

ON A HYPOELLIPTIC BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

TADATO MATSUZAWA

§ 1. Introduction.

This paper is devoted to the investigation of the hypoellipticity of the following first boundary value problem:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} Lu &= u_{tt} + (a(x, t)u_x)_x + g(x, t)u_{xt} + b(x, t)u_x + b^0(x, t)u_t + c(x, t)u \\ &= f(x, t) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.2) \quad u(x, t)|_{t=0} = 0, \quad |x| < R,$$

where Ω is an open rectangular domain in (x, t) -plane:

$$\Omega = (-R < x < R) \times (0 < t < T) \quad R > 0, T > 0.$$

We assume that the coefficients $a(x, t)$, $b(x, t)$, $b^0(x, t)$ and $c(x, t)$ are all C^∞ functions in $\bar{\Omega}$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$(1.3) \quad \operatorname{Re} a(x, t) \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \bar{\Omega},$$

(1.4) for all x with $|x| < R$, the function $t \mapsto \operatorname{Re} a(x, t)$ has only finite zeros of order less than or equal to ℓ (≥ 0) in the interval $[0 \leq t \leq T]$

$$(1.5) \quad |\operatorname{Im} a(x, t)| \leq C^{(1)} \operatorname{Re} a(x, t) \quad \text{in } \bar{\Omega} \quad (C > 0),$$

$$(1.6) \quad |\operatorname{Im} a_x(x, t)| \leq C[\operatorname{Re} a(x, t)]^{1/2} \quad \text{in } \bar{\Omega},$$

$$(1.7) \quad t |\operatorname{Im} b(x, t)|^2 \leq C \operatorname{Re} a(x, t) \quad \text{in } \bar{\Omega},$$

$$(1.8) \quad |g(x, t)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} [\operatorname{Re} a(x, t)]^{1/2} \quad \text{in } \bar{\Omega}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon_1 < 1,$$

$$(1.9) \quad |g_t(x, t)| \leq C[\operatorname{Re} a(x, t)]^{1/2} \quad \text{in } \bar{\Omega}.$$

We set $\tilde{\Omega} = (-R < x < R) \times [0 \leq t < T)$. The main result of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

Received March 12, 1975.

1) We use the symbols C, C^1, \dots to express the different positive constants throughout this paper.

THEOREM 1.1. *Suppose that the operator L given in (1.1) satisfies the condition (1.3)~(1.9). Then any distribution $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfying (1.1) and (1.2)²⁾ with $f(x, t) \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ must be a C^∞ function in $\bar{\Omega}$.*

We remark that if we consider the partial differential operator of first order

$$(1.10) \quad L_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + ia(x, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + c(x, t) \quad \text{in } \Omega ,$$

a sufficient condition of Nirenberg and Treves (cf. [10], [11]) for the operator L_1 to be hypoelliptic is expressed by (1.3) and (1.4). This is a necessary and sufficient condition when $a(x, t)$ is analytic in $\bar{\Omega}$. Our problem is motivated by this fact (cf. [6]) and the proof of Theorem 1.1 will be obtained in the following paragraphs by a refinement of the method used in [2] and [4]. For the equations of the second order with real coefficients we refer to [2] and [9].

EXAMPLES. The following operators satisfy the condition (1.3)~(1.7) in a neighbourhood of the origin.

$$(1.11) \quad L_2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + ta(x, t) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + b(x, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + b^0(x, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + c(x, t) ,$$

$\text{Re } a(x, t) > 0$ in $\bar{\Omega}$, b, b^0 and c are arbitrary complex valued C^∞ functions in $\bar{\Omega}$,

$$(1.12) \quad L_3 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + t^3[t - g(x)]^{2\ell} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + (1 + i)t[t - g(x)]^\ell \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\ + b^0(x, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + c(x, t) ,$$

ℓ integer, ≥ 0 ; $g(x)$ is a real valued C^∞ function in $(-R \times x \times R)$, b^0, c are arbitrary C^∞ functions in $\bar{\Omega}$.

§ 2. Preliminaries for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

LEMMA 2.1. ([9], Lemma 1.7.1) *Let $a(x, t)$ be the function given in § 1. Then there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$(2.1) \quad |a_x(x, t)|^2 \leq C \text{Re } a(x, t) \quad (x, t) \in \bar{\Omega} .$$

Being suggested by [2] and [4], we now introduce the norm $||| \cdot |||$

2) By the partial hypo-ellipticity of L in t , condition (1.2) is meaningful in the sense of distributions (cf. [1], Ch. 4).

and its dual norm $||| \cdot |||'$ by

$$\begin{aligned} |||u|||^2 &= \|u_t\|^2 + \|\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a}u_x\|^2 + \|u\|^2, \\ |||v|||' &= \sup_{w \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})} \frac{|\langle v, w \rangle|}{|||w|||}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the usual L^2 -norm on $\tilde{\Omega}$ and $\langle v, w \rangle$ is the value of $v \in \mathcal{D}'(\tilde{\Omega})$ evaluated at w .

LEMMA 2.2. *Let L be the operator given in (1.1). We have the following estimate with some positive constant C*

$$(2.2) \quad |||v||| \leq C \|v\| + |||Lv|||', \quad v \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}), \quad v(x, 0) = 0.$$

Proof. Obviously we have

$$(2.3) \quad |\langle Lv, \bar{v} \rangle| \leq |||Lv|||' |||v|||, \quad v \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}), \quad v(x, 0) = 0.$$

Next, integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{Re} \langle Lv, \bar{v} \rangle &= \|v_t\|^2 + \|\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a}v_x\|^2 + \operatorname{Re} \langle g_t v_x, \bar{v} \rangle + \operatorname{Re} \langle g v_x, \bar{v}_t \rangle \\ &\quad - \operatorname{Re} \langle b v_x, \bar{v} \rangle - \operatorname{Re} \langle b^0 v_t, \bar{v} \rangle - \operatorname{Re} \langle c v, \bar{v} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

For the term of the right hand side, we have for any positive number δ

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \operatorname{Im} b v_x, \bar{v} \rangle| &= |\langle t^{1/2} \operatorname{Im} b v_x, t^{-1/2} \bar{v} \rangle| \\ &\leq \delta \langle t |b|^2 v_x, \bar{v}_x \rangle + \frac{1}{\delta} \|t^{-1/2} v\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we easily have

$$\|t^{-1/2} v\|^2 \leq \varepsilon \|v_t\|^2 + C(\varepsilon) \|v\|^2.$$

Thus, by virtue of the assumption (1.7), we are given the inequality

$$|\langle \operatorname{Im} b v_x, \bar{v} \rangle| \leq \delta \|\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a}v_x\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} \|v_t\|^2 + \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{\delta} \|v\|^2.$$

For the remaining terms we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle g_t v_x, \bar{v} \rangle| &\leq \delta \|\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a}v_x\|^2 + \frac{C(\delta)}{\delta} \|v\|^2, \\ |\langle g v_x, \bar{v}_t \rangle| &\leq \varepsilon_1 (\|\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a}v_x\|^2 + \|v_t\|^2), \\ |\langle b^0 v_t, \bar{v} \rangle| &\leq \delta \|v_t\|^2 + \frac{C'}{\delta} \|v\|^2 \\ |\langle c v, \bar{v} \rangle| &\leq C'' \|v\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking δ and ε sufficiently small, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{Re} \langle Lv, \bar{v} \rangle &\geq C_1 \|v\|^2 - C_2 \|v\|^2 \\ &\geq C_1 \|v\|^2 - C_2 \|v\| \cdot \|v\|. \end{aligned}$$

This, combining with (2.3), gives the estimate (2.2).

Q.E.D.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $a(x, t)$ be as above, then we have*

$$(2.4) \quad \|a_x v_x\|' \leq C \|v\|,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \|av_x\|' \leq C \|v\|,$$

$$(2.6) \quad \|\operatorname{Im} bv_x\|' \leq C(\|v_t\| + \|v\|)$$

for all $v \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$, ($v(x, 0) = 0$ for (2.6)) with some positive constant C .

Proof. For any $w \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a_x v_x, w \rangle &= \langle v_x, a_x w \rangle \\ &= -\langle v, a_{xx} w \rangle - \langle v, a_{xx} w \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Taking account of the assumption (1.6) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$|\langle a_x v_x, w \rangle| \leq C \|v\| \cdot \|w\|,$$

from which follows the estimate (2.4). By the same way we have (2.5).

As in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \operatorname{Im} bv_x, w \rangle &= -\langle v, \operatorname{Im} bw_x \rangle - \langle v, \operatorname{Im} b_x w \rangle, \\ |\langle \operatorname{Im} bv_x, w \rangle| &\leq |\langle t^{-1/2} v, t^{1/2} \operatorname{Im} bw_x \rangle| + C \|v\| \|w\| \\ &\leq C' (\|v_t\| + \|v\|) \|w\|, \end{aligned}$$

which imply (2.6).

Now we introduce the norm $\|\cdot\|_{(s,k)}$, with s any real number and k non negative integer (cf. [1], §2.6), defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{(s,k)}^2 &= (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^\infty \int_{R_\xi} |\hat{v}(\xi, t)|^2 (1 + |\xi|^2)^s d\xi dt + \sum_{j=0}^k \|D_t^j v\|_{L^2(R_+^2)}^2, \\ \hat{v}(\xi, t) &= \int e^{-ix\xi} v(x, t) dx, \quad v \in C_0^\infty(\bar{R}_+^2). \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $H_{(s,k)}(\bar{R}_+^2)$ the completion of $C_0^\infty(\bar{R}_+^2)$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{(s,k)}$.

LEMMA 2.4. *There exists a positive constant C such that*

$$(2.7) \quad \|v\|_{(d/(d+1), 1)} \leq C \|v\|, \quad v \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}), \quad v(x, 0) = 0.$$

Proof. Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} a(x, t) &\leq C_1 \sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a(x, t)}, & (x, t) \in \bar{\Omega}, \\ &\leq C_1^2 |||v|||^2, & v \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}). \end{aligned}$$

If we consider the differential operator

$$L_4 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + i \operatorname{Re} a(x, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \quad \text{in } \tilde{\Omega},$$

we have

$$||L_4 v||^2 = ||v_t||^2 + ||\operatorname{Re} a v_x||^2.$$

On the other hand, as a particular case of Theorem I in [10], it follows that

$$||v||_{(1/(\ell+1), 1)} \leq C_2 ||L_4 v|| \quad v \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}), \quad v(x, 0) = 0$$

with another constant $C_2 > 0$. Combining the above investigations, we have (2.7). Q.E.D.

By using Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4 we now come to the main estimate:

LEMMA 2.5. *There exists a positive constant C such that*

$$(2.8) \quad ||v||_{(\varepsilon, 1)} \leq C(||v|| + |||Lv|||'), \quad v \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}), \quad v(x, 0) = 0, \quad \left(\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\ell + 1}\right).$$

LEMMA 2.6. *Every $v \in H_{(0, 2)}(R_+^2) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\tilde{\Omega})$ such that $v(x, 0) = 0$ and $|||Lv|||' < \infty$ belongs to $H_{(\varepsilon, 2)}(R_+^2)$ with $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\ell + 1}$.*

Proof. The inequality (2.8) is valid for all $v \in H_{(2, 2)}(R_+^2) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\tilde{\Omega})$, $v(x, 0) = 0$. Indeed, we can find a sequence $v_j \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$ such that $v_j(x, 0) = 0$, $D_x^\alpha D_t^\beta v_j - D_x^\alpha D_t^\beta v \rightarrow 0$, $j \rightarrow \infty$, when $\alpha + \beta \leq 2$. Hence $||Lv_j - Lv|| \rightarrow 0$, which implies that $|||Lv_j - Lv|||' \rightarrow 0$. In particular,

$$\overline{\lim} |||Lv_j|||' \leq |||Lv|||'.$$

So it follows from (2.8) applied to v_j that

$$\overline{\lim} ||v_j||_{(\varepsilon, 1)} \leq C(||v|| + |||Lv|||').$$

Next if v satisfies the required conditions, we choose $\chi \in D_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$ so that $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ and $\chi = 1$ in a neighbourhood ω of $\operatorname{supp} v$ and we set

$$v_\delta = \chi(1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} v .$$

Here $(1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} v$ is defined as the inverse Fourier transform of $(1 + \delta^2 |\xi|^2)^{-1} \hat{v}(\xi, t)$:

$$v_\delta = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{R_\xi} e^{ix\xi} (1 + \delta^2 \xi^2)^{-1} \hat{v}(\xi, t) d\xi .$$

It is clear that v_δ is then in $H_{(2,2)}(R_+^2) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\tilde{\Omega})$, and that $v_\delta \rightarrow v$ in L^2 norm as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Hence we may apply (2.8) to v_δ to conclude that $\|v\|_{(t,1)} < \infty$ provided that we can show that $\|L v_\delta\|'$ remains bounded when $\delta \rightarrow 0$. To prove the last assertion we must prepare some remarks which correspond to 1°~4° of [2].

1°. We have

$$\frac{1}{2} e^{-|x|} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(1 + \xi^2)^{-1}] = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ix\xi} \frac{d\xi}{1 + \xi^2}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty .$$

Since

$$v_\delta = (1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} v(x, t) = \delta^{-1} \int K\left(\frac{x-y}{\delta}\right) v(y, t) dy, \quad K(x) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-|x|},$$

it follows that any derivative of $(1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} v(x, t)$ decreases faster than any power of δ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ if $(x, t) \in \omega$.

2°. If Q is a differential operator of order $j \leq 2$ (in $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$) with coefficients in $C^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$, it follows that

$$(2.9) \quad \|(1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} Q u\| \leq C \|u\|, \quad u \in L^2(\tilde{\Omega}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\tilde{\Omega}) .$$

3°. When $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$ we have

$$(2.10) \quad \|\chi(1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} w\| \leq C \|w\|, \quad w \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}) .$$

Indeed, we have

$$(2.11) \quad \|[\chi(1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} w]_t\| \leq C(\|w_t\| + \|w\|), \quad w \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle \operatorname{Re} a(x, t) (\chi(1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} w)_x, \overline{(\chi(1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} w)_x} \rangle| \\ & \leq C(\|w\|^2 + \|\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a}(1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} w_x\|^2) . \end{aligned}$$

For the second term of the right hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a}(1 - \delta^2 \Delta)^{-1} w_x\|^2 \\
&= \|((1 - \delta^2 \Delta)^{-1} \sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a} w_x + [\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a} D_x, (1 - \delta^2 \Delta)^{-1}] w)\|^2 \\
&\leq 2 \|\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a} w_x\|^2 + 2 \|[\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a} D_x, (1 - \delta^2 \Delta)^{-1}] w\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By virtue of 1°, partial integration proves

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a} D_x, (1 - \delta^2 \Delta)^{-1}] w(x, t) \\
&= \frac{A}{\delta} \int \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|}{\delta}\right) (\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a}(x, t) - \sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a}(y, t)) w_y dy \\
&= \frac{A}{\delta} \int \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|}{\delta}\right) (\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a}(y, t))_y w(y, t) dy \\
&\quad + \frac{A}{\delta^2} \int \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|}{\delta}\right) (\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a}(x, t) - \sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a}(y, t)) w(y, t) dy.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, we can see that $\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a}(x, t)$ is uniformly Lipschitz continuous in x and thus the L^2 norm of the last two terms is bounded above by $\|w\|^2$. This estimate combined with (2.11) gives (2.10).

Completion of the proof of Lemma 2.6. We recall that with the notations introduced above it remains to prove that $\|Lv_\delta\|'$ is bounded as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. In the neighbourhood ω of $\operatorname{supp} v$ we have $(1 - \delta^2 \Delta)v_\delta = v$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 - \delta^2 \Delta)Lv_\delta &= (1 - \delta^2 \Delta)(D_t^2 v_\delta + (a(x, t)v_{\delta x})_x \\
&\quad + (g(x, t)v_{\delta xt}) + bv_{\delta xt} + b^0 v_{\delta t} + cv_\delta \\
&= v_{tt} + (a(x, t)v_x)_x + gv_{xt} + bv_x + b^0 v_t + cv \\
&\quad - 2\delta^2(a_x(x, t)v_{\delta xx})_x - \delta^2(a_{xx}(x, t)v_{\delta x})_x \\
&\quad - 2\delta^2 g_x v_{\delta xx} - \delta^2 g_{xx} v_{\delta xt} \\
&\quad - 2\delta^2 b_x v_{\delta xx} - \delta^2 b_{xx} v_{\delta x} - 2\delta^2 b_x^0 v_{\delta tx} \\
&\quad - \delta^2 b_{xx}^0 v_{\delta t} - 2\delta^2 c_x v_{\delta x} - 2\delta^2 c_{xx} v_\delta.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of 1° it follows that we have

$$(1 - \delta^2 \Delta)Lv = Lv + 2\delta^2(a_x(x, t)v_{\delta xx})_x + \delta^2 B_1 v_\delta + \delta^2 B_2 v_{\delta t} + h_\delta,$$

where B_1 and B_2 are second order (in $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$) operators, and where h_δ is a function such that it vanishes in ω , $\operatorname{supp} h_\delta \subset \operatorname{supp} \chi$ and $\|h_\delta\| \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Hence

$$(2.12) \quad Lv_\delta = \chi_1 \{ (1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} Lv + 2\delta^2 (1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} (a_x v_{\delta x x})_x \\ + \delta^2 (1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} B_1 v_\delta + \delta^2 (1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} B^2 v_{\delta t} + (1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} h_\delta \},$$

where χ_1 is a function in $C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$ which is equal to 1 in $\text{supp. } \chi$. We remark that from 3° we have

$$(2.13) \quad \|\chi_1 (1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} f\|' \leq C \|f\|', \quad f \in \mathcal{D}'(\tilde{\Omega}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\tilde{\Omega}).$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\|\chi_1 (1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} Lv\|' \leq C \|Lv\|'.$$

The last three terms of (2.12) are bounded in L^2 norm in view of 2° and by the assumption $v \in {}_{(0,2)}(\tilde{\Omega}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\tilde{\Omega})$. For the second term, by (2.13), 2° and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\chi_1 \delta^2 (1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} (a_x v_{\delta x x})_x\|' \\ & \leq C \|\delta^2 (a_x v_{\delta x x})_x\|' \\ & \leq 2C (\|\delta^2 a_x v_{\delta x x}\|' + \|\delta^2 a_x D_x v_{\delta x x}\|') \\ & \leq C' \|v_\delta\| \leq C'' \|v\|. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.6.

§ 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Given a function $\psi(x, t) \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$ and an integer $k \geq 2$, we may assume, by the partial hypoellipticity of L in t (cf. [1], § 4.3), that $\psi u \in H_{(s,k)}(\tilde{R}_+^2) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\tilde{\Omega})$ for some real number s . For the proof of Theorem 1.1 it suffices to show that s can be replaced by $s + \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\ell + 1}$. Indeed, it follows that $u \in H_{(s,k)}^{\text{loc}}(\tilde{\Omega})$ for any s and k , which means that $u \in C^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$.

Let E be a pseudo-differential operator (in x) with symbol $e(\xi) = (1 + \xi^2)^{s/2}$ (cf. [3]), and set $v = \chi E \psi u$ where $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$. If we can show that $v \in H_{(s,k)}$ for every χ and ψ we will have $E \psi u \in H_{(s,2)}^{\text{loc}}(\tilde{\Omega})$, hence $u \in H_{(s+\varepsilon,2)}^{\text{loc}}$ since E is elliptic. It is clear that $v \in H_{(0,2)}(\tilde{R}_+^2) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\tilde{\Omega})$, $v(x, 0) = 0$, so in view of Lemma 2.6 it remains only to show that $\|Lv\|' < \infty$. We note that $E' = \chi E \psi$ is a compactly supported pseudo-differential operator (in x) of order s with parameter $t \geq 0$, (cf. [3]) and $Lv = LE'u$. Taking account of $E'Lu = E'f \in L^2(\tilde{\Omega})$ and $\|E'f\| < \infty$, it now suffices to show that

$$\|LE'u - E'f\| < \infty.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} LE'u - E'f &= 2E'_t u_t + E'_{tt} u + [aD_x^2, E']u + [gD_x D_t, E']u \\ &\quad + [bD_x, E']u + [b^0 D_t, E']u + [c, E']u \\ &= [aD_x^2, E']u + E''u + E'''u_t, \end{aligned}$$

where E'' and E''' are compactly supported pseudo-differential operators (in x) of order $\leq s$ with parameter $t \geq 0$. Obviously $\|E''u\| < \infty$ and $\|E'''u_t\| < \infty$ and $\|gD_x E'_t u\|' < \infty$ by (1.8) and (2.5), so we shall analyse the first term in the right hand side. We have

$$[aD_x^2, E'] = [aD_x, E']D_x + aD_x E'_x,$$

and

$$\|[[aD_x^2, E']u]\|' \leq \|[[aD_x, E']u_x]\|' + \|aD_x E'_x u\|'.$$

By (2.5) the last term is estimated by $\|E'_x u\| < \infty$. For any $w \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{Q})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle [aD_x, E']u_x, w \rangle| \\ &\leq |\langle [aD_x, E']_x u, w \rangle| + |\langle [aD_x, E']u, w_x \rangle|. \end{aligned}$$

Since the order of $[aD_x, E']_x$ is $\leq s$ the first term is estimated by $C\|w\|$ with another constant C . Let $\sigma[aD_x, E']$ be a symbol of $[aD_x, E']$. A simple calculation (cf. [3]) proves the equality

$$\sigma[aD_x, E'] = a \cdot \sigma(E_1) + a_x \sigma(E_2) + E_3,$$

where E_1, E_2 and E_3 are compactly supported pseudo-differential operators (in x) of order $\leq s$ and $\leq s - 1$, respectively. This equality leads us, by partial integration and by use of (2.4), to the following estimate

$$|\langle [aD_x, E']u, w_x \rangle| \leq C\|w\|.$$

The above investigation implies that

$$\|[[aD_x^2, E']u]\|' < \infty.$$

Thus we have $\|Lv\|' < \infty$ and this completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

REFERENCES

- [1] Hörmander, L.: Linear partial differential operators, Springer Verlag, 1964.
- [2] Hörmander, L.: Hypoelliptic second order differential equations, Acta Math., **119** (1968), 147-171.

- [3] Hörmander, L.: Fourier integral operators, I, Acta Math., **127** (1971), 79–183.
- [4] Kato, Y.: On a class of hypoelliptic differential operators, Proc. Japan Acad. **46**, No. **1** (1970), 33–37.
- [5] Matsuzawa, T.: Sur les équations $u_{tt} + t^\alpha u_{xx} = f (\alpha \geq 0)$, Nagoya Math. J., Vol. **42** (1971), 43–55.
- [6] Matsuzawa, T.: On some degenerate parabolic equations I, Nagoya Math. J. **51** (1973), 57–77, II, Nagoya Math. J. **52** (1973), 61–84.
- [7] Matsuzawa, T. (with Y. Hashimoto): On a class of degenerate elliptic equations, Nagoya Math. J. **55** (1974), 181–204.
- [8] Mizohata, S.: Solutions nulles et solutions non analytiques, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. (1962), 271–302.
- [9] Oleinik, O. A. and Radkevič, E. V.: Second order equations with nonnegative characteristic form, Amer. Math. Soc., 1973.
- [10] Treves, F.: A new method of proof of the subelliptic estimates, Comm. Pure Applied Math., **24** (1971), 71–115.
- [11] Treves, F.: Analytic-hypoelliptic partial differential equations of principal type, Comm. Pure Applied Math., **24** (1971), 537–570.

Department of Mathematics
Nagoya University

Added in proof. An investigation for the many variable cases will be given in a future publication.