

# NOTE ON THE HARMONIC MEASURE OF THE ACCESSIBLE BOUNDARY OF A COVERING RIEMANN SURFACE

MAKOTO OHTSUKA

**Introduction.** The following relation was set up in [5] for an open covering Riemann surface  $\mathfrak{R}$  with positive boundary over an abstract Riemann surface  $\mathfrak{R}'$ :<sup>1)</sup>

$$(1) \quad \mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})) = \mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}})) \cong \mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty)) \cong \mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^\infty)) \equiv \omega(P),$$

when the universal covering surface  $\mathfrak{R}'^\infty$  of the projection is not of hyperbolic type; when  $\mathfrak{R}'^\infty$  is of hyperbolic type this relation is reduced to

$$(2) \quad \mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})) \cong \mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty)) \equiv \omega(P).$$

In the present note we shall give some contributions to the clarification of these relations in two special cases.

1. *We suppose first that  $\mathfrak{R}$  has a positive boundary, that  $\mathfrak{R}'^\infty$  is not of hyperbolic type, but that  $\mathfrak{R}$  covers a finite number of points  $\{\underline{P}_n\}$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$  only in finite times, where the universal covering surface  $(\mathfrak{R} - \{\underline{P}_n\})^\infty$  is of hyperbolic type. Under these hypotheses we shall show*

$$(3) \quad \mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty)) = \mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^\infty)).$$

For that purpose it is sufficient to prove  $\mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty)) \leq \mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^\infty))$  on account of (1).

Map  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$  conformally onto  $U: |z| < 1$  and denote by  $f(z)$  the function which corresponds to  $U \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^\infty \rightarrow \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}'$ . Let  $l$  be an image in  $U$  of any determining curve of an accessible boundary point of  $\mathfrak{R}$  relative to  $\mathfrak{R}'$ . If it is shown that

i)  $l$  terminates at a point on  $\Gamma: |z| = 1$ ;<sup>2)</sup>

ii)  $f(z)$  has an angular limit at every point of  $E - E_1$ , where  $E$  is the image on  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})$  and  $E_1$  is a set of linear measure zero;

iii)  $E$  is linearly measurable;

then Lemma in [5] will give  $\mu(z, E) \leq \mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^\infty))$ . On the other hand, the

Received February 17, 1951.

<sup>1)</sup> We shall follow the definitions and notations in [5] and make use of results in it without proofs.

<sup>2)</sup> This point is called an image of a point of  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})$ .

same reasoning as in Theorem 1 of [5] yields  $\mu(z, E) = \mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty))$ . Thus there will follow the required inequality  $\mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty)) \leq \mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty))$ . In the following we shall prove i), ii), iii) stepwise.

i) Suppose that  $l$  oscillates in  $U$ , and let  $\gamma$  be an open arc to which  $l$  clusters. According to Theorem 3.5 of [4], the function mapping  $U$  onto  $\mathfrak{R}$  is univalent in a sufficiently small vicinity of every regular point on  $\Gamma$ .<sup>3)</sup> Hence  $f(z)$  does not take  $\{P_n\}$  near it, because  $\mathfrak{R}$  covers  $\{P_n\}$  only in finite times. On mapping  $(\mathfrak{R} - \{P_n\})^\infty$  onto a circular disk and applying Koebe's theorem, we see that  $l$  does not oscillate near any regular point. Therefore  $\gamma$  consists of singular points only. Since the case in which  $\mathfrak{R}$  is conformally equivalent to a sphere minus three points is excluded at present, hyperbolic fixed points exist and are dense in  $\gamma$ . Let  $z_0$  be any hyperbolic fixed point of  $\gamma$ . An image of a closed curve on  $\mathfrak{R}$  terminates at  $z_0$  and  $l$  intersects it in any neighborhood of  $z_0$ . This contradicts the fact that every determining curve of an accessible boundary point of  $\mathfrak{R}$  tends to the ideal boundary of  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Thus it has been shown that  $l$  terminates at a point on  $\Gamma$ .

ii) If  $\mathfrak{R}$  is simply-connected, it is mapped conformally onto  $U$ . Since the function  $f(z)$  does not take  $\{P_n\}$  near  $\Gamma$ , it has always an angular limit at every point of  $E$ .

Hence we suppose that  $\mathfrak{R}$  is not simply-connected. A Green's function  $G(P)$  exists on it, because it has a positive boundary. The function  $G(P(z))$  considered in  $U$  has angular limit zero everywhere on  $\Gamma$  minus  $E_1$  with linear measure  $m(E_1) = 0$ . Let  $z_0$  be any point of  $E - E_1$ , and  $l$  be the image, terminating at  $z_0$ , of a curve determining a point of  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})$ . This curve converges to an ideal boundary component  $P_{\mathfrak{G}}$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$ .<sup>4)</sup> We take a domain  $\mathfrak{R}_1$  of the determining sequence of  $P_{\mathfrak{G}}$  such that  $\mathfrak{R}_1$  does not cover  $\{P_n\}$  and  $\mathfrak{R} - \mathfrak{R}_1^a$  ( $\mathfrak{R}_1^a$  = closure of  $\mathfrak{R}_1$ ) is not simply-connected, and we denote its relative boundary by  $C$ , which is a simple closed curve. Every image in  $U$  of  $\mathfrak{R}_1$  is a simply-connected domain bounded by some points on  $\Gamma$  and by cross-cuts of  $U$  which are images of  $C$ .<sup>5)</sup> Let  $A$  be any angular domain at  $z_0$ . Since  $G(P(z)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $A \ni z \rightarrow z_0$ , the images in  $U$  of  $C$  do not intersect  $A$  near  $z_0$ . Further they have no common point with  $l$  near  $z_0$ . Therefore there exists a simply-connected domain  $A_1$ , whose closure contains parts near  $z_0$  of both  $A$  and  $l$  and is contained in an image in  $U$  of  $\mathfrak{R}_1$ . Since  $\mathfrak{R}_1$  does not cover  $\{P_n\}$  and  $f(z)$  tends to a value of  $\mathfrak{R}$  along  $l$ ,  $f(z)$  tends to this value when  $z$  approaches  $z_0$  from the inside of any angular subdomain of  $A$ , with its boundary contained in  $A$ . By the arbitrariness of  $A$  it is concluded that  $f(z)$  has an angular limit

<sup>3)</sup> For regular and singular points on  $\Gamma$ , see [4], Chap. III, § 4.

<sup>4)</sup> For an ideal boundary component, see [4], Chap. III, § 5.

<sup>5)</sup> Details of the boundary correspondence of ideal boundary components in the conformal mapping will be found in a paper, which is now in preparation.

at  $z_0$ .

iii) Map the universal covering surface  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$  onto  $D: |w| < 1$  or  $|w| < \infty$  or  $|w| \leq \infty$ , and denote any branch of  $w(f(z))$  by  $w(z)$ .  $f(z)$  has a radial limit at a point on  $\Gamma$  if and only if  $w(z)$  has there a radial limit lying inside  $D$ . By the aid of the theory of functions of real variables (cf. [2], pp. 270-175), the set  $E_2$  where  $w(z)$  has radial limits in  $D$  is linearly measurable. Since  $E - E_2 \subset E_1$  and  $m(E_1) = 0$ ,  $E$  is measurable too. Thus the proof of (3) is completed.

2. Next consider the case in which  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a subdomain of  $\mathfrak{R}$  and has a positive boundary. Then  $\mathfrak{R}'^\infty = \mathfrak{R}^\infty$  and is clearly of hyperbolic type. We now want to show

$$(4) \quad \mu(P, \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{R})) = \mu(P, \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty)).$$

When  $\mathfrak{R}$  is compact in  $\mathfrak{R}$ ,  $\mathfrak{R} - \mathfrak{R}$  is of positive capacity on  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{R}$  is of F-type by a theorem due to R. Nevanlinna [3] (cf. Theorem 3.3 of [4]). Therefore  $\omega(P) \equiv \mu(P, \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty)) = \mu(P, \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{R})) \equiv 1$  by (2).

In the following we assume that  $\mathfrak{R}$  is non-compact in  $\mathfrak{R}$ . If  $\mathfrak{R} - \mathfrak{R}$  is of capacity zero on  $\mathfrak{R}$ , it is shown that  $\mu(P, \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{R})) \equiv 0$  as follows. Cover  $\mathfrak{R} - \mathfrak{R}$  by a sequence of neighborhoods  $\{N_k\}$ , in each of which a local parameter is defined. By Evans' theorem [1] there is a harmonic function  $h_k(P) > 0$  in every  $\mathfrak{R} \cap N_k$  such that  $h_k(P) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $P \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R} - \mathfrak{R}) \cap N_k$ . We can extend this to a positive function  $H_k(P)$  on  $\mathfrak{R}$  by Theorem 2.1 of [4], because  $\mathfrak{R}$  has a positive boundary by Lemma 1.3 of [4]. For an arbitrary point  $P_0 \in \mathfrak{R}$  set  $H(P) = \sum_k \frac{1}{k^2} \cdot \frac{H_k(P)}{H_k(P_0)}$ . This function is positive harmonic in  $\mathfrak{R}$  and tends to  $+\infty$  as  $P \rightarrow \mathfrak{R} - \mathfrak{R}$ . Therefore  $\mu(P, \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{R})) \leq \varepsilon H(P)$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  there follows  $\mu(P, \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{R})) \equiv 0$ . Thus  $\mu(P, \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty)) = \mu(P, \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{R})) \equiv 0$  by (2).

We pass to the case when  $\mathfrak{R} - \mathfrak{R}$  is of positive capacity on  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{R})$  be the class of all the non-negative continuous subharmonic functions  $\{u(P)\}$  on  $\mathfrak{R}$  such that  $u(P) \leq 1$  and  $\lim u(P) = 0$  as  $\mathfrak{R} \ni P$  tends to the ideal boundary of  $\mathfrak{R}$ , and denote the upper cover of  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{R})$  by  $\underline{\mu}(P, \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{R}))$ . This is harmonic on  $\mathfrak{R}$  by Perron-Brelot's principle. Similarly as above, cover the boundary  $\mathfrak{R}^b$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$  in  $\mathfrak{R}$  by  $\{N_k\}$ . Replace any  $u(P) \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{R})$  in  $N_k \cap \mathfrak{R}$  by the solution of the ordinary Dirichlet problem with boundary value  $u(P)$  on  $N_k^b \cap \mathfrak{R}$  and 1 on  $\mathfrak{R}^b \cap N_k$ , where  $N_k^b$  denotes the boundary of  $N_k$ . The replacing function still belongs to  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{R})$  and tends to 1 as the variable approaches every regular point of  $\mathfrak{R}^b \cap N_k$ . Therefore also  $\underline{\mu}(P, \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{R}))$  has this property. Similarly as in Lemma 4.1 of [4] we can find a positive harmonic function in  $\mathfrak{R}$  which tends to  $+\infty$  as  $P$  approaches every irregular point of  $\mathfrak{R}^b \cap N_k$ . Then we obtain as above a positive harmonic function  $H'(P)$  in  $\mathfrak{R}$  which tends to  $+\infty$  as  $P$  approaches every irregular point of  $\mathfrak{R}^b$ . Therefore  $\min(1, \underline{\mu}(P, \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{R})) + \varepsilon H'(P))$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  belongs to the upper class  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{R})$ .  $\varepsilon$  being arbitrarily small, we have

$$(5) \quad \underline{\mu}(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})) \geq \mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})).$$

Let us take any  $u(P) \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{R})$  and  $v(P) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty)$  and put  $u(P) - v(P) = u_1(P)$ , where  $u(P)$  is considered on  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$ .  $u_1(P)$  is continuous subharmonic on  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$  and  $\overline{\lim} u_1(P) \leq 0$  as  $P \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty)$  or as the projection into  $\mathfrak{R}$  of  $P$  tends to the ideal boundary of  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Suppose  $u_1(P_0) > 0$  at a certain point  $P_0 \in \mathfrak{R}^\infty$ , and let  $D$  be any component of the open set  $\{P; u_1(P) > u_1(P_0)\}$  on  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$ . The projection of  $D$  into  $\mathfrak{R}$  is compact in  $\mathfrak{R}$ , and does not contain any points of  $\mathfrak{R} - \mathfrak{R}$ , which is of positive capacity. Therefore by Theorem 3.3 in [4]  $D$  is of F-type relatively to  $\mathfrak{R}$  and hence is of D-type (cf. Theorem 4.2 of [4], or §6 in [5]). Consequently  $u_1(P) - u_1(P_0) \leq 0$  in  $D$ , because every accessible boundary point  $Q$  of  $D$  relative to  $\mathfrak{R}$  lies above  $\mathfrak{R}$  and so  $\lim u_1(P) = u_1(P_0)$  as  $P$  approaches  $Q$ . But it contradicts the definition of  $D$ . Thus there holds  $u_1(P) \leq 0$  everywhere on  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$ , that is,  $u(P) \leq v(P)$ . Accordingly  $\underline{\mu}(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R})) \leq \mu(P, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{R}^\infty))$ . This inequality together with (2) and (5) yields (4).

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] G. Evans: Potentials and positively infinite singularities of harmonic functions, *Monat. Math. Phys.*, **43** (1936), pp. 419-424.
- [2] F. Hausdorff: *Mengenlehre*, 3 Aufl., Berlin and Leipzig (1935).
- [3] R. Nevanlinna: Über die Lösbarkeit des Dirichletschen Problems für eine Riemannsche Fläche, *Nachr. Gött.*, **1** (1938), pp. 181-193.
- [4] M. Ohtsuka: Dirichlet problems on Riemann surfaces and conformal mappings, *Nagoya Math. Journ.*, **3** (1951), pp. 91-137.
- [5] M. Ohtsuka: On a covering surface over an abstract Riemann surface, *Nagoya Math. Journ.*, **4** (1952), pp. 109-118.

*Mathematical Institute,  
Nagoya University*