

# A MAXIMAL RIEMANN SURFACE

MARTIN JURCHESCU

We let the notations be as in [3]. Then, in the category  $\mathcal{G}$  of all bordered Riemann surfaces, the following inclusion diagram holds [3, Theorem 9]:

$$M_0 \subset O_{HB} \begin{array}{c} \subset O_{HD} \subset \\ \subset O_{KB} \subset \end{array} O_{KD} \subset M_2.$$

Further, from a theorem of Kuramochi [4] (see also Constantinescu and Cornea [2]), it easily follows that the class  $O_{AD}$  is not contained in  $M_2$ . On the other hand, it is well known that  $M_2$  (which equals  $O_{SB}$  for ordinary planar surfaces) is not contained in  $O_{AD}$  (see Ahlfors and Beurling [1]).

Now let  $\mathcal{G}_0$  be the subcategory of bordered Riemann surfaces without planar ideal boundary. Then  $\mathcal{G}_0 \cap M_2 = M$  = the class of all maximal bordered Riemann surfaces. Hence the question whether  $M$  is or not contained in  $O_{AD}$  naturally arises; it was first considered by Sario [5]. This note contains the negative answer to Sario's question.

Let  $X = R \cup B$  and  $X_0 = R_0 \cup B_0$  be two bordered Riemann surfaces. We recall that a continuous map  $f: X \rightarrow X_0$  is said to be *distinguished* if  $f(B) \subset B_0$ , and *proper* if, for any compact  $K_0 \subset X_0$ ,  $f^{-1}(K_0)$  is compact. Let  $M_1$  be the class of all bordered Riemann surfaces with absolutely disconnected ideal boundary.

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose there exists a distinguished proper conformal map  $f: X \rightarrow X_0$ . Then  $X \in M_1$  if and only if  $X_0 \in M_1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\beta$  and  $\beta_0$  be the nowhere disconnecting and 0-dimensional ideal boundaries of  $X$  and  $X_0$ . Then the spaces  $X^* = X \cup \beta$  and  $X_0^* = X_0 \cup \beta_0$  are compact and locally connected, and the sets  $\beta$  and  $\beta_0$  are nowhere disconnecting and 0-dimensional. By Lemma 2 in [3], the proper map  $f: X \rightarrow X_0$  can be extended to a continuous map  $f^*: X^* \rightarrow X_0^*$  satisfying  $f^*(\beta) = \beta_0$  and  $f^{*-1}(\beta_0) = \beta$ .

---

Received June 26, 1961.

For any  $x \in X$ , let  $o(x)$  denote the multiplicity of  $f$  at  $x$ . As  $f$  is conformal, proper and distinguished, there exists a natural number  $s$  such that

$$\sum_{x \in f^{-1}(x_0)} o(x) = s,$$

for any  $x_0 \in X_0$  [6, p. 126]. Let  $E$  be the set of all points  $x \in X$  for which  $o(x) > 1$ . Then  $E$  is discrete in  $X$ , and  $E_0 = f(E)$  is discrete in  $X_0$ .

Choose, in a parametric neighborhood on  $X_0$ , a disc  $\bar{U}_0$  which does not meet  $E_0$ , and let  $\bar{U} = f^{-1}(\bar{U}_0)$ . Then  $Y_0 = X_0 - \bar{U}_0$  is a normal neighborhood [3, Definition 8] in  $X_0$  of  $\beta_0$ , and  $Y = X - \bar{U}$  is a normal neighborhood in  $X$  of  $\beta$ . Let  $(Y_{0,n})_{n \in N}$  be a relative exhaustion [3, Definition 7] of  $Y_0$  such that  $\beta_{0,n}$  does not meet  $E_0$  for any  $n \in N$ , where  $\beta_{0,n} = \partial Y_{0,n} - \partial Y_0$  and where  $\partial$  stands for the relative boundary. Then  $(Y_n)_{n \in N}$  is a relative exhaustion of  $Y$ , where  $Y_n = f^{-1}(Y_{0,n})$ . Let  $\beta_n = f^{-1}(\beta_{0,n}) = \partial Y_n - \partial Y$ . Let  $\alpha_0$  be a subset of  $\beta_0$ ,  $\alpha = f^{*-1}(\alpha_0)$ ,  $\mu_{\alpha_0}$  the modulus of  $Y_0$  for  $\partial Y_0$  and  $\alpha_0$  and  $\mu_\alpha$  the modulus of  $Y$  for  $\partial Y$  and  $\alpha$  [3, Definition 13]. It will be proved that

$$\mu_\alpha = \frac{1}{s} \mu_{\alpha_0}.$$

Let  $\alpha_{0,n}$  be the minimal subcycle of  $\beta_{0,n}$  which separates  $\alpha_0$  from  $\partial Y_0$ . Then, since  $f^*$  is continuous,  $\alpha_n = f^{-1}(\alpha_{0,n})$  is the minimal subcycle of  $\beta_n$  which separates  $\alpha$  from  $\partial Y$ . Let  $u_{0,n}$  and  $\mu_{0,n}$  be the extremal function and the modulus of  $Y_{0,n}$  for  $\partial Y_0$  and  $\alpha_{0,n}$ , and let  $u_n$  and  $\mu_n$  be the extremal function and the modulus of  $Y_n$  for  $\partial Y$  and  $\alpha_n$ . By Lemma 8 in [3], we have

$$u_n = \frac{1}{s} u_{0,n} \circ f.$$

Hence  $\mu_n = \frac{1}{s} \mu_{0,n}$  and so, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\mu_\alpha = \frac{1}{s} \mu_{\alpha_0},$$

as asserted. From this equality it follows that  $\alpha_0$  is parabolic [3, Definition 14] if and only if  $\alpha$  is parabolic. In particular,  $\gamma_0 \in \beta_0$  is parabolic if and only if  $f^{*-1}(\gamma_0)$  is parabolic. But it is easily seen that the set  $f^{*-1}(\gamma_0)$  is finite. Thus  $\gamma_0$  is parabolic if and only if all  $\gamma \in f^{*-1}(\gamma_0)$  are parabolic [3, Corollary 4]. The theorem now follows.

*Remark.* An immediate corollary of Theorem 1 is the following statement :

If  $X_0$  is relatively planar and  $X_0 \in O_{SB}$  and if there exists a distinguished proper conformal map  $f: X \rightarrow X_0$ , then  $X$  is essentially maximal.

A direct proof of this statement, in the ordinary case, was given by Tamura [7].

**THEOREM 2.** *There exists a maximal ordinary Riemann surface  $X \notin O_{AD}$ .*

*Proof.* According to Ahlfors and Beurling [1, Theorem 16], there exists a planar ordinary Riemann surface  $X_0 \in O_{SB} - O_{AD}$ . As  $M_1 = O_{SB}$  for planar ordinary surfaces, this  $X_0$  belongs to  $M_1 - O_{AD}$ .

Let  $E_0$  be a discrete subset of  $X_0$  having the property that the closure in  $X_0^*$  of  $E_0$  is  $E_0 \cup \beta_0$ . Then there exists an ordinary Riemann surface  $X$  and a proper conformal map  $f: X \rightarrow X_0$  such that  $f^{-1}(x_0)$  contains a single point for any  $x_0 \in E_0$ , and such that  $o(x) = 2$  if  $x \in f^{-1}(E_0)$  and  $o(x) = 1$  if  $x \in X - f^{-1}(E_0)$ .

It is clear that  $X$  has no boundary components of planar type. As  $X_0 \in M_1$ ,  $X \in M_1$  by Theorem 1, and consequently  $X$  is essentially maximal. As  $X_0 \notin O_{AD}$ , it is easily seen that  $X \notin O_{AD}$ . Thus the proof is complete.

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] Ahlfors, L. and A. Beurling: Conformal invariants and function-theoretic null sets, Acta Math. **83**, 101-129 (1950).
- [ 2 ] Constantinescu C. and A. Cornea: Über den idealen Rand und einige seiner Anwendungen bei der Klassifikation der Riemannschen Flächen, Nagoya Math. J. **13**, 169-233 (1958).
- [ 3 ] Jurchescu, M.: Bordered Riemann surfaces, Math. Ann. **143**, 264-292 (1961).
- [ 4 ] Kuramochi, Z.: On the behaviour of analytic functions on abstract Riemann surfaces, Osaka Math. J. **7**, 109-127 (1955).
- [ 5 ] Sario, L.: Über Riemannsche Flächen mit hebberem Rand, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I. **50**, 1-79 (1948).
- [ 6 ] Stoilow, S: Leçons sur les principes topologiques de la théorie des fonctions analytiques, Paris, Gauthier-Villars (2nd ed) (1956).
- [ 7 ] Tamura, J.: On a theorem of Tsuji, Japanese J. Math. **29**, 138-140 (1959).

*Rumanian Academy*

