomposition of  $G$  relative to the subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ . If  $[f]$  is an element of  $H^2(G, U(A))$ , then the crossed product  $\Delta(f, A, G)$  is a free left  $\Delta(f, A, H)$ -module with free generators  $\{u_{\tau_i}\}$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly the set  $\{u_{\tau_i}\}$  generates  $\Delta(f, A, G)$  as a left  $\Delta(f, A, H)$ -module. In order to show that  $\{u_{\tau_i}\}$  is a free basis we shall show that if  $\delta = \sum \delta_i u_{\tau_i} = 0$  with the  $\delta_i$  in  $\Delta(f, A, H)$ , then  $\delta_i = 0$  for each  $i$ . Write  $\delta_i = \sum_h a_h^{(i)} u_h$  where each  $a_h^{(i)}$  is in  $A$  and each  $h$  is in  $H$ . Then  $\delta = \sum_i \sum_h a_h^{(i)} f(h, \tau_i) u_{h\tau_i}$ . The coefficient of  $u_{h\tau_i}$  is therefore  $a_h^{(i)} f(h, \tau_i)$ . Since  $\Delta(f, A, G)$  is a free left  $A$ -module with free generators  $u_\tau$  for  $\tau$  in  $G$ , and the  $f(h, \tau_i)$  are in  $U(A)$ , we conclude that  $a_h^{(i)} = 0$  for each  $h$  and  $i$ . Therefore  $\delta_i = 0$  for each  $i$ .

Denote the crossed product  $\Delta(g_{k-1}, L_{k-1}, C_k/C_{k-2})$  by  $\Delta_k$  and the crossed product  $\Delta(g_{k-1}, L_{k-1}, C_{k-1}/C_{k-2})$  by  $\Delta_{k-1}$ . Observe that  $\Delta_{k-1}$  is a subring of  $\Delta_k$ .

LEMMA 2.6. *The crossed products  $\Delta_k$  and  $\Delta_{k-1}$  satisfy the following rules*

- 1)  $u_\tau(\text{rad } \Delta_k)(u_\tau)^{-1} \subset \text{rad } \Delta_k$  for each  $\tau$  in  $G_1/C_{k-2}$
- 2)  $(\text{rad } \Delta_k) \cap \Delta_{k-1} \subset \text{rad } \Delta_{k-1}$
- 3)  $\Delta_{k-1}$  is contained in the center of  $\Delta_k$

*Proof.* In order to prove statement 1) we first observe that  $\text{rad } \Delta_k$  is a nilpotent two-sided ideal of  $\Delta_k$  since  $\Delta_k$  is an Artin ring. Using the fact that  $\text{rad } \Delta_k$  is two-sided together with the fact that  $C_k/C_{k-2}$  is a normal subgroup of  $G_1/C_{k-2}$  we can conclude that  $u_\tau(\text{rad } \Delta_k)(u_\tau)^{-1}$  is a two-sided ideal of  $\Delta_k$ .

And the nilpotency of  $u_\tau(\text{rad } \Delta_k)(u_\tau)^{-1}$  follows immediately from that of  $\text{rad } \Delta_k$ . Therefore, since  $u_\tau(\text{rad } \Delta_k)(u_\tau)^{-1}$  is a nil ideal of  $\Delta_k$  we know that it is contained in  $\text{rad } \Delta_k$ .

Assertion 2) follows immediately from Lemma 2.4.

We shall make use of Prop. A. 1 to prove that  $\Delta_{k-1}$  is contained in the center of  $\Delta_k$ . For let  $\lambda = \sum a_\rho u_\rho$  denote any element of  $\Delta_{k-1}$ , where the elements  $\rho$  are in  $C_{k-1}/C_{k-2}$  and the  $a_\rho$  are in  $L_{k-1}$ . Since  $C_k/C_{k-2}$  acts trivially on  $L_{k-1}$ , we know that  $\lambda$  is in the center of  $\Delta_k$  if and only if  $\lambda u_\tau = u_\tau \lambda$  for each element  $\tau$  of  $C_k/C_{k-2}$ . Now  $\lambda u_\tau = \sum a_\rho g_{k-1}(\rho, \tau) u_{\rho\tau}$ . On the other hand,  $u_\tau \lambda = \sum a_\rho g_{k-1}(\tau, \rho) u_{\tau\rho} = \sum a_\rho g_{k-1}(\rho, \tau) u_{\rho\tau}$  since  $C_{k-1}/C_{k-2}$  is in the center of  $C_k/C_{k-2}$  and  $g_{k-1}(\tau, \rho) = g_{k-1}(\rho, \tau)$  according to Prop. A. 1. Therefore  $\lambda u_\tau = u_\tau \lambda$  for every  $\lambda$  in  $\Delta_{k-1}$  and  $\tau$  in  $C_k/C_{k-2}$ , and so  $\Delta_{k-1}$  is contained in the center of  $\Delta_k$ .

It is in the next proposition that we make use of the fact that the extension  $L_{i+1}$  of  $L_i$  is purely inseparable for each  $i$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.7.** *If the crossed product  $\Delta(f, F, C)$  is a field then each crossed product  $\Delta(g_i, L_i, C_i/C_{i-1})$  is a field.*

*Proof.* The proof is by contradiction. Suppose therefore that not all the commutative rings  $\Delta(g_i, L_i, C_i/C_{i-1})$  are fields. By hypothesis,  $\Delta(g_0, L_0, C_0) = \Delta(f, F, C)$  is a field so we may consider the least positive integer  $k$  such that  $\Delta(g_k, L_k, C_k/C_{k-1})$  is not a field. We shall show first that the assumption that  $\Delta(g_k, L_k, C_k/C_{k-1})$  is not semi-simple implies that  $\Delta(g_{k-1}, L_{k-1}, C_k/C_{k-2})$  is not semi-simple. Then we shall show that the semi-simplicity of  $\Delta(g_{k-1}, L_{k-1}, C_{k-1}/C_{k-2})$  implies the semi-simplicity of the crossed product  $\Delta(g_{k-1}, L_{k-1}, C_k/C_{k-1})$  and thus arrive at a contradiction.

We proceed to show that  $\Delta(g_{k-1}, L_{k-1}, C_k/C_{k-2})$  is not semi-simple. The first step is to establish a connection between  $\Delta(g_{k-1}, L_{k-1}, C_k/C_{k-2})$  and the commutative ring  $\Delta(g_k, L_k, C_k/C_{k-1})$ . It follows from Prop. A. 7 that the sequence  $(1) \rightarrow H^2(C_k/C_{k-2}, U(L_k)) \rightarrow H^2(C_k, U(L_k))$  is exact. Since  $g_k$  is cohomologous to  $g_{k-1}$  in  $Z^2(C_k, U(L_k))$  we conclude therefore that their preimages are cohomologous in  $Z^2(C_k/C_{k-2}, U(L_k))$  by some map  $\phi : C_k/C_{k-2} \rightarrow U(L_k)$ . Then the map  $\phi : \Delta(g_k, L_k, C_k/C_{k-2}) \rightarrow \Delta(g_{k-1}, L_k, C_k/C_{k-2})$  induced by defining  $\phi(au_\rho) = a\phi(\rho)u_\rho$  for  $a$  in  $L_k$  and  $\rho$  in  $C_k/C_{k-2}$  is an  $L_k$ -algebra isomorphism. The following diagram establishes the desired relation between the crossed

products  $\Delta(g_{k-1}, L_{k-1}, C_k/C_{k-2})$  and  $\Delta(g_k, L_k, C_k/C_{k-1})$ . Observe that the columns are exact.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Delta(g_k, L_k, C_k/C_{k-2}) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \Delta(g_{k-1}, L_k, C_k/C_{k-2}) \\
 \downarrow \alpha & & \uparrow \\
 \Delta(g_k, L_k, C_k/C_{k-1}) & & \Delta(g_{k-1}, L_{k-1}, C_k/C_{k-2}) \\
 \downarrow & & \uparrow \\
 (1) & & (1)
 \end{array}$$

Explicitly, the map  $\alpha$  is defined as follows. Let  $N$  be the left ideal of  $\Delta(g_k, L_k, C_k/C_{k-2})$  generated by the set of all elements of the form  $1 - u_\rho$  for  $\rho$  in  $C_{k-1}/C_{k-2}$ . The ideal  $N$  is in fact two-sided, and the natural map  $\Delta(g_k, L_k, C_k/C_{k-2})/N \rightarrow \Delta(g_k, L_k, C_k/C_{k-1})$  is an  $L_k$ -algebra isomorphism. Then  $\alpha$  is defined to be the composition of the natural maps

$$\Delta(g_k, L_k, C_k/C_{k-2}) \rightarrow \Delta(g_k, L_k, C_k/C_{k-2})/N \rightarrow \Delta(g_k, L_k, C_k/C_{k-1}).$$

Note that the preimage of  $\text{rad } \Delta(g_k, L_k, C_k/C_{k-1})$  is contained in  $\text{rad } \Delta(g_k, L_k, C_k/C_{k-2})$  since  $N$  is contained in  $\text{rad } \Delta(g_k, L_k, C_k/C_{k-2})$ .

Now let  $C_k/C_{k-1} = E_1 \times \cdots \times E_t$  be a decomposition of the Abelian  $p$ -group  $C_k/C_{k-1}$  into a direct product of cyclic groups. The assumption that  $\Delta(g_k, L_k, C_k/C_{k-1})$  is not semi-simple implies by Cor. 1.11 that there exists an element of the form  $u_{\bar{\tau}} - \bar{\delta}$  in  $\text{rad } \Delta(g_k, L_k, C_k/C_{k-1})$  where  $\bar{\tau}$  is an element different from  $\bar{1}$  in  $E_q$  for some  $q$  satisfying  $1 \leq q \leq t$  and  $\bar{\delta}$  is in  $\Delta(g_k, L_k, E_1 \times \cdots \times E_{q-1})$ . We may therefore consider an element  $u_\tau - \delta$  of  $\text{rad } \Delta(g_k, L_k, C_k/C_{k-2})$  in the preimage of  $u_{\bar{\tau}} - \bar{\delta}$ .

We now use the element  $u_\tau - \delta$  to produce a non-zero element  $x$  in the radical of  $\Delta(g_{k-1}, L_{k-1}, C_k/C_{k-2})$ . Write  $\psi(\delta)$  in the form  $\psi(\delta) = \sum a_\rho u_\rho$  where each  $\rho$  is in  $C_k/C_{k-2}$  and the elements  $a_\rho$  are in  $L_k$ . Now by the assumption on  $k$  we have taken  $L_k = \Delta(g_{k-1}, L_{k-1}, C_{k-1}/C_{k-2})$ , so we may consider the isomorphism

$$\theta : L_k \rightarrow \Delta(g_{k-1}, L_{k-1}, C_{k-1}/C_{k-2})$$

of subfields of  $\Delta(g_{k-1}, L_k, C_k/C_{k-2})$  which leaves  $L_{k-1}$  element-wise fixed. Define the element  $x$  of  $\Delta(g_{k-1}, L_{k-1}, C_k/C_{k-2})$  by setting  $x = \phi(\tau)u_\tau - \delta_1$  where  $\delta_1 = \sum \theta(a_\rho)u_\rho$ .

The next step is to show that  $x$  is in  $\text{rad } \Delta(g_{k-1}, L_k, C_k/C_{k-2})$ ; and to do this it suffices to show that the image  $\overline{\psi^{-1}(x)}$  of  $\psi^{-1}(x)$  in  $\Delta(g_k, L_k, C_k/C_{k-1})$

is in the radical. Since  $\Delta(g_k, L_k, C_k/C_{k-1})$  is a commutative Artin ring,  $\overline{\psi^{-1}(x)}$  is in the radical if and only if  $\overline{\psi^{-1}(x)}$  is nilpotent. In order to prove the nilpotency of  $\overline{\psi^{-1}(x)}$  we prove first that  $\overline{\delta}^P = \overline{\psi^{-1}(\delta_1)^P}$  where  $P$  is the degree of  $L_k$  over  $L_{k-1}$ . (Since  $L_k$  is purely inseparable over  $L_{k-1}$  we have the inclusion  $L_k^P \subset L_{k-1}$ .) Now  $\overline{\delta} = \overline{\psi^{-1}(\sum a_\rho u_\rho)}$  so that

$$\overline{\delta}^P = \sum [\overline{\psi^{-1}(a_\rho) \psi^{-1}(u_\rho)}]^P = \sum \overline{\psi^{-1}(a_\rho^P) \psi^{-1}(u_\rho^P)}.$$

On the other hand, using the fact that  $a_\rho^P$  is in  $L_{k-1}$  and is therefore left fixed by  $\theta$  we obtain the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\psi^{-1}(\delta_1)^P} &= \sum \overline{\psi^{-1}(\theta(a_\rho^P)) \psi^{-1}(u_\rho^P)} = \sum \overline{\psi^{-1}(a_\rho^P) \psi^{-1}(u_\rho^P)} \\ &= \overline{\delta}^P \end{aligned}$$

Since  $u_{\bar{\tau}} - \overline{\delta}$  is in the radical of an Artin ring, we have that  $(u_{\bar{\tau}} - \overline{\delta})^N = 0$  for some positive integer  $N$ . It is easy now to verify that  $\overline{\psi^{-1}(x)}$  is nilpotent. For  $\overline{\psi^{-1}(x)}^{PN} = [\overline{u_{\bar{\tau}} - \psi^{-1}(\delta_1)}]^{PN} = u_{\bar{\tau}}^{PN} - \overline{\delta}^{PN} = [u_{\bar{\tau}} - \overline{\delta}]^{PN} = \overline{0}$ . This concludes the proof of the assertion that  $x$  is in  $\text{rad } \Delta(g_{k-1}, L_k, C_k/C_{k-2})$ .

Since  $x$  is in  $(\text{rad } \Delta(g_{k-1}, L_k, C_k/C_{k-2})) \cap \Delta(g_{k-1}, L_{k-1}, C_k/C_{k-2})$  we conclude from Lemma 2.4 that  $x$  is in  $\text{rad } \Delta(g_{k-1}, L_{k-1}, C_k/C_{k-2})$ .

It remains to show that  $x$  is non-zero. It may be observed from the first part of the proof that  $\tau \not\equiv \rho \pmod{C_{k-1}/C_{k-2}}$  for any element  $\rho$  in the expression  $\delta = \psi^{-1}(\sum a_\rho u_\rho)$ , from which it certainly follows that  $\tau \not\equiv \rho$  for any such  $\rho$ . Since the crossed product  $\Delta(g_{k-1}, L_{k-1}, C_k/C_{k-2})$  is a free left  $L_{k-1}$ -module with free generators  $u_\sigma$  for  $\sigma$  in  $C_k/C_{k-2}$  we conclude that  $x \not\equiv 0$ . Therefore  $\Delta(g_{k-1}, L_{k-1}, C_k/C_{k-2})$  is not semi-simple, and this concludes the first part of the proof.

The rest of the proof involves showing that the semi-simplicity of  $\Delta(g_{k-1}, L_{k-1}, C_{k-1}/C_{k-2})$  implies the semi-simplicity of  $\Delta(g_{k-1}, L_{k-1}, C_k/C_{k-2})$ . As in Lemma 2.6 we use the notation  $\Delta_{k-1} = \Delta(g_{k-1}, L_{k-1}, C_{k-1}/C_{k-2})$  and  $\Delta_k = \Delta(g_{k-1}, L_{k-1}, C_k/C_{k-2})$ . Let  $C_k/C_{k-2} = \bigcup_i (C_{k-1}/C_{k-2})\rho_i$  be a disjoint right coset decomposition of  $C_k/C_{k-2}$  relative to the subgroup  $C_{k-1}/C_{k-2}$ . Then an element  $\delta$  of  $\Delta_k$  can be written uniquely in the form  $\delta = \sum_{i=1}^{t(\delta)} \delta_i u_{\rho_i}$  where each  $\delta_i$  is in  $\Delta_{k-1}$ . We may assume that  $\rho_1 = 1$ .

The proof that  $\Delta_k$  is semi-simple is by induction on  $t(\delta)$ . If  $t(\delta) = 1$ , then  $\delta$  is in  $(\text{rad } \Delta_k) \cap \Delta_{k-1}$ , so that  $\delta$  is in  $\text{rad } \Delta_{k-1}$  by Lemma 2.6. Since  $\Delta_{k-1}$  is semi-simple we conclude that  $\delta = 0$ . Now let  $\delta = \sum_{i=1}^t \delta_i u_{\rho_i}$  be an element of  $\text{rad } \Delta_k$ ,



with  $\delta_t \neq 0$  and  $\rho_1 = 1$ . The induction hypothesis states that if  $\gamma$  is an element of  $\text{rad } \mathcal{A}_k$  and  $t(\gamma) < t$ , then  $\gamma = 0$ . Consider the element  $\rho_t$  of  $C_k/C_{k-2}$ . By Lemma 2.2 there exists an element  $\tau$  in  $G_1/C_{k-2}$  such that  $\tau\rho_t\tau^{-1}\rho_t^{-1} = c_t$  is in  $C_{k-1}/C_{k-2}$  and  $c_t \neq 1$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq t-1$ , let  $c_i$  be defined by  $c_i = \tau\rho_i\tau^{-1}\rho_i^{-1}$  and observe that each  $c_i$  is in  $C_{k-1}/C_{k-2}$ .

Now form the element  $\gamma = \delta - u_\tau \delta(u_\tau)^{-1}$ . By Lemma 2.6 it follows that  $\gamma$  is in  $\text{rad } \mathcal{A}_k$ . Using the fact that  $\mathcal{A}_{k-1}$  is contained in the center of  $\mathcal{A}_k$  together with the definition of the  $c_i$  one may obtain the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= \sum_{i=1}^t \delta_i u_{\rho_i} - u_\tau \left( \sum_{i=1}^t \delta_i u_{\rho_i} \right) (u_\tau)^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^t \delta_i \left[ 1 - \frac{g_{k-1}(\tau, \rho_i) g_{k-1}(\tau \rho_i, \tau^{-1})}{g_{k-1}(\tau, \tau^{-1}) g_{k-1}(c_i, \rho_i)} u_{c_i} \right] u_{\rho_i} \end{aligned}$$

For convenience of notation, let  $\lambda_i = 1 - \frac{g_{k-1}(\tau, \rho_i) g_{k-1}(\tau \rho_i, \tau^{-1})}{g_{k-1}(\tau, \tau^{-1}) g_{k-1}(c_i, \rho_i)} u_{c_i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , and note that each  $\lambda_i$  is in  $\mathcal{A}_{k-1}$ . It is easy to check that  $c_1 = 1$  and  $\lambda_1 = 0$  since  $\rho_1 = 1$ . Therefore  $\gamma = \sum_{i=2}^t (\delta_i \lambda_i) u_{\rho_i}$  with  $\delta_i \lambda_i$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{k-1}$  for  $2 \leq i \leq t$ . Hence  $\gamma$  is an element of  $\text{rad } \mathcal{A}_k$  such that  $t(\gamma) < t$ . By the induction hypothesis we may conclude that  $\gamma = 0$ , and so  $\delta_i \lambda_i = 0$  for  $2 \leq i \leq t$ . But  $\lambda_i \neq 0$  since  $c_i \neq 1$ , so that  $\delta_i = 0$  because  $\mathcal{A}_{k-1}$  is a field. This contradicts the assumption that  $\delta_t \neq 0$ . Therefore  $\text{rad } \mathcal{A}_k = (0)$ , and so  $\mathcal{A}_k$  is semi-simple.

LEMMA 2.8. *If the crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(g_i, L_i, C_i/C_{i-1})$  is a field for some  $i$ , then the radical of  $\mathcal{A}(g_i, L_i, C_i)$  is generated as a right ideal by the radical of  $\mathcal{A}(1, L_i, C_{i-1})$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that  $g_i = 1$  on  $C_{i-1} \times C_{i-1}$ . Let  $N$  denote the right ideal of the trivial crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(1, L_i, C_{i-1})$  generated by the set of all elements of the form  $1 - u_\sigma$  with  $\sigma$  in  $C_{i-1}$ . It follows at once from the exercise on p. 435 of [9] that  $N$  is the radical of  $\mathcal{A}(1, L_i, C_{i-1})$ . Therefore Lemma 1.4 now implies that  $N\mathcal{A}(g_i, L_i, C_i)$  is contained in  $\text{rad } \mathcal{A}(g_i, L_i, C_i)$ . In order to conclude that  $N\mathcal{A}(g_i, L_i, C_i)$  is the radical of  $\mathcal{A}(g_i, L_i, C_i)$ , observe that the factor ring  $\mathcal{A}(g_i, L_i, C_i)/N\mathcal{A}(g_i, L_i, C_i)$  is isomorphic to the crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(g_i, L_i, C_i/C_{i-1})$  and is therefore simple by hypothesis.

PROPOSITION 2.9. *Let  $G_1$  be a  $p$ -group with trivial action on a field  $F$  of characteristic  $p$ . Then the crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(f, F, G_1)$  is semi-simple if and only if  $\mathcal{A}(f, F, C)$  is a field where  $C$  denotes the center of  $G_1$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{A}(f, F, G_1)$  is semi-simple, the fact that  $\text{rad } \mathcal{A}(f, F, C)$  is contained in  $\text{rad } \mathcal{A}(f, F, G_1)$  (see Lemma 1.4) implies that  $\mathcal{A}(f, F, C)$  is also semi-simple. Therefore  $\mathcal{A}(f, F, C)$  is a field according to Theorem 1.10.

To prove the assertion in the other direction recall first of all that the assumption that  $\mathcal{A}(f, F, C)$  is a field implies that each crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(g_i, L_i, C_i/C_{i-1})$  is a field by Prop. 2.7. We shall use this fact to prove inductively that  $\mathcal{A}(f, F, C_i)$  is semi-simple for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . Note that  $\mathcal{A}(f, F, C_0)$  is semi-simple by hypothesis. So suppose that  $\mathcal{A}(f, F, C_{i-1})$  is semi-simple. In order to prove that  $\mathcal{A}(f, F, C_i)$  is semi-simple consider the sequence of maps

$$\mathcal{A}(f, F, C_i) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(f, L_i, C_i) \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{A}(g_i, L_i, C_i) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(g_i, L_i, C_i/C_{i-1})$$

where  $\phi$  is the  $L_i$ -algebra isomorphism defined by  $\phi(au_\tau) = a\phi(\tau)u_\tau$  for  $a$  in  $L_i$  and  $\tau$  in  $C_i$ , and  $\phi : C_i \rightarrow U(L_i)$  is the map by which  $f$  is cohomologous to  $g_i$  in  $Z^2(C_i, U(L_i))$ . The other maps are the obvious ones.

Let  $\delta$  denote any element of  $\text{rad } \mathcal{A}(f, F, C_i)$ . We shall use the above sequence to prove that  $\delta = 0$ . By applying Lemma 1.4 we may conclude that  $\delta$  is in  $\text{rad } \mathcal{A}(f, L_i, C_i)$ , so that  $\phi(\delta)$  is in  $\text{rad } \mathcal{A}(g_i, L_i, C_i)$  since  $\phi$  is an isomorphism. According to Lemma 2.8 the fact that  $\mathcal{A}(g_i, L_i, C_i/C_{i-1})$  is a field implies therefore that we may write  $\phi(\delta)$  in the form  $\phi(\delta) = \sum n_i \delta_i$  where each  $n_i$  is in  $\text{rad } \mathcal{A}(1, L_i, C_{i-1})$  and each  $\delta_i$  is in  $\mathcal{A}(g_i, L_i, C_i)$ . Therefore  $\delta = \sum \phi^{-1}(n_i) \phi^{-1}(\delta_i)$ . From the definition of the isomorphism  $\phi$ , it follows that each element  $\phi^{-1}(n_i)$  is in  $\text{rad } \mathcal{A}(f, L_i, C_{i-1})$ . Consider now a disjoint right coset decomposition  $C_i = \bigcup_j C_{i-1} \rho_j$  of  $C_i$  relative to the subgroup  $C_{i-1}$ . Then each element  $\phi^{-1}(\delta_i)$  has a unique expression in the form  $\phi^{-1}(\delta_i) = \sum_j \lambda_j^{(i)} u_{\rho_j}$  where the  $\lambda_j^{(i)}$  are in  $\mathcal{A}(f, L_i, C_{i-1})$ . Therefore  $\delta = \sum_j [\sum_i \phi^{-1}(n_i) \lambda_j^{(i)}] u_{\rho_j}$ . The fact that  $\delta$  is in  $\mathcal{A}(f, F, C_i)$  implies now that  $\sum_i \phi^{-1}(n_i) \lambda_j^{(i)}$  is in  $\mathcal{A}(f, F, C_{i-1})$  for each  $j$ , so that  $\sum_i \phi^{-1}(n_i) \lambda_j^{(i)}$  is in  $(\text{rad } \mathcal{A}(f, L_i, C_{i-1})) \cap \mathcal{A}(f, F, C_{i-1})$ . It follows from Lemma 2.4 that  $(\text{rad } \mathcal{A}(f, L_i, C_{i-1})) \cap \mathcal{A}(f, F, C_{i-1})$  is contained in the radical of  $\mathcal{A}(f, F, C_{i-1})$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $\text{rad } \mathcal{A}(f, F, C_{i-1}) = (0)$ . Therefore  $\sum \phi^{-1}(n_i) \lambda_j^{(i)} = 0$  for each  $j$ , and so we conclude finally that  $\delta = 0$ .

**3. Hereditary orders.** Let  $S$  be the integral closure of a complete discrete rank one valuation ring  $R$  in a finite Galois extension of the quotient field of  $R$ , and let  $G$  denote the Galois group of the quotient field extension. Assume

moreover that the residue class field extension  $\bar{S}$  of  $\bar{R}$  is separable. The purpose of this section is to prove the main theorem of the paper, namely that the crossed product  $\Delta(f, S, G)$  is a  $\Pi$ -principal hereditary order if and only if the radical group  $R_f$  is trivial. (See Section 1 for the definition of radical group.)

The results of Sections 1 and 2 together imply that  $\Delta(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, G_1)$  is semi-simple if and only if  $R_f = (1)$ , where  $G_1$  denotes the first ramification group of  $S$  over  $R$ . The crossed product  $\Delta(f, S, G)$  is a  $\Pi$ -principal hereditary order if and only if  $\Delta(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, G)$  is semi-simple. Therefore our next object is to prove that  $\Delta(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, G)$  is semi-simple if and only if  $\Delta(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, G_1)$  is semi-simple.

The first step is to reduce the problem to the inertial case. For the sake of completeness we prove the following proposition which has already been established by Harada in [10].

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Let  $S$  be an integrally closed extension of a complete discrete rank one valuation ring  $R$ , and let  $G_0$  denote the inertia group of  $S$  over  $R$ . Let  $[f]$  denote an element of  $H^2(G, U(S))$ . Then the radical of the crossed product  $\Delta(f, S, G)$  is generated as a right ideal by the radical of  $\Delta(f, S, G_0)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Pi$  denote a prime element of  $S$ . Since  $\Pi$  is in  $\text{rad } \Delta(f, S, G)$  and in  $\text{rad } \Delta(f, S, G_0)$  it suffices to prove that the radical of  $\Delta(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, G)$  is generated as a right ideal by the radical of  $\Delta(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, G_0)$ . For convenience of notation we let  $\bar{\Delta} = \Delta(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, G)$  and  $\bar{\Delta}_0 = \Delta(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, G_0)$ .

Let  $U$  denote the inertia ring of  $S$  over  $R$ . Since  $\bar{S}$  is a purely inseparable extension of  $\bar{U}$ , the inertia group  $G_0$  acts trivially on  $\bar{S}$ . Furthermore,  $\bar{U} = \bar{R}(\theta)$  for some element  $\theta$  of  $\bar{U}$  since  $\bar{U}$  is a finite separable extension of  $\bar{R}$ .

Observe that the intersection  $(\text{rad } \bar{\Delta}) \cap \bar{\Delta}_0$  is contained in  $\text{rad } \bar{\Delta}_0$  under the natural injection of  $\bar{\Delta}_0$  into  $\bar{\Delta}$  according to Lemma 2.4.

Now we may prove the proposition. Let  $G = \cup G_0 \tau_i$  be a disjoint right coset decomposition of  $G$  relative to the normal subgroup  $G_0$ . Let  $\delta$  be an element of  $\text{rad } \bar{\Delta}$  and write  $\delta = \sum_{i=1}^{t(\delta)} \delta_i u_{\tau_i}$  where  $\delta_i = \sum c_h^{(i)} u_h$  with the  $h$  in  $G_0$  and the  $c_h^{(i)}$  in  $U(\bar{S})$ . Note that the elements  $\delta_i$  are unique by Lemma 2.5. We shall prove by induction on  $t(\delta)$  that each  $\delta_i$  is in  $\text{rad } \bar{\Delta}_0$ . For suppose that  $t(\delta) = 1$ . Then  $\delta = \delta_i u_{\tau_i}$  where  $\delta_i$  is in  $\bar{\Delta}_0$ . The element  $\delta(u_{\tau_i})^{-1}$  is therefore in  $(\text{rad } \bar{\Delta}) \cap \bar{\Delta}_0$ . By the above observation we conclude that  $\delta_i$  is in  $\text{rad } \bar{\Delta}_0$ .

Now let  $\delta = \sum_{i=1} \delta_i u_{\tau_i}$  be an element of  $\text{rad } \bar{\Delta}$  for which  $t(\delta) = t$ . The induction

hypothesis states that if  $t(\gamma) < t$  for an element  $\gamma$  of  $\text{rad } \bar{A}$  then each  $\gamma_i$  is in  $\text{rad } \bar{A}_0$  where  $\gamma = \sum \gamma_i u_{\tau_i}$ . Consider the element  $\alpha = \theta \delta - \delta \tau_t^{-1}(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} (\theta - \tau_i \tau_i^{-1}(\theta)) \delta_i u_{\tau_i}$ . Since  $\alpha$  is in  $\text{rad } \bar{A}$  and  $t(\alpha) < t$  it follows from the induction hypothesis that  $(\theta - \tau_i \tau_i^{-1}(\theta)) \delta_i$  is in  $\text{rad } \bar{A}_0$  for each  $i$  such that  $1 \leq i \leq t-1$ . Since  $G/G_0$  is the Galois group of  $\bar{U}$  over  $\bar{R}$  (see p. 32 of [5]) we have that  $\tau_i \tau_i^{-1}(\theta) = \theta$  if and only if  $i = t$ . Therefore  $\delta_i$  is in  $\text{rad } \bar{A}_0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t-1$ . Finally we observe that  $\delta_t u_{\tau_t}$  is in  $\text{rad } \bar{A}$  so that  $\delta_t = \delta_t u_{\tau_t} (u_{\tau_t})^{-1}$  is in  $(\text{rad } \bar{A}) \cap \bar{A}_0$  and hence in  $\text{rad } \bar{A}_0$ . Therefore  $\delta_i$  is in  $\text{rad } \bar{A}_0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$  and this concludes the proof.

As in Section 2 we shall use the notion of a splitting field of a crossed product to reduce computations to the case of a trivial crossed product.

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *Let  $f$  be an element of  $Z^2(G_0, U(S))$ . Then there exists a finite purely inseparable extension  $L$  of  $\bar{S}$  and a 2-cocycle  $g$  of  $Z^2(G_0, U(L))$  such that  $g$  is in the image of the inflation map  $Z^2(G_0/G_1, U(L)) \rightarrow Z^2(G_0, U(L))$  and is cohomologous to the image of  $\bar{f}$  in  $Z^2(G_0, U(L))$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on the number of ramification groups. Let

$$G_{\alpha(0)} \supset G_{\alpha(1)} \supset \cdots \supset G_{\alpha(n)} \supset G_{\alpha(n+1)} = (1)$$

be the sequence of (distinct) ramification groups of the extension  $S$  of  $R$ , observing that  $\alpha(0) = 0$  and  $\alpha(1) = 1$ . We first construct a chain of fields  $\bar{S} = L_0 \subset L_1 \subset \cdots \subset L_n$  and 2-cocycles  $g_i$  of  $Z^2(G_0, U(L_i))$  such that each  $L_{i+1}$  is a purely inseparable extension of  $L_i$ , and each  $g_i$  is in the image of the inflation map  $Z^2(G_0/G_{\alpha(n+1-i)}, U(L_i)) \rightarrow Z^2(G_0, U(L_i))$  and is cohomologous to the image of  $\bar{f}$  in  $Z^2(G_0, U(L_i))$ .

We define  $L_0 = \bar{S}$  and  $g_0 = \bar{f}$ . It is a trivial observation that  $L_0$  and  $g_0$  have the desired properties. When  $L_i$  and  $g_i$  have been defined, we then define  $L_{i+1}$  and  $g_{i+1}$  in the following way. For convenience of notation we denote the preimage of  $g_i$  in  $Z^2(G_0/G_{\alpha(n+1-i)}, U(L_i))$  by  $g_i$  also. Then  $L_{i+1}$  is defined to be a finite purely inseparable splitting field for the crossed product  $A(g_i, L_i, G_{\alpha(n-i)}/G_{\alpha(n+1-i)})$ . The existence of such a field  $L_{i+1}$  is guaranteed by Lemma 2.1, since  $G_{\alpha(n-i)}/G_{\alpha(n+1-i)}$  is an Abelian  $p$ -group with trivial action on  $L_i$ . By an argument entirely similar to that used in the proof of Prop. 2.3 we may conclude the existence of a 2-cocycle  $g_{i+1}$  in  $Z^2(G_0, U(L_{i+1}))$  which is in the image of the inflation map  $Z^2(G_0/G_{\alpha(n-i)}, U(L_{i+1})) \rightarrow Z^2(G_0, U(L_{i+1}))$  and

is cohomologous to the image of  $\bar{f}$  in  $Z^2(G_0, U(L_{i+1}))$ . We may prove the proposition now by taking  $L = L_n$  and  $g = g_n$ .

The notation established in Prop. 3.2 shall be used throughout the rest of Section 3.

**PROPOSITION 3.3.** *The radical of the crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(g, L, G_0)$  is generated as a right ideal by the radical of  $\mathcal{A}(1, L, G_1)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $N$  denote the right ideal of  $\mathcal{A}(1, L, G_1)$  generated by the set of all elements of the form  $1 - u_\sigma$  with  $\sigma$  in  $G_1$ . Since  $G_1$  is a  $p$ -group and  $L$  has characteristic  $p$ , it follows at once from the exercise on p. 435 of [9] that  $N$  is the radical of the trivial crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(1, L, G_1)$ .

It remains to show that  $N\mathcal{A}(g, L, G_0)$  is the radical of  $\mathcal{A}(g, L, G_0)$ . Using the fact that  $g$  is in the image of the inflation map  $Z^2(G_0/G_1, U(L)) \rightarrow Z^2(G_0, U(L))$  together with the fact that  $G_1$  is a normal subgroup of  $G_0$ , one may conclude from the definition of  $N$  that the right ideal  $N\mathcal{A}(g, L, G_0)$  is equal to the left ideal  $\mathcal{A}(g, L, G_0)N$ . Lemma 1.4 now implies that  $N\mathcal{A}(g, L, G_0)$  is contained in  $\text{rad } \mathcal{A}(g, L, G_0)$ . To prove that  $N\mathcal{A}(g, L, G_0)$  is the radical of  $\mathcal{A}(g, L, G_0)$  it suffices therefore to show that the factor ring  $\mathcal{A}(g, L, G_0)/N\mathcal{A}(g, L, G_0)$  is semi-simple. Now  $\mathcal{A}(g, L, G_0)/N\mathcal{A}(g, L, G_0)$  is isomorphic to the crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(g, L, G_0/G_1)$  in a natural way. Since  $G_0/G_1$  acts trivially on  $L$ , and the order of  $G_0/G_1$  is relatively prime to the characteristic of  $L$ , it follows from Theorem 1.1 of [7] that  $\mathcal{A}(g, L, G_0/G_1)$  is  $L$ -separable and therefore semi-simple.

**PROPOSITION 3.4.** *The radical of the crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, G_0)$  is generated as a right ideal by the radical of  $\mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, G_1)$ .*

*Proof.* The first step is to prove that the radical of  $\mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, L, G_0)$  is generated as a right ideal by the radical of  $\mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, L, G_1)$ . Consider the 2-cocycle  $g$  of  $Z^2(G_0, U(L))$  whose existence is established by Prop. 3.2, and let  $\phi : G_0 \rightarrow U(L)$  be the map by which  $\bar{f}$  is cohomologous to  $g$  in  $Z^2(G_0, U(L))$ . It is well known that the map  $\psi : \mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, L, G_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(g, L, G_0)$  defined by  $\psi(au_\tau) = a\phi(\tau)u_\tau$  for  $a$  in  $L$  and  $\tau$  in  $G_0$  is an  $L$ -algebra isomorphism. The radical of  $\mathcal{A}(g, L, G_0)$  is generated as a right ideal by the radical of  $\mathcal{A}(1, L, G_1)$  according to Prop. 3.3. Since  $\psi^{-1}[\text{rad } \mathcal{A}(g, L, G_0)] = \text{rad } \mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, L, G_1)$  we may conclude therefore that the radical of  $\mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, L, G_0)$  is generated as a right ideal by the radical of  $\mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, L, G_1)$ .

Now consider an element  $\delta$  of  $\text{rad } \mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, G_0)$ . It follows easily from

Lemma 1.4 that  $\delta$  is also in  $\text{rad } \mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, L, G_0)$ , so that according to the first part of the proof we may write  $\delta = \sum n_i \delta_i$  where each  $n_i$  is in  $\text{rad } \mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, L, G_1)$  and the  $\delta_i$  are in  $\mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, L, G_0)$ . Each element  $\delta_i$  has a unique expression in the form  $\delta_i = \sum_j \lambda_j^{(i)} u_{\rho_j}$  with the  $\lambda_j^{(i)}$  in  $\mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, L, G_1)$ , where  $G_0 = \cup G_1 \rho_j$  is a disjoint right coset decomposition of  $G_0$  relative to the subgroup  $G_1$ . Therefore  $\delta = \sum_j [\sum_i n_i \lambda_j^{(i)}] u_{\rho_j}$ . Since  $\delta$  is in  $\mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, G_0)$ , the fact that  $\mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, L, G_0)$  is a free left  $\mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, L, G_1)$ -module with free basis  $\{u_{\rho_i}\}$  implies that  $\sum_i n_i \lambda_j^{(i)}$  is in  $\mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, G_1)$  for each  $j$ . Therefore each  $\sum_i n_i \lambda_j^{(i)}$  is in  $(\text{rad } \mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, L, G_1)) \cap \mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, G_1)$ , which according to Lemma 2.4 is contained in  $\text{rad } \mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, G_1)$ . The fact that an element  $\delta$  of  $\text{rad } \mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, G_0)$  may be written in the form  $\delta = \sum_j [\sum_i n_i \lambda_j^{(i)}] u_{\rho_j}$  with each  $\sum_i n_i \lambda_j^{(i)}$  in  $\text{rad } \mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, G_1)$  establishes the assertion of the proposition.

Using Prop. 3.4 together with the results of Sections 1 and 2 we may now prove the main theorem of the paper.

**THEOREM 3.5.** *Let  $S$  be an integrally closed extension of a complete discrete rank one valuation ring  $R$  such that the residue class field extension is separable, and let  $[f]$  be an element of  $H^2(G, U(S))$ . Then the crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(f, S, G)$  is a  $\Pi$ -principal hereditary order if and only if the radical group  $R_f$  of  $[f]$  is trivial.*

*Proof.* The crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(f, S, G)$  is a  $\Pi$ -principal hereditary order if and only if the crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, G)$  is semi-simple. By Prop. 3.1 we know that  $\mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, G)$  is semi-simple if and only if  $\mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, G_0)$  is semi-simple where  $G_0$  denotes the inertia group of  $S$  over  $R$ . And the crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, G_0)$  is semi-simple if and only if  $\mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, G_1)$  is semi-simple according to Prop. 3.4, where  $G_1$  is the first ramification group of  $S$  over  $R$ . Prop. 2.9 implies in turn that  $\mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, G_1)$  is semi-simple if and only if  $\mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, C)$  is a field where  $C$  denotes the center of  $G_1$ . Finally, the fact that  $\mathcal{A}(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, C)$  is a field if and only if  $R_f$  is trivial (see Theorem 1.10) establishes the assertion of the theorem.

We obtain at once from Theorem 3.5 the following result which has already been proved by Harada (see Theorem 2 of [10]).

**COROLLARY 3.6.** *Let  $R$  be a complete discrete rank one valuation ring with perfect residue class field, and let  $S$  denote an integrally closed extension of  $R$ . If  $[f]$  is an element of  $H^2(G, U(S))$ , then the crossed product  $\mathcal{A}(f, S, G)$  is a  $\Pi$ -*

*principal hereditary order if and only if  $S$  is a tamely ramified extension of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* In the case when  $S$  is a tamely ramified extension of  $R$  it was proved in [7] that  $\Delta(f, S, G)$  is a  $\Pi$ -principal hereditary order.

We prove the assertion in the other direction by contradiction. So suppose that the extension  $S$  of  $R$  is not tamely ramified. Then the center  $C$  of the first ramification group  $G_1$  is non-trivial. Since  $\bar{R}$  is perfect,  $\bar{f}$  is cohomologous to 1 on  $C \times C$  by Cor. A. 5. From the definition of the radical group it now follows that  $R_f = C$ .

APPENDIX. COHOMOLOGY. In this appendix to the paper we present several general facts concerning cohomology which have application to the study of crossed products.

PROPOSITION A. 1. *Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ , and  $G$  a group which acts trivially on  $F$ . Suppose that  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are elements of  $G$  such that  $\sigma\tau = \tau\sigma$ . If the order of  $\tau$  is a  $p^t$  power, then  $f(\sigma, \tau) = f(\tau, \sigma)$  for every 2-cocycle  $f$  in  $Z^2(G, U(F))$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p^t$  denote the order of  $\tau$ . By the associativity property of  $f$  we obtain the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} f(\tau, \sigma\tau^{p^t-1})f(\sigma, \tau^{p^t-1}) &= f(\tau\sigma, \tau^{p^t-1})f(\tau, \sigma) \\ f(\tau, \tau^{p^t-1}\sigma)f(\tau^{p^t-1}, \sigma) &= f(\tau, \tau^{p^t-1}) \\ f(\sigma\tau, \tau^{p^t-1})f(\sigma, \tau) &= f(\tau, \tau^{p^t-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above equalities we obtain that

$$f(\sigma, \tau^{p^t-1})f(\sigma, \tau) = f(\tau^{p^t-1}, \sigma)f(\tau, \sigma).$$

We next obtain an expression for  $f(\tau^{p^t-i}, \sigma)$ . Write  $f(\tau^{p^t-i}, \sigma) = f(\tau^{p^t-i-1}\tau, \sigma)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p^t - 1$ . By combining the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} f(\tau^{p^t-i-1}\tau, \sigma)f(\tau^{p^t-i-1}, \tau) &= f(\tau^{p^t-i-1}, \tau\sigma)f(\tau, \sigma) \\ f(\tau^{p^t-i-1}, \sigma\tau)f(\sigma, \tau) &= f(\tau^{p^t-i-1}\sigma, \tau)f(\tau^{p^t-i-1}, \sigma) \end{aligned}$$

we get that

$$f(\tau^{p^t-i}, \sigma) = f(\tau, \sigma)f(\sigma\tau^{p^t-i-1}, \tau)f(\tau^{p^t-i-1}, \sigma) / f(\sigma, \tau)f(\tau^{p^t-i-1}, \tau)$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq p^t - 1$ . By repeated use of this equality it follows that

$$f(\tau^{p^t-1}, \sigma) = [f(\tau, \sigma) / f(\sigma, \tau)]^{p^t-1} \prod_{i=2}^{p^t} f(\sigma\tau^{p^t-i}, \tau) / f(\tau^{p^t-i}, \tau).$$

On the other hand, we may write  $f(\sigma, \tau^{p^i-i}) = f(\sigma, \tau^{p^{i-1}-1}\tau)$  and obtain an expression for  $f(\sigma, \tau^{p^i-1})$ . The associativity property of  $f$  implies that

$$f(\sigma, \tau^{p^i-i}) = f(\sigma\tau^{p^i-i-1}, \tau)f(\sigma, \tau^{p^i-i-1})/f(\tau^{p^i-i-1}, \tau).$$

By repeated use of this equality we obtain that

$$f(\sigma, \tau^{p^i-1}) = \prod_{i=2}^{p^i} f(\sigma\tau^{p^i-i}, \tau)/f(\tau^{p^i-i}, \tau).$$

Now we may conclude that  $f(\sigma, \tau) = f(\tau, \sigma)$ . For by substituting the above expressions for  $f(\tau^{p^i-1}, \sigma)$  and  $f(\sigma, \tau^{p^i-1})$  into the equality  $f(\sigma, \tau^{p^i-1})f(\sigma, \tau) = f(\tau^{p^i-1}, \sigma)f(\tau, \sigma)$  we get that  $[f(\sigma, \tau)]^{p^i} = [f(\tau, \sigma)]^{p^i}$ . Since  $F$  has characteristic  $p$ , we conclude that  $f(\sigma, \tau) = f(\tau, \sigma)$ .

**COROLLARY A.2.** *Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ , and let  $E$  and  $G_p$  be groups with  $G_p$  a  $p$ -group. If  $E$  and  $G_p$  act trivially on  $F$ , then the natural map*

$$H^2(E \times G_p, U(F)) \rightarrow H^2(E, U(F)) \times H^2(G_p, U(F))$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Define a map

$$\varphi : Z^2(E \times G_p, U(F)) \rightarrow Z^2(E, U(F)) \times Z^2(G_p, U(F))$$

by  $\varphi(f) = f_1 f_2$  where  $f_1$  is the restriction of  $f$  to  $E \times E$  and  $f_2$  is the restriction of  $f$  to  $G_p \times G_p$ . Then  $\varphi$  induces a well-defined map

$$\bar{\varphi} : H^2(E \times G_p, U(F)) \rightarrow H^2(E, U(F)) \times H^2(G_p, U(F)).$$

We shall show that the map  $\bar{\varphi}$  is a group isomorphism.

It follows from the definition of  $\varphi$  that  $\bar{\varphi}$  is a homomorphism of groups. We next observe that  $\varphi$  is an epimorphism. For let  $f_1 f_2$  be any element of  $Z^2(E, U(F)) \times Z^2(G_p, U(F))$ . Then define the map  $f : (E \times G_p) \times (E \times G_p) \rightarrow U(F)$  by  $f(\sigma_1 \tau_1, \sigma_2 \tau_2) = f_1(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) f_2(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  where  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are in  $E$  and  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are in  $G_p$ . It is easy to verify that  $f$  is an element of  $Z^2(E \times G_p, U(F))$  and that  $\varphi(f) = f_1 f_2$ . Since  $\varphi$  is an epimorphism we may conclude that  $\bar{\varphi}$  is an epimorphism.

It remains to show that  $\bar{\varphi}$  is a monomorphism. Since the order of each element of  $G_p$  is a  $p^h$  power, and  $E$  and  $G_p$  commute element-wise in  $E \times G_p$ , we know by Prop. A.1 that  $f(\sigma, \tau) = f(\tau, \sigma)$  for each  $\sigma$  in  $E$  and  $\tau$  in  $G_p$  where



$f$  is any element of  $Z^2(E \times G_p, U(F))$ .

We next prove that for each element  $f$  of  $Z^2(E \times G_p, U(F))$  there exists an element  $\hat{f}$  of  $Z^2(E \times G_p, U(F))$  cohomologous to  $f$  and such that  $\hat{f}(\sigma_1\tau_1, \sigma_2\tau_2) = \hat{f}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\hat{f}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  where  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are in  $E$  and  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are in  $G_p$ . Since each element of  $E \times G_p$  can be written uniquely in the form  $\sigma\tau$  with  $\sigma$  in  $E$  and  $\tau$  in  $G_p$  we can define a map  $\phi : E \times G_p \rightarrow U(F)$  by  $\phi(\sigma\tau) = f(\sigma, \tau)$ . Now define the 2-cocycle  $\hat{f}$  by  $\hat{f}(\rho, \omega) = f(\rho, \omega)\phi(\rho)\phi(\omega)/\phi(\rho\omega)$  for  $\rho$  and  $\omega$  in  $E \times G_p$ . Note that  $\hat{f}(\sigma, \tau) = 1$  whenever  $\sigma$  is in  $E$  and  $\tau$  is in  $G_p$ , since  $\phi(\sigma\tau) = f(\sigma, \tau)$  and  $\phi(\sigma) = \phi(\tau) = 1$ . We proceed to verify that  $\hat{f}$  has the desired property. Now  $\hat{f}(\sigma_1\tau_1, \sigma_2\tau_2) = \hat{f}(\sigma_1\tau_1\sigma_2, \tau_2)\hat{f}(\sigma_1\tau_1, \sigma_2)$  since  $\hat{f}(\sigma_2, \tau_2) = 1$ , so that it suffices to prove that  $\hat{f}(\sigma_1\tau_1\sigma_2, \tau_2) = \hat{f}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $\hat{f}(\sigma_1\tau_1, \sigma_2) = \hat{f}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ . The equality  $\hat{f}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\tau_1, \tau_2)\hat{f}(\sigma_1\sigma_2, \tau_1) = \hat{f}(\sigma_1\sigma_2, \tau_1\tau_2)\hat{f}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  implies that  $\hat{f}(\sigma_1\tau_1\sigma_2, \tau_2) = \hat{f}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\tau_1, \tau_2) = \hat{f}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  since  $\hat{f}(\sigma_1\sigma_2, \tau_1) = \hat{f}(\sigma_1\sigma_2, \tau_1\tau_2) = 1$ . On the other hand, the equality  $\hat{f}(\sigma_1\tau_1, \sigma_2)\hat{f}(\sigma_1, \tau_1) = \hat{f}(\sigma_1, \tau_1\sigma_2)\hat{f}(\tau_1, \sigma_2)$  implies that  $\hat{f}(\sigma_1\tau_1, \sigma_2) = \hat{f}(\sigma_1, \tau_1\sigma_2)$  since  $\hat{f}(\sigma_1, \tau_1) = 1$  and  $\hat{f}(\tau_1, \sigma_2) = \hat{f}(\sigma_2, \tau_1) = 1$ . But  $\hat{f}(\sigma_1, \tau_1\sigma_2) = \hat{f}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2\tau_1)$  and  $\hat{f}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2\tau_1)\hat{f}(\sigma_2, \tau_1) = \hat{f}(\sigma_1\sigma_2, \tau_1)\hat{f}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  together imply that  $\hat{f}(\sigma_1\tau_1, \sigma_2) = \hat{f}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ . Therefore  $\hat{f}(\sigma_1\tau_1, \sigma_2\tau_2) = \hat{f}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\hat{f}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

Now we may prove that  $\bar{\varphi}$  is a monomorphism. For suppose that  $f$  is a 2-cocycle for which  $\bar{\varphi}([f]) = [1]$ . Let  $\hat{f}$  be the 2-cocycle cohomologous to  $f$  and satisfying  $\hat{f}(\sigma_1\tau_1, \sigma_2\tau_2) = \hat{f}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\hat{f}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ , whose existence is established by the above. Then the fact that  $\bar{\varphi}([f]) = [1]$  implies that  $[\hat{f}_1] = [1]$  and  $[\hat{f}_2] = [1]$ . Let  $\phi_1 : E \rightarrow U(F)$  and  $\phi_2 : G_p \rightarrow U(F)$  be maps such that  $\hat{f}_1(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \phi_1(\sigma_1)\phi_1(\sigma_2)/\phi_1(\sigma_1\sigma_2)$  and  $\hat{f}_2(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \phi_2(\tau_1)\phi_2(\tau_2)/\phi_2(\tau_1\tau_2)$  where the  $\sigma_i$  are in  $E$  and the  $\tau_i$  are in  $G_p$ . Then the map  $\phi : E \times G_p \rightarrow U(F)$  defined by  $\phi(\sigma\tau) = \phi_1(\sigma)\phi_2(\tau)$  for  $\sigma$  in  $E$  and  $\tau$  in  $G_p$  satisfies  $\hat{f}(\sigma_1\tau_1, \sigma_2\tau_2) = \phi(\sigma_1\tau_1)\phi(\sigma_2\tau_2)/\phi(\sigma_1\tau_1\sigma_2\tau_2)$  from which it follows that  $[f] = [1]$ .

The following statement follows immediately from Cor. A.2.

**COROLLARY A.3.** *Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ , and let  $C = E_1 \times \dots \times E_t$  be a direct product of cyclic  $p$ -groups. If  $C$  acts trivially on  $F$ , then the natural map*

$$H^2(C, U(F)) \rightarrow H^2(E_1, U(F)) \times \dots \times H^2(E_t, U(F))$$

*induced by the restriction maps is an isomorphism.*

**DEFINITION.** Let  $C = E_1 \times \dots \times E_t$  be an Abelian  $p$ -group which acts trivially

on a field  $F$  of characteristic  $p$ . An element  $f$  of  $Z^2(C, U(F))$  of the form  $f = f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot f_t$  where each element  $f_i$  of  $Z^2(E_i, U(F))$  is normalized in the sense of cyclic groups (see p. 83 of [1]) is said to be *normalized in the sense of Abelian  $p$ -groups*.

According to Cor. A.3 we may always assume that an element  $f$  of  $Z^2(C, U(F))$  has been normalized in the sense of Abelian  $p$ -groups.

**COROLLARY A.4.** *Let  $G$  be a group which acts trivially on a field  $F$  of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ . If the subgroup  $D$  of  $G$  is an Abelian  $p$ -group, then for each element  $f$  of  $Z^2(G, U(F))$  there exists an element  $f'$  of  $Z^2(G, U(F))$  cohomologous to  $f$  and such that the restriction of  $f'$  to  $D \times D$  is normalized in the sense of Abelian  $p$ -groups.*

*Proof.* For convenience of notation let  $f_D$  denote the restriction of  $f$  to  $D \times D$ . By Cor. A.3 there exists a 2-cocycle  $f'_D$  of  $Z^2(D, U(F))$  cohomologous to  $f_D$  such that  $f'_D$  is normalized in the sense of Abelian  $p$ -groups. Let  $\phi_D : D \rightarrow U(F)$  be the map satisfying  $f'_D(\sigma, \tau) = f_D(\sigma, \tau) \phi_D(\sigma) \phi_D(\tau) / \phi_D(\sigma\tau)$  for  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  in  $D$ . Extend  $\phi_D$  to a map  $\phi : G \rightarrow U(F)$  by defining  $\phi(\sigma) = \phi_D(\sigma)$  if  $\sigma$  is in  $D$ , and  $\phi(\sigma) = 1$  if  $\sigma$  is in  $G - D$ . Then the element  $f'$  of  $Z^2(G, U(F))$  defined by  $f'(\sigma, \tau) = f(\sigma, \tau) \phi(\sigma) \phi(\tau) / \phi(\sigma\tau)$  has the desired properties.

**COROLLARY A.5.** *Let  $F$  be a perfect field of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ , and  $C$  an Abelian  $p$ -group which acts trivially on  $F$ . Then  $H^2(C, U(F)) = (1)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C = E_1 \times \dots \times E_t$  be a decomposition of  $C$  into a direct product of cyclic  $p$ -groups. By Cor. A.3 it suffices to show that  $H^2(E_i, U(F)) = (1)$  for each  $i$ . So consider an element  $[f]$  of  $H^2(E_i, U(F))$  and let  $a$  be an element of  $U(F)$  such that  $[f]$  corresponds to  $a \bmod [U(F)]^{e_i}$  under the canonical identification  $H^2(E_i, U(F)) = U(F) / [U(F)]^{e_i}$  where  $e_i$  denotes the order of  $E_i$ . Since  $F$  is a perfect field of characteristic  $p$ , it follows that  $a$  is an  $e_i^{\text{th}}$  power. Therefore  $a \equiv 1 \bmod [U(F)]^{e_i}$  and  $H^2(E_i, U(F)) = (1)$ .

The following lemma shall be useful in proving a statement concerning the exactness of a sequence of cohomology groups.

**LEMMA A.6.** *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -group and  $F$  a field of characteristic  $p$  upon which  $G$  acts trivially. Then  $Z^1(G, U(F)) = (1)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f : G \rightarrow U(F)$  be an element of  $Z^1(G, U(F))$ . We show first

that  $f(1) = 1$ . For by the associativity property of  $f$  together with the fact that  $G$  acts trivially on  $F$  we obtain the equality  $f(1) = [f(1)]^2$  so that  $f(1) = 1$ . Now let  $\sigma$  denote any element of  $G$  and let  $p^\ell$  denote the order of  $\sigma$ . Then  $1 = f(1) = f(\sigma^{p^\ell}) = [f(\sigma)]^{p^\ell}$  so that  $f(\sigma) = 1$  since  $F$  has characteristic  $p$ . Therefore  $f = 1$ , and so  $Z^1(G, U(F)) = (1)$ .

PROPOSITION A.7. *Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ , and  $G_p$  a normal subgroup of a group  $G$ . If  $G_p$  is a  $p$ -group which acts trivially on  $F$  then the sequence*

$$(1) \rightarrow H^2(G/G_p, U(F)) \rightarrow H^2(G, U(F)) \rightarrow H^2(G_p, U(F))$$

*is exact where the maps are inflation and restriction.*

*Proof.* Since  $G_p$  is a  $p$ -group and  $F$  has characteristic  $p$ , we know by Lemma A.6 that  $H^1(G_p, U(F)) = (1)$ . It now follows from Prop. 5 p. 126 of [5] that the above sequence is exact.

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] E. Artin, C. Nesbitt and R. Thrall, *Rings with Minimum Condition*, Michigan (1955).
- [ 2 ] M. Auslander and O. Goldman, *Maximal orders*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. **97** (1960), pp. 1-24.
- [ 3 ] M. Auslander and D. S. Rim, *Ramification index and multiplicity*, III. J. of Math., vol. **7** (1963), pp. 566-581.
- [ 4 ] M. Hall, *The Theory of Groups*, The Macmillan Co. (1959).
- [ 5 ] J. P. Serre, *Corps Locaux*, Paris, Hermann (1962).
- [ 6 ] E. Weiss, *Algebraic Number Theory*, McGraw-Hill Co. (1963).
- [ 7 ] S. Williamson, *Crossed products and hereditary orders*, Nagoya Math. J., vol. **23** (1963), pp. 103-120.
- [ 8 ] S. Williamson, *Crossed products and maximal orders*, Nagoya Math. J., vol. **25** (1965), pp. 165-174.
- [ 9 ] C. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras*, Wiley and Sons (1962).
- [10] M. Harada, *Some criteria for hereditary of crossed products*, Osaka J. Math., vol. **1** (1964), pp. 69-80.

*Regis College*

*Weston, Massachusetts*

