

# ORDERED SEMIGROUPS

PAUL CONRAD<sup>1)</sup>

**1. Introduction.** In this paper order will always mean linear or total order, and, unless otherwise stated, the composition of any semigroup will be denoted by  $+$ . A semigroup  $S$  is an *ordered semigroup* (notation o.s.) if  $S$  is an ordered set and for all  $a, b, c$  in  $S$

$$a < b \text{ implies } a + c < b + c \text{ and } c + a < c + b.$$

If in addition  $a + a > a$  for all  $a$  in  $S$ , then we call  $S$  a *positive ordered semigroup* (notation pos. o.s.). In particular an o.s.  $S$  is cancellative, and hence if  $e$  is an idempotent element of  $S$ , then  $e$  is the identity for  $S$ . Moreover, for  $a, b, c$  in  $S$  and  $n$  a positive integer we have the following rules

$$a > b \leftrightarrow a + c > b + c \leftrightarrow c + a > c + b.$$

$$a > b \leftrightarrow na > nb.$$

$$a > b \text{ and } c > d \rightarrow a + c > b + d.$$

Let  $I$  be an ordered set, and for each  $\gamma \in I$  let  $S_\gamma$  be an o.s. such that  $S_\alpha \cap S_\beta = \square$  (the null set) if  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . Consider  $a \in S_\alpha$  and  $b \in S_\beta$  where  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . Define  $a < b$  if  $\alpha < \beta$  or  $\alpha = \beta$  and  $a < b$  in  $S_\alpha$ . Define  $a + b = b + a = b$  if  $\alpha < \beta$  and use the addition in  $S_\alpha$  if  $\alpha = \beta$ . Then  $Q = \bigcup_{\gamma \in I} S_\gamma$  is an ordered set and a semigroup—the *ordinal sum of the  $S_\gamma$* . The  $S_\gamma$  are the *components* of  $Q$ .

In section 3 we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a semigroup  $S$  to be the ordinal sum of pos. o.s. (Theorem 3-1). We also show that if  $S$  is a pos. o.s., then there exists a rather natural  $o$ -homomorphism of  $S$  onto an ordinal sum of pos. o.s. each of which is  $o$ -isomorphic to a semigroup of positive real numbers. Cheheta [2] and Vinogradov [9] use an example of Malcev to show that an o.s. cannot necessarily be embedded in a group. Ore [8] has shown that if every pair of elements in a semigroup  $S$  has a common right multiple, then  $S$  can be embedded in a group  $G = \{a - b : a, b \in S\}$ .  $G$  is called

Received October 14, 1959.

<sup>1)</sup> This work was supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

the *difference group* of  $S$ . We show that if  $S$  is an o.s., then the order of  $S$  can be extended to an order of  $G$  in one and only one way. In section 5 we show that the order type of the set of all convex normal subgroups of  $G$  is determined by  $S$ .

**2. Embedding theorems.** Throughout this section  $S$  will denote an o.s.

**THEOREM 2-1.** *Suppose that  $S$  satisfies: (\*) for each pair  $a, b$  in  $S$  there exists a pair  $x, y$  in  $S$  such that  $a + x = b + y$ . Then there exists an  $o$ -group  $G$  such that  $G = \{a - b : a, b \in S\}$  and  $a - b$  is positive in  $G$  if and only if  $a > b$  in  $S$ . Moreover, if  $H$  is an  $o$ -group that contains  $S$  as an ordered subsemigroup and is generated by  $S$ , then there exists an  $o$ -isomorphism  $\pi$  of  $G$  onto  $H$  such that  $s\pi = s$  for all  $s \in S$ . We call  $G$  the *difference group* of  $S$ .*

This theorem is a corollary of a result of Ore [8] for integral domains. We outline the construction of an  $o$ -group  $G'$  that is  $o$ -isomorphic to  $G$ . Let  $T = S \times S$  and define that  $(a, b) \sim (c, d)$  if there exist  $x, y$  in  $S$  such that  $a + x = c + y$  and  $b + x = d + y$ . Then  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation. Denote the equivalence class containing  $(a, b)$  by  $[a, b]$ , and define that  $[a, b] + [c, d] = [a + x, d + y]$  where  $b + x = c + y$ . Then the set  $G'$  of all equivalence classes is a group,  $[a, a]$  is the identity,  $[b, a]$  is the inverse of  $[a, b]$ , and the mapping  $\tau$  of  $s$  upon  $[s + x, x]$  is an isomorphism of  $S$  into  $G'$ .

$[a, b] = [a + x, x] - [b + x, x] = a\tau - b\tau$ . Thus there is at most one way of extending the order of  $S$  to an ordering of  $G'$ . Namely, define that  $[a, b]$  is positive in  $G'$  if  $a > b$  in  $S$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the set of all positive elements in  $G'$ . If  $[a, a] \neq [b, c]$ , then  $b > c$  or  $b < c$  in  $S$ , and hence  $[b, c] \in \mathcal{P}$  or  $-[b, c] = [c, b] \in \mathcal{P}$ . If  $[a, b]$  and  $[c, d]$  belong to  $\mathcal{P}$ , then  $a > b$  and  $c > d$ , and  $[a, b] + [c, d] = [a + x, d + y]$  where  $b + x = c + y$ . Thus  $a + x > b + x = c + y > d + y$ , and hence  $[a, b] + [c, d] \in \mathcal{P}$ . If  $[a, b] \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $[c, d] \in G'$ , then  $X = [d, c] + [a, b] + [c, d] = [d, c] + [a + x, d + y] = [d + u, d + y + v]$  where  $b + x = c + y$  and  $c + u = a + x + v$ . To show that  $X \in \mathcal{P}$  it suffices to show that  $u > y + v$ . Pick  $r$  and  $s$  in  $S$  such that  $u + r = y + s$ . Then  $a + x + v + r = c + u + r = c + y + s = b + x + s$ . If  $v + r \geq s$ , then  $a + x + v + r > b + x + s$  because  $a > b$ . Thus  $v + r < s$ , and hence  $y + v + r < y + s = u + r$ . Therefore  $y + v < u$ .

Finally suppose that  $H$  is an  $o$ -group that is generated by  $S$ . Let  $[a, b]\pi' = a - b$  for all  $[a, b] \in G'$ . If  $[a, b] = [c, d]$ , then  $a + x = c + y$  and  $b + x = d + y$ .

Thus  $a - b = a + x - x - b = c + y - y - d = c - d$ , and hence  $\pi'$  is single valued.  $([a, b] + [c, d])\pi' = [a + x, d + y]\pi' = a + x - y - d$ , where  $b + x = c + y$ . Thus  $x - y = -b + c$  and  $a + x - y - d = a - b + c - d = [a, b]\pi' + [c, d]\pi'$ . If  $0 = [a, b]\pi' = a - b$ , then  $[a, b]$  is the identity of  $G'$ . If  $[a, b] \in \mathcal{P}$ , then  $a > b$  in  $S$  and hence in  $H$ . Thus  $[a, b]\pi' = a - b$  is positive in  $H$ .  $S \leq G'\pi' \leq H$  and, since  $H$  is generated by  $S$ ,  $G'\pi' = H$ . Therefore  $\pi'$  is an  $o$ -isomorphism of  $G'$  onto  $H$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

**COROLLARY I.** *S satisfies (\*) if and only if S can be embedded in an o-group  $G = \{a - b : a, b \in S\}$ .*

For suppose that  $G = \{a - b : a, b \in S\}$  and that  $a$  and  $b$  belong to  $S$ . Then  $-a + b \in G$  and hence  $-a + b = x - y$  for some  $x, y \in S$ . Thus  $b + y = a + x$ .

**COROLLARY II.** *Suppose that S satisfies (\*) and let G be the difference group of S. Then for a, b, c in S*

(a)  *$a - b = c - d$  if and only if there exist  $x, y$  in S such that  $a + x = c + y$  and  $b + x = d + y$ .*

(b)  *$a - b + c - d = a + x - (d + y)$  for all  $x, y$  in S such that  $b + x = c + y$ .*

(c)  *$a - b > c - d$  if and only if there exist  $x, y$  in S such that  $a + x > c + y$  and  $b + x = d + y$ .*

The equivalence of (i) and (ii) in the following corollary is well known and has been proven by Tamari, Alimov, and Nakada ([4] p. 309).

**COROLLARY III.** *For a commutative semigroup A the following are equivalent.*

(i) *A can be embedded in an o-group.*

(ii) *A can be ordered.*

(iii) *A satisfies the cancellation law, and  $na = nb$  implies that  $a = b$ , for all  $a, b$  in A and all positive integers  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly (i) implies (ii), and since any commutative o.s. satisfies (\*), (ii) implies (i). An easy argument shows that (ii) implies (iii). Finally assume that  $A$  is cancellative, and let  $G = \{a - b : a, b \in A\}$  be the difference group of  $A$ . If  $x = a - b \in G$  and  $nx = 0$ , then  $0 = nx = na - nb$ , and hence  $na = nb$ . Thus by (iii)  $a = b$ , and  $0 = a - b = x$ . Therefore (iii) implies that the difference group  $G$  of  $A$  exists and is abelian and torsion free. But this means that  $G$  can be ordered (see for example [7]).

Suppose that  $A$  is a cancellative commutative semigroup with identity  $0$ . Then if  $A$  can be ordered, it is torsion free, but the converse is false. For consider the semigroup  $B = N \oplus N$ , where  $N$  is the additive semigroup of non-negative integers. For  $(a, b)$  and  $(c, d)$  in  $B$  define that  $(a, b) \sim (c, d)$  if  $a \equiv c \pmod{2}$ ,  $b \equiv d \pmod{2}$  and  $a + b = c + d$ . Then it is easy to show that  $\sim$  is a congruence relation. Let  $[a, b]$  be the congruence class that contains  $(a, b)$ .  $B/\sim = \{[a, b] : a, b \in N\} = \{[2n, 0], [2n+1, 0], [0, 2n+1]$  and  $[2n+1, 1]$  for all  $n \in N\}$  is a commutative semigroup with identity  $[0, 0]$ . It is easy to show that  $B/\sim$  satisfies the cancellation law and is torsion free, but  $2[1, 1] = 2[0, 2]$  and  $[1, 1] \neq [0, 2]$ . Thus (iii) of the last corollary is not satisfied, and hence  $B/\sim$  cannot be ordered.

Let  $P = \{x \in S : x + x > x\}$  and  $N = \{x \in S : x + x < x\}$ . The following five propositions are easy to verify (or see [1] for proofs).

- 1)  $P = \{x \in S : x + s > s \text{ for all } s \in S\} = \{x \in S : s + x > s \text{ for all } s \in S\}$ .
- 2)  $N = \{x \in S : x + s < s \text{ for all } s \in S\} = \{x \in S : s + x < s \text{ for all } s \in S\}$ .
- 3)  $P$  and  $N$  are subsemigroups of  $S$ .
- 4)  $N < P$ . That is,  $n < p$  for all  $n \in N$  and all  $p \in P$ .

5) If  $S$  does not have an identity, then  $S = N \cup P$  and an identity  $0$  can be adjoined to  $S$  so that  $T = S \cup \{0\}$  is a semigroup. Moreover, the order of  $S$  can be extended to an order of  $T$  in one and only one way, namely  $N < 0 < P$ . If we adjoin an identity to a pos. o.s. we shall call the result a pos. o.s. *with zero*. An o.s.  $S$  is *naturally ordered* if for all  $a, b$  in  $S$

- (R)  $a > b$  implies  $a = b + x$  for some  $x$  in  $S$ , and
- (L)  $a > b$  implies  $a = x + b$  for some  $x$  in  $S$ .

Note that a pos. o.s.  $P$  satisfies (R) if and only if  $b + P = \{a \in P : a > b\}$  for all  $b$  in  $P$ .

**THEOREM 2-2.** *If  $S$  satisfies (R), then  $S$  satisfies (\*) and hence  $S$  is an ordered subsemigroup of its difference group  $G$ . If  $S$  is naturally ordered, then  $S$  contains the semigroup of all positive elements of  $G$ . A pos. o.s.  $P$  is the semigroup of all positive elements of an o-group if and only if  $P$  is naturally ordered.*

*Proof.* Consider  $a, b$  in  $S$ . If  $a > b$ , then  $a = b + x$  for some  $x$  in  $S$ . Thus  $a + b = b + (x + b)$ . Similarly if  $a \leq b$ , then  $a + u = b + v$  for some  $u, v$  in  $S$ . Therefore  $S$  satisfies (\*). Suppose that  $S$  is naturally ordered, and consider a

positive element  $y$  in the difference group  $G$  of  $S$ .  $y = a - b$ , where  $a, b \in S$  and  $a > b$ . Thus  $a = x + b$  for some  $x \in S$ , and hence  $y = a - b = x \in S$ .

Finally suppose that  $P$  is a naturally pos. o.s. and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the semigroup of all positive elements of the difference group  $G$  of  $P$ . Then we have shown that  $P \supseteq \mathcal{P}$ . If  $p \in P$ , then  $p + p > p$  in  $P$  and hence  $p = p + p - p > 0$  in  $G$ . Therefore  $P \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ .

LEMMA 2-1. *Let  $a, b, c$  be elements of  $S$ . If  $a + b < b + a$ , then  $a + nb < nb + a$  and  $na + nb \leq n(a + b) < n(b + a) \leq nb + na$  for all positive integers  $n$ , where the equalities hold if and only if  $n = 1$ .*

This follows by a simple induction argument or see [6] for a proof.

COROLLARY. *If  $p$  and  $q$  are positive integers and  $pa = qb$ , then  $a + b = b + a$ .*

For if  $a + b < b + a$ , then  $(p + 1)a = a + pa = a + qb < qb + a = pa + a = (p + 1)a$ , a contradiction.

Note that Lemma 2-1 and its corollary are true for an ordinal sum of o.s. For if  $a + b < b + a$ , then  $a$  and  $b$  belong to the same component. In [6] the following theorem (which we use later) is proven.

THEOREM 2-3. *For an o.s.  $S$  the following are equivalent. (i) There exists an o-isomorphism of  $S$  into a subsemigroup of the (naturally ordered) additive group  $R$  of real numbers. (ii) For each pair  $a < b$  in  $S$ , there exist positive integers  $m$  and  $n$  such that  $ma < (m + 1)b$  and  $(n + 1)a < nb$ .*

THEOREM 2-4. *Suppose that the center  $Z = \{z \in S : z + s = s + z \text{ for all } s \in S\}$  of  $S$  is not empty. Then there exists o.s.  $T$  such that*

- 1)  $S$  is an ordered subsemigroup of  $T$ ,
- 2)  $T$  contains the difference group  $G$  of  $Z$  and  $T$  is generated by  $S$  and  $G$ ,
- 3) If  $T'$  is an o.s. that satisfies 1) and 2), then there exists a unique o-isomorphism  $\pi$  of  $T$  onto  $T'$  such that  $s\pi = s$  for all  $s \in S$ .

We outline a proof, leaving out the straightforward computations. Let  $Q = S \times Z$  and for  $(a, b)$  and  $(c, d)$  in  $Q$  define that

$$(a, b) + (c, d) = (a + c, b + d) \text{ and}$$

$$(a, b) \sim (c, d) \text{ if } a + d = b + c.$$

Then  $Q$  is a semigroup, and  $\sim$  is a congruence relation. As usual, denote the equivalence class containing  $(a, b)$  by  $[a, b]$ . For  $[a, b]$  and  $[c, d]$  in  $Q / \sim$

define that  $[a, b] > [c, d]$  if  $a + d > b + c$ . Then  $(Q/\sim, +, >)$  is an o.s. and the mapping  $\tau$  of  $a \in S$  upon  $[a + z, z]$ , where  $z$  is a fixed element in  $Z$  is an  $o$ -isomorphism of  $S$  into  $Q/\sim$ .  $G' = \{[a, b] : a, b \in Z\}$  is the center of  $Q/\sim$  and the difference group of  $Z\tau$ . Clearly  $Q/\sim$  is generated  $S\tau$  and  $G'$ . Thus there exists an  $o$ -semigroup  $T$  that satisfies 1) and 2). Moreover  $G$  is the center of  $T$ . Finally suppose that  $T$  and  $T'$  are o.s. that satisfy 1) and 2), and consider  $t \in T$ .  $t = s + g = s + z_1 - z_2$ , where  $s \in S$ ,  $g \in G$  and  $z_1, z_2 \in Z$ . Define  $t\sigma = [s + z_1, z_2]$ . Then  $\sigma$  is on  $o$ -isomorphism of  $T$  onto  $Q/\sim$ . Similarly we define an  $o$ -isomorphism  $\sigma'$  of  $T'$  onto  $Q/\sim$ , and then  $\pi = \sigma\sigma'^{-1}$  is the desired  $o$ -isomorphism of  $T$  onto  $T'$ .

### 3. Positive ordered semigroups

**THEOREM 3-1.** *A semigroup  $S$  is an ordinal sum of pos. o.s. if and only if*

( I )  *$S$  is an ordered set, and for all  $a, b, c$  in  $S$ ,*

( II ) *if  $a < b$ , then  $a + c \leq b + c$  and  $c + a \leq c + b$ ,*

( III )  *$a + a > a$ ,*

( IV ) *if  $a + b = a + c$ , then  $b = c$  or  $a + b = a$ , and if  $b + a = c + a$ , then  $b = c$  or  $b + a = a$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to verify that an ordinal sum of pos. o.s. satisfies these four conditions. Conversely assume that  $S$  is a semigroup that satisfies ( I ), ( II ), ( III ) and ( IV ). Then  $S$  satisfies ( III' )  $a + b \geq \max\{a, b\} \leq b + a$  for all  $a, b$  in  $S$ . For if  $a + b < a$ , then  $a + 2b \leq a + b$ . If  $a + 2b < a + b$ , then  $2b < b$ , but this contradicts ( III ). If  $a + 2b = a + b$ , then by ( IV )  $2b = b$  or  $a + b = a$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $a + b \geq a$ , and by a similar argument  $a + b \geq b$ .

For  $a, b$  in  $S$  we define that  $a \sim b$  if  $a + b > \max\{a, b\} < b + a$ . Clearly  $\sim$  is symmetric, and by ( III ) it is reflexive. Suppose that  $a \sim b$  and  $b \sim c$ . Then  $c + b > b$ , and thus  $a + c + b \geq a + b$ . If  $a + c + b = a + b$ , then by ( IV )  $c + b = b$  or  $a + b = a$ . Then  $b + c$  or  $a + b$ , a contradiction. Thus  $a + c + b > a + b$ , and hence  $a + c > a$ . By symmetry it follows that  $a \sim c$ , and hence  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation.

Let  $\bar{a} = \{b \in A : b \sim a\}$ , and consider  $b, c$  in  $\bar{a}$ . We show that  $a + b + c > \max\{a, b + c\}$ . By symmetry it follows that  $b + c \in \bar{a}$ , and hence that  $\bar{a}$  is a semigroup. If  $a + b + c < a + b$ , then  $b + c < b$ , and hence  $b + c$ . Thus  $a + b + c \geq a + b > a$ . If  $a + b + c < b + c$ , then  $a + b < b$ , and hence  $a + b$ . If  $a + b + c$

$= b + c$ , then by (IV)  $a + b = b$  or  $b + c = c$ , and hence  $a + b$  or  $b + c$ . Therefore  $a + b + c > b + c$ .

We next show that  $\bar{a}$  is a pos. o.s. Consider  $x, y, z$  in  $\bar{a}$ . If  $x < y$ , then  $x + z < y + z$ . For otherwise  $x + z = y + z$ , and thus  $x = y$  or  $x + z = z$ , a contradiction. By symmetry if  $x < y$ , then  $z + x < z + y$ . Thus  $\bar{a}$  is an o.s., and since  $\bar{a}$  satisfies (III) it is a pos. o.s.

In order to prove that  $S$  is the ordinal sum of the semigroups  $\bar{a}$  it suffices to show that if  $a < b$  and  $\bar{a} \neq \bar{b}$ , then  $a + b = b$  and  $\bar{a} < \bar{b}$ .  $a + b \leq b$  because  $\bar{a} \neq \bar{b}$ , and by (III')  $a + b \geq b$ . Pick  $a' \in \bar{a}$  and  $b' \in \bar{b}$ .  $a' + a + b = a' + b$ . Hence by (IV)  $a' + a = a'$  or  $a' + b = b$ . But  $a' + a > a$  because  $a' \sim a$ . If  $b' \leq a'$ , then  $b' + b \leq a' + b = b$ , and hence  $b' + b$ . Therefore  $a' < b'$ , and hence  $\bar{a} < \bar{b}$ .

For the rest of this section we investigate pos. o.s. The information obtained will then apply to semigroups that satisfy the four properties of Theorem 3-1. For the remainder of this section let  $P$  denote a pos. o.s.

LEMMA 3-1. For all  $a, b$  in  $P$  and all positive integers  $m$ ,  $(m + 1)a + (m + 1)b$  is greater than  $ma + mb$  and  $mb + ma$ .

*Proof.*  $(m + 1)a > ma$  and  $(m + 1)b > mb$ . Thus  $(m + 1)a + (m + 1)b > ma + mb$ . Suppose that  $a \geq b$ . If  $a \geq mb$ , then  $(m + 1)a + (m + 1)b > (m + 1)a = a + ma \geq mb + ma$ . If  $a < mb$ , then since  $mb < (m + 1)b \leq (m + 1)a$ , there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $na < (m + 1)b \leq (n + 1)a$ . Thus  $(m + 1)a + (m + 1)b > (m + 1)a + na = (n + 1)a + ma > mb + ma$ . By an entirely similar argument if  $a < b$ , then  $(m + 1)a + (m + 1)b > mb + ma$ .

LEMMA 3-2. For all  $a, b$  in  $P$  and all positive integers  $m$ :

- (i)  $(m + 1)(a + b)$  is greater than  $m(a + b)$  and  $m(b + a)$ .
- (ii)  $(m + 1)a + (m + 1)b$  is greater than  $m(a + b)$  and  $m(b + a)$ .
- (iii)  $(m + 1)(a + b)$  is greater than  $mb + ma$  and  $ma + mb$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $(m + 1)(a + b) = m(a + b) + a + b > m(a + b)$  and  $(m + 1)(a + b) = a + m(b + a) + b > m(b + a) + b > m(b + a)$ . (ii) If  $a + b \geq b + a$ , then by Lemma 2-1,  $(m + 1)a + (m + 1)b \geq (m + 1)(a + b)$ , and by (i)  $(m + 1)(a + b) > m(a + b)$  and  $m(b + a)$ . If  $a + b < b + a$ , then by Lemma 3-1,  $(m + 1)a + (m + 1)b > mb + ma$ , and by Lemma 2-1,  $mb + ma \geq m(b + a) > m(a + b)$ . (iii) If  $b + a \geq a + b$ , then by Lemma 2-1,  $(m + 1)(a + b) \geq (m + 1)a + (m + 1)b$ , and by Lemma 3-1,  $(m + 1)a + (m + 1)b > ma + mb$  and  $mb + ma$ . If  $a + b$

$> b + a$ , then by Lemma 2-1,  $(m+1)(a+b) > (m+1)(b+a) > (m+1)b + (m+1)a$ , and by Lemma 3-1,  $(m+1)b + (m+1)a > mb + ma$  and  $ma + mb$ .

*Remark.* Lemmas 3-1 and 3-2 remain true if  $P$  is an ordinal sum of pos. o.s. In fact, the given proofs apply.

For  $a$  and  $b$  in  $P$  we define that  $a\sigma b$  if  $(m+1)a > mb$  and  $(m+1)b > ma$  for all positive integers  $m$ .

1)  $\sigma$  is a congruence relation. For clearly  $\sigma$  is symmetric and  $a\sigma a$  because  $(m+1)a > ma$ . If  $a\sigma b$  and  $b\sigma c$ , then  $(m+2)a > (m+1)b > mc$  and  $(m+2)c > (m+1)b > ma$  for all  $m$ . Let  $m=2n$ , then  $2(n+1)a > 2nc$  and  $2(n+1)c > 2na$ . Hence  $(n+1)a > nc$  and  $(n+1)c > na$ , and  $a\sigma c$ . Finally suppose that  $a\sigma b$ . By Lemma 3-2,  $(m+3)(a+c) > (m+2)a + (m+2)c > (m+1)b + (m+1)c > m(b+c)$  for all  $m$ . Let  $m=3n$ , then  $3(n+1)(a+c) > 3n(b+c)$ . Thus  $(n+1)(a+c) > n(b+c)$  and similarly  $(n+1)(b+c) > n(a+c)$  for all  $n$ . Therefore  $(a+c)\sigma(b+c)$ .

2) The semigroup  $P/\sigma$  is commutative. For by (i) of Lemma 3-2,  $(m+1)(a+b) > m(b+a)$  and  $(m+1)(b+a) > m(a+b)$  for all  $m$ . Therefore  $(a+b)\sigma(b+a)$ .

For the remainder of this section we shall denote the elements of  $P$  by  $a, b, c$  and the elements of  $P/\sigma$  by  $A, B, C$ . Moreover,  $m, n, p, q$  will always denote positive integers. If  $\rho$  is a congruence relation over a semigroup  $S$ , then  $\rho^*$  will always denote the natural homomorphism of  $S$  onto  $S/\rho$ .  $P/\sigma$  is an ordinal sum of pos. o.s., and this can be shown by verifying that  $P/\sigma$  satisfies the four properties of Theorem 3-1. But we wish to show something stronger. Namely, that  $P/\sigma$  is an ordinal sum of pos. o.s. each of which is a subsemigroup of positive reals.

3) If  $a > b$ , then  $a\sigma^* = b\sigma^*$  or  $x > y$  for all  $x$  in  $a\sigma^*$  and  $y$  in  $b\sigma^*$ . For suppose that there exists an  $x$  in  $a\sigma^*$  and  $y$  in  $b\sigma^*$  such that  $y \geq x$ .  $(m+2)x > (m+1)a > (m+1)b > my$ . Now let  $m=2n$  and cancel. Then  $(n+1)x > ny$  for all  $n$  and also  $(n+1)y \geq (n+1)x > nx$  for all  $n$ . Thus  $x\sigma y$ , and  $a\sigma^* = x\sigma^* = y\sigma^* = b\sigma^*$ . For  $a\sigma^*$  and  $b\sigma^*$  in  $P/\sigma$  we define that  $a\sigma^* < b\sigma^*$  if  $a\sigma^* \neq b\sigma^*$  and  $a < b$  in  $P$ . Then by (3) this definition is independent of the choice of representatives  $a$  and  $b$ .

LEMMA 3-3. (i)  $P/\sigma$  is an ordered set and  $A < B$  implies that  $A + C$



$\leq B + C$  for all  $A, B, C$  in  $P/\sigma$ . (ii)  $A < A + A$ . (iii) If  $A < B$ , then  $nA < nB$ .

*Proof.* (i) If  $a\sigma^* < b\sigma^*$  and  $b\sigma^* < c\sigma^*$ , then  $a < b$  and  $b < c$ . Hence  $a < c$  and  $a\sigma^* < c\sigma^*$ . If  $a\sigma^* = c\sigma^*$ , then  $a \in c\sigma^*$ , but then  $a > b$ , a contradiction. Thus  $a\sigma^* < b\sigma^*$ . If  $a\sigma^* \neq b\sigma^*$ , then  $a < b$  or  $b < a$ , and so  $a\sigma^* < b\sigma^*$  or  $b\sigma^* < a\sigma^*$ . (ii) Clearly  $A \leq 2A$ . Suppose that  $2A = A = a\sigma^*$ . Then  $a\sigma 2a$ , and hence  $(m+1)a > (2m)a$  for all  $m$ . In particular for  $m=1$ ,  $2a > 2a$ , a contradiction. Thus  $A < A + A$ . (iii) Clearly  $nA \leq nB$ . Suppose that  $nA = nB$  where  $a\sigma^* = A$  and  $b\sigma^* = B$ . Then  $na\sigma nb$ , and so  $(m+1)na > mnb$  and  $(m+1)nb > mna$  for all  $m$ . But then  $(m+1)a > mb$  and  $(m+1)b > ma$ . Thus  $a\sigma b$ , and hence  $A = a\sigma^* = b\sigma^* = B$ , a contradiction.

For  $A$  and  $B$  in  $P/\sigma$  we define that  $A \tau B$  if there exist positive integers  $m$  and  $n$  such that  $mA > B$  and  $nB > A$ .

4)  $\tau$  is an equivalence relation. For clearly  $\tau$  is symmetric and by (ii) of Lemma 3-3,  $2A > A$ . Thus  $A \tau A$ . If  $A \tau B$  and  $B \tau C$ , then  $nA > B$ ,  $pB > A$ ,  $mB > C$  and  $qC > B$  for some positive integers  $m, n, p, q$ . By (iii) of Lemma 3-3,  $mnA > mB > C$  and  $pqC > pB > A$ . Therefore  $A \tau C$ .

Let  $A\tau^*$  be the equivalence class that contains  $A$ . We shall show later that  $\tau$  is a congruence relation, and so  $\tau^*$  is the natural homomorphism of  $P/\sigma$  onto  $(P/\sigma)/\tau$ .

5) If  $A < B$  and  $A\tau^* \neq B\tau^*$ , then  $A\tau^* < B\tau^*$  and  $A + B = B$ . For suppose that there exist  $X$  in  $A\tau^*$  and  $Y$  in  $B\tau^*$  such that  $X \geq Y$ . Then  $nX \geq nY > B$  and  $mB \geq mA > X$  for some  $m$  and  $n$ . Thus  $X \tau B$ , and hence  $A\tau^* = X\tau^* = B\tau^*$ .  $A = a\sigma^*$  and  $B = b\sigma^*$ . Since  $a + b > b$ ,  $(m+1)(a+b) > m(a+b) > mb$  for all  $m$ . Thus it suffices to show that  $(m+1)b > m(a+b)$  for all  $m$ . Now  $nA < B$  for all  $n$ , for otherwise  $A \in B\tau^*$ . Thus  $na < b$  for all  $n$ .  $(n+2)b = b + (n+1)b > (n+1)a + (n+1)b > n(a+b)$ . Now let  $n = 2m$  and cancel to get  $(m+1)b > m(a+b)$ . Thus  $A + B = B$ .

6) If  $A < B$  and  $A \tau C$ , then  $A + C < B + C$ . For  $A = a\sigma^*$ ,  $B = b\sigma^*$ ,  $C = c\sigma^*$ ,  $a < b$  and  $(n+1)a < nb$  for some positive integer  $n$ . By Lemma 3-3,  $A + C \leq B + C$ . Suppose (by way of contradiction) that  $A + C = B + C$ . Then  $(m+3)a + (m+3)c > (m+2)(a+c) > (m+1)(b+c) > mb + mc$ . Therefore  $(m+3)a + 3c > mb$  for all  $m$ . Since  $A \tau C$ , there exists an integer  $h$  such that  $hA > C$  and  $3hA > 3C$  by Lemma 3-3. Let  $k = 3h$ , then  $ka > 3c$ .  $(k+3)nb$

$> (k+3)(n+1)a = [(k+3)n+3]a + ka > [(k+3)n+3]a + 3c$ . Now let  $m = (k+3)n$ . Then  $mb > (m+3)a + c$ , a contradiction.

**THEOREM 3-2.** *For each  $A$  in  $P/\sigma$ ,  $A\tau^*$  is an ordered subsemigroup of  $P/\sigma$  that is  $o$ -isomorphic to an additive semigroup of positive real numbers.  $P/\sigma$  is an ordinal sum of the pos. o.s.  $A\tau^*$ .*

*Proof.* Consider  $B, C$  in  $A\tau^*$ .  $A = a\sigma^*$ ,  $B = b\sigma^*$  and  $C = c\sigma^*$ , where  $a, b, c \in P$ . There exist positive integers  $m, n, r, s$  such that  $mB > A$ ,  $nA > B$ ,  $rC > A$  and  $sA > C$ . Thus  $mb > a$ ,  $na > b$ ,  $rc > a$  and  $sa > c$ . Let  $q = \max\{m, r\}$ . Then  $qb > a$  and  $qc > a$ . Thus  $(q+1)(b+c) > qb + qc > 2a > a$ , and by Lemma 3-3,  $(q+2)(B+C) > (q+1)(B+C) \geq A$ . Let  $t = \max\{n, s\}$ . Then  $ta > b$  and  $ta > c$ . Thus  $2ta > b+c$  and  $(2t+1)A > 2tA \geq B+C$ . Therefore  $B+C \in A\tau^*$ , and so  $A\tau^*$  is a semigroup. By Lemma 3-3,  $A\tau^*$  is ordered, and thus by (6)  $A\tau^*$  is an o.s. In order to prove that  $A^*$  is  $o$ -isomorphic to a semigroup of positive real numbers, it suffices by Theorem 2-3 to show that if  $X, Y \in A\tau^*$  and  $X < Y$ , then there exist positive integers  $m$  and  $n$  such that  $(m+1)X < mY$  and  $nX < (n+1)Y$ .

$X = x\sigma^*$  and  $Y = y\sigma^*$  for some  $x$  and  $y$  in  $P$ . Since  $X < Y$ ,  $nX < nY < (n+1)Y$  for all  $n$ . Hence  $nx < (n+1)y$  for all  $n$ . Suppose (by way of contradiction) that  $(m+1)X \geq mY$  for all  $m$ . If for some  $m$ ,  $(m+1)X = mY$ , then  $(m+2)X = (m+1)X + X < mY + Y = (m+1)Y$ . Therefore  $(m+1)X > mY$  for all  $m$ . Thus  $(m+1)x > my$  and  $mx < (m+1)y$  for all  $m$ . Therefore  $X = Y$ , a contradiction. Thus by Theorem 2-3 there exists an isomorphism  $\pi$  of  $A\tau^*$  into the additive group of reals. But for  $B \in A\tau^*$ ,  $B < 2B$ . Hence  $B\pi < 2(B\pi)$ . Therefore  $B\pi$  is a positive real number. It follows at once from (4) and (5) that  $P/\sigma$  is the ordinal sum of the  $A\tau^*$ .

**COROLLARY.**  $\tau$  is a congruence relation on  $P/\sigma$ .

*Proof.* Consider  $X, Y, Z$  in  $P/\sigma$ , and assume that  $X\tau Y$ . If  $Z\tau X$ , then since  $X\tau^*$  is a semigroup  $X+Z$  and  $Y+Z$  belong to  $X\tau^*$ . Thus  $(X+Z)\tau(Y+Z)$ . Suppose that  $X\tau^* \not\cong Z\tau^*$ . If  $Z < X$ , then  $Z\tau^* < X\tau^* = Y\tau^*$ . Thus by (5)  $X+Z = X$  and  $Y+Z = Y$ . If  $X < Z$ , then  $Y\tau^* = X\tau^* < Z\tau^*$ . Thus by (5)  $X+Z = Y+Z$ . In either case  $(X+Z)\tau(Y+Z)$ .

There is a natural 1-1 order preserving correspondence between the congruence relations of  $P/\sigma$  and the congruence relations of  $P$  that contain  $\sigma$ ,

Therefore  $\tau$  can also be considered as a congruence relation on  $P$ , where  $a\tau b$  if there exist positive integers  $m$  and  $n$  such that  $ma > b$  and  $nb > a$ . Consider  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $P/\tau$ .  $X = x\tau^*$  and  $Y = y\tau^*$  for some  $x$  and  $y$  in  $P$ . We define that  $X < Y$  if  $X \neq Y$  and  $x < y$  in  $P$ . Then  $P/\tau$  is an ordered set and  $\tau^*$  is an  $\sigma$ -homomorphism of  $P$  onto  $P/\tau$ . Denote the addition in  $P/\tau$  by  $[+]$ . Then since  $X + Y \subseteq \max[X, Y]$  in  $P$ ,  $X[+]Y = \max[X, Y]$  in  $P/\tau$ .  $X$  is a subsemigroup of  $P$  and  $X/\sigma$  is  $\sigma$ -isomorphic to a subsemigroup of the positive reals. Thus in Clifford's terminology [3],  $P/\tau$  is a semilattice and  $P$  is a semilattice of the semigroups  $X \in P/\tau$ . In particular,  $P - X$  is a subsemigroup of  $P$  and the number of components  $A\sigma^*$  of  $P/\sigma$  is equal to the number of elements in  $P/\tau$  which we shall denote by  $|P/\tau|$ .

A subsemigroup  $C$  of  $P$  is *convex* if  $a \in P$ ,  $c \in C$  and  $a < c$  imply that  $a \in C$ . It is easy to show that the set  $\mathcal{S}$  of all convex subsemigroups of  $P$  is ordered by inclusion, and that if  $A$  and  $B$  are convex subsemigroups of  $P$  and  $A \supset B$ , then  $A \setminus B$  is a semigroup. Moreover if  $A$  covers  $B$ , and  $a \in A \setminus B$ , then  $a\tau^* = A \setminus B$ . For each  $a \in P$  let  $P^a = \{x \in P : x\tau^* \leq a\tau^*\}$ . Then  $P^a$  is a convex subsemigroup of  $P$  and if  $C$  is a convex subsemigroup of  $P$ , then  $C = \bigcup_{a \in C} P^a$ . Thus the order type of  $\mathcal{S}$  is completely determined by  $P/\tau$ .

Let  $G$  be an  $\sigma$ -group and let  $\Gamma$  be the set of all pairs of convex subgroups  $G_{\tau}^{\tau}$ ,  $G_{\tau}^{\tau}$  of  $G$  such that  $G_{\tau}^{\tau}$  covers  $G_{\tau}^{\tau}$ . Define that  $(G_{\alpha}, G^{\alpha}) < (G_{\beta}, G^{\beta})$  if  $G^{\alpha} \leq G_{\beta}$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is ordered, and the order type of  $\Gamma$  is the *rank* of  $G$ .

**THEOREM 3-3.** *If  $P$  is a naturally pos. o.s., then the rank of the difference group  $G$  of  $P$  equals the order type of  $P/\tau$ .*

For by Theorem 2-2,  $P$  is the semigroup of all positive elements of  $G$ , and a convex subgroup of  $G$  is determined by its set of positive elements. Thus if  $(G_{\tau}^{\tau}, G^{\tau}) \in \Gamma$ , then  $G_{\tau}^{\tau} \cap P$  and  $G^{\tau} \cap P$  are convex subsemigroups of  $P$  and  $G^{\tau} \cap P$  covers  $G_{\tau}^{\tau} \cap P$ . Moreover  $(G^{\tau} \cap P) \setminus (G_{\tau}^{\tau} \cap P) = a\tau^*$ , where  $a \in (G^{\tau} \cap P) \setminus (G_{\tau}^{\tau} \cap P)$ .

*Remark.* If  $P$  is a commutative naturally pos. o.s. and the components  $A\tau^*$  of  $P/\sigma$  are  $d$ -closed, then the  $c$ -closure  $C$  of the difference group  $G$  of  $P$  is uniquely determined by  $P/\sigma$ . For  $C$  is isomorphic to the Hahn group  $H(\Gamma, R_{\tau})$ , where  $\Gamma$  is an ordered set with order type equal to the rank of  $G$  and the  $R_{\tau}$  are isomorphic to the components  $G^{\tau}/G_{\tau}^{\tau}$  of  $G$  (see [5] for these concepts). But  $\Gamma$  is determined by  $P/\sigma$  and the components of  $G$  are just the difference

groups of the components of  $P/\sigma$ .

Let  $P$  be a positive o.s. that satisfies (\*) and let  $G$  be the difference group of  $P$ . It should be made clear that there is virtually no relationship between the order type of  $P/\tau$  and the rank of  $G$ , even if  $G$  is abelian. For example let  $G = R \oplus R \oplus R$ , where  $R$  is the additive group of real numbers. Define  $(a, b, c)$  in  $G$  positive if  $c > 0$  or  $c = 0$  and  $b > 0$  or  $c = b = 0$  and  $a > 0$ . Let  $P = \{(a, b, c) \in G : c > 0\}$ . Then  $G$  is the difference group of  $P$ ,  $|P/\tau| = 1$ , and the rank of  $G$  is 3. By generalizing this example it is easy to see that for  $|P/\tau| = 1$  the rank of  $G$  can be any given order type. But we shall show (Theorem 5-1) that  $P$  does determine the order type of the set of all convex normal subgroups of  $G$ .

**4. Relationships between  $P$  and  $P/\sigma$ .** Throughout this section let  $P$  be a pos. o.s. A semigroup  $Q$  is a *t-semigroup* if  $Q$  is an ordered set and  $ma < (m+1)a$  for all  $a$  in  $Q$  and all positive integers  $m$ .

LEMMA 4-1. *Let  $\rho^*$  be an o-homomorphism of  $P$  onto a t-semigroup  $Q$ . For  $a$  and  $b$  in  $P$  define  $a \rho b$  if  $a\rho^* = b\rho^*$ . Then  $\rho$  is a congruence relation on  $P$  and  $\rho \subseteq \sigma$ .*

*Proof.* If  $a \rho b$ , then  $a\rho^* = b\rho^*$ . Hence  $(m+1)(a\rho^*) > m(b\rho^*)$  and  $(m+1) \cdot (b\rho^*) > m(a\rho^*)$ . Thus since  $\rho^*$  is an o-homomorphism,  $(m+1)a > mb$  and  $(m+1)b > ma$  for all  $m$ . Therefore  $a \sigma b$ .

Now consider  $q \in Q$ .  $q = a\rho^*$  for some  $a \in P$ . Define  $q\alpha = a\sigma^*$ . Then by the usual arguments  $\alpha$  is an o-homomorphism of  $Q$  onto  $P/\sigma$  such that  $p\rho^*\alpha = p\sigma^*$  for all  $p \in P$ . We have the following diagram and theorem.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\rho^*} & Q \cong P/\rho \\ & \searrow \sigma^* & \downarrow \alpha \\ & & P/\sigma \end{array}$$

THEOREM 4-1.  *$P/\sigma$  is the smallest o-homomorphic image of  $P$  that is a t-semigroup. In particular,  $P/\sigma$  is the smallest o-homomorphic image of  $P$  that is an ordinal sum of pos. o.s.*

*Remarks.* (1) Let  $\rho$  be a congruence relation on  $P$ . Then  $P/\rho$  is a t-semigroup and  $\rho^*$  is an o-homomorphism if and only if for all  $a, b \in P$ : (A) If  $a < b$ , then  $a\rho^* = b\rho^*$  or  $x < y$  for all  $x \in a\rho^*$  and  $y \in b\rho^*$ , and  $ma$  (NOT  $\rho$ )

$(m+1)a$  for all  $m$ . Thus  $\sigma$  is the join of all congruence relations that satisfy (A). (2) If  $|P/\tau|=1$  and  $\rho$  is a congruence relation on  $P$  such that  $P/\rho$  is an ordinal sum of pos. o.s. and  $\rho^*$  is an  $o$ -homomorphism, then  $P/\rho$  is a pos. o.s..

**5. Relationship between  $P$  and its quotient group  $G$ .** Let  $P$  be a pos. o.s. and let  $\mathcal{A} = \{ \rho : \rho \text{ is a congruence relation on } P, P/\rho \text{ is a pos. o.s. or a pos. o.s. with zero, and } \rho^* \text{ is an } o\text{-homomorphism} \}$ .

LEMMA 5-1.  $\mathcal{A}$  is ordered by inclusion.

*Proof.* Consider  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}$  and suppose (by way of contradiction) that there exist  $a, b, c, d \in P$  such that  $a\alpha b, a(\text{NOT } \beta)b, c(\text{NOT } \alpha)d$  and  $c\beta d$ . Case I.  $a > b$  and  $c > d$ . Then  $a\beta^* > b\beta^*$  and  $c\alpha^* > d\alpha^*$ . If  $a+d \leq b+c$ , then  $a\beta^* + d\beta^* \leq b\beta^* + c\beta^*$  and  $d\beta^* = c\beta^*$ . Thus  $a\beta^* \leq b\beta^*$ , a contradiction. If  $a+d > b+c$ , then  $a\alpha^* + d\alpha^* \geq b\alpha^* + c\alpha^*$  and  $a\alpha^* = b\alpha^*$ . Thus  $d\alpha^* \geq c\alpha^*$ , a contradiction. Similarly in the other three cases we get a contradiction.

For the remainder of this section we assume that  $P$  is a pos. o.s. which satisfies (\*). In particular, the results obtained are valid for commutative pos. o.s. Let  $G$  be the difference group of  $P$  and let  $\pi$  be an  $o$ -homomorphism of  $P$  into a pos. o.s. with zero. Then clearly  $P\pi$  satisfies (\*). Let  $H$  be the difference group of  $P\pi$  and for  $g = a - b$  in  $G$  define  $g\bar{\pi} = a\pi - b\pi$ .

LEMMA 5-2.  $\bar{\pi}$  is the unique extension of  $\pi$  to an  $o$ -homomorphism of  $G$  onto  $H$ .

*Proof.* If  $a - b = c - d$ , where  $a, b, c, d \in P$ , then by Corollary II of Theorem 2-1, there exist  $x, y \in P$  such that  $a + x = c + y$  and  $b + x = d + y$ . Thus  $a\pi + x\pi = c\pi + y\pi$  and  $b\pi + x\pi = d\pi + y\pi$ , and so by applying this corollary again,  $a\pi - b\pi = c\pi - d\pi$ . Thus  $\bar{\pi}$  is single valued. The lemma now follows by repeated use of Corollary II and straightforward computation.

It is well known and easy to verify that the kernel of any  $o$ -homomorphism of an  $o$ -group is a convex normal subgroup. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the set of all convex normal subgroups of  $G$  except  $G$  itself. Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is ordered with respect to inclusion.

THEOREM 5-1. There exists a 1-1 order preserving mapping of  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathcal{C}$ .

*Proof.* For each  $\rho \in \mathcal{A}$  let  $\bar{\rho}$  be the unique extension of  $\rho^*$  to  $G$  (which is assured by Lemma 5-2), and let  $\rho\eta = K(\bar{\rho}) = \{ x \in G : x\bar{\rho} = 0 \}$ . We wish to show

that  $\eta$  is the desired mapping. Since  $\bar{\rho}$  is uniquely determined by  $\rho$ ,  $\eta$  is single valued. Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\alpha \subseteq \beta$ . If  $x \in K(\bar{\alpha})$ , then  $x = a - b$ , where  $a, b \in P$  and  $0 = x\bar{\alpha} = (a - b)\bar{\alpha} = a\bar{\alpha} - b\bar{\alpha} = a\alpha^* - b\alpha^*$ . Thus  $a\alpha b$  and hence  $a\beta b$ . But then  $0 = a\beta^* - b\beta^* = x\bar{\beta}$ . Therefore  $x \in K(\bar{\beta})$  and  $\alpha\eta \subseteq \beta\eta$ . If  $\alpha \not\subseteq \beta$ , then there exist  $a, b \in P$  such that  $a\beta b$  but not  $a\alpha b$ , but this means that  $a - b \in K(\bar{\beta}) \setminus K(\bar{\alpha})$ . Therefore  $\eta$  is 1-1 and order preserving. Next consider  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  and let  $N$  be the natural  $\sigma$ -homomorphism of  $G$  onto  $G/C$ . Let  $\rho$  be the congruence relation induced on  $P$  by  $N$  ( $a\rho b$  if and only if  $aN = bN$ ). Define that  $a\rho^* > b\rho^*$  if  $a + C > b + C$ . Then it follows by a straightforward computation that  $\rho \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\rho\eta = C$ . Therefore  $\eta$  is a 1-1 orderpreserving mapping of  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathcal{C}$ .

If  $|P/\tau| = 1$  or equivalently if  $P/\sigma$  is  $\sigma$ -isomorphic to a subsemigroup of positive reals, then  $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A} = \{\rho : \rho \text{ is a congruence relation on } P, P/\rho \text{ is a pos. o.s. and } \rho^* \text{ is an } \sigma\text{-homomorphism}\}$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. G. Alimov, On ordered semigroups, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **14** (1950), 569-576.
- [2] C. G. Chehata, On ordered semigroups, *J. London Math. Soc.* **28** (1953), 353-356.
- [3] A. H. Clifford, Bands of semigroups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **5** (1954), 499-504.
- [4] A. H. Clifford, Totally ordered commutative semigroups, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **64** (1958), 305-316.
- [5] P. Conrad, Extensions of ordered groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6** (1955), 516-528.
- [6] P. Conrad, Semigroups of real numbers, (to appear).
- [7] C. J. Everett, A note on a result of L. Fuchs on ordered groups, *Amer. J. Math.* **73** (1950), 216.
- [8] O. Ore, Linear equations in non-commutative fields, *Annals Math.* **32** (1931), 463-477.
- [9] A. A. Vinogradov, On the theory of ordered semigroups, *Ivanov. Gos. Ped. Inst. Uc. Zap. Fiz. Mat. Nauki* **4** (1953) 19-21.

*Tulane University*