

# UNIQUE CONTINUATION FOR PARABOLIC EQUATIONS OF HIGHER ORDER

LU-SAN CHEN and TADASHI KURODA

1. Let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a point in the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space and let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the unit sphere  $|x| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right)^{1/2} < 1$ . In the  $(n+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space with coordinate  $(x, t)$ , we put

$$\Omega = \Omega_{T', T''} = \{(x, t) ; x \in \mathcal{D}, T' \leq t \leq T''\}$$

and

$$S = S_{T', T''} = \{(x, t) ; x \in \dot{\mathcal{D}}, T' \leq t \leq T''\},$$

where  $\dot{\mathcal{D}}$  denotes the boundary of  $\mathcal{D}$ . We also use the following notation :

$$\mathcal{D}_T = \{(x, t) ; x \in \mathcal{D}, t = T\}.$$

For real-valued functions  $h_1 = h_1(x, t)$  and  $h_2 = h_2(x, t)$  square integrable in  $\Omega$ , we put

$$(h_1, h_2) = (h_1, h_2)_\Omega = \iint_\Omega h_1 h_2 \, dx dt$$

and

$$\|h_1\|^2 = \|h_1\|_\Omega^2 = \iint_\Omega h_1^2 \, dx dt.$$

We denote by  $\mathfrak{B}$  the family of all the functions  $v = v(x, t) \in C^{2s}(\Omega \cup S)$  which vanishes on  $\mathcal{D}_T$ , and satisfies  $D_x^\alpha v = 0$  ( $|\alpha| \leq s-1$ ) on  $S$ . Here  $C^{2s}(\Omega \cup S)$  is the class of all functions  $2s$ -times continuously differentiable in (a neighbourhood of)  $\Omega \cup S$  and  $D_x^\alpha v$  is the derivative

$$\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} v}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}$$

of  $v$  for a multi-index  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  ( $\alpha_i \geq 0$ ) of integers with length  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$ .

2. Consider a differential operator

---

Received May 19, 1965.

$$(1) \quad L = A - (-1)^s \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$

defined in  $\Omega \cup S$ , where  $A$  is of the form

$$A = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2s} a_\alpha D_x^\alpha.$$

We assume that all the coefficients  $a_\alpha = a_\alpha(x, t)$  are  $s$ -times continuously differentiable in  $\Omega \cup S$  and are real-valued.

In this note, we shall prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Suppose that  $L$  is an operator of the form (1) and that  $A$  is uniformly elliptic in  $\Omega \cup S$ , that is, suppose that there exists a positive constant  $k_0$  depending only on  $A$  and satisfying, at every point  $(x, t) \in \Omega \cup S$ ,*

$$\sum_{|\alpha| = 2s} a_\alpha(x, t) \xi^\alpha \geq k_0 (\xi_1^2 + \cdots + \xi_n^2)^s$$

for any real vector  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ , where  $\xi^\alpha = \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \xi_n^{\alpha_n}$  for  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ .

If in  $\Omega$

$$(2) \quad (Lu)^2 \leq k_1 \sum_{|\alpha| \leq s} |D_x^\alpha u|^2$$

for some constant  $k_1$  and if  $u = 0$  on  $\mathcal{D}_{T''}$  and  $D_x^\alpha u = 0$  ( $|\alpha| \leq s - 1$ ) on  $S$ , then  $u$  vanishes in  $\Omega$ .

In the case when  $s$  is even, our theorem gives a backward uniqueness property of a solution of the equation  $(A - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})u = 0$ . If  $s$  is odd, our theorem gives a uniqueness of a solution of the boundary value problem for  $(A - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})u = 0$ .

Analogous theorems were given by many authors, Ito-Yamabe [3], Mizohata [7], Yamabe [10], Lees-Protter [5], Protter [9] and Edmunds [1]. In abstract way, such results were stated by Yosida [11], Lions-Malgrange [6] and Lees [4].

**3.** To prove the theorem, we prepare two lemmas which are analogous to Lees-Protter's estimates.

**LEMMA 1.** *Assume that  $A$  in (1) is uniformly elliptic in  $\Omega \cup S$ . If  $v$  is in  $\mathfrak{B}$ , if  $f = f(t)$  is in  $C^1([T', T''])$  and if  $g = g(t)$  continuous in  $[T', T'']$  has no zero, then there exist two positive constants  $k_2$  and  $k_3$  depending only on  $A$  such that*

$$k_2 \|fv\|_s^2 \leq \|fgLv\|^2 + ((k_3 f^2 - 2ff' + f^2 g^{-2})v, v) + \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_{T''}} f^2 v^2 dx,$$

where  $\|v\|_s^2 = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq s} \|D_x^\alpha v\|^2$ .

*Proof.* It is obvious that

$$(3) \quad (-1)^s 2(fv, fLv) \leq \|fgLv\|^2 + \|fg^{-1}v\|^2.$$

Since  $A$  is uniformly elliptic in  $\mathcal{Q} \cup S$ , it is easily proved in a manner quite similar to Nirenberg's [8] that Gårding's inequality [2] holds, that is, there exist two constants  $k_2$  and  $k_3$  depending only on  $A$  such that

$$k_2 \|fv\|_s^2 \leq (-1)^s 2(fv, fAv) + k_3 \|fv\|^2.$$

So we have

$$(4) \quad k_2 \|fv\|_s^2 \leq (-1)^s 2(fv, fLv) + k_3 \|fv\|^2 + 2\left(fv, f \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right).$$

As to the last term of the right hand side of this inequality, we see by integration by parts

$$2\left(fv, f \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right) = -2(fv, f'v) + \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_{T''}} f^2 v^2 dx.$$

Here we have used the assumption  $v \in \mathfrak{B}$ . From (3), (4) and this, we have our lemma.

**LEMMA 2.** *Suppose that  $v$  is in  $\mathfrak{B}$  and that  $f = f(t) \in C^\infty([T', T''])$  and  $g = g(t)$  continuous in  $[T', T'']$  have no zero. Then for a given operator  $L$  in (1), there exists a constant  $k_4$  depending only on  $A$  such that*

$$(fv, f''v) \leq \|fLv\|^2 + k_4 (\|fgv\|_s^2 + \|f'g^{-1}v\|_s^2) + \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_{T''}} ff'v^2 dx.$$

*Proof.* Putting  $u = fv$ , we see easily

$$(5) \quad -2\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, f'v\right) \leq \|fLv\|^2 - 2(-1)^s (Au, f'v) - \|f'v\|^2.$$

Obviously  $u$  is in  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Integrating by parts we get

$$(6) \quad -2\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, f'v\right) = ((ff'' - f'^2)v, v) - \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_{T''}} ff'v^2 dx.$$

Now we estimate the integral  $(a_\alpha D_x^\alpha v, f'v)$ . Repeated use of integration by parts and Leibniz' formula gives us

$$\begin{aligned} |(a_\alpha D_x^\alpha u, f'v)| &= |(D_x^\alpha u, D_x^\gamma(a_\alpha f'v))| \\ &\leq Mk_5 \|fgD_x^\alpha v\| \|f'g^{-1}v\|_s, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$ ,  $|\beta| \leq s$ ,  $|\gamma| \leq s$  and  $k_5$  is a constant depending only on  $s$  and  $n$  and further the constant  $M$  depends only on  $L$ . Hence it holds that

$$(7) \quad -2(-1)^s (Au, fv) \leq k_4 (\|fgv\|_s^2 + \|f'g^{-1}v\|_s^2)$$

for a constant  $k_4$  depending only on  $A$ . From (5), (6) and (7) we obtain the required.

4. Now we give the proof of Theorem.

Take two numbers  $\eta (> T'')$  and  $T_1$  ( $T' < T_1 < T''$ ) such that

$$(8) \quad k_1 \left(1 + \frac{K}{2}\right) (\eta - T_1) < \frac{k_2}{4},$$

where  $K = k_3(\eta - T_1) + 1$  and  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$  and  $k_3$  are constants appearing in Lemma 1 and the assumption of Theorem.

It is sufficient to show that  $u$  vanishes in  $\Omega_{T_1, T''}$ .

Let  $\varphi = \varphi(t)$  be a function infinitely many times differentiable in  $[T', T'']$  such that

$$\varphi = \begin{cases} 1, & T_2 < t < T'' \\ 0, & T' < t < T_1 (< T_2) \end{cases}$$

for some  $T_2$  fixed. Put  $w = \varphi u$ . It is evident that  $w$  is in  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $w = 0$  on  $\mathcal{D}_{T''}$ . Taking an integer  $m (> 0)$  and applying Lemma 1 for  $v = w$ ,  $f = (\eta - t)^{-m-1/2}$  and  $g = (\eta - t)^{1/2}$ , we have

$$(9) \quad k_2 \|(\eta - t)^{-m-1/2} w\|_s^2 \leq \|(\eta - t)^{-m} Lw\|^2 + K \|(\eta - t)^{-m-1} w\|^2.$$

Next we apply Lemma 2 for  $v = w$ ,  $f = (\eta - t)^{-m}$  and  $g = m^{1/2}(\eta - t)^{-1/2}$  and we get

$$\|(\eta - t)^{-m-1} w\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{m(m+1)} [\|(\eta - t)^{-m} Lw\|^2 + 2k_4 m \|(\eta - t)^{-m-1/2} w\|_s^2].$$

Substituting this into (9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} k_2 \|(\eta - t)^{-m-1/2} w\|_s^2 \\ \leq \left(1 + \frac{K}{2}\right) \|(\eta - t)^{-m} Lw\|^2 + \frac{2k_4 K}{m+1} \|(\eta - t)^{-m-1/2} w\|_s^2. \end{aligned}$$

The function  $w$  is identical with  $u$  in  $\Omega_{T_2, T''}$  and the assumption (2) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\eta - t)^{-m}Lw\|^2 &= \|(\eta - t)^{-m}Lu\|_{\Omega_{T_2, T''}}^2 + \|(\eta - t)^{-m}Lw\|_{\Omega_{T_1, T_2}}^2 \\ &\leq k_1\|(\eta - t)^{-m}w\|_s^2 + \|(\eta - t)^{-m}Lw\|_{\Omega_{T_1, T_2}}^2, \end{aligned}$$

whence follows that

$$\begin{aligned} k_2\|(\eta - t)^{-m-1/2}w\|_s^2 &\leq \left(1 + \frac{K}{2}\right)(\eta - T_2)^{-2m}\|Lw\|_{\Omega_{T_1, T_2}}^2 \\ &+ \left[ k_1\left(1 + \frac{K}{2}\right)(\eta - T_1) + \frac{2k_4K}{m+1} \right] \|(\eta - t)^{-m-1/2}w\|_s^2. \end{aligned}$$

This is valid for any positive integer  $m$ . We can choose an  $m_0$  such that

$$\frac{2k_4K}{m+1} < \frac{k_2}{4}$$

for any  $m \geq m_0$ . From this and (8), we have

$$\frac{k_2}{2}\|(\eta - t)^{-m-1/2}w\|_s^2 \leq \left(1 + \frac{K}{2}\right)(\eta - T_2)^{-2m}\|Lw\|_{\Omega_{T_1, T_2}}^2$$

for  $m \geq m_0$ . Restricting the integral of the left hand side over  $\Omega_{T_3, T''}$  for such a  $T_3$  as  $T_2 < T_3 < T''$ , we get

$$\frac{k_2}{2}(\eta - T_3)^{-2m-1}\|u\|_{s, \Omega_{T_3, T''}}^2 \leq \left(1 + \frac{K}{2}\right)(\eta - T_2)^{-2m}\|Lw\|_{\Omega_{T_1, T_2}}^2$$

or

$$\|u\|_{s, \Omega_{T_3, T''}}^2 \leq \frac{2}{k_2}\left(1 + \frac{K}{2}\right)(\eta - T_3)\left(\frac{\eta - T_3}{\eta - T_2}\right)^{2m}\|Lw\|_{\Omega_{T_1, T_2}}^2$$

for  $m \geq m_0$ . Making  $m$  tend to infinity, we see  $u = 0$  in  $\Omega_{T_3, T''}$ . Since  $T_3$  is arbitrary as far as  $T_2 < T_3 < T''$ , it is seen that  $u$  vanishes in  $\Omega_{T_2, T''}$ . Further,  $T_2$  is arbitrary as far as  $T_1 < T_2 < T''$ . So  $u$  vanishes throughout  $\Omega_{T_1, T''}$ . Thus our theorem is proved.

*Remark.* It is not difficult to see that, in our theorem, we can replace the assumption  $u = 0$  on  $\mathcal{D}_{T''}$  by the condition

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T''} \int_{\mathcal{D}_t} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\right)^2 dx = 0.$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. E. Edmunds: Two uniqueness theorems associated with parabolic differential operators, Journ. London Math. Soc., **39** (1964), 345-354.
- [2] A. Gårding: Dirichlet's problem for linear elliptic partial differential equation, Math. Scand., **1** (1953), 55-72.

- [ 3 ] S. Ito and H. Yamabe: A unique continuation theorem for solutions of a parabolic equation, Journ. Math. Soc. Jap., **10** (1958), 314-321.
- [ 4 ] M. Lees: Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of parabolic differential inequalities, Canad. Journ. Math., **14** (1962), 626-631.
- [ 5 ] M. Lees and M. H. Protter: Unique continuation for parabolic differential equations and inequalities, Duke Math. Journ., **28** (1961), 369-382.
- [ 6 ] J. L. Lions and B. Malgrange: Sur l'unicité rétrograde dans les problèmes mixtes paraboliques, Math. Scand., **8** (1960), 277-286.
- [ 7 ] S. Mizohata: Unicité du prolongement des solutions pour quelques opérateurs différentiels paraboliques, Mem. Coll. Sci., Univ. Kyoto, A **31** (1958), 219-239.
- [ 8 ] L. Nirenberg: Remarks on strongly elliptic partial differential equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **8** (1955), 648-674.
- [ 9 ] M. H. Protter: Properties of solutions of parabolic equations and inequalities, Canad. Journ. Math., **13** (1961), 331-345.
- [10] H. Yamabe: A unique continuation theorem of a diffusion equation, Ann. of Math., **69** (1959), 462-466.
- [11] K. Yosida: An abstract analyticity in time for solutions of a diffusion equation, Proc. Jap. Acad., **35** (1959), 109-113.

*Department of Mathematics,  
Taiwan provincial Cheng-Kung University, Tainan  
and  
Mathematical Institute,  
Nagoya University, Nagoya*