

JULIA DIRECTIONS OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS OF SMOOTH GROWTH

H. YOSHIDA

§1. Introduction

Let $f(z)$ be entire i.e. analytic in the finite whole plane Z . The *order* of $f(z)$ is defined as

$$\rho = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+(\log^+ M(r, f))}{\log r}$$

where $M(r, f) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$. A ray $\chi(\theta) = \{z = r \cdot e^{i\theta} : 0 < r < +\infty\}$ is called a *Julia direction* of $f(z)$ if, in any open sector containing the ray, $f(z)$ takes all values of Z , with at most one finite exceptional value, infinitely often.

We can guess that the smoothness of growth of $M(r, f)$ causes simple boundary behaviors of $f(z)$. In this paper, we exemplify this fact, by picking up two kinds of smoothness conditions.

The following problem comes into question: Let $f(z)$ be an entire function of order less than $\frac{1}{2}$ and let $\chi(\theta)$ be any ray. Either is $\chi(\theta)$ a Julia direction of $f(z)$ or is $f(z)$ convergent to ∞ as $|z| \rightarrow +\infty$ on some sector containing $\chi(\theta)$? So, we shall prove in Theorem 2 that if we assume the smoothness of growth of $M(r, f)$: if there is a constant μ , $\mu < \frac{1}{2}$, such that

$$(A) \quad \frac{\log M(x_0 \cdot r, f)}{\log M(r, f)} \leq x_0^\mu \quad (r \geq r_0)$$

for some $x_0, x_0 > 1$, and r_0 , this fact is true. Theorem 1 is the preliminary result for this theorem.

Further, we shall show in Theorem 3 that, under the assumption of the stronger smoothness condition:

Received November 2, 1979.
Revised May 2, 1981.

$$(B) \quad \log M(2 \cdot r, f) \sim \log M(r, f), \quad (r \rightarrow \infty)$$

a Julia direction $\chi(\theta)$ of $f(z)$ is characterized as the ray $\chi(\theta)$ for which θ is a limit point of the set

$$Z(f) = \{\arg z_n : f(z_n) = 0\}.$$

Hence, according to Hayman [9, p. 143], it follows that all Julia directions of entire functions $f(z)$ satisfying the condition

$$\log M(r, f) = O(\log^2 r) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty)$$

are the directions corresponding to the limit points of the set $Z(f)$. Hayman [9, p. 130] remarked that any entire function satisfying (B) has order 0. An example will be given to show that any entire function of order 0 has not always this property.

By using this Theorem 3, we shall give an example of an entire function $f(z)$ for which any non-empty closed set is precisely the set of Julia directions of $f(z)$. This generalizes an example of Anderson and Clunie [2].

The author wishes to acknowledge with grateful thanks the help of Prof. W. K. Hayman.

§ 2. The boundary behaviour of entire functions

In the following, the spherical derivative of a meromorphic function $f(z)$ is defined by

$$\rho(f(z)) = \frac{|f'(z)|}{1 + |f(z)|^2}.$$

We denote the set $\{z : |z - z_0| < \varepsilon |z_0|\}$ by $D(z_0, \varepsilon)$ and the sector $\{z : |\arg z - \theta| < \varepsilon\}$ by $V(\theta, \varepsilon)$.

LEMMA 1 (Clunie and Hayman [4, p. 125]). *Let $f(z)$ be regular in $|z - z_0| \leq \delta$ and satisfy $|f(z)| \geq 1$ there. If $|f(z_1)| = 1$ for some z_1 with $|z_1 - z_0| = \delta$, then for some z on the segment joining z_0 to z_1 we have*

$$\rho(f(z)) \geq \frac{\log |f(z_0)|}{10 \cdot \delta \cdot \log 2}.$$

LEMMA 2. *Let $f(z)$ be an entire function and let δ be a constant, $0 < \delta < 1$. If $\{z_n\}$, $|z_n| \rightarrow \infty$, is a sequence such that*

$$|f(z_n)| \rightarrow \infty$$

and $f(z)$ does not converge to ∞ as $|z| \rightarrow +\infty$ on the set $\bigcup_n D(z_n, \delta)$, then there is a sequence $\{\xi_k\}$, $|\xi_k| \rightarrow \infty$, $\xi_k \in \bigcup_n D(z_n, \delta)$, satisfying

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\xi_k| \cdot \rho(f(\xi_k)) = +\infty.$$

Proof. By the assumption, we can find a subsequence $\{z_{n_k}\}$ of $\{z_n\}$ and a sequence $\{\zeta_k\}$, $|\zeta_k| \rightarrow \infty$, $\zeta_k \in D(z_{n_k}, \delta)$, for which

$$|f(\zeta_k)| \leq K$$

where K is a constant, $K \geq 1$. Put $\delta_k = \text{dis}(S, z_{n_k})$, where $S = \{z : |f(z)| \leq K\}$ and $\text{dis}(A, B)$ denotes the distance between A and B . Then, we have

$$(1) \quad \delta_k \leq |\zeta_k - z_{n_k}| \leq \delta |z_{n_k}| \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Now, consider the function

$$g(z) = \frac{f(z)}{K}.$$

From Lemma 1 applied to $g(z)$, we see that there is a sequence $\{\xi_k\}$, $|\xi_k - z_{n_k}| \leq \delta_k$, such that

$$(2) \quad \rho(g(\xi_k)) \geq \frac{\log |g(z_{n_k})|}{10 \cdot \delta_k \cdot \log 2} \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Since

$$\rho(f(z)) \geq \frac{1}{K} \cdot \rho(g(z))$$

and

$$|\xi_k| \geq (1 - \delta) \cdot |z_{n_k}| \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots),$$

from (1), we finally get from (1) and (2) that

$$|\xi_k| \cdot \rho(f(\xi_k)) \geq \frac{(1 - \delta) \cdot \{\log |f(z_{n_k})| - \log K\}}{10 \cdot \delta \cdot K \cdot \log 2} \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

which gives us the conclusion.

LEMMA 3. *Let θ , ρ_1 and ρ_2 be constants satisfying $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, $0 < \rho_1 < 1$, $0 < \rho_2 < 1$ and let z_1, z_2 be any numbers on $\chi(\theta)$. If the circles $D(z_1, \rho_1)$*

and $D(z_2, \rho_2)$ intersect, then the angle which is subtended at the origin by the chord connecting the points of intersection is dependent only on $t = z_2/z_1$, ρ_1 and ρ_2 .

Proof. We can see from easy calculation that $(Y/X)^2$ is the function dependent on t , ρ_1 and ρ_2 , where (X, Y) denotes the coordinate of the points of intersection of both circles.

LEMMA 4 (Lehto [11, Theorem 3]). Let $f(z)$ be meromorphic in $R < |z| < \infty$. If, for some sequence $\{\xi_k\}$, $|\xi_k| \rightarrow \infty$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\xi_k| \cdot \rho(f(\xi_k)) = +\infty,$$

then $f(z)$ assumes every value infinitely often with at most two exceptions of values in the extended plane on the set $\bigcup_k D(\xi_k, \varepsilon)$ for each fixed $\varepsilon > 0$.

We now state and prove

THEOREM 1. Let $f(z)$ be an entire function and $\chi(\theta)$ ($0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$) be a ray on which there exist a sequence $\{z_n\}$, $|z_n| < |z_{n+1}|$, $|z_n| \rightarrow \infty$, and a constant M , satisfying

$$\left| \frac{z_{n+1} - z_n}{z_n} \right| < M$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(z_n)| = +\infty.$$

Then, $\chi(\theta)$ is a Julia direction of $f(z)$ or $f(z)$ is convergent to ∞ as $|z| \rightarrow +\infty$ on some sector containing $\chi(\theta)$.

Proof. First of all, suppose that $f(z)$ does not converge to ∞ as $|z| \rightarrow +\infty$ in the set $\bigcup_n D(z_n, \varepsilon)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, by Lemma 2, for any ε , $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, we can find a sequence $\{\zeta_k\}$, $\zeta_k \in D(z_{n_k}, \varepsilon)$, such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\zeta_k| \cdot \rho(f(\zeta_k)) = +\infty.$$

Lemma 4 shows that $f(z)$ assumes every value of Z infinitely often with at most one exception in the set $V(\theta, \pi\varepsilon)$ and hence $\chi(\theta)$ is a Julia direction of $f(z)$.

So, suppose that $f(z)$ converges to ∞ as $|z| \rightarrow +\infty$ in the set $\bigcup_n D(z_n, \varepsilon)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, and denote by E_ε , the set of these ε 's. We put

$$\rho_1 = \sup_{\varepsilon \in E_1} \varepsilon .$$

If $\rho_1 > 1$, we have $\bigcup_n D(z_n, \varepsilon) = Z$ for some $\varepsilon \in E_1$, $\varepsilon > 1$, and hence we get evidently the conclusion. So, we suppose that $0 < \rho_1 \leq 1$. Take the sequence $\{z_n^{(2)}\}$, $z_n^{(2)} \in \chi(\theta)$, satisfying

$$|z_n^{(2)}| = |z_n| \cdot (1 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \rho_1) \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots) .$$

By using the fact that

$$|f(z_n^{(2)})| \rightarrow \infty \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) ,$$

we repeat the same argument. If $f(z)$ does not converge to ∞ as $|z| \rightarrow +\infty$ in the set $\bigcup_n D(z_n^{(2)}, \varepsilon)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can also conclude that $\chi(\theta)$ is a Julia direction of $f(z)$. In the case that $f(z)$ converges to ∞ as $|z| \rightarrow +\infty$ in the set $\bigcup_n D(z_n^{(2)}, \varepsilon)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, denote by E_2 the set of these ε 's and put

$$\rho_2 = \sup_{\varepsilon \in E_2} \varepsilon .$$

Then we can suppose that $0 < \rho_2 \leq 1$. Again, take the sequence $\{z_n^{(3)}\}$, $z_n^{(3)} \in \chi(\theta)$, satisfying

$$|z_n^{(3)}| = |z_n^{(2)}| \cdot (1 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \rho_2) = |z_n| \cdot (1 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \rho_1) \cdot (1 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \rho_2) \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots) .$$

Repeat this process over and over until we get either the conclusion that $\chi(\theta)$ is a Julia direction of $f(z)$ or the conclusion

$$(3) \quad \prod_{i=1}^N (1 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \rho_i) > M + 1$$

at some step N . In the case that (3) happens, we can easily show from Lemma 3 that $f(z)$ converges to ∞ as $|z| \rightarrow +\infty$ on the set $V(\theta, \alpha)$ for some $\alpha > 0$.

Now, suppose that these processes are continued infinitely. Then, we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \rho_i) \leq M + 1 .$$

Since $f(z)$ does not converge to ∞ as $|z| \rightarrow +\infty$ on the set $\bigcup_n D(z_n^{(i)}, 2 \cdot \rho_i)$ for each i satisfying $\rho_i < \frac{1}{2}$, Lemma 2 gives a sequence $\{\xi_k^{(i)}\}$, $|\xi_k^{(i)}| \rightarrow \infty$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$), $\xi_k^{(i)} \in \bigcup_n D(z_n^{(i)}, 2 \cdot \rho_i)$, such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\xi_k^{(i)}| \cdot \rho(f(\xi_k^{(i)})) = +\infty .$$

From the fact $\rho_i \rightarrow 0$ and Lemma 4, we can conclude that $\chi(\theta)$ is a Julia direction of $f(z)$. Thus, we complete the proof.

To prove Theorem 2, we need the following property (Lemma 7) of entire functions $f(z)$ for which $\log M(r, f)$ satisfies the smoothness condition (A).

LEMMA 5. Let $x_0, x_0 > 1, \mu, \mu \geq 0, r_0$ and $R, r_0 > R$, be constants. If $h(r)$ is a positive, non-decreasing function defined on the interval $R < r < +\infty$ and satisfies the condition:

$$\frac{h(x_0 \cdot r)}{h(r)} \leq x_0^\mu \quad (r \geq r_0),$$

then

$$(i) \quad \frac{h(x \cdot r)}{h(r)} \leq x_0^\mu \cdot x^\mu \quad (r \geq r_0)$$

for any $x, x \geq x_0$, and

$$(ii) \quad \text{for any } \alpha, \alpha > \mu,$$

$$\int_r^\infty \frac{h(t)}{t^{1+\alpha}} dt \leq S(x_0; \alpha, \mu) \cdot \frac{h(r)}{r^\alpha} \quad (r \geq r_0),$$

where

$$S(x_0; \alpha, \mu) = \frac{x_0^\alpha - 1}{\alpha(x_0^\alpha - x_0^\mu)} \cdot x_0^\mu.$$

Proof. Take any $x \geq x_0$ and choose an integer p such that $x_0^p \leq x < x_0^{p+1}$. Then,

$$h(x \cdot r) \leq h(x_0^{p+1} \cdot r) \leq (x_0^{p+1})^\mu \cdot h(r) \leq x_0^\mu \cdot x^\mu \cdot h(r) \quad (r \geq r_0).$$

This gives (i).

Since

$$h(x_0^{i+1} \cdot r) \leq (x_0^i)^{\mu+1} \cdot h(r), \quad (r \geq r_0) \quad (i = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_r^\infty \frac{h(t)}{t^{1+\alpha}} dt &\leq \sum_{i=0}^\infty h(x_0^{i+1} \cdot r) \cdot \int_{x_0^i \cdot r}^{x_0^{i+1} \cdot r} \frac{1}{t^{1+\alpha}} dt \\ &\leq \frac{x_0^\mu}{\alpha} \cdot \left[1 - \frac{1}{x_0^\alpha} \right] \cdot \frac{h(r)}{r^\alpha} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^\infty (x_0^{\mu-\alpha})^i = S(x_0; \alpha, \mu) \cdot \frac{h(r)}{r^\alpha} \quad (r \geq r_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (ii) is obtained.

LEMMA 6. (Denjoy [5] and Kjellberg [10, p. 17–18].) *Let $f(z)$ be an entire function of order μ , $0 \leq \mu < \frac{1}{2}$, and $f(0) = 1$. Then, for any α , $\mu < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$,*

$$r^\alpha \cdot \int_r^\infty [\log m(t, f) - (\cos \pi\alpha) \cdot \log M(t, f)] \cdot \frac{dt}{t^{1+\alpha}} > \frac{1 - \cos \pi\alpha}{\alpha} \cdot \log M(r, f) \quad (0 < r < +\infty),$$

where $m(t, f) = \min_{|z|=t} |f(z)|$.

LEMMA 7. *Let $f(z)$ be an entire function for which $f(0) = 1$ and $\log M(r, f)$ satisfies the condition (A): there is constant μ , $\mu < \frac{1}{2}$, such that*

$$\frac{\log M(x_0 \cdot r, f)}{\log M(r, f)} \leq x_0^\mu \quad (r \geq r_0)$$

for some x_0 , $x_0 > 1$, and r_0 . Then, for any α , $\mu < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, there exists a constant k such that for some t in any interval $(r, k \cdot r)$ ($r \geq r_0$)

$$\log m(t, f) > \cos \pi\alpha \cdot \log M(t, f).$$

Proof. First of all, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r^\alpha \cdot \int_{x \cdot r}^\infty [\log m(t, f) - (\cos \pi\alpha) \cdot \log M(t, f)] \cdot \frac{dt}{t^{1+\alpha}} \\ \leq r^\alpha (1 - \cos \pi\alpha) \cdot \int_{x \cdot r}^\infty \frac{\log M(t, f)}{t^{1+\alpha}} dt \\ \leq r^\alpha \cdot (1 - \cos \pi\alpha) \cdot S(x_0; \alpha, \mu) \cdot x_0^\mu \cdot x^{\mu-\alpha} \cdot \log M(r, f) \end{aligned} \quad (x \geq x_0, r \geq r_0)$$

from Lemma 5 in which $h(r) = \log M(r, f)$. Thus, since we see from (i) of Lemma 5 that $f(z)$ has at most order μ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} r^\alpha \cdot \int_r^{x \cdot r} [\log m(t, f) - (\cos \pi\alpha) \cdot \log M(t, f)] \cdot \frac{dt}{t^{1+\alpha}} \\ > (1 - \cos \pi\alpha) \cdot \left[\frac{1}{\alpha} - S(x_0; \alpha, \mu) \cdot x_0^\mu \cdot x^{\mu-\alpha} \right] \cdot \log M(r, f) \end{aligned} \quad (x \geq x_0, r \geq r_0)$$

from Lemma 6. Here, if we take a k , $k \geq x_0$, such that

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} - S(x_0; \alpha, \mu) \cdot x_0^\mu \cdot k^{\mu-\alpha} > 0,$$

the right-hand side of the inequality in which x is replaced with k is always positive for all $r \geq r_0$ and hence the left-hand side is positive. Thus, we obtain the conclusion.

Now, we have

THEOREM 2. *Let $f(z)$ be an entire function for which $\log M(r, f)$ satisfies the smoothness condition (A) for some μ, x_0 and r_0 , where $\mu < \frac{1}{2}$ and $x_0 > 1$. Then, for any ray $\chi(\theta)$ ($0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$), $\chi(\theta)$ is a Julia direction of $f(z)$ or $f(z)$ is convergent to ∞ as $|z| \rightarrow +\infty$ on some open sector containing $\chi(\theta)$.*

Proof. It is evident that we can confine ourselves to the case $f(0) = 1$. If we denote by t_n such a t of the interval $(k^n \cdot r_0, k^{n+1} \cdot r_0)$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) in Lemma 7, we have

$$\left| \frac{t_{n+1} - t_n}{t_n} \right| \leq \frac{k^{n+2} \cdot r_0 - k^n \cdot r_0}{k^n \cdot r_0} = k^2 - 1.$$

Thus, we see that the sequence $\{t_n \cdot e^{i\theta}\}$ for any fixed θ ($0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$) is a sequence satisfying the condition of Theorem 1. Theorem 1 gives the conclusion of Theorem 2.

QUESTION 1. Is Theorem 2 true for every entire function of order less than $\frac{1}{2}$ without any kind of smoothness condition?

Remark 1. We note that (A) is implied by the following smooth condition: there exist a proximate order $\rho(r)$, $\rho(r) \rightarrow \rho$ ($r \rightarrow \infty$) for some ρ , $0 \leq \rho < \frac{1}{2}$, and two constants a, b such that

$$0 < a \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r, f)}{r^{\rho(r)}} \leq \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r, f)}{r^{\rho(r)}} \leq b < +\infty$$

(see Cartwright [3] for the definition and the properties of proximate order). Hence, for example, Theorem 2 is true for entire functions $f(z)$ which satisfy the condition

$$\log M(r, f) \sim r^\rho \cdot \log^{\rho_1} r \cdot \log^{\rho_2} r \cdots \log^{\rho_p} r \quad (r \rightarrow \infty)$$

where $\log_j r = \log(\log_{j-1} r)$ and ρ ($0 \leq \rho < \frac{1}{2}$), $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_p$ are real numbers.

Next, we shall consider Julia directions of entire functions satisfying the smoothness condition (B).

A countable set of circles C , in Z is said to form a *slim set* S , $S = \bigcup_\nu C_\nu$, if the sum $\sum_\nu r_{\nu, k}$ of the radii $r_{\nu, k}$ of those circles $C_{\nu, k}$ intersecting the annulus $\{z: 2^k \leq |z| < 2^{k+1}\}$ is $o(2^k)$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$) i.e.,

$$\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0 \quad (k \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{for} \quad \sum_{\nu} r_{\nu,k} = \varepsilon_k \cdot 2^k$$

(see Anderson [1]).

LEMMA 8. *A slim set S has the following properties:*

(i) *Each component of S that intersect the set $\{z: |z| > N\}$ for a sufficiently large number N is contained in some annulus $R_k = \{z: 2^{k-1} < |z| < 2^{k+1}\}$,*

(ii) *Let G_k be a component of S contained in R_k . If we denote by θ_k the angle which G_k subtends at the origin, then*

$$\theta_k \rightarrow 0 \quad (k \rightarrow \infty).$$

Proof. Evidently, (i) is true. If we denote $\theta_{\nu,k}$ the angle subtended at the origin by the circle $C_{\nu,k}$, we have

$$\theta_k \leq \sum_{\nu} \theta_{\nu,k-1} + \sum_{\nu} \theta_{\nu,k} \leq \pi(\varepsilon_{k-1} + 2 \cdot \varepsilon_k).$$

Since $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$), (ii) follows.

LEMMA 9 (Anderson [1, Theorem 2]). *Let $f(z)$ be an entire function for which $\log M(r, f)$ satisfies the condition (B). Then,*

$$\log |f(z)| \sim \log M(r, f) \quad (|z| = r \rightarrow \infty)$$

outside a slim set S_f .

We deduce

THEOREM 3. *Let $f(z)$ be an entire function for which $\log M(r, f)$ satisfies the condition (B). Then, the set of ray $\chi(\theta)$ for which θ is a limit point of the set*

$$E(f) = \{\arg z_n : f(z_n) = 0\}$$

is precisely the set of Julia directions of $f(z)$. In fact, if $\theta \in E(f)$, $f(z)$ assumes every value without exception infinitely often in any sector containing $\chi(\theta)$. Otherwise $f(z)$ converges to ∞ as $|z| \rightarrow +\infty$ in some such sector and so assumes no value more than a finite number of times in this sector.

Proof. It is evident from Lemma 9 that $f(z)$ converges to ∞ as $|z| \rightarrow +\infty$ in the sector which intersects a finite number of components of the slim set S_f .

Now, suppose that any sector containing $\chi(\theta)$ intersects an infinite

number of components of S_j . Then, Lemma 8 shows that such sector contains an infinite number of components of S_j completely. Here, we can easily see from Lemma 9 that for any fixed $M > 0$, any component contained inside R_k , where k is sufficiently large, contains at least one component of F_M^c , where F_M^c denotes the complement of the set $\{z: |f(z)| \geq M\}$. Thus, since such sector contains an infinite number of components of F_M^c , Rouché's theorem gives us the conclusion of Theorem 3.

QUESTION 2. A function satisfying (B) has order 0 (see Hayman [9, p. 130.]). As a natural generalization, we can consider the class of entire functions of order ρ , $0 \leq \rho < \frac{1}{2}$, satisfying the condition:

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(x \cdot r, f)}{x^\rho \cdot \log M(r, f)} \leq 1$$

for any x , $1 < x$.

Is the analogie of Theorem 3 true for this wider class, or for the still more general class satisfying the condition (A)?

The following example shows that Theorem 3 depends on the smoothness of growth of $M(r, f)$.

EXAMPLE. Let ρ be any positive number. Take two sequences $\{a_n\}$, $\{b_n\}$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) defined by

$$a_n = c^{c^n}$$

where $c = [1 + 1/\rho] + 1$, $[x]$ is the integral part of x , and

$$\log^{1+\rho} b_n = a_n.$$

We define the entire function $f(z)$ by

$$(4) \quad f(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{b_k}\right)^{a_k}.$$

This $f(z)$ has the following properties:

(a) Any $\chi(\theta)$, $|\theta| \leq \pi/2$, is a Julia direction of $f(z)$, in spite of the fact that only $\theta = 0$ is the limit point of the set $\{\arg z_n : f(z_n) = 0\}$;

(b) $\log M(r, f) = O(\log^{2+\rho} r)$.

First of all, we shall show that

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} & f(z) \text{ converges to } 0 \text{ as } |z| \rightarrow +\infty \text{ on the set} \\ & \bigcup_n \{z: |z - b_n| < c_1 \cdot b_n\} \text{ for any fixed } c_1, 0 < c_1 < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Decompose the product (4) into four subproducts $I_i(z)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$):

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(z) &= \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{z}{b_k} \right)^{a_k}, & I_2(z) &= \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{b_k}{z} - 1 \right)^{a_k}, \\ I_3(z) &= \left(1 - \frac{z}{b_n} \right)^{a_n}, & I_4(z) &= \prod_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{b_k} \right)^{a_k}. \end{aligned}$$

We have to determine an upper bound of $I_i(z)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) for any z , $|z - b_n| < c_1 \cdot b_n$. First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1(z)| &\leq \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} [(1 + c_1)b_n]^{a_k} = (1 + c_1)^{o(1) \cdot a_n} b_n^{(1+o(1)) \cdot a_n - 1} \\ &= (1 + c_1)^{o(1) \cdot a_n} (b_n^{a_n - 1/a_n})^{(1+o(1)) \cdot a_n} = (1 + o(1))^{a_n} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned}$$

because of the fact

$$(6) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k = o(1) \cdot a_n \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

and, since $c > 1 + 1/\rho$, we deduce

$$b_n^{a_n - 1/a_n} \rightarrow 1 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2(z)| &\leq \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\left| \frac{b_k}{z} \right| + 1 \right)^{a_k} \leq \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{2 - c_1}{1 - c_1} \right)^{a_k} = \left(\frac{2 - c_1}{1 - c_1} \right)^{o(1) \cdot a_n} \\ &= (1 + o(1))^{a_n}, \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\left| \frac{b_k}{z} \right| \leq \frac{b^k}{(1 - c_1)b_n} < \frac{1}{1 - c_1} \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n - 1).$$

For $I_4(z)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_4(z)| &\leq \prod_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{(1 + c_1)b_n}{b_k} \right)^{a_k} \leq \exp \left[(1 + c_1)b_n \cdot \prod_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{b_k} \right] \\ &= 1 + o(1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned}$$

by using the inequality

$$1 + x < e^x \quad (x > 0)$$

and

$$(7) \quad b_n \cdot \prod_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{b_k} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Thus, we get

$$|f(z)| \leq [1 + o(1)] \cdot [(1 + o(1)) \cdot c_1]^{a_n} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

which shows (5).

Next, we shall show that

- (8) $f(z)$ converges to ∞ as $|z| \rightarrow +\infty$ on the sequence of circles $\{z: |z - b_n| = c_2 \cdot b_n\}$ for any fixed $c_2, c_2 > 1$.

Decompose the product (4) into three subproducts $J_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$):

$$J_1(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{z}{b_k}\right)^{a_k}, \quad J_2(z) = \left(1 - \frac{z}{b_n}\right)^{a_n}, \quad J_3(z) = \prod_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{b_k}\right)^{a_k}.$$

First of all, we have

$$|J_1(z)| \geq \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\left|\frac{z}{b_k}\right| - 1\right)^{a_k} \geq 1$$

since

$$\frac{|z|}{b_k} \geq (c_2 - 1) \cdot \frac{b_n}{b_k} \geq 2 \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n-1)$$

for sufficiently large n . Secondly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log |J_3(z)| &\geq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \cdot \log \left(1 - \frac{(1 + c_2)b_n}{b_k}\right) \\ &\geq -2 \cdot \log 2 \cdot (1 + c_2) \cdot b_n \cdot \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{b_k} = o(1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned}$$

by using the inequality

$$\log(1 - x) \geq -2 \cdot (\log 2) \cdot x \quad (0 \leq x \leq 1/2)$$

and (7). Thus, we get

$$|f(z)| \geq (1 - o(1)) \cdot c_2^{a_n}, \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

which shows (8).

Now, we can prove (a). Let θ be any fixed number satisfying $|\theta| < \pi/2$ and denote by $\{z_n\}$ the point, other than the origin, where the ray $\chi(\theta)$ meets the circle $\{z: |z - b_n| = b_n\}$. Consider the sequence of functions

$$f_n(z) = f(|z_n| \cdot z + z_n)$$

and suppose that $\{f_n(z)\}$ is normal at $z = 0$. Then, there is a $\delta, \delta > 0$,

such that $f(z)$ converges uniformly to some function $g(z)$ on the sequence of discs $D(z_n, \delta)$. If we take a c_1 in (5) and a c_2 in (8) such that

$$1 > c_1 > 1 - 2\delta \cdot \cos \theta, \quad 1 < c_2 < 1 + 2\delta \cdot \cos \theta,$$

then (5) and (8) show that $g(z) \equiv 0$ and $g(z) \equiv \infty$, respectively, which is a contradiction. Hence, we see that $\{f_n(z)\}$ is not normal at $z = 0$. Now, Ostrowski [13, Satz 1 and p. 234] gives that $\chi(\theta)$, $|\theta| < \pi/2$, is Julia direction of $f(z)$. It is easy to see that $(\pm\pi/2)$ is also a Julia direction of $f(z)$.

Next, we shall prove (b). For any r , $r \geq b_1$, take an n such that $b_n \leq r < b_{n+1}$. Then, for the number $n(r, 1/f)$ of zeros of $f(z)$ inside the circle $\{z: |z| \leq r\}$, we have

$$n\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k + a_n = (1 + o(1)) \cdot a_n \leq (1 + o(1)) \cdot \log^{1+\rho} r,$$

from (6). Thus,

$$r \cdot \int_r^\infty \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t^2} dt \leq (1 + o(1)) \cdot (\log^{1+\rho} r). \quad (r \rightarrow \infty)$$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned} \log M(r, f) &= \log f(-r) = \int_0^\infty \log\left(1 + \frac{r}{t}\right) dn\left(t, \frac{1}{f}\right) \\ &= r \cdot \int_0^\infty \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t(t+r)} dt \leq \int_0^r \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t} dt + r \int_r^\infty \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t^2} dt \\ &= O(\log^{2+\rho} r). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2. The property (5) shows that Lemma 9 holds only for the functions having some smoothness of growth of $M(r, f)$. From this fact, we can see that this example also satisfies

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r, f)}{\log^2 r} = +\infty$$

by the fact of Hayman [9, p. 143].

§3. The set of Julia direction and growth of $M(r, f)$

It is easily observed that the set of Julia directions of a transcendental entire function is a non-empty closed set. Polya [14] showed that for any given non-empty closed set E , there exists an entire function $f(z)$ of order ∞ having just E as the set of Julia directions of $f(z)$. Anderson and

Clunie [2, Theorem 1] also gave this sort of an example in the case $\rho = 0$. Drasin and Weitsman [6, Theorem 1 and p. 209–210] constructed an example in the case $0 < \rho \leq 1/2$. But their construction depends on a general theorem of Levin [12, p. 95 and Chapter 2] and hence the condition $\rho > 0$ is essential to show that a direction is a Julia direction.

The example in the following Theorem 4 generalizes the example of Anderson and Clunie [2] in the sense not only that it has order $\rho = 0$ but also that it has an arbitrarily given growth subject to (B).

LEMMA 10 (Valiron [15, p. 130], Edrei and Fuchs [7, Theorem 1]). *Let $\lambda(r)$ be a function*

$$\lambda(r) = \text{constant} + \int_{r_0}^r \frac{\psi(t)}{t} dt, \quad (r \geq r_0 > 0)$$

where $\psi(t)$ is a non-negative, non-decreasing and unbounded function.

Assume further that

$$(9) \quad \lambda(r) \leq r^K$$

for some K and all sufficiently large r .

Then, there exists an entire function $g(z)$ such that

$$\log M(r, g) \sim \lambda(r) \sim N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty)$$

where

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) = \int_0^r \frac{n(t, 1/g) - n(0, 1/g)}{t} dt + n\left(0, \frac{1}{g}\right) \cdot \log r.$$

LEMMA 11 (Hayman [9, Theorem 6]). *Let $f(z)$ be an entire function. Then, $f(z)$ satisfies*

$$(10) \quad T(r, f) \sim T(2r, f) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty)$$

if and only if $f(z)$ has genus zero and further

$$n\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) = o\left(N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)\right) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty),$$

where $T(r, f)$ denotes the characteristic function of $f(z)$.

Remark 3. That (B) is equivalent to (10) is easily seen from the inequality

$$T(r, f) \leq \log^+ M(r, f) \leq \frac{R+r}{R-r} T(r, f) \quad (0 \leq r < R)$$

(see [8, p. 18]).

THEOREM 4. *Let E be any non-empty closed set on $[0, 2\pi)$ and let $\Lambda(r)$ be a function given by*

$$\Lambda(r) = \text{constant} + \int_{r_0}^r \frac{\psi(t)}{t} dt \quad (r \geq r_0 > 0)$$

where $\psi(t)$ is a non-negative, non-decreasing and unbounded function.

Further, in the case

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Lambda(r)}{\log^2 r} = +\infty,$$

we assume that

$$(11) \quad \Lambda(2r) \sim \Lambda(r) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty).$$

Then, there exists an entire function $f(z)$ such that

$$\log M(r, f) \sim \Lambda(r) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty)$$

and E is precisely the set of Julia directions of $f(z)$.

Proof. First of all we remark by an argument of Hayman [9, p. 130] that (9) is satisfied for any positive K if (11) holds.

Now, as in Edrei and Fuchs [7] we construct the function

$$g(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{z}{t_j} \right)^{q_j} \right\}$$

such that

$$(12) \quad \log M(r, g) \sim \Lambda(r) \sim N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty)$$

where $\{t_j\}$ and $\{q_j\}$ are the sequences chosen in [5, p. 388]. We take a countable dense subset $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \dots\}$ of E and put

$$z_{j,k} = t_j e^{i\theta_k} \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, q_j; j = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

We define the required function $f(z)$ by

$$f(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{q_j} \left(1 - \frac{z}{z_{j,k}} \right).$$

First, in the case

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda(r)}{\log^2 r} = +\infty,$$

we have from (11) and (12) that

$$\log M(2r, g) \sim \log M(r, g) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty).$$

Hence, by Lemma 11 and Remark 3,

$$n\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) = o\left(N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)\right) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty)$$

and $g(z)$ has genus zero. Again from Lemma 11, Remark 3, (12) and the fact of Hayman [9, p. 133],

$$(13) \quad \log M(2r, f) \sim \log M(r, f) \sim N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) = N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \sim \lambda(r) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty).$$

Thus, this $f(z)$ satisfies

$$\log M(r, f) \sim \lambda(r) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty).$$

In the case

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda(r)}{\log^2 r} < +\infty,$$

from (12) and Hayman [9, p. 143],

$$n\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) = o\left(N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)\right) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty)$$

and hence

$$n\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) = o\left(N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)\right) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty).$$

Thus by the same argument, this $f(z)$ satisfies

$$(14) \quad \log M(2r, f) \sim \log M(r, f) \sim \lambda(r) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty).$$

Now, it is easily observed from (13) and (14) and Theorem 3 that E is precisely the set of Julia directions of $f(z)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. M. Anderson, Asymptotic values of meromorphic functions of smooth growth, *Glasgow Math. J.*, **20** (1979), 155–162.
- [2] J. M. Anderson and J. Clunie, Entire functions of finite order and lines of Julia, *Math. Z.*, **112** (1969), 59–73.
- [3] M. L. Cartwright, *Integral functions*, Cambridge, 1956.
- [4] J. Clunie and W. K. Hayman, The spherical derivative of integral and meromorphic functions, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **40** (1966), 117–148.
- [5] A. Denjoy, Sur un théorème de Wiman, *C. R. Acad. Sci.*, **193** (1931), 828–830.
- [6] D. Drasin and A. Weitsman, On the Julia directions and Borel directions of entire functions, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **32** (1976), 199–212.
- [7] A. Edrei and W. H. J. Fuchs, Entire and meromorphic functions with asymptotically prescribed characteristic, *Canad. J. Math.*, **17** (1965), 383–395.
- [8] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic functions*, Oxford, 1964.
- [9] ———, On Iversen's theorem for meromorphic functions with few poles, *Acta Math.*, **141** (1978), 115–145.
- [10] B. Kjellberg, *On certain integral and harmonic functions*, Uppsala, 1948 (Dissertation).
- [11] O. Lehto, The spherical derivative of a meromorphic function in the neighborhood of an isolated essential singularity, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **33** (1959), 196–205.
- [12] B. Levin, Distribution of the zeros of entire functions, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.*, **5** (1964).
- [13] A. Ostrowski, Über folgen analytischer Funktionen und einige Verschärfungen des Picardschen Satzes, *Math. Z.*, **24** (1926), 215–258.
- [14] G. Polya, Untersuchungen über Lücken und Singularitäten von Potenzreihen, *Math. Z.*, **29** (1929), 549–640.
- [15] G. Valiron, Sur les fonctions entières d'ordre fini et d'ordre nul, et en particulier les fonctions à correspondance régulière, *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse*, **5** (1913), 117–208.

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Chiba University
Yayoi-cho, Chiba 260 Japan

