

# CONDENSOR PRINCIPLE AND THE UNIT CONTRACTION

MASAYUKI ITÔ

To Professor Kiyoshi Noshiro on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

## Introduction

Deny introduced in [4] the notion of functional spaces by generalizing Dirichlet spaces. In this paper, we shall give the following necessary and sufficient conditions for a functional space to be a real Dirichlet space.

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a regular functional space with respect to a locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$  and a positive measure  $\xi$  in  $X$ . The following four conditions are equivalent.

- (1) The unit contraction operates on  $\mathcal{X}$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{X}$  satisfies the condensor principle.
- (3)  $\mathcal{X}$  satisfies the strong complete maximum principles.
- (4)  $\mathcal{X}$  is a real Dirichlet space.

Furthermore for an invariant functional space  $\mathcal{X}$  on a locally compact abelian group  $X$ , we shall show the following equivalence without assuming the regularity.

$\mathcal{X}$  is special Dirichlet space if and only if  $\mathcal{X}$  satisfies the condensor principle.

## 1. Preliminaries on regular functional spaces

Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space and  $\xi$  be a positive measure in  $X$  which is everywhere dense in  $X$  (i.e.,  $\xi(\omega) > 0$  for any non-empty open set  $\omega$  in  $X$ ). According to Deny [4], we give the definition of a functional space.

DEFINITION 1. A functional space  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}(X, \xi)$  with respect to  $X$  and  $\xi$  is a Hilbert space of real valued functions  $u(x)$  which is locally summable for  $\xi$ , the following condition being satisfied: (i) For any compact subset  $K$  in  $X$ , there exists a positive number  $A(K)$  such that

---

Received May 6, 1966.

$$\int_K |u(x)| d\xi(x) \leq A(K) \|u\|$$

for any  $u$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Two functions which are equal locally almost everywhere for  $\xi$  represent the same element in  $\mathcal{L}$ . The norm in  $\mathcal{L}$  is denoted by  $\|u\|$ , the associated scalar product by  $(u, v)$ . Let  $C_K$  be the space of finite continuous functions with compact support provided with the topology of uniform convergence.

**DEFINITION 2.** A functional space  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(X, \xi)$  is said to be regular if  $\mathcal{L} \cap C_K$  is dense both in  $\mathcal{L}$  and in  $C_K$ .

By the condition (i), for any bounded measurable function  $f$  with compact support, there exists an element  $u_f$  in a functional space  $\mathcal{L}$  such that

$$(u_f, u) = \int f u d\xi$$

for any  $u$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ . Such an element  $u_f$  is said to be the potential generated by  $f$ . More generally we define potentials as follows.

**DEFINITION 3.<sup>1)</sup>** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a regular functional space. The element  $u$  is called a potential if there exists a real Radon measure  $\mu$  such that

$$(u, f) = \int f d\mu$$

for any  $f$  in  $\mathcal{L} \cap C_K$ . Such an element  $u$  is denoted by  $u_\mu$ . Especially if  $\mu$  is positive,  $u_\mu$  is said to be a pure potential.

According to Beurling and Deny [2], we define the capacity of an open set is defined as follows:

$$Cap(\omega) = \inf\{\|u\|^2; u \in \mathcal{L}, u(x) \geq 1 \text{ p.p. in } \omega\}.$$

If there are no such functions,  $Cap(\omega) = +\infty$ .

**LEMMA 1.** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a regular functional space and  $f$  be a function in  $\mathcal{L} \cap C_K$ . Then for each positive number  $\varepsilon$ ,

$$Cap(\{x \in X; f(x) > \varepsilon\}) \leq \frac{\|f\|^2}{\varepsilon^2}.$$

By the definition of the capacity, this is evident.

**LEMMA 2.** For a relatively compact open set  $\omega$  in  $X$ , put

$$E_\omega = \overline{\{u_\mu \in \mathcal{L}; S_\mu \subset \omega, \mu \geq 0\}}.$$

<sup>1)</sup> Cf. [2], p. 209.

<sup>2)</sup>  $S_\mu$  is the support of  $\mu$ .

Then there exists a unique element  $u_\gamma$  which minimizes

$$I(u_\mu) = \|u_\mu\|^2 - 2 \int d\mu$$

in  $E_\omega$  and for which

$$Cap(\omega) = \|u_\gamma\|^2 = \int d\gamma.$$

*Proof.* Obviously  $E_\omega$  is a closed convex cone in  $\mathcal{L}$ . Since  $\omega$  is a relatively compact set, there exists a function  $f$  in  $\mathcal{L} \cap C_K$  such that  $f(x) \geq 1$  in  $\omega$ . Then

$$I(u_\mu) \geq \|u_\mu\|^2 - 2 \int f d\mu = \|u_\mu - f\|^2 - \|f\|^2.$$

Hence  $I(u_\mu)$  is bounded from below in  $E_\omega$ . Therefore there exists a unique pure potential  $u_\gamma$  such that

$$I(u_\gamma) \leq I(u_\mu)$$

for any  $u_\mu$  in  $E_\omega$ . Then

$$\int d\mu \leq (u_\gamma, u_\mu) \tag{1}$$

and

$$\int d\gamma = \|u_\gamma\|^2. \tag{2}$$

By (1),  $u_\gamma(x) \geq 1$  *p.p.* in  $\omega$ . Hence

$$\|u_\gamma\|^2 \geq Cap(\omega).$$

On the other hand it is known that there exists a sequence  $(u_{f_n})$  of pure potentials such that  $u_{f_n} \rightarrow u_\gamma$  strongly in  $\mathcal{L}$ , where  $f_n$  is a positive bounded measurable function with support in  $\omega$ .<sup>3)</sup> For any  $u$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $u(x) \geq 1$  *p.p.* in  $\omega$ ,

$$(u_{f_n}, u) = \int f_n u d\xi \leq \int f_n d\xi.$$

Since the measure  $f_n$  converges vaguely to  $\gamma$  and  $\omega$  is relatively compact,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\xi = \int d\gamma.$$

---

<sup>3)</sup> Cf. [4], p. 3 and [6].

Hence

$$(u_\gamma, u) \geq \int d\gamma = \|u_\gamma\|^2,$$

i.e.,  $\|u\| \geq \|u_\gamma\|$ . Consequently

$$\text{Cap}(\omega) = \|u_\gamma\|^2 = \int d\gamma.$$

LEMMA 3. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a regular functional space on  $X$  and  $\omega$  be an open set in  $X$ . For any increasing net  $(\omega_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  of relatively compact open sets exhausting  $\omega$ ,

$$\lim_{\alpha \in I} \text{Cap}(\omega_\alpha) = \text{Cap}(\omega).$$

*Proof.* Obviously  $\text{Cap}(\omega_\alpha)$  increases with  $\alpha$ . First we suppose that  $\text{Cap}(\omega) < +\infty$ . Then  $\text{Cap}(\omega_\alpha)$  is bounded. Let  $u_{\tau_\alpha}$  be the pure potential such that  $\text{Cap}(\omega_\alpha) = \|u_{\tau_\alpha}\|^2$ . Suppose that  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{\tau_\alpha} - u_{\tau_\beta}\|^2 &= \|u_{\tau_\alpha}\|^2 - 2(u_{\tau_\alpha}, u_{\tau_\beta}) + \|u_{\tau_\beta}\|^2 \\ &\leq \|u_{\tau_\beta}\|^2 - \|u_{\tau_\alpha}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(u_{\tau_\beta})$  is a fundamental net in  $\mathcal{X}$ . There exists an element  $u$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $u_{\tau_\alpha} \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $\mathcal{X}$ . For any positive bounded measurable function  $f$  with compact support such that  $S_f \subset \omega$ , there exists  $\alpha_0$  in  $I$  such that

$$(u_f, u_{\tau_\alpha}) = \int u_{\tau_\alpha} f d\xi \geq \int f d\xi$$

for any  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ . Therefore

$$(u_f, u) \geq \int f d\xi,$$

i.e.,  $u(x) \geq 1$  *p.p.* in  $\omega$ . Hence

$$\text{Cap}(\omega) \leq \|u\|^2.$$

Consequently

$$\lim_{\alpha \in I} \text{Cap}(\omega_\alpha) = \text{Cap}(\omega).$$

In the case that  $\text{Cap}(\omega) = +\infty$ , it is evident that

$$\lim_{\alpha \in I} \text{Cap}(\omega_\alpha) = +\infty$$

by the above proof.

LEMMA 4. Let  $\omega_n$  be an open set in  $X$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ).  
Put

$$\omega = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n$$

Then

$$\text{Cap}(\omega) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Cap}(\omega_n).$$

By Lemmas 2 and 3, we can prove in the same manner as Deny [5].<sup>4)</sup>

RPROPOSITION 1.<sup>5)</sup> Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a regular functional space on  $X$ . For any  $u$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ , there exists a function  $u^*$  with the following properties.

(1.1)  $u(x) = u^*(x)$  p.p. in  $X$  and  $u^*(x) = 0$  outside some  $\sigma$ -compact set.

(1.2) There exists a decreasing sequence  $(\omega_n)$  of open sets such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cap}(\omega_n) = 0$$

and  $u^*(x)$  is continuous on  $\mathcal{E}\omega_n$  for each  $n$ .

(1.3) For any pure potential  $u$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $u^*$  is  $\mu$ -measurable and

$$(u, u_\mu) = \int u^* d\mu.$$

By Lemmas 1, 2, 3, and 4, we can prove in the same manner as Deny [5].

We say that  $u^*$  is the refinement of  $u$ . Furthermore we have

LEMMA 5. For any  $u$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $u^*$  is  $\mu$ -measurable for any  $u_\mu$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $S_\mu^+$  is compact and

$$S_\mu^+ \cap S_\mu^- = \phi.$$

*Proof.*  $S_\mu^+$  being compact, we can take an open set  $\omega$  in  $X$  such that  $\omega \supset S_\mu^+$  and

$$S_\mu^- \cap \bar{\omega} = \phi.$$

Put

$$\mathcal{L}_\omega = \{\overline{u \in C_K \cap \mathcal{L}; S_u \subset \omega}\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{L}_\omega$  is a regular functional space on  $\omega$ . We take another open set  $\omega^{(1)}$

<sup>4)</sup> Cf. [5], p. 136.

<sup>5)</sup> Cf. [2], p. 209.

such that

$$S_\mu^+ \subset \omega^{(1)} \subset \bar{\omega}^{(1)} \subset \omega.$$

Let  $(\omega_n)$  be the sequence in Proposition 1. Put

$$\omega_n' = \omega^{(1)} \cap \omega_n.$$

Let  $Cap'(\omega_n')$  be the capacity of  $\omega_n'$  relative to the functional space  $\mathcal{L}_\omega$ . Obviously

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Cap'(\omega_n') = 0.$$

Let  $u_{r_n}'$  be the pure potential in  $\mathcal{L}_\omega$  such that

$$Cap'(\omega_n') = \|u_{r_n}'\|^2.$$

Then

$$\int_{\omega_n} d\mu^+ \leq (u_\mu, u_{r_n}') \leq \|u_\mu\| \|u_{r_n}'\| \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Therefore  $u^*$  is  $\mu^+$ -measurable. Similarly  $u^*$  is  $\mu^-$ -measurable.

## 2. The unit contraction and Condensor principle

First we define the unit contraction on 1-dimensional Euclidean space  $R$ .

DEFINITION 5. We call the projection  $T$  of  $R$  to the closed interval  $[0, 1]$  the unit contraction on  $R$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a regular functional space with respect to  $X$  and  $\xi$ .

DEFINITION 6. We say that the unit contraction  $T$  operates on  $\mathcal{L}$  if for any  $u$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $Tu$  is in  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$ .

DEFINITION 7. We say that  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies the condensor principle if for any couple of open sets  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_0$  with disjoint closures,  $\omega_1$  being relatively compact, there exists a potential  $u_\mu$  such that

$$(C. 1) \quad 0 \leq u_\mu(x) \leq 1 \text{ p.p. in } X,$$

$$(C. 2) \quad u_\mu(x) = 1 \text{ p.p. in } \omega_1 \text{ and } u_\mu(x) = 0 \text{ p.p. in } \omega_0,$$

$$(C. 3) \quad u_\mu \varepsilon \overline{E_{\omega_1}} - \overline{E_{\omega_0}}, \text{ where } E_{\omega_1} \text{ and } E_{\omega_0} \text{ are the sets which we defined in Lemma 2.}$$

We shall call the above potential  $u_\mu$  the condensor potential with respect to  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_0$ .

LEMMA 6. Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies the condensor principle. For any couple of open sets  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_0$  with disjoint closures,  $\omega_1$  being relatively compact, put

$$A_{1,0} = \{u \in \mathcal{L}; u(x) \geq 1 \text{ p.p. in } \omega_1 \text{ and } u(x) \leq 0 \text{ p.p. in } \omega_0\}.$$

Then there exists a unique element in  $\mathcal{L}$  whose norm is minimum in  $A_{1,0}$  and it is equal to the condensor potential with respect to  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_0$ .

*Proof.* Obviously  $A_{1,0}$  is non-empty closed convex set in  $\mathcal{L}$ . Hence there exists a unique element  $u_{1,0}$  in  $A_{1,0}$  such that  $\|u_{1,0}\| \leq \|u\|$  for any  $u$  in  $A_{1,0}$ . Let  $u_\mu$  be the condensor potential with respect to  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_0$ . Since  $u_\mu$  is in  $A_{1,0}$ ,  $\|u_\mu\| \geq \|u_{1,0}\|$ . On the other hand there exists a sequence  $(u_{\mu_{1,n}} - u_{\mu_{0,n}})$  such that  $u_{\mu_{1,n}}$  and  $u_{\mu_{0,n}}$  are pure potentials,

$$S_{\mu_{1,n}} \subset \omega_1, S_{\mu_{0,n}} \subset \omega_0$$

and  $u_{\mu_{1,n}} - u_{\mu_{0,n}}$  converges strongly to  $u_\mu$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . For any  $u$  in  $A_{1,0}$ ,

$$(u, u_{\mu_{1,n}} - u_{\mu_{0,n}}) = \int u^* d\mu_{1,n} - \int u^* d\mu_{0,n} \geq (u_\mu, u_{\mu_{1,n}} - u_{\mu_{0,n}}),$$

because  $u^*(x) \geq 1$  p.p.p. in  $\omega_1$  and  $u^*(x) \leq 0$  p.p.p. in  $\omega_0$ .<sup>6)</sup> Hence

$$\|u\| \cdot \|u_\mu\| \geq (u, u_\mu) \geq \|u_\mu\|^2,$$

i.e.,  $\|u\| \geq \|u_\mu\|$ . Consequently  $u_{1,0} = u_\mu$ .

LEMMA 7. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a regular functional space. Each element in  $\overline{E_{\omega_1} - E_{\omega_0}}$  is a potential in  $\mathcal{L}$ .

*Proof.* For any  $u$  in  $\overline{E_{\omega_1} - E_{\omega_0}}$ , there exists a sequence  $(u_{\mu_n} - u_{\nu_n})$  of  $E_{\omega_1} - E_{\omega_0}$  tending strongly to  $u$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ . Since

$$\overline{\omega_0} \cap \overline{\omega_1} = \emptyset$$

and  $C_K \cap \mathcal{L}$  is dense in  $C_K$ ,  $(\mu_n)$  and  $(\nu_n)$  are vaguely bounded. Hence we may assume that there exist positive measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  such that  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$  and  $\nu_n \rightarrow \nu$  vaguely as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Therefore

$$(u, f) = \int f d(\mu - \nu)$$

for any  $f$  in  $C_K \cap \mathcal{L}$ . Consequently

$$u = u_{\mu - \nu}.$$

<sup>6)</sup> Cf. [6], Lemma 2. A property is said to hold p.p.p. on a subset  $E$  in  $X$  if the property holds  $\mu$ -p.p. for any pure potential  $u_\mu$  in  $E$  such that  $S_\mu \subset E$ .

By Lemma 7, we obtain the following lemma.

LEMMA 8. *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a regular functional space. Let  $A_{1,0}$  be the same as in Lemma*

6. *The element  $u'$  whose norm is minimum  $A_{1,0}$  is contained in  $\overline{E_{\omega_1} - E_{\omega_0}}$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 7, we can consider the following valuation:

$$I'(u_{\mu_1} - u_{\mu_0}) = \|u_{\mu_1} - u_{\mu_0}\|^2 - 2 \int d\mu_1$$

for any  $u_{\mu_1} - u_{\mu_0}$  in  $\overline{E_{\omega_1} - E_{\omega_0}}$ . Similarly as in Lemma 2,  $I'(u_{\mu_1} - u_{\mu_0})$  is bounded from below on  $\overline{E_{\omega_1} - E_{\omega_0}}$ . Since  $\overline{E_{\omega_1} - E_{\omega_0}}$  is a non-empty closed convex set in  $\mathcal{X}$ , there exists a unique element  $u_{\tau_1} - u_{\tau_0}$  in  $\overline{E_{\omega_1} - E_{\omega_0}}$  such that

$$I'(u_{\tau_1} - u_{\tau_0}) \leq I'(u_{\mu_1} - u_{\mu_0})$$

for any  $u_{\mu_1} - u_{\mu_0}$  in  $\overline{E_{\omega_1} - E_{\omega_0}}$ . Similarly is as the proof of Lemma 2,

$$u' = u_{\tau_1} - u_{\tau_0}.$$

Now we remark that the regular functional space  $\mathcal{X}$  satisfies the equilibrium principle if  $\mathcal{X}$  satisfies the condensor principle. That is, for any relatively compact open set  $\omega$ , there exists a pure potential  $u_\mu$  such that

$$(E. 1) \quad 0 \leq u_\mu(x) \leq 1 \quad p.p. \text{ in } X,$$

$$(E. 2) \quad u_\mu(x) = 1 \quad p.p. \text{ in } \omega,$$

$$(E. 3) \quad u_\mu \text{ is contained in } E_\omega.$$

Such element  $u_\mu$  is called an equilibrium potential of  $\omega$ .

LEMMA 9. *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the regular functional space which satisfies the condensor principle. For any couple of open sets  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_0$  with disjoint closures,  $\omega_1$  being relatively compact, let  $u_\mu$  be the condensor potential with respect to  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_0$ . Then*

$$\int d\mu \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* We take a relatively compact open set  $\omega$  such that  $\omega \supset \overline{\omega_1}$ . Let  $u_\nu$  be the equilibrium potential of  $\omega$ . Since by Lemma 5,

$$u_\nu^*(x) = 1 \quad p.p.p. \text{ in } \omega,$$

$$0 \leq u_\nu^*(x) \leq 1 \quad p.p.p. \text{ in } X,$$

we have



$$(u_\mu, u_\nu) = \int u_\nu^* d\mu^+ - \int u_\nu^* d\mu^- \leq \int d\mu^+ - \int d\mu^-.$$

On the other hand since we have

$$u_\mu^*(x) \geq 0 \quad p.p.p. \quad \text{in } X,$$

$$(u_\mu, u_\nu) = \int u_\mu^* d\nu \geq 0.$$

Hence

$$\int d\mu^+ \geq \int d\mu^-.$$

$\omega$  being arbitrary, we obtain that the total mass of  $\mu$  is non-negative.

LEMMA 10. *Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the same as above. Let  $F_1$  be a compact and  $F_0$  be a closed set such that*

$$F_1 \cap F_0 = \phi.$$

*Then there exists a potential  $u_\mu$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  such that*

$$(C' 1) \quad 0 \leq u_\mu^*(x) \leq 1 \quad p.p. \quad X,$$

$$(C' 2) \quad u_\mu^*(x) = 1 \quad p.p.p. \quad \text{in } F_1, \quad u_\mu^*(x) = 0 \quad p.p.p. \quad \text{in } F_0,$$

$$(C' 3) \quad S_\mu^+ \subset F_1, \quad S_\mu^- \subset F_0,$$

$$(C' 4) \quad \int d\mu \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* We take two decreasing nets  $(\omega_{1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in I}$  and  $(\omega_{0,\alpha})_{\alpha \in I}$  of open sets converging to  $F_1, F_0$  such that  $\omega_{1,\alpha}$  is relatively compact for any  $\alpha \in I$ ,

$$\omega_{1,\alpha} \supset F_1, \quad \omega_{0,\alpha} \supset F_0$$

and for any,  $\alpha < \beta$ ,

$$\overline{\omega_{1,\alpha}} \subset \omega_{1,\beta}, \quad \overline{\omega_{0,\alpha}} \subset \omega_{0,\beta}.$$

Let  $u_{\mu_\alpha}$  be the condensor potential with respect to  $\omega_{1,\alpha}$  and  $\omega_{0,\alpha}$ . Since  $u_{\mu_\alpha}^*(x)$  is bounded in  $X$ , by Lemma 5,

$$(u_{\mu_\alpha}, u_{\mu_\beta}) = \int u_{\mu_\alpha}^* d\mu_\beta^+ - \int u_{\mu_\alpha}^* d\mu_\beta^- = \|u_{\mu_\beta}\|^2$$

for any  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . Hence  $\|u_{\mu_\alpha}\| \geq \|u_{\mu_\beta}\|$  for any  $\alpha \leq \beta$ , i.e.,  $\{\|u_{\mu_\alpha}\|\}$  is convergent.

Furthermore we have

$$\|u_{\mu_\alpha} - u_{\mu_\beta}\|^2 = \|u_{\mu_\alpha}\|^2 - 2(u_{\mu_\alpha}, u_{\mu_\beta}) + \|u_{\mu_\beta}\|^2 = \|u_{\mu_\alpha}\|^2 - \|u_{\mu_\beta}\|^2.$$

Therefore there exists an element  $u$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $u_{\mu_\alpha} \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $\mathcal{L}$ . Obviously the sets  $(\mu_\alpha^+)_{\alpha \in I}$  and  $(\mu_\alpha^-)_{\alpha \in I}$  are vaguely bounded, and hence we may assume that there exist two positive measures  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_0$  such that  $(\mu_\alpha^+)_{\alpha \in I}$  and  $(\mu_\alpha^-)$  converge vaguely to  $\mu_1$  and,  $\mu_0$ , respectively. By the definition of a potential in  $\mathcal{L}$ ,

$$u = u_{\mu_1 - \mu_0}.$$

We shall show that this element  $u$  is the required element. Evidently

$$S_{\mu_1} \subset F_1, S_{\mu_0} \subset F_0.$$

Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\mu_\alpha}^* &= 1 \text{ p.p.p. in } \omega_{1,\alpha} \text{ and } u_{\mu_\alpha}^* = 0 \text{ p.p.p. in } \omega_{0,\alpha}, \\ u^* &= 1 \text{ p.p.p. in } F_1 \text{ and } u^* = 0 \text{ p.p.p. in } F_0. \end{aligned}$$

It is evident that  $u$  satisfies the condition (C'. 1). Finally we prove that  $u$  satisfies the condition (C'. 4).  $S_{\mu_\alpha^+}$  being in a fixed compact set,

$$\lim_{\alpha \in I} \int d\mu_\alpha^+ = \int d\mu_1.$$

On the other hand

$$\lim_{\alpha \in I} \int d\mu_\alpha^- \geq \int d\mu_0.$$

By Lemma 9, we obtain the inequality

$$\int d\mu_1 \geq \int d\mu_0.$$

We call such a potential  $u_\mu$  the condensor potential with respect to  $F_1$  and  $F_0$ . Now we consider the strong complete maximum principle.

DEFINITION 7.<sup>6)</sup> We say that a regular functional space  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies the strong complete maximum principle if the following condition is fulfilled. For a potential  $u_f$ ,  $f$  being locally summable for  $\xi$ , and a pure potential  $u_v$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  and a non-negative constant  $c$ , suppose that

$$u_f^*(x) \leq u_v^*(x) + c$$

p.p.p. on  $K_{f^*}$ . Then

$$u_f(x) \leq u_\nu(x) + c$$

*p.p.* in  $X$ .

In this definition,  $K_{f^+}$  is a set whose complement is of  $f^+$ -measure zero. By the above lemmas, we obtain the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *If a regular funtiocnal space  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfies the condensor principles, then  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfies the strong complete maximum principle.*

*Proof.* Let  $u_f$ ,  $u_\nu$  and  $c$  be the same as in Definition 7. Suppose that there exists a compact set  $K_1$  in  $\mathcal{E}K_{f^+}$  such that  $\xi(K_1) > 0$  and

$$u_f(x) > u_\nu(x) + c$$

on  $K_1$ . Since

$$u_f^*(x) = u_f(x) \text{ p.p. in } X \text{ and } u_\nu^*(x) = u_\nu(x) \text{ p.p. in } X, \quad u_f^*(x) > u_\nu^*(x) + c$$

*p.p.* on  $K_1$ . Therefore there exists a compact set  $K_2$  in  $K_1$  such that  $\xi(K_2) > 0$  and

$$u_f^*(x) > u_\nu^*(x) + c$$

on  $K_2$ . By Proposition 1, there exists a decreasing sequence  $(\omega_n)$  of open sets such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cap}(\omega_n) = 0,$$

$u_f^*(x)$  and  $u_\nu^*(x)$  are continuous on  $\mathcal{E}\omega_n$ . Since  $\xi(\omega_n) \searrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , there exists a number  $n$  such that

$$\xi(K_2 \cap \mathcal{E}\omega_n) > 0.$$

We take a compact set  $K$  such that

$$K \subset K_2 \cap \mathcal{E}\omega_n \text{ and } \xi(K) > 0.$$

Then  $u_f^*(x)$  and  $u_\nu^*(x)$  are continuous and  $u_f^*(x) > u_\nu^*(x) + c$  on  $K$ , and hence there exists a positive number  $a$  such that

$$u_f^*(x) - u_\nu^*(x) - c > a$$

on  $K$ . Since  $f$  is locally summable for  $\xi$ , there exists an open set  $G$  such that  $G \supset K$  and

$$\int_G f^+(x) d\xi(x) < \frac{1}{2} a \cdot \text{Cap}(K),$$

where

$$\text{Cap}(K) = \inf_{k \subset \omega} \text{Cap}(\omega),$$

because we have

$$\int_K f^+(x) d\xi(x) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Cap}(K) > 0.$$

Put

$$K'_{f^+} = K_{f^+} \cap \mathcal{E}G.$$

By the measurability of  $f$ , there exists an increasing sequence  $(F_n)$  of compact sets such that  $F_n \subset K'_{f^+}$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi(F_n \cap F) = \xi(K'_{f^+} \cap F)$$

for any compact set  $F$ . Let  $u_{\mu_n}$  be the condensor potential with respect to  $K$  and  $F_n$ . Similarly as the proof of Lemma 10, there exists a potential  $u_\mu$  such that  $u_{\mu_n} \rightarrow u_\mu$  strongly in  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $S_{\mu_n} \subset K$ . By Lemmas 9 and 10,

$$(u_\mu, u_\nu) = \int (u_f^*(x) - u_\nu^*(x)) d\mu \geq (a+c) \int d\mu^+ - c \int d\mu^- \geq a \int d\mu^+ = a \|u_\mu\|^2 \geq a \cdot \text{Cap}(K).$$

Let  $(G_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  be an increasing net of relatively compact open sets such that  $G_\alpha \supset G$  and  $G_\alpha \nearrow X$ . Similarly as the above, we can take the condensor potential  $u_{\mu_\alpha}$  with respect to  $K$  and  $K'_{f^+} \cup \mathcal{E}G_\alpha$ . Since  $u_{\mu_\alpha}$  is a bounded measurable function with compact support,  $u_{\mu_\alpha}$  is  $f$ -integrable and

$$\begin{aligned} (u_{\mu_\alpha}, u_f - u_\nu) &= \int u_{\mu_\alpha}(x) f^+(x) d\xi(x) \\ &\quad - \left( \int u_{\mu_\alpha}(x) f^-(x) d\xi(x) + \int u_{\mu_\alpha}^*(x) d\nu(x) \right) \\ &\leq \int_G u_{\mu_\alpha}(x) f^+(x) d\xi(x) \leq \int_G f^+(x) d\xi(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} a \cdot \text{Cap}(K). \end{aligned}$$

Now since  $(u_{\mu_\alpha})_{\alpha \in I}$  converges strongly to  $u_\mu$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ ,

$$(u_\mu, u_f - u_\nu) \leq \frac{1}{2} a \cdot \text{Cap}(K).$$

This is a contradiction and the proof is completed.

### 3. Main theorems

First we consider the resolvent operator on a regular functional space  $\mathcal{E}$

or  $L^2 = L^2(\xi)$ .

LEMMA 11.<sup>7)</sup> *Let  $f$  be in  $L^2$  or in  $\mathcal{L}$ . For each positive number  $\lambda$ , there exists a unique element  $R_\lambda f$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  which minimizes the following quadratic form:*

$$F(u) = \|u\|^2 + \int |u(x) - f(x)|^2 d\xi(x)$$

in the set

$$A_f = \{u \in \mathcal{L}; u - f \in L^2\}.$$

$R_\lambda f$  is also the only element  $u$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $u - f$  is in  $L^2$  and

$$\lambda(u, v) + \int (u - f)v d\xi = 0$$

for any  $v$  in  $L^2 \cap \mathcal{L}$ .

This is obtained by Beurling and Deny [2] for the case when  $\mathcal{L}$  is a Dirichlet space. For the case when  $\mathcal{L}$  is a regular functional space, this is proved in the same way. We call such an operator  $R_\lambda$  the resolvent operator. Before we prove the main theorem, we prepare the following lemma.

LEMMA 12. *Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a regular functional space on  $X$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies the strong complete maximum principle. Then for any positive bounded function  $f$  with compact support,*

$$0 \leq R_\lambda f(x) \leq M$$

*p.p. in  $X$ , where*

$$M = \text{ess. sup}_{x \in X} f(x).$$

*Proof.* First we shall prove that

$$R_\lambda f(x) \geq 0$$

*p.p. in  $X$ .* By the second part of Lemma 11,  $R_\lambda f$  is the potential generated by  $f - R_\lambda f$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ . Since the potential  $u_f$  generated by  $f$  is in  $\mathcal{L}$ , there exists a potential  $u_{R_\lambda f}$  generated by  $R_\lambda f$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ . Then

$$u_f - \lambda R_\lambda f = u_{R_\lambda f}.$$

Hence

$$u_f^*(x) - \lambda (R_\lambda f)^*(x) = u_{R_\lambda f}^*(x)$$

---

<sup>7)</sup> Cf. [2], p. 211.

$p.p.p.$  in  $X$ . Since

$$R_\lambda f(x) = (R_\lambda f)^*(x)$$

$p.p.$  in  $X$ , we have

$$u_{R_\lambda f} = u_{(R_\lambda f)^*}$$

Since

$$u_f^*(x) \geq u_{(R_\lambda f)^+}^*(x)$$

$p.p.p.$  on  $K_{(R_\lambda f)^*+}$ , by Theorem 1,

$$u_f(x) \geq u_{(R_\lambda f)^*}(x)$$

$p.p.$  in  $X$ . Therefore  $R_\lambda f \geq 0$   $p.p.$  in  $X$ .

Next we shall show that

$$R_\lambda f(x) \leq M$$

$p.p.$  in  $X$ . There exists a function  $g$  in  $C_K$  such that  $g(x) \geq f(x)$   $p.p.$  in  $X$  and  $g(x) \leq M$ . Since by the above argument,  $R_\lambda$  is a positive operator,

$$R_\lambda f(x) \leq R_\lambda g(x)$$

$p.p.$  in  $X$ . Similarly as above,

$$(R_\lambda g)^*(x) = u_{g - (R_\lambda g)^+}^*(x)$$

$p.p.p.$  in  $X$ . Similarly as in the first part of this lemma,

$$M \geq g(x) \geq (R_\lambda g)^*(x)$$

$p.p.p.$  in  $K_{(g - (R_\lambda g)^*)^+}$ . Hence

$$M \geq u_{(g - (R_\lambda g)^*)^*}^*(x)$$

$p.p.p.$  in  $K_{(g - (R_\lambda g)^*)^+}$ . By the strong complete maximum principle,

$$M \geq u_{g - (R_\lambda g)^*}(x)$$

$p.p.$  in  $X$ . Consequently

$$R_\lambda f \leq R_\lambda g \leq M$$

$p.p.$  in  $X$ . This completes the proof.

Now we shall show the following main theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a regular functional space with respect to  $X$  and  $\xi$ .*

Then the following four conditions are equivalent.

- (1) The unit contraction operates on  $\mathcal{X}$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{X}$  satisfies the condensor principle.
- (3)  $\mathcal{X}$  satisfies the strong complete maximum principle.
- (4)  $\mathcal{X}$  is a real Dirichlet space with respect to  $X$  and  $\xi$ .<sup>8)</sup>

*Proof.* First we shall prove the implication (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2). For any couple of open sets  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_0$  with disjoint closures,  $\omega_1$  being relatively compact, let  $A_{1,0}$ ,  $E_{\omega_1}$  and  $E_{\omega_0}$  be the same as defined before. Let  $u_{1,0}$  be a unique element in  $\mathcal{X}$  whose norm is minimum in  $A_{1,0}$ . Since the unit contraction  $T$  operates on  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $Tu_{1,0}$  is in  $A_{1,0}$  and

$$\|Tu_{1,0}\| \leq \|u_{1,0}\|.$$

Therefore  $Tu_{1,0} = u_{1,0}$ . By Lemma 8,  $u_{1,0}$  belongs to  $\overline{E_{\omega_1} - E_{\omega_0}}$  and hence it is the condensor potential with respect to  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_0$ .

The implication (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) was proved in Theorem 1.

Next we shall show the implication (3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4). For a positive number  $\lambda$ , let  $R_\lambda$  be a resolvent operator. For any  $f, g$  in  $C_K \cap \mathcal{X}$ ,

$$(R_\lambda f, R_\lambda g) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \int (f - R_\lambda f) R_\lambda g d\xi = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \int (g - R_\lambda g) R_\lambda f d\xi,$$

Hence

$$(R_\lambda f, g) = (R_\lambda g, f)$$

and

$$\int R_\lambda f g d\xi = \int R_\lambda g f d\xi.$$

Hence by Lemma 12, there exists a positive symmetric measure  $\sigma_\lambda$  on  $X \times X$  such that

$$\int R_\lambda f(x) g(x) d\xi(x) = \iint f(x) g(y) d\sigma_\lambda(x, y)$$

for any  $f, g$  in  $C_K$  and  $\sigma_\lambda$  is sub-markovian, *i. e.*, the projection of  $\sigma_\lambda$  on  $X$  is less than or equal to  $\xi$ . Let  $m_\lambda$  be the density of the projection of  $\sigma_\lambda$  on  $X$ . By the second part of Lemma 11, for any  $f, g$  in  $C_K \cap \mathcal{X}$ ,

---

<sup>8)</sup> A real Dirichlet space with respect to  $X$  and  $\xi$  is a Dirichlet space with respect to  $X$  and  $\xi$  which consists of real functions. For Dirichlet spaces, see [2], p. 209.

$$\begin{aligned} (R_\lambda f, g) &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \int (f - R_\lambda f) g \, d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \left\{ \int (1 - m_\lambda) f g \, d\xi + \frac{1}{2} \iint (f(x) - f(y)) (g(x) - g(y)) \, d\sigma_\lambda(x, y) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Now by the first part of Lemma 11, for any positive number  $\lambda$ ,

$$\|R_\lambda f\| \leq \|f\|.$$

And by the second part of Lemma 11,

$$(R_\lambda f, R_\lambda f - f) = - \int |R_\lambda f - f|^2 \, d\xi.$$

Therefore  $R_\lambda f \rightarrow f$  strongly in  $L^2$ , and hence  $R_\lambda f \rightarrow f$  weakly in  $\mathcal{L}$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ . Since

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \|R_\lambda f\| \geq \|f\| \geq \|R_\lambda f\|$$

for any  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $R_\lambda f \rightarrow f$  strongly in  $\mathcal{L}$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ . Next we shall prove the following assertion: for a function  $f$  in  $C_K$ , suppose that

$$H_\lambda(f) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left\{ \int (1 - m_\lambda) |f|^2 \, d\xi + \frac{1}{2} \iint |f(x) - f(y)|^2 \, d\sigma_\lambda(x, y) \right\}$$

is bounded with respect to  $\lambda$ . Then  $f$  is in  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $H_\lambda(f) \rightarrow \|f\|^2$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ . In fact,

$$H_\lambda(f) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int (1 - R_\lambda f) f \, d\xi \geq \frac{1}{\lambda} \int (f - R_\lambda f) R_\lambda f \, d\xi = \|R_\lambda f\|^2.$$

Hence  $(R_\lambda f)$  is bounded with respect to  $\lambda$ , and we may assume that there exists an element  $u$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $R_\lambda f \rightarrow u$  weakly in  $\mathcal{L}$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ . On the other hand by the second part of Lemma 11,  $R_\lambda f \rightarrow f(x)$  *p.p.* in  $X$ . Consequently  $u(x) = f(x)$  *p.p.* in  $X$ , i. e.,  $f$  is in  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $H_\lambda(f) \rightarrow \|f\|^2$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ . Thus we obtain:

For any  $f$  in  $C_K \cap \mathcal{L}$  and any normal contraction  $T^{(9)}$  on  $R$ ,  $Tf$  is in  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\|Tf\| \leq \|f\|$ . Because  $Tf$  is in  $C_K$  and

$$H_\lambda(Tf) \leq H_\lambda(f)$$

for any  $\lambda$ .

Furthermore for any  $u$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ , there exists a sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $C_K \cap \mathcal{L}$  converging to  $u$ . By the results that  $Tf_n$  is in  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\|Tf_n\| \leq \|f_n\|$  and  $Tf_n(x)$  converges to  $Tu(x)$  *p.p.* in  $X$ ,  $Tu$  is in  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$ . Consequently  $\mathcal{L}$



is a real Dirichlet space.

The implication (4)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (1) is evident. This completes the proof.

By the above main theorem, we obtain the following another characterization of a real Dirichlet space.

**THEOREM 3.** *A regular functional space  $\mathcal{L}$  is a real Dirichlet space if and only if there exists number  $M \neq 0$  such that  $u_M$  is in  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\|u_M\| \leq \|u\|$  for any  $u$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ , where*

$$u_M(x) = \inf(u(x), M)$$

if  $M > 0$ ,

$$u_M(x) = \sup(u(x), M)$$

if  $M < 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists a number  $M \neq 0$  such that  $u_M$  is in  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\|u_M\| \leq \|u\|$ . It is sufficient to prove the theorem for the case  $M > 0$ . Put

$$u_1(x) = \inf(u(x), 1)$$

for any  $u$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ . Then

$$u_1(x) = M^{-1} \inf(Mu(x), M),$$

and hence  $u_1$  is in  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\|u_1\| \leq \|u\|$ . On the other hand for a sequence  $(a_n)$  of negative numbers tending to 0,

$$u_{a_n}(x) = \sup(u(x), a_n) = -\frac{a_n}{M} \inf\left(\frac{M}{a_n}u(x), M\right).$$

Hence  $u_{a_n}$  is in  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\|u_{a_n}\| \leq \|u\|$ . We may assume that there exists an element  $u'$  such that  $u_{a_n} \rightarrow u'$  weakly in  $\mathcal{L}$ . Since  $u_{a_n}(x)$  converges to  $u'(x)$  *p.p.* in  $X$ ,  $u'$  is in  $\mathcal{L}$  and

$$\|u\| \geq \varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{a_n}\| \geq \|u'\|$$

Let  $T$  be the unit contraction on  $R$ . Then  $Tu = u_1^+$ . Consequently  $T$  operates on  $\mathcal{L}$ . By Theorem 2,  $\mathcal{L}$  is a real Dirichlet space.

The converse is evident. This completes the proof.

**DEFINITION 8.** We say that the positive contraction on  $R$  operates on a regular functional space  $\mathcal{L}$  if for any  $u$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $u^+$  is in  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\|u^+\| \leq \|u\|$ .

<sup>9)</sup> A normal contraction  $T$  is a transformation of  $R$  into itself such that  $|Ta_1 - Ta_2| \leq |a_1 - a_2|$  for any couple  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  in  $R$  and  $T(0) = 0$ . Cf. [2], p. 209.

*Remark.* There exists a regular functional space on which the positive contraction operates and which is not a real Dirichlet space. We can construct such an example when  $X$  is a finite space. (Cf. [1].)

Similarly as Theorem 2, we obtain the following theorem. First we give a definition.

DEFINITION 9.<sup>10)</sup> We say that a regular functional space satisfies the balayage principle if the following condition is satisfied: for any pure potential  $u_\mu$  and any open set  $\omega$  in  $X$ , there exists a pure potential  $u_{\mu'}$  such that

- (B. 1)  $u_\mu(x) \geq u_{\mu'}(x)$  p.p. in  $X$ ,
- (B. 2)  $u_\mu(x) = u_{\mu'}(x)$  p.p. in  $\omega$ ,
- (B. 3)  $u_{\mu'} \in E_\omega$ .

THEOREM 4. A regular functional space  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies the balayage principle if and only if the positive contraction operates on  $\mathcal{L}$ .

We can prove in the same way as the proof of Theorem 2.

#### 4. Special Dirichlet spaces

Let  $X$  be a locally compact abelian group and  $\xi$  be the Haar measure on  $X$  which we denote by  $dx$ .

DEFINITION 10.<sup>11)</sup> A functional space  $\mathcal{L}$  with respect to  $X$  and  $\xi$  is called an invariant functional space if for any  $x$  in  $X$  and any  $u$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ ,

$$U_x u \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and } \|U_x u\| = \|u\|,$$

where  $U_x u$  is a function obtained from  $u$  by the translation  $x$  (i.e.,  $U_x u(y) = u(y-x)$ ).

DEFINITION 11.<sup>12)</sup> An invariant functional space  $\mathcal{L}$  is called a special Dirichlet space if  $\mathcal{L}$  is a real Dirichlet space.

LEMMA 13. For any  $u$  in an invariant functional space  $\mathcal{L}$  and any bounded measurable function  $f$  with compact support,  $u*f$  is in  $\mathcal{L}$  and

$$(u*f, v) = \int (U_{-x} u, v) f dx$$

for any  $v$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ .

---

<sup>10)</sup> Cf. [2], p. 210.

<sup>11)</sup> After Deny's terminology, this is the functional space which is invariant by the translation.

<sup>12)</sup> Cf. [2], p. 215.

For the proof, see [3] and [4].

Using Theorem 2, we obtain the following theorem.

**THEOREM 5.** *An invariant functional space  $\mathcal{X}$  is a special Dirichlet space if and only if  $\mathcal{X}$  satisfies the condensor principle.<sup>13)</sup>*

*Proof.* It is well-known that a special Dirichlet space satisfies the condensor principle. It is sufficient to prove the “if” part. By Lemma 13 and the condensor principle,  $C_K \cap \mathcal{X}$  is total in  $C_K$ .<sup>14)</sup> We shall show that  $C_K \cap \mathcal{X}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{X}$ . Put

$$\mathcal{X}' = \overline{C_K \cap \mathcal{X}},$$

Then by Theorem 2,  $\mathcal{X}'$  is a special Dirichlet space on  $X$ . First we shall prove that for each  $u$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  with compact support,  $u$  is in  $\mathcal{X}'$ . We take a net  $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  of  $C_K$  such that

$$f_\alpha(x) \geq 0, \int f_\alpha(x) dx = 1$$

and  $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  converges vaguely to the unit measure  $\varepsilon$  at 0 and  $(S_{f_\alpha})$  converges to  $\{0\}$ . Since the mapping:  $x \rightarrow U_x u$  is strongly continuous for any  $u$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ , there exists  $\alpha_0$  in  $I$  such that

$$\|U_x u - u\| < \delta$$

for any  $x \in -S_{f_\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ , for a given positive number  $\delta$ . Therefore

$$\|u * f_\alpha - u\|^2 = \|u * f_\alpha\|^2 - 2(u * f_\alpha, u) + \|u\|^2 < 4\|u\|\delta + \delta^2.$$

$u * f_\alpha$  is in  $C_K \cap \mathcal{X}$ , and hence  $u$  is in  $\mathcal{X}'$ . Let  $(F_\alpha)_{\alpha \in J}$  be a net of compact sets such that  $F_\alpha \rightarrow X$ . Put

$$E_{\mathcal{E}F_\alpha} = \left\{ \overline{u_f \in \mathcal{X}; f \text{ is a bounded measurable function with compact support}} \right\} \\ \left\{ S_f \subset \mathcal{E}F_\alpha \right\}$$

Then  $E_{\mathcal{E}F_\alpha}$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{X}$ . For any  $u$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ , let  $u_\alpha$  be the projection of  $u$  to  $E_{\mathcal{E}F_\alpha}$ . Then  $u(x) = u_\alpha(x)$  *p.p.* in  $\mathcal{E}F_\alpha$ . Hence by the above result,  $u - u_\alpha$  is in  $\mathcal{X}'$ . On the other hand obviously  $(u_\alpha)$  converges strongly

<sup>13)</sup> Let  $\omega$  be an open set in  $X$  and the notation  $E_\omega$  be the same as in Lemma 2. Without the condition of regularity, we can only consider potentials generated by bounded measurable functions with compact support. Then  $E_\omega = \{u_f \in \mathcal{X}; S_f \subset \omega\}$ .

<sup>14)</sup> Cf. [6].

to 0 in  $\mathcal{L}$ , hence  $(u - u_n)$  converges strongly to  $u$ . That is,  $u$  is in  $\mathcal{L}'$ . Consequently  $\mathcal{L}$  is a special Dirichlet space.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Beurling & J. Deny: Espaces de Dirichlet, Le case élémentaire, *Acta Math.*, **99** (1958), 103–124.
- [2] —————: Dirichlet spaces, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sc. U.S.A.*, **45** (1959), 208–215.
- [3] J. Deny: Sur les espaces de Dirichlet, *Sémin. théorie du potentiel*, Paris, 1957.
- [4] —————: Principe complet de maximum et Contractions, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, **15** (1965), 259–272.
- [5] —————: Les potentiel d'énergie finie. *Acta Math.*, **82** (1950) 107–182.
- [6] M. Itô: Characterizations of supports of balayaged measures, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **28** (1966), 203–230.
- [7] G. Lion: Principe complet du maximum et semi-groupes sous-markoviens, *Sémin. théorie du potentiel*, Paris, 1964.

*Mathematical Institute Nagoya University*