

ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF SOLUTIONS
OF PARABOLIC EQUATIONS
WITH UNBOUNDED COEFFICIENTS

TADASHI KURODA

Dedicated to Professor Katuzi Ono on his 60th birthday

1. Let R^n be the n -dimensional Euclidean space, each point of which is denoted by its coordinate $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. The variable t is in the real half line $[0, \infty)$. We consider a differential operator

$$(1) \quad L = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + c - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$

in the $(n+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean half space $R^n \times (0, \infty)$ and assume that the matrix $\{a_{ij}\}$ is positive definite in $R^n \times (0, \infty)$. Suppose that for coefficients of L there exist constants $K_1 (> 0)$, $K_2 (\geq 0)$, $K_3 (> 0)$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{ij}| &\leq K_1(|x|^2 + 1)^{1-\lambda}, & 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \\ |b_i| &\leq K_2(|x|^2 + 1)^{1/2}, & 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ |c| &\leq K_3(|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Besala and Fife [1] investigated the asymptotic behavior of solutions of the Cauchy problem for such a parabolic differential operator L under a non-negative Cauchy data not identically equal to zero.

One of their result is as follows:

Let a continuous function $u(x, t)$ in $R^n \times [0, \infty)$ have the following properties;

- i) $Lu \leq 0$ in $R^n \times (0, \infty)$ in the usual sense,
- ii) $u(x, 0)$ is non-negative and not identically equal to zero

and

- iii) there exist positive constants μ and ν such that

Received December 18, 1968

$$u(x, t) \geq \begin{cases} -\mu e^{\nu(|x|^2+1)^\lambda}, & \lambda \in (0, 1], \\ -\mu(|x|^2+1)^\nu, & \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$$

in $R^n \times (0, \infty)$.

If there exist a sufficiently large constant α and a positive β satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} 4\alpha^2\lambda^2(|x|^2+1)^{2\lambda-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}x_i x_j - 4\alpha\lambda(\lambda-1)(|x|^2+1)^{\lambda-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}x_i x_j \\ - 2\alpha\lambda(|x|^2+1)^{\lambda-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (a_{ii} + b_i x_i) + c \geq \beta \end{aligned}$$

in $R^n \times (0, \infty)$, then $u(x, t)$ grows exponentially as t tends to ∞ and this exponential growth of $u(x, t)$ is uniform with respect to $x \in R^n$.

In their proof of this result, the condition that α is sufficiently large, is essential. In this note we shall give a rather simple condition than that of Besala-Fife under a somewhat different condition for coefficients of the operator L .

2. In the following we assume that coefficients of the operator L in (1) satisfy the following condition in $R^n \times (0, \infty)$ for some $\lambda \in (0, 1]$:

$$(2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} k_1(|x|^2+1)^{1-\lambda}|\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}\xi_i\xi_j \leq K_1(|x|^2+1)^{1-\lambda}|\xi|^2 \\ \text{for any real vector } \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n), \\ |b_i| \leq K_2(|x|^2+1)^{1/2}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ -k_3(|x|^2+1)^\lambda + k'_3 \leq c \leq K_3(|x|^2+1)^\lambda, \end{array} \right.$$

where $k_1(>0)$, $K_1, K_2(\geq 0)$, $k_3(>0)$, $k'_3(\geq 0)$ and $K_3(>0)$ are constants.

First we construct a function of the form $H(x, t) = \exp\{-\alpha(t)(|x|^2+1)^\lambda + \beta(t)\}$ satisfying $LH \geq 0$ in $R^n \times (0, \infty)$, where $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$ are positive and differentiable once in $(0, \infty)$.

Obviously the condition (2) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{LH}{H} &\geq 4\alpha^2(t)\lambda^2k_1(|x|^2+1)^{\lambda-1}|x|^2 - 2\alpha(t)\lambda nK_1 \\ &\quad - 2\alpha(t)\lambda nK_2(|x|^2+1)^\lambda - k_3(|x|^2+1)^\lambda + k'_3 \\ &\quad + \alpha'(t)(|x|^2+1)^\lambda - \beta'(t) \\ &\geq (|x|^2+1)^\lambda [4\alpha^2(t)\lambda^2k_1 - 2\alpha(t)\lambda nK_2 - k_3 + \alpha'(t)] \\ &\quad - 2\alpha(t)\lambda nK_1 + k'_3 - 4\alpha^2(t)\lambda^2k_1 - \beta'(t). \end{aligned}$$

We can easily verify that the function

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{\gamma_0}{\lambda\sqrt{k_1}} \frac{1}{e^{4\tau_0\lambda\sqrt{k_1}t} - 1} + \frac{\gamma_0}{2\lambda\sqrt{k_1}} + \frac{nK_2}{4\lambda k_1}, \quad \gamma_0 = \left(k_3 + \frac{n^2 K_2^2}{4k_1}\right)^{1/2}$$

is a solution of the differential equation

$$4\alpha^2(t)\lambda^2 k_1 - 2\alpha(t)\lambda n K_2 - k_3 + \alpha'(t) = 0$$

of the Riccati type in $(0, \infty)$ and that for this $\alpha(t)$ the function

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(t) = & \left\{ \frac{n\gamma_0}{\sqrt{k_1}} (K_1 + K_2) - \frac{n^2 K_2}{2k_1} (K_1 + K_2) - k_3 + k'_3 \right\} t \\ & - \frac{n(K_1 + K_2)}{2\lambda k_1} \log(e^{4\tau_0\lambda\sqrt{k_1}t} - 1) + \frac{\gamma_0}{\lambda\sqrt{k_1}} \frac{1}{e^{4\tau_0\lambda\sqrt{k_1}t} - 1} \end{aligned}$$

satisfies

$$-2\alpha(t)\lambda n K_1 + k'_3 - 4\alpha^2(t)\lambda^2 k_1 - \beta'(t) = 0$$

in $(0, \infty)$. Hence we see $LH \geq 0$ in $R^n \times (0, \infty)$ for the function

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad H(x, t) = & (e^{4\tau_0\lambda\sqrt{k_1}t} - 1)^{-\frac{n(K_1+K_2)}{2\lambda k_1}} \exp \left\{ \frac{\gamma_0}{\lambda\sqrt{k_1}} \frac{1}{e^{4\tau_0\lambda\sqrt{k_1}t} - 1} \right\} \times \\ & \times \exp \left[- \left(\frac{\gamma_0}{\lambda\sqrt{k_1}} \frac{1}{e^{4\tau_0\lambda\sqrt{k_1}t} - 1} + \frac{\gamma_0}{2\lambda\sqrt{k_1}} + \frac{nK_2}{4\lambda k_1} \right) (|x|^2 + 1)^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \left[\frac{n\gamma_0}{\sqrt{k_1}} (K_1 + K_2) - \frac{n^2 K_2}{2k_1} (K_1 + K_2) - k_3 + k'_3 \right] t \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_0 = \left(k_3 + \frac{n^2 K_2^2}{4k_1}\right)^{1/2}$. Since

$$\frac{\gamma_0}{2\lambda\sqrt{k_1}} + \frac{nK_2}{4\lambda k_1} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\gamma_0}{\lambda\sqrt{k_1}} \{1 - (|x|^2 + 1)^2\} < 0, \quad x \neq 0,$$

it holds that

$$(4) \quad \lim_{t \downarrow 0} H(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \neq 0.$$

3. Suppose that the function $u(x, t)$ non-negative and continuous in $R^n \times [0, \infty)$ has the following property:

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} \text{i) } Lu \leq 0 \text{ in } R^n \times (0, \infty) \text{ in the usual sense,} \\ \text{ii) } u(x, 0) (\geq 0) \text{ is not identically equal to zero.} \end{cases}$$

Here L is a differential operator of the form (1) with coefficients satisfying (2) and

$$(6) \quad -2\left(\frac{\gamma_0}{2\lambda/k_1} + \frac{nK_2}{4\lambda k_1}\right)\lambda K_1 n - 4\left(\frac{\gamma_0}{2\lambda/k_1} + \frac{nK_2}{4\lambda k_1}\right)^2 \lambda^2 k_1 + k'_3 > 0,$$

$$\gamma_0 = \left(k_3 + \frac{n^2 K_2^2}{4k_1}\right)^{1/2}.$$

We can find a positive number ε so small that

$$(7) \quad -2\left(\varepsilon + \frac{\gamma_0}{2\lambda/k_1} + \frac{nK_2}{4\lambda k_1}\right)\lambda K_1 n - 4\left(\varepsilon + \frac{\gamma_0}{2\lambda/k_1} + \frac{nK_2}{4\lambda k_1}\right)^2 \lambda^2 k_1 + k'_3 > 0.$$

Let T be a positive number such that

$$0 < \frac{\gamma_0}{\lambda/k_1} \frac{1}{e^{2\gamma_0 \lambda/k_1 T} - 1} < \varepsilon.$$

From the assumption for $u(x, t)$ we see by the strong maximum principle due to Nirenberg [5] that $u(x, t) > 0$ in $R^n \times (0, \infty)$. So the value $m = \min_{\substack{|x|=r \\ t \in [\delta, T]}} u(x, t)$ is positive for an arbitrary $r(> 0)$ and for any $\delta(> 0)$ fixed

sufficiently small. We may assume that $\frac{T}{2} < T - \delta$. For these r and δ , clearly $0 < M_1 = \max_{\substack{|x|=r \\ t \in [\delta, T]}} H(x, t - \delta) < \infty$, where H is the function given by (3).

Put

$$w(x, t) = \frac{m}{M_1} H(x, t - \delta) - u(x, t).$$

Evidently we have $Lw \geq 0$ in $\Omega \times (\delta, T)$, where Ω is the set of all points $x \in R^n$ such that $r < |x|$. Moreover, $w(x, t)$ is continuous on $\bar{\Omega} \times [\delta, T]$, $w(x, \delta) \leq 0$ for $|x| \geq r$ and $w(x, t) \leq 0$ for $|x| = r$ and $t \in [\delta, T]$. Bodanko's maximum principle [2] implies that $w(x, t) \leq 0$ in $\bar{\Omega} \times [\delta, T]$. Therefore we get

$$\frac{m}{M_1} H(x, T - \delta) \leq u(x, T)$$

for $|x| \geq r(> 0)$. As is seen easily, there is a positive constant M_2 such that $M_2 H(x, T - \delta) \leq u(x, T)$ in $|x| \leq r$. Hence by putting $M_3 = \min\left(\frac{m}{M_1}, M_2\right)$ we have $M_3 H(x, T - \delta) \leq u(x, T)$ at every point $x \in R^n$. Since $\frac{T}{2} < T - \delta$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, T) &\geq M_3 H(x, T - \delta) \\ &\geq M_4 \exp \left\{ - \left(\varepsilon + \frac{\gamma_0}{2\lambda\sqrt{k_1}} + \frac{nK_2}{4\lambda k_1} \right) (|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda \right\} \end{aligned}$$

in R^n for some positive constant M_4 .

4. Now we can prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let L be a parabolic differential operator of the form (1) with coefficients satisfying (2) and (6). Assume that the function $u(x, t)$ continuous in $R^n \times [0, \infty)$ satisfies (5) and $u(x, t) \geq -\mu e^{\nu(|x|^2+1)^\lambda}$ for some positive constants μ and ν . Then $u(x, t)$ grows to infinity exponentially as t tends to ∞ and this exponential growth of $u(x, t)$ is uniform in any compact subset of R^n .*

Proof. Bodanko's maximum principle shows that $u(x, t) \geq 0$ in $R^n \times [0, \infty)$. As was shown in §3, for a positive number ε satisfying (7) there exist a positive number T and a positive constant M_4 such that

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, T) &\geq M_4 \exp \left\{ - \left(\varepsilon + \frac{\gamma_0}{2\lambda\sqrt{k_1}} + \frac{nK_2}{4\lambda k_1} \right) (|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda \right\} \\ &\equiv M_4 H_0(x), \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

From (7) we can take a positive number β_0 which satisfies

$$-2 \left(\varepsilon + \frac{\gamma_0}{2\lambda\sqrt{k_1}} + \frac{nK_2}{4\lambda k_1} \right) \lambda K_1 n - 4 \left(\varepsilon + \frac{\gamma_0}{2\lambda\sqrt{k_1}} + \frac{nK_2}{4\lambda k_1} \right)^2 \lambda^2 k_1 + k'_3 - \beta_0 > 0.$$

Putting

$$h(x, t) = M_4 H_0(x) e^{\beta_0(t-T)}$$

and $v(x, t) = u(x, t) - h(x, t)$ in $R^n \times (T, \infty)$, we see

$$\begin{aligned} Lv &\leq -Lh \\ &= -h \left[4\alpha_0^2 \lambda^2 (|x|^2 + 1)^{2\lambda-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i x_j \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 4\alpha_0 \lambda (\lambda - 1) (|x|^2 + 1)^{\lambda-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i x_j \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2\alpha_0 \lambda (|x|^2 + 1)^{\lambda-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (a_{ii} + b_i x_i) + c - \beta_0 \right] \end{aligned}$$

in $R^n \times (T, \infty)$, where $\alpha_0 = \varepsilon + \frac{\gamma_0}{2\lambda\sqrt{k_1}} + \frac{nK_2}{4\lambda k_1}$. Hence it follows from (2) that

$$Lv \leq -h(|x|^2 + 1)^k \{4\alpha_0^2 \lambda^2 k_1 - 2\alpha_0 \lambda K_2 n - k_3\} \\ - 2\alpha_0 \lambda K_1 n - 4\alpha_0^2 \lambda^2 k_1 + k'_3 - \beta_0.$$

Evidently α_0 and β_0 satisfy

$$4\alpha_0^2 \lambda^2 k_1 - 2\alpha_0 \lambda K_2 n - k_3 > 0 \text{ and } -2\alpha_0 \lambda K_1 n - 4\alpha_0^2 \lambda^2 k_1 + k'_3 - \beta_0 > 0.$$

Therefore we have $Lv \leq 0$ in $R^n \times (T, \infty)$. Further, we see $v(x, T) = u(x, T) - M_4 H_0(x) \geq 0$. Applying Bodanko's maximum principle again, we can see $v(x, t) \geq 0$ in $R^n \times [T, \infty)$, so

$$M_4 H_0(x) e^{\beta_0(t-T)} \leq u(x, t) \text{ in } R^n \times [T, \infty).$$

From this we get the assertion of Theorem 1.

Example. Consider an operator

$$(8) \quad L_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + (-k^2 |x|^2 + l) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$

in $R^n \times (0, \infty)$. Let $u(x, t)$ continuous in $R^n \times [0, \infty)$ satisfy $L_0 u \leq 0$ and $u(x, t) \geq -\mu e^{\nu |x|^2}$ in $R^n \times (0, \infty)$ for some positive μ, ν and let $u(x, 0)$ be non-negative and not identically equal to zero. The condition (2) is satisfied for $\lambda = 1$, $k_1 = K_1 = 1$, $K_2 = 0$, $k_3 = k^2$ and $k'_3 = k^2 + l$. Theorem 1 implies that, if the condition $l > kn$ corresponding to (6) is fulfilled, then $u(x, t)$ grows exponentially to infinity as t tends to infinity. This fact was essentially proved by Szybiak [6] although his theorem is false as Besala and Fife pointed out. Szybiak missed the condition $l > kn$ out of the statement of his theorem.

5. Assume $l < kn$ in (8). In this case, Krzyżański [4] proved the following by constructing the fundamental solution of the Cauchy problem for the equation $L_0 u = 0$: Let u be the solution of the Cauchy problem

$$L_0 u = 0 \text{ in } R^n \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = f(x)$$

for the Cauchy data $f(x)$ bounded in R^n . Then $u(x, t)$ tends to zero uniformly in $x \in R^n$ as t tends to infinity.

Recently Chen [3] treated an analogous problem for an operator of a general form and proved the following fact.

Let the differential operator L in (1) satisfy the condition

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} k_1(|x|^2 + 1)^{1-\lambda} |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \leq K_1(|x|^2 + 1)^{1-\lambda} |\xi|^2 \text{ for real vector } \xi, \\ |b_i| \leq K_2(|x|^2 + 1)^{1/2}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ c \leq -k_3(|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda + k'_3 \end{cases}$$

for some $\lambda \in (0, 1]$, $k_1 (> 0)$, $K_1, K_2 (\geq 0)$, $k_3 (> 0)$ and k'_3 . Further, let $u(x, t)$ be a solution of the Cauchy problem $Lu = 0$ in $R^n \times (0, \infty)$, $u(x, 0) = f(x)$ in R^n and satisfy $|u(x, t)| \leq \mu e^{\nu(|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda}$ for some constants μ and ν positive. If $f(x)$ is bounded in R^n and if

$$-\frac{1}{2K_1} [2K_1(1 - \lambda) - k_1 n] (\sqrt{n^2 K_2^2 + 4K_1 k_3} - nK_2) + k'_3 < 0,$$

then $u(x, t)$ tends to zero uniformly in $x \in R^n$ as t tends to infinity.

6. Here we shall discuss the case when $\lambda \in [1, \infty)$ in Chen's theorem. Let L be an operator of the form (1) with coefficients satisfying (9) for $\lambda \in [1, \infty)$. For $H(x, t) = \exp \{-\alpha(t)(|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda + \beta(t)\}$ with $\alpha(t) (> 0)$ and $\beta(t)$ differentiable once in $(0, \infty)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{LH}{H} &\leq (|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda [4\lambda^2 K_1 \alpha^2(t) + 2\lambda n K_2 \alpha(t) - k_3 + \alpha'(t)] \\ &\quad - 2\lambda k_1 n \alpha(t) + k'_3 - 4\lambda^2 K_1 \alpha^2(t) - \beta'(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if we take

$$(10) \quad \alpha(t) = \gamma \tanh 4\lambda^2 K_1 \gamma t$$

and

$$\beta(t) = [-2\lambda k_1 n \gamma - 4\lambda^2 K_1 \gamma^2 + k'_3] t + \frac{k_1 n}{2\lambda K_1} \log \frac{e^{8\lambda^2 K_1 \gamma t}}{e^{8\lambda^2 K_1 \gamma t} + 1} - \frac{2\gamma}{e^{8\lambda^2 K_1 \gamma t} + 1}$$

for the positive root γ of the quadratic equation $4\lambda^2 K_1 X^2 + 2\lambda n K_2 X - k_3 = 0$, then we get $LH \leq 0$ in $R^n \times (0, \infty)$. Clearly $H(x, 0) = e^{\beta(0)} = e^{-\gamma - \frac{k_1 n}{2\lambda K_1} \log 2}$. Putting $w_\pm(x) = M e^{-\beta(0)} H(x, t) \pm u(x, t)$ for $M = \sup_{x \in R^n} |f(x)|$, where $u(x, t)$ is a solution of the Cauchy problem $Lu = 0$ in $R^n \times (0, \infty)$, $u(x, 0) = f(x)$ for the bounded Cauchy data $f(x)$ and satisfies $|u(x, t)| \leq \mu e^{\nu(|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda}$ for some positive μ and ν , we have $Lw_\pm \leq 0$ in $R^n \times (0, \infty)$ and $w_\pm(x, 0) \geq 0$. From Bodanko's maximum principle in the case of $\lambda \in [1, \infty)$ we get $w_\pm(x, t) \geq 0$ in $R^n \times [0, \infty)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x, t)| &\leq Me^{-\beta(0)}H(x, t) \\ &\leq Me^{-\beta(0)}e^{\beta(t)} \leq Me^{-\beta(0)}e^{(-2\lambda k_1 n \gamma - 4\lambda^2 K_1 \gamma^2 + k_3')t} \end{aligned}$$

in $R^n \times [0, \infty)$. Therefore, if

$$(11) \quad -2\lambda k_1 n \gamma - 4\lambda^2 K_1 \gamma^2 + k_3' < 0,$$

then $u(x, t)$ decays to zero exponentially as t tends to infinity. Thus we have the following

THEOREM 2. *Let L be a differential operator of the form (1) with coefficients satisfying (9) for some $\lambda \in [1, \infty)$ and let $u(x, t)$ be a solution of the Cauchy problem*

$$\begin{aligned} Lu &= 0 \quad \text{in } R^n \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) &= f(x) \quad \text{in } R^n \end{aligned}$$

for a bounded continuous Cauchy data $f(x)$ in R^n . Assume that there exist positive constants μ and ν such that $|u(x, t)| \leq \mu e^{\nu(|x|^2+1)^\lambda}$ in $R^n \times [0, \infty)$. If the condition (11) is valid, then $u(x, t)$ decays to zero exponentially as t tends to infinity and this decay of $u(x, t)$ is uniform in R^n .

7. We apply Theorem 2 to the operator (8). In this case we may take $\lambda = 1$, $k_1 = K_1 = 1$, $K_2 = 0$, $k_3 = k^2$, $k_3' = k^2 + l$ and γ in (10) equal to $\frac{k}{2}$. So (11) reduces to $kn > l$. This is nothing but the result of Krzyżański stated in §5.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Besala-P. Fife, The unbounded growth of solutions of linear parabolic differential equations, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa III, **20** (1966), 719–732.
- [2] W. Bodanko, Sur le problème de Cauchy et les problèmes de Fourier pour les equations paraboliques dans un domaine non borné, Ann. Polo. Math., **18** (1966), 79–94.
- [3] L.S. Chen, Note on the behavior of solutions of parabolic equations with unbounded coefficients, Nagoya Math. Journ., **37** (1969), 1–4.
- [4] M. Krzyżański, Evaluations des solutions de l'équation lineaire de type paraboliques à coefficients non-borné, Ann. Polo. Math., **11** (1961–62), 253–260.
- [5] L. Nirenberg, A strong maximum principle for parabolic equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **6** (1953), 167–177.
- [6] A. Szybiak, On the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of the equation $\Delta u - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + c(x)u = 0$, Bull. Acad. Polo. Sci., **7** (1959), 183–186.

*Mathematical Institute,
Tohoku University,
Sendai, Japan.*