

ON THE RANK OF CM-TYPE

HIROMICHI YANAI

In the present note, we prove that every simple CM-type is non-degenerate (i.e. the rank is maximal) if the dimension of corresponding abelian varieties is a prime. This follows directly from the argument of Tankeev [5], in which he has treated the 5-dimensional case.

Recently, S. G. Tankeev and K. A. Ribet have established similar results for more general types of abelian varieties (see [3], [4], [6]).

1. Let K be a CM-field (i.e. a totally imaginary quadratic extension of a totally real number field). We regard K as a subfield of C . Let L be the Galois closure of K over Q , and put

$$G = \text{Gal}(L/Q), \quad H = \text{Gal}(L/K), \quad d = [K:Q]/2.$$

We can canonically identify the embeddings of K into C with the cosets $H \backslash G$ (G acts on K on the right). We denote the complex conjugation by ρ , which belongs to the center of G .

Let S be a subset of $H \backslash G$ such that

$$H \backslash G = S \cup S\rho \quad (\text{disjoint union}).$$

The pair (K, S) or the triple (G, H, S) is called a *CM-type*. Put

$$\tilde{S} = \{g \in G \mid Hg \in S\}.$$

We say that a CM-type (K, S) is *simple* if

$$H = \{g \in G \mid g\tilde{S} = \tilde{S}\}.$$

Let

$$H' = \{g \in G \mid \tilde{S}g = \tilde{S}\},$$

and K' be the corresponding CM-field. Let S' be the subset of $H' \backslash G$ which is induced by the inverses of the elements of \tilde{S} . Then the pair (K', S') is also a CM-type, which is called the *dual* of (K, S) .

From now on, we consider simple CM-types only.

2. Now we define the rank of a CM-type (K, S) .

Let X be the free abelian group generated by the cosets of $H \backslash G$, so every element in X is expressed as a formal sum: $\sum n_\sigma \sigma$ with $\sigma \in H \backslash G$, $n_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}$. Similarly X' denotes the corresponding object for $H' \backslash G$. Define a homomorphism $\phi: X \rightarrow X'$ by

$$\sigma \longmapsto \sum_{\tau \in S'} \tau \sigma$$

where the product $\tau \sigma$ is taken between two elements of G which are sent to τ and σ respectively. (Note that ϕ is well defined by the definition of H , H' and S' .)

Then we define the rank of (K, S) or the rank of (G, H, S) by the rank of the image of ϕ (cf. Kubota [1], Ribet [2]). The next proposition summarizes some properties of the rank of CM-type:

PROPOSITION A. *Assume that (K, S) is a simple CM-type, then*

- (a) $\text{rank}(K, S) = \text{rank}(K', S')$
- (b) *For any $g \in G$, (K, Sg) is also a CM-type and $\text{rank}(K, Sg) = \text{rank}(K, S)$*
- (c) $\max(2 + \log_2 d, 2 + \log_2 d') \leq \text{rank}(K, S) \leq \min(d + 1, d' + 1)$
(where $d' = [K': \mathbb{Q}]/2$)

(a), (b) and the second inequality in (c) are immediate from the definition of rank (see [1], [2]). The first inequality in (c) is due to Ribet [2].

If $\text{rank}(K, S) = d + 1$, then we say that the CM-type (K, S) is *non-degenerate*. In particular, if $d \leq 3$, then every simple CM-type is non-degenerate because of (c) of the proposition.

3. From now on, we assume $d = \text{prime}$. Let $S(d)$ be the symmetric group of degree d . A homomorphism $\mu: S(d) \rightarrow \text{Aut}((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^d)$ is defined by the natural permutation. By this action we can make the semidirect product:

$$W_d = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^d \rtimes_\mu S(d).$$

Then by Tankeev [5], we can regard G as a subgroup of W_d and ρ as the element $(1, 1, \dots, 1) \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^d \subset W_d$. G acts on $H \backslash G$ transitively, so G contains an element of order d , say g_0 . Then the cyclic subgroup G_0

generated by ρg_0 forms a complete set of representatives of $H \backslash G$. We identify these elements with the corresponding cosets.

The following proposition is essential:

PROPOSITION B (Tankeev [5]). *(G, H, S), G₀ and g₀ being as above, assume*

$$S \neq \langle g_0 \rangle, \langle \rho g_0 \rangle.$$

Then

$$\text{rank}(G, H, S) \geq \text{rank}(G_0, \{1\}, S).$$

(Note that S can be naturally regarded as a CM-type for G_0 .)

4. In this section we shall prove the next theorem:

THEOREM. *Every simple CM-type with $d = \text{prime}$ is non-degenerate.*

As we have remarked at the end of n°2, we may assume that d is an odd prime.

First we prove a lemma which has been announced by F. Hazama.

LEMMA. *Let G₀ be a cyclic group of order 2d (d = odd prime). Then every simple CM-type (G₀, {1}, S) is non-degenerate.*

We assume that $(G_0, \{1\}, S)$ is degenerate (i.e. $\text{rank} < d + 1$). Then by Kubota [1], there exists an odd character χ of G_0 such that

$$(*) \quad \sum_{\sigma \in S} \chi(\sigma) = 0$$

(where "odd" means $\chi(\rho) = -1$ for the element ρ of order 2).

The order of χ must be $2d$ from (*). Let γ be a generator of G_0 , then $\zeta = \chi(\gamma)$ is a primitive $2d$ -th root of unity. Hence (*) must be of the form:

$$\pm (\zeta^{d-1} - \zeta^{d-2} + \dots + 1) = 0$$

This implies that the CM-type is not simple, and the lemma follows.

Viewing this lemma, Proposition B implies our theorem when $S \neq \langle g_0 \rangle, \langle g_0 \rangle \rho$. So we assume $S = \langle g_0 \rangle$. (Note that S and $S\rho$ have the same rank.)

G induces permutations among the CM-types on K , and H' is the stabilizer of S (see n°1), hence $2d'$ is the cardinality of the orbit containing S . If $d' = 1$, then

$$\text{rank}(K, S) = \text{rank}(K', S') = d' + 1 = 2.$$

This contradicts (c) of Proposition A. If $d' > 1$, there exists $g \in G$ such that $Sg \neq S$, $S\rho$. But (K, Sg) is non-degenerate because of Proposition B. By (b) of Proposition A, $\text{rank}(K, S) = \text{rank}(K, Sg)$. This implies our theorem.

Remark. The rank of CM-type is nothing but the dimension of "Mumford-Tate group" of corresponding abelian varieties. It is known that if this dimension is "maximal" (i.e. the CM-type is non-degenerate in our sense) then the Hodge conjecture is true for these abelian varieties. For details, see [4], [5].

REFERENCES

- [1] Kubota, T., On the field extension by complex multiplication, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **113** n°6 (1965), 113–122.
- [2] Ribet, K. A., Division field of abelian varieties with complex multiplication, *Soc. Math. de France 2^e série Mémoire n°2* (1980), 75–94.
- [3] —, Generalization of a theorem of Tankeev, *Sem. Bordeaux Année 1981–1982 exposé n°17* (1982).
- [4] —, Hodge classes on certain types of abelian varieties, *Amer. J. Math.*, **105** (1983), 523–538.
- [5] Tankeev, S. G., On algebraic cycles on simple 5-dimensional abelian varieties, *Math. USSR-Izv.* **19** No. 1 (1982), 95–123.
- [6] —, Cycles on simple abelian varieties of prime dimension, *ibid.*, **20** No. 1, 157–171 (1983).

*Department of Mathematics
Nagoya University
Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464
Japan*