

QUASI-COEFFICIENT RINGS OF A LOCAL RING

HIDEYUKI MATSUMURA

In this note we will make a few observations on the structure of fields and local rings. The main point is to show that a weaker version of Cohen structure theorem for complete local rings holds for any (not necessarily complete) local ring. The consideration of non-complete case makes the meaning of Cohen's theorem itself clearer. Moreover, quasi-coefficient fields (or rings) are handy when we consider derivations of a local ring.

1. All rings considered here are commutative rings with unit element. By a local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) we mean a (not necessarily noetherian) ring A with unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . The completion of (A, \mathfrak{m}) is $\varprojlim A/\mathfrak{m}^n$ and is denoted by A^* . We say that A is separated if $\bigcap_n \mathfrak{m}^n = (0)$, and that A is complete if $A = A^*$.

Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) and (B, \mathfrak{n}) be noetherian local rings and $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ be a local homomorphism. Then B is said to be *formally smooth* (resp. *formally unramified*, resp. *formally etale*) over A if, for every commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{u} & C/N \\ \phi \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A & \xrightarrow{v} & C \end{array}$$

where C is a ring, N is an ideal of C with $N^2 = (0)$ and $u(\mathfrak{m}^r) = (0)$ for sufficiently large r , there exists at least one (resp. at most one, resp. exactly one) homomorphism $B \rightarrow C$ which makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \longrightarrow & C/N \\ \uparrow & \searrow & \uparrow \\ A & \longrightarrow & C \end{array}$$

commutative (cf. [3, § 19]).

If B is formally unramified over A , then $\text{Der}_A(B, M) = 0$ for any B -module M such that $\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p}^v M = 0$. In particular, if we put $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$ and $K = B/\mathfrak{n}$, then $\text{Der}_k(K) = 0$ (or what is the same, $\Omega_{K/k} = 0$). On the other hand, it is not difficult to show that if $\Omega_{K/k} = 0$ and $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m}B$ then B is formally unramified over A .

A necessary and sufficient condition for B to be formally smooth over A is that (1) B is flat over A and (2) $B/\mathfrak{m}B$ is formally smooth over A/\mathfrak{m} [3, (19.7.1)]. If A and B are fields, then to say B is formally smooth over A is tantamount to saying that B is separable over A .

Let K be a field and k be a subfield. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) K is formally etale over k ;
 - (b) every derivation of k into a K -module M can be uniquely extended to a derivation of K into M ;
 - (c) $\Omega_K = \Omega_k \otimes_k K$, where Ω_k denotes the module of differentials of k over the prime field;
 - (d) K is separable over k and $\Omega_{K/k} = 0$;
 - (e) $\text{char}(k) = 0$ and K is algebraic over k ; or $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$ and a p -basis of k (over the prime field) is also a p -basis of K ;
- In the case of characteristic p , the above are also equivalent to
- (f) $K = k \otimes_{k^p} K^p$.

THEOREM 1. *Let k be a field of characteristic p , and K be a separable extension of k ; let $B = \{b_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a p -independent subset of K over k . Then B is algebraically independent over k .*

Proof. Assume the contrary and suppose $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$ are algebraically dependent over k . Take an algebraic relation

$$f(b_1, \dots, b_n) = 0, \quad f \in k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$$

of lowest possible degree. Put $\deg f = d$. We can write

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{0 \leq \nu_1, \dots, \nu_n < p} g_{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n}(X_1^p, \dots, X_n^p) X_1^{\nu_1} \cdots X_n^{\nu_n},$$

where g_{ν_1, \dots, ν_n} are polynomials with coefficients in k . Since b_1, \dots, b_n are p -independent over k , we must have

$$g_{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n}(b_1^p, \dots, b_n^p) = 0$$

for all ν_1, \dots, ν_n . By the choice of f this is possible only if

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_n) = g_{0, \dots, 0}(X_1^p, \dots, X_n^p).$$

But then we would have

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \phi(X_1, \dots, X_n)^p \quad \text{with} \quad \phi \in k^{p^{-1}}[X_1, \dots, X_n].$$

Hence $\phi(b_1, \dots, b_n) = 0$. By MacLane's criterion of separability, however, K and $k^{p^{-1}}$ are linearly disjoint over k ; since the monomials of degree $< d$ in b_1, \dots, b_n are linearly independent over k , they are also linearly independent over $k^{p^{-1}}$. Therefore such a relation as $\phi(b_1, \dots, b_n) = 0$ cannot exist, and we get a contradiction.

Remark 1. A p -basis of a separable extension K/k need not be a transcendence basis. For example, if k is a perfect field and x is an indeterminate over k , then the field $k(x, x^{p^{-1}}, x^{p^{-2}}, \dots)$ is perfect, so that the empty set is a p -basis of this extension.

Remark 2. Recall that a *differential basis* $\{b_i\}_{i \in I}$ of a field extension K/k is a subset of K such that $\{db_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a linear basis of $\Omega_{K/k}$ over K . The notion of differential basis coincides with that of transcendence basis if $\text{char}(k) = 0$, and with that of p -basis if $\text{char}(k) = p$.

THEOREM 2. *Let K/k be a separable extension of fields. Then there is a subextension K' such that K'/k is purely transcendental and K/K' is formally etale.*

Proof. It suffices to take a differential basis B of K/k and put $K' = k(B)$.

2. DEFINITION. Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring containing a field. A subfield k of A is called a *quasi-coefficient field* (q.c.f.) of A if the residue field A/\mathfrak{m} is formally etale over k .

THEOREM 3. (i) *Let k be a q.c.f. of a local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) . Then there exists a unique coefficient field k' of the completion A^* of A such that $k \subset k'$.*

(ii) *If a local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) includes a field k_0 and if A/\mathfrak{m} is separable over k_0 , then A has a q.c.f. k which includes k_0 .*

Proof. (i) This is clear from the definitions and from the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A/m & \longrightarrow & A/m & & \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 & & A/m^2 & & \\
 & & \vdots & & \\
 & & \uparrow & & \\
 k & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A^*
 \end{array}$$

(ii) Let B be a differential basis of A/m over k_0 , and choose a pre-image x_i for each element b_i of B . If $f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a non-zero polynomial with coefficients in k_0 and if b_1, \dots, b_n are mutually distinct elements of B , then $f(b_1, \dots, b_n) \neq 0$ by Theorem 1, hence $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is invertible in A . Therefore A includes the quotient field k of $k_0[[x_i]]$, and k is obviously a q.c.f. of A .

Remark 3. In the notation of (i), every derivation D of A (into itself) over k is uniquely extended to a derivation of A^* over k' . Therefore we can identify $\text{Der}_k(A)$ with an A -submodule of $\text{Der}_{k'}(A^*)$.

THEOREM 4. Let (A, m) and (B, n) be local rings such that $A \subset B$, $m = A \cap n$. Suppose that A includes a field.

(i) If B/n is separable over A/m , then every q.c.f. of A can be extended to a q.c.f. of B .

(ii) If A is of characteristic p and $B^p \subset A$, then there exists a q.c.f. of A which can be extended to a q.c.f. of B .

Proof. (i) Immediate from (ii) of Theorem 3.

(ii) Put $K = A/m$ and $L = B/n$. Then $L^p \subset K \subset L$. Let $B = \{\beta_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a p -basis of L/K and $C = \{\gamma_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a p -basis of K/L^p . Then it is easy to see that $\{\gamma_j\} \cup \{\beta_i^p\}$ is a p -basis of K and $\{\beta_i\} \cup \{\gamma_j\}$ is a p -basis of L . Therefore, if $\{y_i\}$ (resp. $\{z_j\}$) is a set of representatives of $\{\beta_i\}$ in L (resp. of $\{\gamma_j\}$ in K), then $F_p(\{y_i, z_j\})$ is a q.c.f. of L and $F_p(\{z_j, y_i^p\})$ is a q.c.f. of K . (cf. Nagata [6]).

THEOREM 5. Let A be a noetherian local integral domain of characteristic p , and let K be the quotient field of A . Suppose A is pseudo-geometric (i.e. Nagata ring in the terminology of [4]). Let A^* be the completion of A , \mathfrak{p} be a minimal prime ideal of A^* and L be the quotient field of A^*/\mathfrak{p} . Let k be a q.c.f. of A and k' be the coefficient field of A^* including k . Then K is separable over k if and only if L is

separable over k' .

Proof. Since A is pseudo-geometric, L is separable over K [4, (31. F)]. Suppose K is separable over k . Then L is separable over k . Let d be a derivation of k' into L , and let d_0 denote the restriction of d to k . Then d_0 can be extended to a derivation $D: L \rightarrow L$. The restriction $D|_{k'}$ must coincide with d , since k' is formally etale over k . Therefore D is an extension of d to L . This proves that L is separable over k' . The converse is easy, since a subextension of a separable extension is separable.

Remark 4. Chevalley [8] gave the following definitions. Let \mathfrak{o} be a noetherian complete local ring which includes a field k , and u_1, u_2, \dots be a sequence of elements of \mathfrak{o} which converges to 0 in \mathfrak{o} . If the conditions $\sum a_i u_i = 0, a_i \in k$, imply $a_i = 0$ for all i , then the elements u_i are said to be strongly linearly independent over k . The elements of a finite sequence are said to be strongly linearly independent over k when they are linearly independent. When $\text{char}(\mathfrak{o}) = p$, we will say that \mathfrak{o} is strongly separable¹⁾ over k if, for every finite or infinite sequence (u_i) of elements of \mathfrak{o} which are strongly linearly independent over k , the elements u_i^p are strongly linearly independent over k . Suppose \mathfrak{o} is an integral domain and let L denote its quotient field. Then clearly

\mathfrak{o} is strongly separable over $k \Rightarrow L$ is separable over k . It is easy to see that the converse is also true if $[k: k^p] < \infty$, but in general the two conditions are not equivalent. Under the assumption that the residue field of \mathfrak{o} is a finite algebraic extension of k , a noetherian complete local domain \mathfrak{o} is strongly separable over k if and only if there exists a system of parameters x_1, \dots, x_n of \mathfrak{o} such that L is separable over the quotient field $k((x_1, \dots, x_n))$ of $k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ (Nagata [7]). It is desirable to study quasi-coefficient fields further in the direction of Theorem 5 taking these definitions and facts into consideration.

3. In the unequal characteristic case we must define quasi-coefficient ring. Let us recall that, when (A, \mathfrak{m}) is a complete local ring with $\text{char}(A/\mathfrak{m}) = p > 0$, a subring I of A is called a coefficient ring of A if (i) I is a noetherian complete local ring with maximal ideal pI (whence $pI = \mathfrak{m} \cap I$) and (ii) A and I have the same residue field, i.e. $A = I + \mathfrak{m}$.

1) In Chevalley's terminology \mathfrak{o} is said to be separably generated over k .

DEFINITION. Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a (not necessarily complete) local ring with $\text{char}(A/\mathfrak{m}) = p > 0$. A subring I of A is called a quasi-coefficient ring of A if

- (i') I is a noetherian local ring with maximal ideal pI , and
- (ii') the residue field A/\mathfrak{m} of A is formally etale over I/pI .

In both cases, all ideals of I have the form $p^m I$ ($m \geq 0$). Therefore, if $\text{char}(A) = 0$ (i.e. the unique homomorphism $\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow A$ is injective) then $p^m I \neq 0$ for all $m \geq 0$ and I is a discrete valuation ring. If $\text{char}(A) = p^n$, $n > 0$, then we have $p^{n-1} I \neq 0$, $p^n I = 0$ and I is artinian.

Remark 5. In the case $\text{char}(A) = p^n$, there exists a complete discrete valuation ring W with maximal ideal pW such that $I \cong W/p^n W$, and such W is uniquely determined. In fact, for each field k of characteristic p there exists a complete discrete valuation ring W of characteristic zero such that $W/pW \cong k$, and such W is necessarily flat over $\mathbf{Z}_{p\mathbf{Z}}$, hence is unique up to isomorphism [3, (19.7.2)]. Moreover, W is formally smooth over $\mathbf{Z}_{p\mathbf{Z}}$ by [3, (19.7.1)], hence for any complete local ring (B, \mathfrak{m}_B) with residue field k there exists at least one homomorphism $W \rightarrow B$ which lifts the isomorphism $W/pW \cong B/\mathfrak{m}_B$. The ring I considered above with maximal ideal pI such that $p^{n-1} I \neq 0$, $p^n I = 0$, is artinian, hence complete, and if we take I for B then the homomorphism $W \rightarrow I$ is surjective with kernel $p^n W$.

THEOREM 6. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a noetherian local ring and A^* be its completion. Let I be a quasi-coefficient ring of A . Then there exists a unique coefficient ring J of A^* including I , and J is formally unramified over I . If A is flat over I , then A^* is flat over J and J is formally etale over I .*

Proof. Since A is separated, we may view A and I as subrings of A^* . By [3, (19.7.2)] there exists a complete noetherian local ring J' and a flat local homomorphism $I \rightarrow J'$ such that $J'/pJ' \cong A/\mathfrak{m}$ over I/pI . Since $\text{rad}(J') = pJ' = \text{rad}(I)J'$ and since J'/pJ' is formally etale over I/pI , it is easy to see that J' is formally etale over I . Therefore there is a unique homomorphism $\phi: J' \rightarrow A^*$ which makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 J' & \longrightarrow & A/\mathfrak{m} \\
 \uparrow & \searrow \phi & \uparrow \\
 I & \longrightarrow & A^*
 \end{array}$$

Put $J = \phi(J')$. Then J is a coefficient ring of A^* . Since J' is formally unramified over I , so is J . If A is flat over I then A^* is also flat over I , hence we have

$$pJ' \otimes_{J'} A^* = (pI \otimes_I J') \otimes_{J'} A^* = pI \otimes_I A^* = pA^* .$$

Therefore (by [1, Ch. 3, § 5, no. 2, Theorem 1 (iii)], [4, (20.C)]) the map ϕ makes A^* a flat J' -module, and consequently ϕ is injective (since it is local). Thus $J' \cong J$.

It remains to prove the uniqueness of J . If J'' is a coefficient ring of A^* including I , then we can use the same argument to prove the existence of a homomorphism $\psi: J' \rightarrow J''$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 J' & \longrightarrow & A/\mathfrak{m} = J''/pJ'' \\
 \uparrow & \searrow \psi & \uparrow \\
 I & \longrightarrow & J''
 \end{array}$$

commutes. Let $i: J'' \rightarrow A^*$ denote the inclusion map. Then $\phi = i \circ \psi$ by the uniqueness of ϕ , hence $J'' = J$. QED.

COROLLARY. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) and I be as in the theorem, and let $\{y_\lambda\}$ be a system of generators of \mathfrak{m} . If $D \in \text{Der}_I(A)$ and $D(y_\lambda) = 0$ for all λ , then $D = 0$.*

Proof. Extend D to A^* by continuity. Then $D = 0$ on J , hence on A^* .

Quasi-coefficient rings exist in any local ring of unequal characteristic. In fact, our next theorem gives a little stronger existence statement.

THEOREM 7. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring, and (C, \mathfrak{p}) be a noetherian local ring such that $C \subset A, \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m} \cap C$. Suppose A/\mathfrak{m} is separable over C/\mathfrak{p} . Then there is a noetherian local ring (B, \mathfrak{n}) such that $C \subset B \subset A$,*

$\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{p}B = \mathfrak{m} \cap C$ and such that A/\mathfrak{m} is formally étale over B/\mathfrak{n} . If A is flat over C , then A is also flat over B .

Proof. Let $\{\bar{x}_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a differential basis of A/\mathfrak{m} over C/\mathfrak{p} , and let $x_i \in A$ be a pre-image of \bar{x}_i for each $i \in I$. Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ be independent variables and put $R = C[\{X_i\}]$, $B' = R_{\mathfrak{p}R}$. Then B' is noetherian. In fact, it is a local ring with finitely generated maximal ideal, and $\bigcap_v \mathfrak{p}^v B' = (0)$ because $(\bigcap \mathfrak{p}^v B') \cap R = \bigcap \mathfrak{p}^v R = (0)$. Moreover, if $\alpha = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$ is a finitely generated ideal of B' then B'/α is also a localization of a polynomial ring over a noetherian local ring, hence B'/α is also separated. In other words, every finitely generated ideal of B' is closed. It follows that B' is noetherian [5, (31.8)].

Consider the C -homomorphism $R \rightarrow A$ which maps X_i to x_i . Since $\{\bar{x}_i\}_{i \in I}$ is algebraically independent over C/\mathfrak{p} , the homomorphism $R \rightarrow A$ factors as $R \rightarrow B' \rightarrow A$. Denote the image of B' in A by B . Then B is a noetherian local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}B$. Since $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ we have $\mathfrak{p}B = \mathfrak{m} \cap B$. The last assertion of the theorem is proved as in Theorem 6.

If (A, \mathfrak{m}) is a local ring with $\text{char}(A/\mathfrak{m}) = p > 0$, then we can find a local subring C with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}C$ satisfying the condition of Theorem 7. It suffices to take $C = \mathbf{Z}_{p\mathbf{Z}}$ when $\text{char}(A) = 0$, and $C = \mathbf{Z}/p^n$ when $\text{char}(A) = p^n$. Then the local ring B of the theorem is a quasi-coefficient ring of A .

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre Commutative*, Ch. 3,4. Hermann, Paris, 1961.
- [2] I. S. Cohen, On the structure and ideal theory of complete local rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **59** (1946), 54–106.
- [3] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique*, Ch. IV, Première Partie, Publ. IHES, No. 20, 1964.
- [4] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Algebra*, Benjamin, New York 1970.
- [5] M. Nagata, *Local Rings*, Interscience, New York 1962.
- [6] ———, Note on coefficient fields of complete local rings. *Mem. Coll. Sci., Univ. Kyoto* **32** (1959), 91–92.
- [7] ———, Note on complete local integrity domains. *Mem. Coll. Sci., Univ. Kyoto* **28** (1954), 271–278.
- [8] C. Chevalley, Some properties of ideals in rings of power series. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **55** (1944), 68–84.

*Department of Mathematics
Nagoya University*