

## PICARD PRINCIPLE FOR FINITE DENSITIES ON SOME END

MICHIHIKO KAWAMURA\*

Consider a parabolic *end*  $\Omega$  of a Riemann surface in the sense of Heins [2] (cf. Nakai [3]). A *density*  $P = P(z)dxdy$  ( $z = x + iy$ ) is a 2-form on  $\bar{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \partial\Omega$  with nonnegative locally Hölder continuous coefficients  $P(z)$ . A density  $P$  is said to be *finite* if the integral

$$(1) \quad \int_{\Omega} P(z)dxdy < \infty .$$

The *elliptic dimension* of a density  $P$  at the ideal boundary point  $\delta$ ,  $\dim P$  in notation, is defined (Nakai [5], [6]) to be the ‘dimension’ of the half module of nonnegative solutions of the equation

$$(2) \quad L_p u \equiv \Delta u - Pu = 0 \quad (\text{i.e. } d^*du - uP = 0)$$

on an end  $\Omega$  with the vanishing boundary values on  $\partial\Omega$ . The elliptic dimension of the particular density  $P \equiv 0$  at  $\delta$  is called the *harmonic dimension* of  $\delta$ . After Bouligand we say that the *Picard principle* is valid for a density  $P$  at  $\delta$  if  $\dim P = 1$ . For the punctured disk  $V: 0 < |z| < 1$ , Nakai [6] showed that the Picard principle is valid for any finite density  $P$  on  $0 < |z| \leq 1$  at the ideal boundary  $z = 0$ , and he conjectured that the above theorem is valid for every general end of harmonic dimension one. The purpose of this paper is to give a partial answer in the affirmative.

Heins [2] showed that the harmonic dimension of the ideal boundary  $\delta$  of an end is one if  $\Omega$  satisfies the condition [H]: There exists a sequence  $\{A_n\}$  of disjoint annuli with analytic Jordan boundaries on  $\Omega$  satisfying the condition that for each  $n$ ,  $A_{n+1}$  separates  $A_n$  from the ideal boundary, and  $A_1$  separates the relative boundary  $\partial\Omega$  from the ideal boundary, and

---

Received April 7, 1975.

\* The work was done while the author was a Research Fellow at Nagoya University in 1974 supported by Japan Ministry of Education. The author is grateful to Professor Nakai for the valuable discussions with him.

$$(3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bmod A_n = \infty .$$

We shall prove the following

**THEOREM.** *The Picard principle is valid at  $\delta$  for any finite density  $P$  on an end  $\Omega$  with the condition [H].*

The proof of the theorem will be given in no. 5 after three lemmas in no. 2–4. Although the essence of the proofs of these lemmas is found in Nakai [6], we include here their proofs for the sake of completeness. However the lemma in no. 4 requires an entirely different considerations for ends with infinite genus.

1. We always assume that an end  $\Omega$  has a single ideal boundary component  $\delta$  and that  $\partial\Omega$  consists of a finite number of disjoint closed simple analytic curves on  $R$ . Let  $u$  be a bounded solution of (2) on  $\Omega$  with continuous boundary values on  $\partial\Omega$ . We first note that

$$(4) \quad \sup_{\bar{\Omega}} |u| = \max_{\partial\Omega} |u| .$$

In fact, since  $u^2$  is subharmonic on  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega$  is a parabolic end, by the maximum principle for bounded subharmonic functions, we have the identity (4). The  $P$ -unit  $e = e_P$  is the bounded solution of (2) on  $\Omega$  with boundary values 1 on  $\partial\Omega$ . By (4) such a  $e_P$  is unique. Next consider the associated operator  $\hat{L}_P$  with  $L_P$  which is introduced by Nakai ([5], [6]);

$$(\hat{L}_P u) dx dy = d^* du + 2d(\log e_P) \wedge * du$$

for  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$  where  $e_P$  is the  $P$ -unit on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . We say that the *Riemann theorem* is valid for  $\hat{L}_P$  at  $\delta$  if  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \delta} u(z)$  exists for every bounded solution  $u$  of

$$(5) \quad \hat{L}_P u = 0$$

on  $\Omega$ . Nakai ([5], [6]), showed the following duality theorem (cf. also Heins [2], Hayashi [1], Nakai [4]): The Picard principle is valid for the operator  $L_P$  at  $\delta$  if and only if the Riemann theorem is valid for the associated operator  $\hat{L}_P$  at  $\delta$ .

2. Concerning the valuation of the Dirichlet integral of  $\log e_P$  we shall first prove (Nakai [6]):

LEMMA. *The  $P$ -unit  $e_P$  of a density  $P$  on an end  $\bar{\Omega}$  satisfies the following inequality*

$$(6) \quad D_{\Omega}(\log e_P) \equiv \int_{\Omega} d \log e_P \wedge *d \log e_P \leq \int_{\Omega} (1 - e_P)P .$$

*Proof.* Take a sequence  $\{\Omega_n\}$  of ends such that  $\bar{\Omega}_{n+1} \subset \Omega_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ),  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n = \emptyset$ . Let  $e_n$  be a continuous function on  $\bar{\Omega}$  such that  $L_P e_n = 0$  on  $\Omega - \bar{\Omega}_n$  and  $e_n = 1$  on  $\bar{\Omega}_n \cup \partial\Omega$ . Since  $e_n$  is decreasing as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , by the Harnack principle,  $e_n$  converges to the  $P$ -unit  $e_P$  on  $\bar{\Omega}$  uniformly on each compact subset of  $\bar{\Omega}$ , and the same is true for  $de_n$  and  $*de_n$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} d(e_n^{-1}*de_n) &= e_n^{-1}d*de_n + de_n^{-1} \wedge *de_n \\ &= P + d \log e_n \wedge *d \log e_n \end{aligned}$$

on  $\Omega - \bar{\Omega}_n$ . Since  $e_n^{-1} = 1$  on  $\bar{\Omega}_n \cup \partial\Omega$ , we deduce the identity

$$(7) \quad \int_{\Omega} d \log e_n \wedge *d \log e_n = \int_{\Omega} (1 - e_n)P$$

from the Stokes formula. Observe that  $(1 - e_n)P$  is increasing as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . On taking the inferior limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  on the both sides of (7) and applying the Fatou lemma and the Lebesgue theorem, we conclude that

$$D_{\Omega}(\log e_P) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} d \log e_n \wedge *d \log e_n = \int_{\Omega} (1 - e_P)P$$

Q.E.D.

**3.** Let  $u$  be a bounded solution of (5). The Dirichlet integral of  $u$  is finite if the density  $P$  is finite, i.e. we state the following (Nakai [6]):

LEMMA. *If a density  $P$  is finite on  $\Omega$ , then any bounded solution  $u$  of  $\hat{L}_P u = 0$  on  $\bar{\Omega}_0$  has a finite Dirichlet integral on any end  $\Omega_0$  with  $\bar{\Omega}_0 \subset \Omega$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{\Omega_n\}_1^{\infty}$  be a sequence as in no. 2 with  $\bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_0$  and  $u_n$  be a continuous function on  $\bar{\Omega}_0$  such that  $\hat{L}_P u_n = 0$  on  $\Omega_0 - \bar{\Omega}_n$ ,  $u_n = u$  on  $\partial\Omega_0$  and  $u_n = 0$  on  $\bar{\Omega}_n$ . Then we have the identity

$$\begin{aligned} d(u_n * du_n) &= du_n \wedge *du_n + u_n d * du_n \\ &= du_n \wedge *du_n - 2u_n d \log e \wedge *du_n \end{aligned}$$

on  $\Omega_0 - \bar{\Omega}_n$ , where  $e$  is the  $P$ -unit of  $P$  on  $\Omega$ . The Stokes formula yields

$$D_{\Omega_0}(u_n) = \int_{\partial\Omega_0} u_n^* du_n + 2 \int_{\Omega_0} u_n d \log e \wedge * du_n$$

where

$$D_{\Omega_0}(u_n) = \int_{\Omega_0} du_n \wedge * du_n .$$

The function  $u_n$  converges to  $u$  uniformly on every compact subset of  $\bar{\Omega}_0$  and  $*du_n$  converges to  $*du$  uniformly on  $\partial\Omega_0$ . In fact,  $v_n = eu_n$  is a bounded solution of (2) on  $\Omega_0 - \bar{\Omega}_n$  and  $|v_n| \leq \sup_{\bar{\Omega}_0} |u|$ . Then  $v_n$  converges to a bounded solution  $v$  of (2) uniformly on every compact subset of  $\bar{\Omega}_0$ . Since  $v$  and  $eu$  are both bounded solutions of (2) with the same boundary values on  $\partial\Omega_0$ , we have that  $v = eu$ , i.e.  $u_n \rightarrow u$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly on every compact subset of  $\bar{\Omega}_0$ . Similarly we have the last assertion. Since  $u_n$  is bounded and  $u_n = u$  on  $\partial\Omega_0$ , by the Schwarz inequality, we deduce the inequality

$$(8) \quad D_{\Omega_0}(u_n) \leq \left| \int_{\partial\Omega_0} u^* du_n \right| + k D_{\Omega_0}(\log e)^{1/2} D_{\Omega_0}(u_n)^{1/2}$$

for some constant  $k > 0$ . Observe that the first term of the right hand side of (8) is bounded. On the other hand, since  $P$  is a finite density, by Lemma in no. 2,  $D_{\Omega_0}(\log e)$  is finite. Therefore  $D_{\Omega_0}(u_n)$  is bounded. The Fatou lemma yields

$$D_{\Omega_0}(u) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{\Omega_0}(u_n) < \infty . \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

4. Consider an end  $\Omega$  with the condition [H], i.e. there exists a sequence  $\{A_n\}$  of disjoint annuli on  $\Omega$  with the condition (3). Let  $\lambda(\gamma)$  denote the oscillation of  $u \in C^1(\Omega)$  on a set  $\gamma \subset \Omega$ , i.e.

$$\lambda(\gamma) = \max_{\gamma} u(z) - \min_{\gamma} u(z) .$$

We prove the following

LEMMA. *If a function  $u \in C^1(\Omega)$  has a finite Dirichlet integral on  $\Omega$  with the condition [H], then there exists a sequence  $\{\Omega_n\}$  of ends such that  $\lambda_n = \lambda(\Omega_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Choose a strictly decreasing sequence  $\{a_n\}$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) of positive numbers  $a_n$  such that  $a_0 = 1$  and that

$$(9) \quad \text{mod } A_n = \log(a_{n-1}/a_n)$$

for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . By the condition (3), we have that  $a_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Take a sequence  $\{C_n\}$  of concentric circles  $|z| = a_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) on the complex plane.  $A_n$  is conformally equivalent to  $a_n < |z| < a_{n-1}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) by (9). Therefore the restriction of  $u$  to  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  is considered as a function on  $0 < |z| < 1$  by giving the values of  $u$  on  $C_n$  as follows:

$$u(z_0) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} u(z) \quad (z_0 \in C_n \text{ and } a_n < |z| < a_{n-1}).$$

Let  $\lambda(r)$  be the oscillation of  $u$  on  $|z| = r$  ( $0 < r < 1$ ). Then we have

$$\lambda(r) \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} u(re^{i\theta}) \right| d\theta.$$

The Schwarz inequality yields

$$\lambda(r)^2 \leq 2\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} u(re^{i\theta}) \right|^2 d\theta.$$

Therefore we have

$$\frac{\lambda(r)^2}{r} \leq 2\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} \right|^2 \right) r d\theta.$$

We integrate the both sides of the above on  $(0, 1)$  with respect to  $dr$  and obtain

$$(10) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{\lambda(r)^2}{r} dr \leq \int_{0 < |z| < 1} du \wedge *du = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$$

where  $D_n$  denotes the Dirichlet integral of  $u$  on  $A_n$ . By the assumption of Lemma the right hand side of (10) is finite and then the same is true for the left hand side of (10). This shows that  $\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \lambda(r) = 0$ , i.e. there exists a decreasing sequence  $r_n$  such that  $\lambda(r_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since the image set on  $\Omega$  of  $|z| = r_n$  is a cycle of  $\Omega$  separating  $\partial\Omega$  from  $\delta$ , there exist ends  $\Omega_n$  such that  $\partial\Omega_n$  are the images of  $|z| = r_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ).

Q.E.D.

**5. Proof of the theorem.** In view of the duality theorem in no. 1, we only have to show that any bounded solution  $u$  of  $\hat{L}_P u = 0$  on  $\Omega$  has the limit at  $\delta$ . Since  $P$  is a finite density on  $\Omega$ , by Lemmas 2, 3 and 4, there exists a sequence  $\{\Omega_n\}$  of ends such that  $\lambda_n = \lambda(\partial\Omega_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Consider functions  $m_n e$ ,  $M_n e$  and  $eu$  on  $\bar{\Omega}_n$  where  $m_n = \min_{\partial\Omega_n} u(z)$ ,  $M_n = \max_{\partial\Omega_n} u(z)$  and  $e$  is the  $P$ -unit of  $P$  on  $\Omega$ . These functions are solutions

of (2) on  $\Omega_n$  with continuous boundary values on  $\partial\Omega_n$ . Observe that

$$m_n e \leq eu \leq M_n e$$

on  $\partial\Omega_n$ . By (4), the same inequality is valid on  $\Omega_n$ . Therefore  $m_n \leq u \leq M_n$  on  $\bar{\Omega}_n$ , i.e.

$$0 \leq \sup_{\bar{\Omega}_n} u(z) - \inf_{\bar{\Omega}_n} u(z) \leq M_n - m_n = \lambda_n.$$

Since  $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $u$  has the limit at  $\delta$ .

Q.E.D.

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] K. Hayashi: Les solutions positive de l'équation  $\Delta u = Pu$  sur une surface de Riemann, *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.*, **13** (1961), 20–24.
- [ 2 ] M. Heins: Riemann surfaces of infinite genus, *Ann. Math.*, **55** (1952), 296–317.
- [ 3 ] M. Nakai: Martin boundary over an isolated singularity of rotation free density, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **26** (1974), 483–507.
- [ 4 ] —: A test for Picard principle, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **56** (1974), 105–119.
- [ 5 ] —: Picard principle and Riemann theorem, *Tōhoku Math. J.*, **28** (1976), 277–292.
- [ 6 ] —: Picard principle for finite densities, *Nagoya Math. J.*, vol. **70** (1978) (to appear).
- [ 7 ] —: A remark on Picard principle II, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **51** (1975), 308–311.

*Mathematical Institute  
Faculty of Education  
Fukuï University*