

R. Takagi
Nagoya Math. J.
Vol. 91 (1983), 1-18

ON HIGHER COVARIANT DERIVATIVES OF THE CURVATURE TENSORS OF KÄHLERIAN C -SPACES

Dedicated to Professor I. Mogi on his 60th birthday

RYOICHI TAKAGI

A compact simply connected complex homogeneous manifold is said briefly a C -space, which was completely classified by H. C. Wang [12]. A C -space is called to be Kählerian if it admits a Kählerian metric such that a group of isometries acts transitively on it. Hermitian symmetric spaces of compact type are typical examples of a Kählerian C -space. Let M be an arbitrary Kählerian C -space and R its curvature tensor. M. Itoh [6] expressed R in the language of Lie algebra and investigated various properties of R . In this paper, we study higher covariant derivatives of R .

First we shall show that for each M there exists a positive integer m such that

$$\underbrace{\overset{+}{\nabla} \cdots \overset{+}{\nabla} R}_{m \text{ times}} = 0, \quad \underbrace{\overset{+}{\nabla} \cdots \overset{+}{\nabla} R}_{(m-1) \text{ times}} \neq 0,$$

where $\overset{+}{\nabla}$ denotes the covariant derivative of $(1, 0)$ -type. We call the integer m the degree of a Kählerian C -space M . Obviously, Hermitian symmetric spaces of compact type can be characterized as C -spaces with degree one.

Next we shall determine all C -spaces with degree two, which are stated as Theorems 4.1, 4.2 and 4.7. They will form a class of the "simplest" spaces among Kählerian C -spaces except for Hermitian symmetric spaces.

Our results have some applications to a theory of Kählerian submanifolds in a complex projective space. This will be discussed in a forthcoming paper [10].

§1. Kählerian C -spaces

In this section we recall the construction of irreducible Kählerian

Received August 25, 1981.

\mathbb{C} -spaces. For details, we refer to Borel and Hirzebruch [2], Itoh [6], Nakagawa and Takagi [8], Takeuchi [11].

Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex simple Lie algebra and \mathfrak{h} a Cartan subalgebra. The dual space of a complex vector space \mathfrak{h} is denoted by \mathfrak{h}^* . An element α of \mathfrak{h}^* is called a root of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ if there exists a non-zero vector E_α in \mathfrak{g} such that

$$[H, E_\alpha] = \alpha(H)E_\alpha \quad \text{for } H \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

We denote by Δ the set of all non-zero roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ and put $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \mathbb{C}E_\alpha$. Then we have a direct sum decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

Since the Killing form B of \mathfrak{g} is non-degenerate on $\mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h}$, for each $\xi \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ we can define $H_\xi \in \mathfrak{h}$ by

$$B(H, H_\xi) = \xi(H) \quad \text{for } H \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

The following property of B is fundamental:

$$B([X, Y], Z) + B(Y, [X, Z]) = 0 \quad \text{for } X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Put $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbb{R}H_\alpha$ and define an inner products $(,)$ on the dual space \mathfrak{h}_0^* of a real vector space \mathfrak{h}_0 by $(\xi, \eta) = B(H_\xi, H_\eta)$. We fix a lexicographic order $<$ on \mathfrak{h}_0^* . Let $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\}$ be the fundamental root system of Δ with respect to $<$ (so $\ell = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{h}$). Put $\Delta^+ = \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid 0 < \alpha\}$. For each $\alpha \in \Delta$ we select a basis E_α of \mathfrak{g}_α in such a way that $\{H_{\alpha_1}, \dots, H_{\alpha_\ell}, E_\alpha (\alpha \in \Delta)\}$ forms a Weyl's canonical basis of \mathfrak{g} , that is, it satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} B(E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}) = -1, \\ [E_\alpha, E_\beta] = N_{\alpha+\beta}E_{\alpha+\beta}, \quad N_{\alpha+\beta} = N_{-\alpha-\beta} \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in \Delta. \end{cases}$$

The first equation is equivalent to $[E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}] = -H_\alpha$. Then the following \mathfrak{g}_u is a compact real form of \mathfrak{g} :

$$(1.2) \quad \mathfrak{g}_u = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} (\mathbb{R}A_\alpha + \mathbb{R}B_\alpha),$$

where we put $A_\alpha = E_\alpha + E_{-\alpha}$, $B_\alpha = \sqrt{-1}(E_\alpha - E_{-\alpha})$. The complex conjugation $\bar{}$ on \mathfrak{g} with respect to \mathfrak{g}_u is given by

$$(1.3) \quad \bar{E}_\alpha = E_{-\alpha}, \quad \bar{E}_{-\alpha} = E_\alpha, \quad \bar{H}_\alpha = -H_\alpha \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \Delta^+.$$

Now we choose an arbitrary non-empty subset $\Phi = \{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_r}\}$ of Π . Define a subset $\Delta^+(\Phi)$ of Δ^+ by

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^+(\Phi) &= \mathcal{A}^+(\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_r}) \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} n_i \alpha_i \in \mathcal{A}^+; (n_{i_1}, \dots, n_{i_r}) \neq 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that if $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}^+(\Phi)$, then $\alpha + \beta \in \mathcal{A}^+(\Phi)$, and that the highest root in \mathcal{A} always belongs to $\mathcal{A}^+(\Phi)$. Define a complex subalgebra \mathfrak{L}_Φ of \mathfrak{g} associated with Φ by

$$\mathfrak{L}_\Phi = \mathfrak{h} + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^+(\Phi)} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

If we put $\mathfrak{k}_\Phi = \mathfrak{g}_u \cap \mathfrak{L}_\Phi$, then it is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}_u expressed as

$$(1.5) \quad \mathfrak{k}_\Phi = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbf{R}\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^+(\Phi)} (\mathbf{R}A_\alpha + \mathbf{R}B_\alpha).$$

Let G be the simply connected complex Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Let L_Φ be the connected complex Lie subgroup of G with Lie algebra \mathfrak{L}_Φ , and G_u, K_Φ the connected Lie subgroup of G with Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_u, \mathfrak{k}_\Phi$, respectively. Then we obtain an irreducible C -space $G_u/K_\Phi = G/L_\Phi$, denoted by $M(\mathfrak{g}, \Phi)$ or $M(\mathfrak{g}, \alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_r})$. Conversely, every irreducible C -space can be obtained in this way ([12]).

Next we describe a G_u -invariant Kählerian metric g on a C -space $M(\mathfrak{g}, \Phi)$. For a vector space V , the complexification is denoted by V^c . Put

$$(1.6) \quad \mathfrak{m}_\Phi = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^+(\Phi)} (\mathfrak{g}_\alpha + \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}).$$

Hereafter we put $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_\Phi$ and $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}^c$ for simplicity. Then we have a direct sum $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{m}$ orthogonal with respect to B . Denoting the tangent space of $M(\mathfrak{g}, \Phi)$ at the origin $o = K_\Phi$ by $T_o(M)$, we can identify $T_o(M)$ with \mathfrak{g}_u . So we may write $\mathfrak{m} = T_o(M)^c$. Since $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}$, we see $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{m}$ by the definition of $\mathcal{A}^+(\Phi)$. The complex structure I of $M(\mathfrak{g}, \Phi)$ is given at o by

$$(1.7) \quad I(A_\alpha) = B_\alpha, \quad I(B_\alpha) = -A_\alpha \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \mathcal{A}^+(\Phi).$$

Put $\mathfrak{m}^\pm = \{X \in \mathfrak{m}; I(X) = \pm\sqrt{-1}X\}$. Then we have

$$(1.8) \quad \mathfrak{m}^\pm = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^+(\Phi)} \mathfrak{g}_{\pm\alpha},$$

and hence a direct sum $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}^+ + \mathfrak{m}^-$. An element of \mathfrak{m}^+ is said to be of $(1, 0)$ -type. Now we define a mapping p of $\mathcal{A}^+(\Phi)$ into \mathbf{Z}^r associated with Φ as follows: For $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} n_i(\alpha)\alpha_i \in \mathcal{A}^+(\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_r})$, we put

$$p_\alpha = (n_{i_1}(\alpha), \dots, n_{i_r}(\alpha)) .$$

This mapping p plays an important role in this paper. Let $\omega^\alpha, \bar{\omega}^\alpha$ denote the dual forms of E_α, \bar{E}_α ($\alpha \in \Delta^+(\Phi)$). Then any G_u -invariant Kählerian metric g is given at o by

$$(1.9) \quad g = 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+(\Phi)} (c \cdot p_\alpha) \omega^\alpha \cdot \bar{\omega}^\alpha$$

for an r -tuple $c = (c_1, \dots, c_r)$ of positive integers c_1, \dots, c_r , where $c \cdot p_\alpha = \sum_{a=1}^r c_a n_{i_a}(\alpha)$ (Borel [1] or Itoh [6]). Conversely, any bilinear form on $\mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m}$ of this type can be extended to a G_u -invariant Kählerian metric on $M(\mathfrak{g}, \Phi)$.

When $\Delta^+(\Phi) \ni \alpha$ and β satisfy $n_{i_a}(\alpha) \geq n_{i_a}(\beta)$ for $a = 1, \dots, r$ and $n_{i_a}(\alpha) > n_{i_a}(\beta)$ for some a , we write $p_\alpha > p_\beta$. Hence we have equivalences $\alpha \in \Delta^+(\Phi) \Leftrightarrow E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}^+ \Leftrightarrow p_\alpha > 0$.

§2. Covariant derivatives on Kählerian C -spaces

In this section we consider a Kählerian C -space $(M(\mathfrak{g}, \Phi), g)$ constructed in Section 1, where $\Phi = \{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_r}\}$ is a non-empty subset of the fundamental root system Π of a complex simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and g is a G_u -invariant Kählerian metric on M given by (1.8). We extend tensor fields, the connection and the connection form on M naturally over C , and denote the extended ones by the same letters. Since M is homogeneous Riemannian manifold, it is sufficient to consider the degree at the origin o .

First we have from (1.1) and (1.9)

$$(2.1) \quad g(E_\alpha, E_{-\beta}) = -(c \cdot p_\alpha) B(E_\alpha, E_{-\beta}) = (c \cdot p_\alpha) \delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+(\Phi) .$$

When $r = 1$, that is, Φ consists of a single element, we take a Kählerian metric g such that $c = 1$.

For $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ we denote by $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ (resp. $X_{\mathfrak{k}}$) the \mathfrak{m} (resp. \mathfrak{k})-component of X with respect to the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{m}$. Define a symmetric bilinear mapping $U: \mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ by

$$(2.2) \quad 2g(U(X, Y), Z) = g([Z, X]_{\mathfrak{m}}, Y) + g(X, [Z, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}) \quad \text{for } X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{m} .$$

Then the connection form $A: \mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ associated with g is given by (Kobayashi and Nomizu [17] and Nomizu [9])

$$(2.3) \quad A(X)Y = U(X, Y) + \frac{1}{2}[X, Y] \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \mathfrak{m} .$$

The curvature tensor R of g is given by (Nomizu [9])

$$(2.4) \quad R(X, Y)Z = [A(X), A(Y)]Z - A([X, Y]_m)Z - [[X, Y]_t, Z] \\ \text{for } X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{m} .$$

For $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ we denote by X^* the vector field on M induced by a one-parameter subgroup $\exp tX$ of G_u . It is easy to see that

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} (X^*)_o = X_m \\ [X^*, Y^*] = -[X, Y]^* \end{cases} \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \mathfrak{g} .$$

The covariant derivative $\nabla_{X^*}Y^*$ of Y^* in the direction X^* is given at o by ([7], p. 201)

$$(2.6) \quad (\nabla_{X^*}Y^*)_o = A(Y)X = U(X, Y) - \frac{1}{2}[X, Y]_m \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \mathfrak{m} .$$

In the following, in order to simplify the notation, we *identify* a root vector E_α with α itself for $\alpha \in \Delta$, and *put* $\nabla_X Y = (\nabla_{X^*}Y^*)_o$ for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{m}$. Under this identification, a subset $\Delta^+(\Phi) \cup \overline{\Delta^+(\Phi)}$ of Δ forms a basis of the complexified tangent space $\mathfrak{m} = T_o(M)^c$ of M at o . We also call a root $\alpha \in \Delta^+(\Phi)$ a tangent vector.

M. Itoh [6] determined the connection form A of g , which can be stated as

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+(\Phi)$. Then,*

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{cases} A(\alpha)\beta = (c \cdot p_\beta / c \cdot p_{\alpha+\beta})[\alpha, \beta] , \\ A(\bar{\alpha})\beta = \begin{cases} [\bar{\alpha}, \beta] & \text{if } p_\alpha < p_\beta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} , \end{cases} \\ A(\alpha)\bar{\beta} = \begin{cases} [\alpha, \bar{\beta}] & \text{if } p_\alpha < p_\beta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} . \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

This and (2.6) give

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+(\Phi)$. Then,*

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla_\alpha \beta = -(c \cdot p_\alpha / c \cdot p_{\alpha+\beta})[\alpha, \beta] , \\ \nabla_\alpha \bar{\beta} = \begin{cases} -[\alpha, \bar{\beta}] & \text{if } p_\alpha > p_\beta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} , \end{cases} \\ \nabla_\alpha \beta = \begin{cases} -[\bar{\alpha}, \beta] & \text{if } p_\alpha > p_\beta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} . \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

The curvature tensor R of g can be restated as

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.9) \quad R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda}, \beta) &:= R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda})\beta \\
&= [A(\alpha), A(\bar{\lambda})]\beta - A([\alpha, \bar{\lambda}]_m)\beta - [[\alpha, \bar{\lambda}]_t, \beta] \\
&\hspace{15em} \text{for } \alpha, \lambda, \beta \in \Delta^+(\Phi).
\end{aligned}$$

Put $R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda}, \beta, \bar{\mu}) = g(R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda}, \beta), \bar{\mu})$. Then we obtain fundamental formulas

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.10) \quad R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda}, \beta, \bar{\mu}) &= R(\beta, \bar{\lambda}, \alpha, \bar{\mu}) = R(\alpha, \bar{\mu}, \beta, \bar{\lambda}) = \overline{R(\lambda, \bar{\alpha}, \mu, \bar{\beta})} \\
&\hspace{15em} \text{for } \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \mu \in \Delta^+(\Phi).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we define the s -th covariant derivative $\nabla^s R$ of R inductively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.11) \quad R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda}, \beta; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s) &= \sum_{\mu \in \Delta^+(\Phi)} R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda}, \beta, \bar{\mu}; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s) \mu / g(\mu, \bar{\mu}) \\
&= \nabla_{\gamma_s} R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda}, \beta; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{s-1}) \\
&\quad - R(\nabla_{\gamma_s} \alpha, \bar{\lambda}, \beta; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{s-1}) \\
&\quad - R(\alpha, \nabla_{\gamma_s} \bar{\lambda}, \beta; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{s-1}) \\
&\quad - R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda}, \nabla_{\gamma_s} \beta; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{s-1}) \\
&\quad - \sum_{a=1}^{s-1} R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda}, \beta; \gamma_1, \dots, \nabla_{\gamma_s} \gamma_a, \dots, \gamma_{s-1}) \\
&\hspace{15em} \text{for } \alpha, \lambda, \beta \in \Delta^+(\Phi) \text{ and } \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s \in \mathfrak{m}.
\end{aligned}$$

When vectors $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s$ in (2.11) belong to $\Delta^+(\Phi)$, we write $\overset{\dagger}{\nabla}^s R$ instead of $\nabla^s R$, which is called the s -th covariant derivative of $(1, 0)$ -type of R . Then we have a basic property with respect to the covariant derivative of R :

LEMMA 2.3. For $\alpha, \lambda, \beta \in \Delta^+(\Phi)$ and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s \in \mathfrak{m}$,

$$R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda}, \beta; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s) \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha - \lambda + \beta + \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_s}.$$

Proof. This follows from a relation $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha + \beta}$, (2.7), (2.8) and (2.11).

COROLLARY 2.4. Let ν be the highest root in Δ . Put $p_\nu = (n_{i_1}, \dots, n_{i_r})$, and $t = t(\Phi) = n_{i_1} + \dots + n_{i_r}$. Then we have $\overset{\dagger}{\nabla}^{2t-1} R = 0$.

Proof. For $\delta \in \Delta^+(\Phi)$ we denote by $\|\delta\|$ the sum of the components of p_δ . Then clearly, $1 \leq \|\delta\| \leq t = \|\nu\|$. Let $\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{2t-1} \in \Delta^+(\Phi)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\alpha - \lambda + \beta + \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{2t-1}\| \\
&= \|\alpha\| + \|\beta\| + \|\gamma_1\| + \dots + \|\gamma_{2t-1}\| - \|\lambda\| \\
&\geq 1 + 1 + 2t - 1 - t = t + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

This and the definition of t show that a form $\alpha - \lambda + \beta + \gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_{2t-1}$ cannot be a root. Thus by Lemma 2.3 we have $R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda}, \beta; \gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_{2t-1}) = 0$.
q.e.d.

By Corollary 2.4, there exists uniquely the integer $d = d(\mathfrak{g}, \Phi)$ such that $\bar{V}^d R = 0$ but $\bar{V}^{d-1} R \neq 0$. We shall call the integer d the degree of a C -space $M(\mathfrak{g}, \Phi)$. Hermitian symmetric spaces of compact type can be characterized as C -spaces with degree one. In fact, let Φ consist of a single element α_a such that $p_\nu = 1$, where ν denotes the highest root in Δ . Then Corollary 2.4 and (2.10) imply that a C -space $M(\mathfrak{g}, \alpha_a)$ is symmetric. Conversely, every irreducible Hermitian symmetric space of compact type can be expressed as a C -space of the form $M(\mathfrak{g}, \alpha_a)$ where $p_\nu = 1$ (Wolf [13]).

§ 3. C -spaces with degree two

In this section we shall determine all irreducible C -spaces with degree two. Keep the notation in Section 2. Hereafter we denote by $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, \mu$ any elements of $\Delta^+(\Phi)$ unless otherwise stated.

From (2.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.1) \quad R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda}, \beta; \gamma, \delta) &= V_\delta V_\gamma R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda})\beta - V_{\gamma\delta} R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda})\beta - V_\gamma R(V_\delta \alpha, \bar{\lambda})\beta \\
 &\quad - V_\gamma R(\alpha, V_\delta \bar{\lambda})\beta - V_\gamma R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda})V_\delta \beta \\
 &\quad - V_\delta R(V_\gamma \alpha, \bar{\lambda})\beta + R(V_{\gamma\delta} \alpha, \bar{\lambda})\beta + R(V_\gamma V_\delta \alpha, \bar{\lambda})\beta \\
 &\quad + R(V_\gamma \alpha, V_\delta \bar{\lambda})\beta + R(V_\gamma \alpha, \bar{\lambda})V_\delta \beta \\
 &\quad - V_\delta R(\alpha, V_\gamma \bar{\lambda})\beta + R(V_\delta \alpha, V_\gamma \bar{\lambda})\beta + R(\alpha, V_{\gamma\delta} \bar{\lambda})\beta \\
 &\quad + R(\alpha, V_\gamma V_\delta \bar{\lambda})\beta + R(\alpha, V_\gamma \bar{\lambda})V_\delta \beta \\
 &\quad - V_\delta R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda})V_\gamma \beta + R(V_\delta \alpha, \bar{\lambda})V_\gamma \beta + R(\alpha, V_\delta \bar{\lambda})V_\gamma \beta \\
 &\quad + R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda})V_{\gamma\delta} \beta + R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda})V_\gamma V_\delta \beta.
 \end{aligned}$$

The equation (3.1) is not so complicated as it looks because it contains many simple cases. For example,

LEMMA 3.1. *Unless $p_\alpha > p_\beta$, then $V_\alpha \bar{\beta} = 0$ and $\Lambda(\bar{\beta})\alpha = 0$. In particular, if λ is the highest root in Δ , then $V_\alpha \bar{\lambda} = 0$ and $\Lambda(\bar{\lambda})\alpha = 0$.*

Proof. This is a restatement of (2.7) and (2.8).
q.e.d.

LEMMA 3.2. (1) *If $\alpha + \beta - \lambda \in \Delta$, then $R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda})\beta = 0$. (2) Let λ satisfy $p_\lambda \geq p_\gamma$ for all γ . Let α and β satisfy $p_\lambda \geq p_\alpha + p_\beta$. Then $R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda})\beta = 0$.*

Proof. (1) is evident from a special case $R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda})\beta \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta-\lambda}$ of Lemma 2.3. To show (2) we use (2.9). From Lemma 3.1 we have $A(\bar{\lambda})\alpha = 0$, and so from (2.7)

$$A(\bar{\lambda})A(\alpha)\beta \in A(\bar{\lambda})\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}.$$

But, by Lemma 3.1, $A(\bar{\lambda})\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta} = \{0\}$ whether $\alpha + \beta \in \Delta$ or not, hence $A(\bar{\lambda})A(\alpha)\beta = 0$. If $\alpha + \bar{\lambda} \in \Delta$, then $R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda})\beta = 0$ since $[\alpha, \bar{\lambda}] = 0$. If $\alpha + \bar{\lambda} \notin \Delta$, then $\lambda - \alpha \in \Delta^+(\Phi)$ since $p_{\lambda-\alpha} \geq p_\beta > 0$. Thus $R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda})\beta = -A([\alpha, \bar{\lambda}])\beta = 0$ by Lemma 3.1. q.e.d.

COROLLARY 3.3 *Let λ, α and β satisfy at least one of the following two conditions:*

- (1) $\alpha + \beta - \lambda \in \Delta$.
- (2) $p_\lambda \geq p_\gamma$ for all γ , and $p_\lambda \geq p_\alpha + p_\beta$. Then,

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda}, \beta; \gamma, \delta) = & -V_\gamma R(V_\delta \alpha, \bar{\lambda})\beta - V_\delta R(V_\gamma \alpha, \bar{\lambda})\beta - V_\gamma R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda})V_\delta \beta \\ & - V_\delta R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda})V_\gamma \beta + R(V_{\gamma\delta} \alpha, \bar{\lambda})\beta + R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda})V_{\gamma\delta} \beta \\ & + R(V_\gamma V_\delta \alpha, \bar{\lambda})\beta + R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda})V_\gamma V_\delta \beta + R(V_\gamma \alpha, \bar{\lambda})V_\delta \beta \\ & + R(V_\delta \alpha, \bar{\lambda})V_\gamma \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Apply (2.8), Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 (1) to (3.1). q.e.d.

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Let five vectors $\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \Delta^+(\Phi)$ satisfy the following three conditions:*

- (1) $\alpha + \beta - \lambda \in \Delta$, or $p_\lambda \geq p_\gamma$ for all γ and $p_\lambda \geq p_\alpha + p_\beta$ (the same condition as in Corollary 3.3).
- (2) Let ε be the sum of any three of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$. Then $\varepsilon \in \Delta$ and $p_\varepsilon > p_\lambda$.
- (3) Let μ be the sum of any two of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$. Then $[\mu, \bar{\lambda}] \in \mathfrak{k}$.

Then we have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} 2R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda}, \beta, \bar{\lambda}; \gamma, \delta) = & B([\delta, [\alpha, \bar{\lambda}]], [\beta, [\gamma, \bar{\lambda}]]) \\ & + B([\gamma, [\alpha, \bar{\lambda}]], [\beta, [\delta, \bar{\lambda}]]) \\ & - B([\alpha, [\gamma, \bar{\lambda}]], [\delta, [\beta, \bar{\lambda}]]) \\ & - B([\alpha, [\delta, \bar{\lambda}]], [\gamma, [\beta, \bar{\lambda}]]) . \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Corollary 3.3 the equation (3.2) holds. The assumption (2) and (2.8) imply $V_{\gamma\delta} \alpha = V_{\gamma\delta} \beta = V_\gamma V_\delta \alpha = V_\gamma V_\delta \beta = 0$. Thus,

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} A & := R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda}, \beta; \gamma, \delta) \\ & = -V_\gamma R(V_\delta \alpha, \bar{\lambda})\beta - V_\gamma R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda})V_\delta \beta - V_\delta R(V_\gamma \alpha, \bar{\lambda})\beta \\ & \quad - V_\delta R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda})V_\gamma \beta + R(V_\gamma \alpha, \bar{\lambda})V_\delta \beta + R(V_\delta \alpha, \bar{\lambda})V_\gamma \beta . \end{aligned}$$

We shall express the right hand side of (3.4) with respect to the bracket product $[\cdot, \cdot]$. The assumptions (2) and (3), together with (2.7) and (2.8), imply $[\mathcal{V}_s\alpha, \bar{\lambda}] \in \mathfrak{k}$, $\Lambda(\bar{\lambda})\beta = 0$ and $\Lambda(\mathcal{V}_s\alpha)\beta = 0$. It follows from (2.8) and (2.9) that

$$4\mathcal{V}_\gamma R(\mathcal{V}_s\alpha, \bar{\lambda})\beta = [\gamma, [[[\delta, \alpha], \bar{\lambda}], \beta]] .$$

Applying similar argument to other five terms in (3.4), we find

$$\begin{aligned} 4A &= [\gamma, [[[\delta, \alpha], \bar{\lambda}], \beta]] + [\delta, [[[\gamma, \alpha], \bar{\lambda}], \beta]] + [\gamma, [[\alpha, \bar{\lambda}], [\delta, \beta]]] \\ &\quad + [\delta, [[\alpha, \bar{\lambda}], [\gamma, \beta]]] - [[[\gamma, \alpha], \bar{\lambda}], [\delta, \beta]] - [[[\delta, \alpha], \bar{\lambda}], [\gamma, \beta]] . \end{aligned}$$

For a while, we write $\alpha\beta\gamma\delta$ for $[\alpha, [\beta, [\gamma, [\delta, \bar{\lambda}]]]]$. Then the Jacobi identities give

$$\begin{aligned} 4A &= (\gamma\beta\alpha\delta - \gamma\beta\delta\alpha) + (\gamma\alpha\beta\delta - \gamma\alpha\delta\beta) \\ &\quad + \delta\beta\alpha\gamma - \delta\beta\gamma\alpha + \delta\alpha\beta\gamma - \delta\alpha\gamma\beta + \beta\delta\alpha\gamma - \beta\delta\alpha\gamma \\ &\quad - \beta\delta\gamma\alpha + \delta\beta\gamma\alpha + \beta\gamma\alpha\delta - \gamma\beta\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma\delta\alpha + \gamma\beta\delta\alpha . \end{aligned}$$

In view of the identity $\gamma\alpha\beta\delta - \gamma\alpha\delta\beta = \beta\delta\gamma\alpha - \delta\beta\gamma\alpha$, obtained from $[\alpha, [\beta, \delta]] = 0$, we have

$$4A = -\gamma\beta\delta\alpha - \delta\beta\gamma\alpha + \beta\delta\alpha\gamma + \beta\gamma\alpha\delta .$$

Now, by (2.1) and the subsequent comment and the formula $B([\alpha, \beta], \gamma) = -B(\beta, [\alpha, \gamma])$, we have proposition. q.e.d.

Here we shall specialize Proposition 3.4 in the form

LEMMA 3.5. *Assume that there exists uniquely a vector $\lambda \in \Delta^+(\Phi)$ admitting a decomposition of the form $\lambda = \sigma + \tau$ where $\sigma, \tau \in \Delta^+(\Phi)$. Assume that $(\sigma, \sigma) = (\tau, \tau)$ for all $\sigma, \tau \in \Delta^+(\Phi)$ such that $\lambda = \sigma + \tau$. Then, for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ such that $2\lambda = \alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta$, the following holds:*

$$(3.5) \quad B([\alpha, [\beta, \bar{\lambda}]], [\gamma, [\delta, \bar{\lambda}]]) = B([\beta, [\alpha, \bar{\lambda}]], [\delta, [\gamma, \bar{\lambda}]]) .$$

Proof. From the assumption on λ we have equivalences $\alpha + \beta \in \Delta \Leftrightarrow \alpha + \beta = \lambda \Leftrightarrow \gamma + \delta = \lambda \Leftrightarrow \gamma + \delta \in \Delta$. Denote the left hand side of (3.5) by $\alpha\beta \cdot \gamma\delta$. If $\alpha + \beta \notin \Delta$, then we see $\alpha\beta \cdot \gamma\delta = \beta\alpha \cdot \delta\gamma$ since $[\alpha, [\beta, \bar{\lambda}]] = [\beta, [\alpha, \bar{\lambda}]]$ by Jacobi identity. If $\alpha + \beta \in \Delta$, then $\alpha + \beta = \gamma + \delta = \lambda$. Putting $[\alpha, \beta] = N\lambda$ and $[\gamma, \delta] = M\lambda$, we see from (1.1) $[\beta, \bar{\lambda}] = N\bar{\alpha}$, $[\bar{\lambda}, \alpha] = N\bar{\beta}$, $[\delta, \bar{\lambda}] = M\bar{\gamma}$ and $[\bar{\lambda}, \gamma] = M\bar{\delta}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\beta \cdot \gamma\delta - \beta\alpha \cdot \delta\gamma &= NM(B(H_\alpha, H_\gamma) - B(H_\beta, H_\delta)) \\ &= NM((\alpha, \gamma) - (\beta, \delta)) . \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta^+ = \{\omega_i - \omega_j = \alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_{j-1}; 1 \leq i < j \leq \ell + 1\}.$$

For $1 \leq a \leq \ell$,

$$\Delta^+(\alpha_a) = \{\omega_i - \omega_j; i \leq a \leq j - 1\}.$$

B_ℓ ($\ell \geq 2$): An orthonormal basis $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_\ell$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^+ &= \{\omega_i = \alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_\ell; 1 \leq i \leq \ell\} \\ &\cup \{\omega_i - \omega_j = \alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_{j-1}; 1 \leq i < j \leq \ell\} \\ &\cup \{\omega_i + \omega_j = \alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_{j-1} + 2\alpha_j + \cdots + 2\alpha_\ell; 1 \leq i < j \leq \ell\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $1 \leq a \leq \ell$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^+(\alpha_a) &= \{\omega_i; i \leq a\} \cup \{\omega_i - \omega_j; i \leq a \leq j - 1\} \\ &\cup \{\omega_i + \omega_j; i \leq a, i < j\}. \end{aligned}$$

C_ℓ ($\ell \geq 3$): An orthonormal basis $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_\ell$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^+ &= \{\omega_i = 2\alpha_i + \cdots + 2\alpha_{\ell-1} + \alpha_\ell; 1 \leq i \leq \ell\} \\ &\cup \{\omega_i - \omega_j = \alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_{j-1}; 1 \leq i < j \leq \ell\} \\ &\cup \{\omega_i + \omega_j = \alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_{j-1} + 2\alpha_j + \cdots + 2\alpha_{\ell-1} + \alpha_\ell; \\ &\quad 1 \leq i < j \leq \ell\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $1 \leq a \leq \ell$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^+(\alpha_a) &= \{\omega_i; i \leq a\} \cup \{\omega_i - \omega_j; i \leq a \leq j - 1\} \\ &\cup \{\omega_i + \omega_j; i \leq a, i < j\}. \end{aligned}$$

D_ℓ ($\ell \geq 4$): An orthonormal basis $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_\ell$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^+ &= \{\omega_i - \omega_j = \alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_{j-1}; 1 \leq i < j \leq \ell\} \\ &\cup \{\omega_i + \omega_j = \alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_{j-1} + 2\alpha_j + \cdots + 2\alpha_{\ell-2} + \alpha_{\ell-1} + \alpha_\ell; \\ &\quad 1 \leq i < j \leq \ell - 1\} \\ &\cup \{\omega_i + \omega_\ell = \alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_{\ell-2} + \alpha_\ell; 1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $1 \leq a \leq \ell - 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^+(\alpha_a) &= \{\omega_i - \omega_j; i \leq a \leq j - 1\} \\ &\cup \{\omega_i + \omega_j; i \leq a, i < j\}. \end{aligned}$$

E_6 : A basis $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_6$ with $(\omega_i, \omega_i) = 8$ and $(\omega_i, \omega_j) = -1$ for $i \neq j$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^+ &= \{\omega_i - \omega_j; 1 \leq i < j \leq 6\} \\ &\cup \{\omega_i + \omega_j + \omega_k; 1 \leq i < j < k \leq 6\} \cup \{\omega_1 + \cdots + \omega_6\}. \\ \alpha_i &= \omega_i - \omega_{i+1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq 5) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_6 = \omega_i + \omega_5 + \omega_6. \end{aligned}$$

E_7 : A basis $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_7$ with $(\omega_i, \omega_i) = 8$ and $(\omega_i, \omega_j) = -1$ for $i \neq j$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^+ &= \{\omega_i - \omega_j; 1 \leq i < j \leq 7\} \\ &\cup \{\omega_i + \omega_j + \omega_k; 1 \leq i < j < k \leq 7\} \\ &\cup \{\omega_1 + \dots + \omega_i + \dots + \omega_7; 1 \leq i \leq 7\} \\ \alpha_i &= \omega_i - \omega_{i+1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq 6) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_7 = \omega_5 + \omega_6 + \omega_7. \end{aligned}$$

E_8 : A basis $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_8$ with $(\omega_i, \omega_i) = 8$ and $(\omega_i, \omega_j) = -1$ for $i \neq j$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^+ &= \{\omega_i - \omega_j; 1 \leq i < j \leq 8\} \\ &\cup \{\omega_i + \omega_j + \omega_k; 1 \leq i < j < k \leq 8\} \\ &\cup \{\omega_1 + \dots + \omega_i + \dots + \omega_8; 1 \leq i < j \leq 8\} \\ &\cup \{\omega_1 + \dots + \omega_{i-1} + 2\omega_i + \omega_{i+1} + \dots + \omega_8; 1 \leq i \leq 8\} \\ \alpha_i &= \omega_i - \omega_{i+1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq 7) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_8 = \omega_6 + \omega_7 + \omega_8. \end{aligned}$$

F_4 : An orthonormal basis $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^+ &= \{\omega_i \pm \omega_j; 1 \leq i < j \leq 4\} \\ &\cup \{\omega_i; 1 \leq i \leq 4\} \\ &\cup \{\frac{1}{2}\omega_1 \pm \frac{1}{2}\omega_2 \pm \frac{1}{2}\omega_3 \pm \frac{1}{2}\omega_4; \text{independent signs}\} \\ \alpha_1 &= \omega_2 - \omega_3, \quad \alpha_2 = \omega_3 - \omega_4, \quad \alpha_3 = \omega_4 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_4 = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_1 - \omega_2 - \omega_3 - \omega_4). \end{aligned}$$

G_2 : A redundant basis $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ with $\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3 = 0$, $(\omega_i, \omega_i) = 2$ and $(\omega_i, \omega_j) = -1$ for $i \neq j$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^+ &= \{\omega_i; 1 \leq i \leq 3\} \cup \{\omega_i - \omega_j; 1 \leq i < j \leq 3\} \\ \alpha_1 &= \omega_1 - \omega_2, \quad \alpha_2 = -\omega_1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We use Proposition 3.4 and Lemma 3.5. First, we assert that $\mathcal{A}^+(\alpha_a)$ satisfies the condition of Lemma 3.5. In fact, when $\mathfrak{g} = E_\ell$ ($\ell = 6, 7, 8$), it is trivial since all roots have the same length. In other cases, let λ be the highest root in \mathcal{A} . Then $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}^+(\alpha_a)$. Furthermore, it can be easily checked that $p_\lambda = 2$ and $p_\varepsilon = 1$ for any $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}^+(\alpha_a) - \{\lambda\}$. Here, we write out all possible decompositions $\lambda = \alpha + \beta$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}^+(\alpha_a)$ of λ for $\mathfrak{g} = B_\ell, D_\ell, F_4$ and G_2 .

$$\begin{aligned} B_\ell \ (\ell \geq 3): \quad \lambda &= \omega_1 + \omega_2 = (\omega_1 \pm \omega_j) + (\omega_2 \mp \omega_j) \quad (3 \leq j \leq \ell). \\ D_\ell \ (\ell \geq 4): \quad \lambda &= \omega_1 + \omega_2 = (\omega_1 \pm \omega_j) + (\omega_2 \mp \omega_j) \quad (3 \leq j \leq \ell). \\ F_4: \quad \lambda &= \omega_1 + \omega_2 = (\omega_1 \pm \omega_j) + (\omega_2 \mp \omega_j) \quad (j = 3, 4) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\omega_1 + \omega_2 \pm \omega_3 \pm \omega_4) + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_1 + \omega_2 \mp \omega_3 \mp \omega_4). \\ G_2: \quad \lambda &= \omega_3 - \omega_2 = (\omega_3 - \omega_1) + (\omega_1 - \omega_2). \end{aligned}$$

As a result, we find $(\alpha, \alpha) = (\beta, \beta)$ in each case, which proves our assertion.

Next, we assert that $R(\alpha, \varepsilon, \beta; \gamma, \delta) = 0$ for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon \in \Delta^+(\alpha_a)$. To show this, put $\mu = \alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta - \varepsilon$. If $\mu \in \Delta$, then Lemma 2.3 proves our assertion. So, assume $\mu \in \Delta$. In view of the fact $p_\nu = 1$ or 2 for any ν , we have $\mu = \varepsilon = \lambda$ and $p_\alpha = p_\beta = p_\gamma = p_\delta = 1$. Then it can be easily seen that our five vectors $\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$ and δ satisfy the condition of Proposition 3.4. This, together with Lemma 3.5, completes the proof of the Theorem. q.e.d.

Along the same line, we can prove

THEOREM 4.2. *The degree of an irreducible C-space $M(A_i, \alpha_1, \alpha_i)$ ($\ell \geq 2$) is equal to 2.*

Proof. Since $\Delta^+(\Phi) = \{\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_i; 1 \leq i \leq \ell\} \cup \{\alpha_j + \cdots + \alpha_i; 1 \leq j \leq \ell\}$, the highest root $\lambda = \omega_1 - \omega_{i+1} = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_i$ is the only vector in $\Delta^+(\Phi)$ admitting a decomposition of the form $\lambda = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$ where $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \Delta^+(\Phi)$. Moreover, all elements of Δ have the same length. Thus λ satisfies the condition of Lemma 3.5.

In view of the fact that $p_i = (1, 1)$ and $p_\alpha = (1, 0)$ or $(0, 1)$ when $\alpha \in \Delta^+(\Phi) - \{\lambda\}$, we see that, if six vectors $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon, \mu \in \Delta^+(\Phi)$ satisfy $\varepsilon + \mu = \alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta$, then $\varepsilon = \mu = \lambda$ and the sum of two of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ must be equal to λ , and hence $\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$ and δ satisfy the condition of Proposition 3.4. Now, Proposition 3.4 and Lemma 3.4 imply $R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda}, \beta; \gamma, \delta) = 0$. q.e.d.

In the following, in order to prove that the C-spaces of eight types given in Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 exhaust all irreducible C-spaces with degree two, we shall give a sufficient condition for a C-space $M(\mathfrak{g}, \Phi)$ to satisfy ${}^+V^2R \neq 0$.

LEMMA 4.3. *Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Delta^+(\Phi)$ satisfy*

- (1) $\alpha + \beta \in \Delta$,
- (2) $\beta - \gamma \in \Delta^+$,
- (3) $\beta + \gamma \in \Delta$,
- (4) $\alpha + \beta - \gamma \in \Delta$,
- (5) $\alpha + \beta + \gamma \in \Delta$. *Then,*

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda}, \beta; \gamma, \alpha) &= -V_\gamma R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda}, V_\alpha \beta) - V_\alpha R(V_\gamma \alpha, \bar{\lambda}, \beta) \\ &\quad + R(V_{\gamma\alpha} \alpha, \bar{\lambda}, \beta) + R(V_\gamma \alpha, \bar{\lambda}, V_\alpha \beta), \end{aligned}$$

where we put $\lambda = \alpha + \beta$.

Proof. Put $\delta = \alpha$ in (3.1). Then, by (2.8), all terms except for four ones in (4.1) vanish since $R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda}, \beta) = 0$ by Lemma 3.2, $\nabla_a \alpha = \nabla_a \bar{\lambda} = 0$ by Lemma 3.1, $\nabla_{\gamma} \bar{\lambda} = 0$ by (2), $\nabla_{\gamma} \beta = 0$ by (3), $\nabla_{\gamma} \bar{\lambda} = 0$ by (4), and $\nabla_{\gamma} \alpha \beta = 0$ by (5). q.e.d.

LEMMA 4.4. *Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Delta^+(\Phi)$ satisfy (1) ~ (5) in Lemma 4.3 and in addition the following (6)', (7) and (8).*

$$(6)' \quad 2\alpha + \gamma \in \Delta \text{ or } \alpha + \gamma - \beta \in \Delta^+(\Phi),$$

$$(7) \quad 2\alpha + \beta \in \Delta,$$

$$(8) \quad 2\alpha + \beta + \gamma \in \Delta. \text{ Then,}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda}, \beta; \gamma, \alpha) &= b(b+1)[\alpha, [[\alpha, \gamma], \bar{\lambda}], \beta] \\ &\quad - b[[\nabla_{[\alpha, \gamma]} \alpha, \bar{\lambda}], \beta], \end{aligned}$$

where we put $\lambda = \alpha + \beta$ and $b = -c \cdot p_a / c \cdot p_{a+\gamma}$.

Proof. We shall deform the right hand side of (4.1) using (2.9) and Lemma 3.1;

$$\begin{aligned} R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda}) \nabla_a \beta &= -A([\alpha, \bar{\lambda}]) \nabla_a \beta && \text{(by (7))} \\ &= -[[\alpha, \bar{\lambda}], \nabla_a \beta] && \text{(by (2.7)) ,} \\ R(\nabla_a \alpha, \bar{\lambda}) \beta &= -A([\nabla_a \alpha, \bar{\lambda}]_m) \beta \\ &\quad - [[\nabla_a \alpha, \bar{\lambda}]_t, \beta] && \text{(by (2) and (8))} \\ &= -[[\nabla_a \alpha, \bar{\lambda}], \beta] && \text{(by (2.7)) ,} \\ R(\nabla_a \alpha, \bar{\lambda}) \nabla_a \beta &= -A([\nabla_a \alpha, \bar{\lambda}]) \nabla_a \beta \\ &\quad - [[\nabla_a \alpha, \bar{\lambda}]_t, \beta] && \text{(by (2) and (8))} \\ &= -[[\nabla_a \alpha, \bar{\lambda}], \nabla_a \beta] && \text{(by (2.7)) ,} \\ R(\nabla_{\gamma} \alpha, \bar{\lambda}) \beta &= -A([\nabla_{\gamma} \alpha, \bar{\lambda}]_m) \beta \\ &\quad - [[\nabla_{\gamma} \alpha, \bar{\lambda}]_t, \beta] && \text{(by (8))} \\ &= -[[\nabla_{\gamma} \alpha, \bar{\lambda}], \beta] && \text{(by (6) and (2.7)) .} \end{aligned}$$

Here, put $a = -c \cdot p_a / c \cdot p_{a+\beta}$. Then $\nabla_a \beta = a [\alpha, \beta]$ and $\nabla_{\beta} \alpha = (a+1)[\alpha, \beta]$ by (2.8). On the other hand, since $\nabla_{\gamma} \alpha = (b+1)[\alpha, \gamma]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(\alpha, \bar{\lambda}, \beta; \gamma, \alpha) &= a(b+1)[[[\alpha, \bar{\lambda}], [\alpha, \beta]], \gamma] \\ &\quad + b(b+1)[\alpha, [[[\alpha, \gamma], \bar{\lambda}], \beta]] \\ &\quad - a(b+1)[[[[\alpha, \gamma], \bar{\lambda}], [\alpha, \beta]]] \\ &\quad - [[\nabla_{\gamma} \alpha, \bar{\lambda}], \beta]. \end{aligned}$$

The sum of the first and third terms vanishes because of (4), (5) and Jacobi identity. q.e.d.

LEMMA 4.5. Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Delta^+(\Phi)$ satisfy (1) ~ (5) in Lemma 4.3 and (7), (8) in Lemma 4.4 and in addition the following (6), (9)

$$(6) \quad 2\alpha + \gamma \in \Delta,$$

$$(9) \quad \alpha + \gamma \in \Delta. \quad \text{Then,}$$

$$R(\alpha, \overline{\alpha + \beta}, \beta; \gamma, \alpha) = b(b + 1)[\alpha, [[[\alpha, \gamma], \overline{\alpha + \beta}], \beta]] \neq 0.$$

Proof. This is obtained from Lemma 4.4 and the fact that $\alpha + \gamma \in \Delta$, $\gamma - \beta \in \Delta$ and $b(b + 1) \neq 0$. q.e.d.

Remark 4.6. (1) If $\alpha + \beta$ is the highest root in Δ , then the conditions (5), (7) and (8) are necessarily satisfied. (2) Let Φ' be another subset of a fundamental root system $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\}$ of \mathfrak{g} , and R' denote the curvature tensor of any Kählerian metric of the form (1.9) on a C-space $M(\mathfrak{g}, \Phi')$. Assume that $\Phi \subset \Phi'$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Delta^+(\Phi)$ satisfy the condition of Lemma 4.5. Then Lemma 4.5 implies

$$R(\alpha, \overline{\alpha + \beta}, \beta; \gamma, \alpha) = R'(\alpha, \overline{\alpha + \beta}, \beta; \gamma, \alpha).$$

THEOREM 4.7. Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex simple Lie algebra and Φ be a non-empty subset of a fundamental root system of \mathfrak{g} . Assume that the C-space $M(\mathfrak{g}, \Phi)$ corresponding to the pair (\mathfrak{g}, Φ) is neither a Hermitian symmetric space nor any of the C-space of eight types given in Theorems 4.1 and 4.2. Then, the degree d of the C-space $M(\mathfrak{g}, \Phi)$ is not smaller than 3. If Φ consists of a single element α_a and the coefficient of α_a in the highest root in Δ is equal to 2, then $d = 3$.

Proof. Throughout the proof, let α_a stand for any of the simple roots designed by \circ in the diagrams of Theorem 4.1 (thus not a simple root designed by \odot or \otimes). We divide the proof into four parts;

(I) Case where $\Phi = \{\alpha_a\}$. We shall show $d = 3$. Let λ be the highest root in Δ . Then, since $p_\lambda = 2$, we have $d \leq 3$ by Corollary 3.4. Thus, by Corollary 4.5 it suffices to find three roots α, β and γ in $\Delta^+(\alpha_a)$ satisfying nine conditions (1) ~ (9) in Lemmas 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5. In the following we state examples of such roots α, β and γ .

(A) For $\mathfrak{g} = B_\ell$ ($\ell \geq 4$, $3 \leq a < \ell - 1$) or D_ℓ ($\ell \geq 5$, $3 \leq a \leq \ell - 1$), put $\alpha = \omega_1 + \omega_a$, $\beta = \omega_2 - \omega_\ell$ and $\gamma = \omega_a - \omega_\ell$.

(B) For $\mathfrak{g} = C_\ell$ ($\ell \geq 3$, $2 \leq a \leq \ell - 1$), put $\alpha = \omega_1 + \omega_a$, $\beta = \omega_1 - \omega_\ell$ and $\gamma = \omega_a - \omega_\ell$.

(C) For $\mathfrak{g} = E_6$, put

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ & & 1 & & \end{pmatrix} = \omega_2 + \omega_3 + \omega_5,$$

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ & & 1 & & \end{pmatrix} = \omega_1 + \omega_4 + \omega_6,$$

and

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ & & 0 & & \end{pmatrix} = \omega_1 - \omega_5,$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} n_1 & n_2 & n_3 & n_4 & n_5 \\ & & n_6 & & \end{pmatrix}$ means a root $n_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + n_6\alpha_6$. *Hereafter we use the similar notation.*

(D) For $\mathfrak{g} = E_7$, put

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ & & & 1 & & \end{pmatrix} = \omega_2 + \omega_4 + \omega_6,$$

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ & & & 1 & & \end{pmatrix} = \omega_1 + \omega_3 + \omega_5,$$

and

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ & & & 1 & & \end{pmatrix} = \omega_1 + \omega_3 + \omega_7.$$

(E) For $\mathfrak{g} = E_8$, put

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & 1 & & \end{pmatrix} = \omega_1 + \omega_6 + \omega_7,$$

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 3 & 1 \\ & & & & 2 & & \end{pmatrix} = \omega_1 + \cdots + \omega_5 + \omega_8,$$

and

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ & & & & 2 & & \end{pmatrix} = \omega_2 + \cdots + \omega_5 + \omega_7 + \omega_8.$$

(F) For $\mathfrak{g} = F_4$, put

$$\alpha = (1 \ 1 \Rightarrow 1 \ 1),$$

$$\beta = (1 \ 2 \Rightarrow 3 \ 1),$$

and

$$\gamma = (0 \ 1 \Rightarrow 2 \ 1).$$

(II) Case where $\alpha_a \in \Phi$. By Lemma 4.5 and Remark 4.6 (2) we have $R(\alpha, \overline{\alpha + \beta}, \beta; \gamma, \alpha) \neq 0$ for α, β and γ just given in the case (I).

(III) Case where Φ consists of only simple roots designed by \odot or \otimes , and where $\mathfrak{g} \neq B_2$. We shall describe all possible pairs (\mathfrak{g}, Φ) and examples of three roots α, β and γ in $\Delta^+(\Phi)$ satisfying nine conditions (1) \sim (9).

(A) For $(A_\ell, \alpha_r, \alpha_s)$ ($\ell \geq 3, 1 \leq r < s < \ell + 1$), put $\alpha = \omega_1 - \omega_{r+1}, \beta = \omega_{r+1} - \omega_{s+1}$ and $\gamma = \omega_{r+1} - \omega_{s+1}$.

(B) For $(B_\ell, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ ($\ell \geq 3$) or $(D_\ell, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ ($\ell \geq 4$), put $\alpha = \omega_2 - \omega_3, \beta = \omega_1 + \omega_3$ and $\gamma = \omega_1 - \omega_2$.

(C) For $(B_\ell, \alpha_1, \alpha_\ell)$ ($\ell \geq 3$) or $(B_\ell, \alpha_2, \alpha_\ell)$ ($\ell \geq 3$), put $\alpha = \omega_1 - \omega_\ell, \beta = \omega_2 + \omega_\ell$ and $\gamma = \omega_\ell$.

(D) For $(D_\ell, \alpha_1, \alpha_{\ell-1})$ ($\ell \geq 4$) or $(D_\ell, \alpha_2, \alpha_\ell)$ ($\ell \geq 4$), put $\alpha = \omega_1 + \omega_\ell, \beta = \omega_2 - \omega_\ell$ and $\gamma = \omega_1 - \omega_\ell$.

(E) For $(D_\ell, \alpha_{\ell-1}, \alpha_\ell)$ ($\ell \geq 4$), put $\alpha = \omega_{\ell-3} - \omega_\ell, \beta = \omega_{\ell-2} + \omega_\ell$ and $\gamma = \omega_{\ell-1} + \omega_\ell$.

(F) For $(C_\ell, \alpha_1, \alpha_\ell)$ ($\ell \geq 3$), put $\alpha = \omega_1 - \omega_\ell, \beta = \omega_1 + \omega_\ell$ and $\gamma = \omega_2 + \omega_\ell$.

(G) For $(E_6, \alpha_1, \alpha_5)$ or $(E_6, \alpha_1, \alpha_6)$, take the same α, β and γ as in the subcase (C) of the case (I). By the symmetry of the Dynkin diagram of E_6 , we can find similar three roots for $(E_6, \alpha_5, \alpha_6)$.

(H) For $(E_7, \alpha_1, \alpha_8)$, take the same α, β and γ as in the subcase (D) of the case (I).

(IV) Case where $\mathfrak{g} = B_2$ and $\Phi = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$. Put $\alpha = \omega_2, \beta = \omega_1, \gamma = \omega_1 - \omega_2$ and $\delta = \omega_1 + \omega_2$. Then we can take them in such a way that $[\alpha, \gamma] = \beta$ and $[\alpha, \beta] = \delta$, and so $[\bar{\alpha}, \beta] = -\gamma, [\bar{\delta}, \beta] = \bar{\alpha}$ and $[\bar{\alpha}, \delta] = \beta$ by (1.1) (cf. e.g. [4], p. 277). On the other hand, since three roots α, β and γ satisfy the condition of Lemma 4.4, we have

$$R(\alpha, \bar{\delta}, \beta; \gamma, \alpha) = b(b+1)[\alpha, [[[\alpha, \gamma], \bar{\delta}], \beta]] \\ - b[[\nabla_\beta \alpha, \bar{\delta}], \beta].$$

Here, from (2.7) we have $\nabla_\beta \alpha = a[\beta, \alpha] = a\delta$, where $a = -c \cdot p_\beta / c \cdot p_{\beta+\alpha}$. Hence,

$$R(\alpha, \bar{\delta}, \beta; \gamma, \alpha) = b(b+1)[\alpha, [[[\beta, \bar{\delta}], \beta]] - ab[[\delta, \delta], \beta] \\ = b(a+b+1)\beta.$$

But, in view of $p_\alpha = (0, 1), p_\beta = (1, 1), p_\gamma = (1, 0)$ and $c = (c_1, c_2)$, we have $a + b + 1 = -c_2^2 / (c_1 + c_2)(c_1 + 2c_2) \neq 0$, which completes the proof of Theorem 4.7. q.e.d.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Borel, Kählerian coset spaces of semi-simple Lie groups, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., **40** (1954), 1147–1151.
- [2] A. Borel and F. Hirzebruch, Characteristic classes and homogeneous spaces I, Amer. J. Math., **80** (1958), 458–538.
- [3] N. Bourbaki, Groups et algebres de Lie, IV, V et VI, Hermann, Paris, 1968.
- [4] E. Cartan, Les groupes reels simples, finis et continus, Œuvres Completes, Partie I, Volume 1 (1952), 399–491.
- [5] Hans Freudenthal and H. de Vries, Linear Lie Groups, Academic Press, 1969.
- [6] M. Itoh, On curvature properties of Kähler C -spaces, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **30** (1976), 39–71.
- [7] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, Foundations of Differential Geometry II, Interscience Publishers, 1969.
- [8] H. Nakagawa and R. Takagi, On locally symmetric Kaehler submanifolds in a complex projective space, J. Math. Japan, **28** (1976), 638–667.
- [9] K. Nomizu, Invariant affine connections on homogeneous spaces, Amer. J. Math., **76** (1954), 33–65.
- [10] R. Takagi, Kählerian submanifolds in a complex projective space with second fundamental form of polynomial type, to appear.
- [11] M. Takeuchi, Homogeneous Kähler submanifolds in complex projective spaces, Japan. J. Math., **4** (1978), 171–219.
- [12] H. C. Wang, Closed manifolds with homogeneous complex structures, Amer. J. Math., **76** (1954), 1–32.
- [13] J. A. Wolf, On the classification of hermitian symmetric spaces, J. Math. Mech., **13** (1964), 489–495.

*Department of Mathematics
University of Tsukuba
Sakura-mura, Niihari-gun,
Ibaraki, Japan*