

ON THE DISTRIBUTION (MOD 1) OF POLYNOMIALS OF A PRIME VARIABLE

MING-CHIT LIU AND KAI-MAN TSANG

§1. Introduction

Throughout, ε is any small positive number, θ any real number, n, n_j, k, N some positive integers and p, p_j any primes. By $\|\theta\|$ we mean the distance from θ to the nearest integer. Write $C(\varepsilon), C(\varepsilon, k)$ for positive constants which may depend on the quantities indicated inside the parentheses.

Dirichlet's theorem says that for any θ, N there exists n such that

$$(1.1) \quad n \leq N \quad \text{and} \quad \|\theta n\| < N^{-1}.$$

Furthermore, as a direct consequence of (1.1), there are infinitely many n such that

$$(1.2) \quad \|\theta n\| < n^{-1}.$$

Improving an estimate of Vinogradov [12], Heilbronn [6] extended (1.1) by showing that for any θ, ε, N there are n and $C(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$(1.3) \quad n \leq N \quad \text{and} \quad \|\theta n^2\| < C(\varepsilon)N^{-1/2+\varepsilon}.$$

Later, Davenport [3] extended (1.3) by proving that if g is a polynomial of degree $k \geq 2$ with real coefficients and without constant term then for any ε, N there are n and $C(\varepsilon, k)$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad n \leq N \quad \text{and} \quad \|g(n)\| < C(\varepsilon, k)N^{-1/(2k-1)+\varepsilon}.$$

The results of Heilbronn [6] and Davenport [3] sparked off a series of investigations (see [9]). In particular, recently Schmidt has made remarkable progress in [9, 10]. However all these developments concerning (1.1) have no parallel results for prime. This can be seen from the following example. Let q be any positive integer having at least two

distinct prime factors and $\{\alpha_j\}_1^\infty$ a sequence of irrationals which converges to the rational a/q with $(a, q) = 1$. Obviously

$$(1.5) \quad \|p^k a/q\| \geq 1/q$$

for any prime p . Suppose that when θ is irrational, (1.4) has a parallel result for prime, i.e. for any α_j, ε, N there are p and $C(\varepsilon, k)$ such that

$$(1.6) \quad p \leq N \quad \text{and} \quad \|\alpha_j p^k\| < C(\varepsilon, k) N^{-\delta+\varepsilon},$$

where $\varepsilon < \delta$ and δ depends on k only. Now if $N^{\delta-\varepsilon} > q(C(\varepsilon, k) + 1)$ and α_j satisfies $|\alpha_j - a/q| < N^{-k-\delta}$, then by (1.6),

$$\|p^k a/q\| \leq \|\alpha_j p^k\| + p^k \|\alpha_j - a/q\| < N^{-\delta+\varepsilon}(C(\varepsilon, k) + 1) < 1/q.$$

This contradicts (1.5).

On the contrary, concerning (1.2) there is indeed a parallel result for prime. It was mentioned in [5] that by a result of Vinogradov [14, Chapter 9] for any ε and irrational α , there are infinitely many primes p such that $\|\alpha p\| < p^{-1/5+\varepsilon}$. Recently this inequality was improved by Vaughan [11] to $\|\alpha p\| < p^{-1/4}(\log p)^8$. The object of our present paper is to extend Dirichlet's theorem (1.2) to polynomials of a prime variable as that (1.3) and (1.4) extend Dirichlet's theorem (1.1). We shall prove

THEOREM. *If f is any polynomial of degree $k \geq 2$ with real coefficients and irrational leading coefficient then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there are infinitely many primes p such that*

$$\|f(p)\| < p^{-A(k)+\varepsilon},$$

where $A(k) = (3(k+1)4^{k+1})^{-1}$.

By (1.5) we see that the irrationality in our theorem is essential. In our proof, unlike most previous work in this field, we make no use of the Heilbronn argument [6] presented by Davenport in [3] but we modify an earlier method due to Davenport and Heilbronn [1]. Also in §4 we are able to use the full-strength of a result of Vinogradov [13] which determines the exponent, $A(k)$ in our Theorem.

§2. Notation

Let δ be a small positive number (< 1) and x a real variable. Write $e(x) = \exp(i2\pi x)$ and denote the integral part of x by $[x]$. Let α be the

leading coefficient of the given polynomial f . Since α is irrational, by Theorem 183 [4] there are infinitely many convergents a/q such that

$$(2.1) \quad |\alpha - a/q| < (2q^2)^{-1}.$$

For sufficiently large q , put

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} X &= q^{1/(k-2/3)}, \quad L = \log X, \\ I_j(x) &= \int_x^{2x} e((-1)^j xy^k) dy \quad (j = 1, 2), \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} S_j(x) = \sum_{X < n \leq 2X} e((-1)^j xn^k) & (j = 1, 2), \\ S_3(x) = \sum_{\delta X < p \leq 2\delta X} e(xf(p)), & S_4(x) = \sum_{\delta X < p \leq 2\delta X} e(xp^k), \\ S_j(x) = \sum_{\delta X < n \leq 2\delta X} e(xn^k) & (j = 5, 6, \dots, s), \end{cases}$$

where

$$(2.4) \quad s = 2^k + 2.$$

Trivially,

$$(2.5) \quad |S_j(x)| \leq X \quad (j = 1, \dots, s) \quad \text{and} \quad |I_j(x)| \leq X \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

Furthermore we put

$$(2.6) \quad V(x) = \prod_{j=1}^s S_j(x), \quad W(x) = I_1(x)I_2(x) \prod_{j=3}^s S_j(x),$$

$$(2.7) \quad A(k) = (3(k+1)4^{k+1})^{-1},$$

$$(2.8) \quad \tau = X^{-A(k)+\epsilon},$$

$$K_\tau(x) = \begin{cases} \tau^2 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ \left(\frac{\sin \pi \tau x}{\pi x} \right)^2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Obviously,

$$(2.9) \quad K_\tau(x) \leq \tau^2.$$

We partition the real line into

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{cases} E_1 = \{x: |x| \leq X^{-k+1/3}\}, \\ E_2 = \{x: X^{-k+1/3} < |x| \leq X^{2A(k)}\}, \\ E_3 = \{x: X^{2A(k)} < |x|\}. \end{cases}$$

If $Y > 0$ we use $Z \ll Y$ (or $Y \gg Z$) to denote $|Z| < CY$ where C is some positive constant. The constants implied by O, \ll, \gg may depend on the given constants, k, ε, δ and the coefficients of f only.

§ 3. Integration over E_1

LEMMA 1. For any real y we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e(xy)K_r(x)dx = \max(0, \tau - |y|).$$

Proof. See Lemma 2 in [8].

LEMMA 2. We have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W(x)K_r(x)dx \gg \tau^2 X^{s-k} L^{-2}.$$

Proof. Let B denote the cartesian product of the intervals, $X^k \ll y_j \ll (2X)^k$ ($j = 1, 2$) and let the set B^* of (y_1, y_2) be defined by the following (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4).

$$(3.1) \quad 2X^k \leq y_1 \leq 3X^k,$$

$$(3.2) \quad y_2 = y_1 + \phi - f(p_3) - p_4^k - \sum_{5 \leq j \leq s} n_j^k,$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad \delta X \leq p_3, p_4, n_5, \dots, n_s \leq 2\delta X$$

and ϕ is a real variable satisfying

$$(3.4) \quad |\phi| < \tau/2.$$

By (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) we see that

$$y_2 \leq 3X^k + \tau/2 + 2|\alpha|(2\delta X)^k + (2\delta X)^k + (s - 4)(2\delta X)^k < 4X^k.$$

Similarly $y_2 > X^k$. So

$$(3.5) \quad B^* \subset B.$$

By (2.6), (2.2) and (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W(x)K_r(x)dx &= \sum_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{j=1}^2 \int_{X^k}^{(2X)^k} (ky_j^{1-1/k})^{-1} e((-1)^j xy_j) dy_j \right) \\ &\quad \times e\left(x \left\{ f(p_3) + p_4^k + \sum_{5 \leq j \leq s} n_j^k \right\}\right) K_r(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where \sum_1 is a summation taken over all p_j, n_j satisfying (3.3). Then by Lemma 1, (3.5), (3.2), (3.4) and (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W(x)K_r(x) dx &\gg X^{2(1-k)} \sum_1 \int_B \max\left(0, \tau - \left| -y_1 + y_2 + f(p_3) + p_4^k \right. \right. \\ &\qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \left. + \sum_{5 \leq j \leq s} n_j^k \right) dy_1 dy_2 \\ &\gg X^{2(1-k)} \sum_1 (\tau - (\tau/2)) \int_{B^*} dy_1 dy_2(\phi) \\ &\gg X^{2(1-k)} \sum_1 \tau(\tau X^k) \\ &\gg \tau^2 X^{2-k} X^{s-4} (X/L)^2. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from (3.3) and the prime number theorem. This proves Lemma 2.

LEMMA 3. *If $|x| \ll X^{-k+1/3}$ then for $j = 1, 2$*

$$S_j(x) = I_j(x) + O(1).$$

Proof. This is essentially the Corollary in [2, p. 85].

LEMMA 4. *We have*

$$\int_{E_1} V(x)K_r(x) dx \gg \tau^2 X^{s-k} L^{-2}.$$

Proof. By (2.6), Lemma 3 and (2.5) we have, when $x \in E_1$

$$\begin{aligned} |V(x) - W(x)| &= |S_1(S_2 - I_2) + I_2(S_1 - I_1)| \prod_{j=3}^s |S_j(x)| \\ &= O(X) \prod_{j=3}^s |S_j(x)| = O(X^{s-1}). \end{aligned}$$

So in view of (2.9) and (2.10)

$$(3.6) \quad \left| \int_{E_1} V(x)K_r(x) dx - \int_{E_1} W(x)K_r(x) dx \right| \ll \tau^2 X^{s-1} \int_{E_1} dx \ll \tau^2 X^{s-k-2/3}.$$

On the other hand, by integration by parts and (2.2) if $x \neq 0$ we have

$$(3.7) \quad I_j(x) = O(|x|^{-1} X^{-k+1}).$$

It follows from (2.9), (3.7), (2.5) and (2.10) that

$$(3.8) \quad \int_{x \in E_1} W(x)K_r(x) dx \ll \tau^2 X^{s-2} X^{2(1-k)} \int_{x \in E_1} |x|^{-2} dx \ll \tau^2 X^{s-k-1/3}.$$

Lemma 4 follows from Lemma 2, (3.6) and (3.8).

§4. Integration over E_2

LEMMA 5. Let $\lambda_3 = \alpha$ (the leading coefficient of f) and $\lambda_4 = 1$. Suppose that for $j = 3$ or 4 there are integers a_j, q_j with $(a_j, q_j) = 1, 1 \leq q_j$ and

$$|\lambda_j x - a_j/q_j| \leq q_j^{-2}.$$

If

$$(4.1) \quad Q = \min(q_j, [2\delta X]^k/q_j), \quad U = \min(Q, [2\delta X]^{1/3})$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad Q \geq (k \log [2\delta X])^{(2k+1)4^{3k-1}}$$

then

$$S_j(x) \ll XU^{-3A(k)},$$

where $A(k)$ is defined in (2.7).

Proof. This is the Theorem in [13].

LEMMA 6. We have

$$\sup_{x \in E_2} \min(|S_3(x)|, |S_4(x)|) \ll X^{1-A(k)}.$$

Proof. Let $\lambda_3 = \alpha$, which is the leading coefficient of the polynomial f , and $\lambda_4 = 1$. By Dirichlet's theorem [4, p. 30] for each $x \in E_2$ there are integers a_j, q_j with $(a_j, q_j) = 1$ and

$$(4.3) \quad 1 \leq q_j \leq \delta^{-1} X^{k-1/3}$$

such that

$$|\lambda_j x - a_j/q_j| \leq \delta X^{-k+1/3} q_j^{-1} \quad (j = 3, 4).$$

By the same argument as that in Lemma 13 of [8] we can prove that $\max(q_3, q_4) \geq X^{1/3}$. In the proof we need (2.1), that is the irrationality of α . Then Lemma 6 follows from Lemma 5.

LEMMA 7. For $j \neq 3, 4$ we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |S_j(x)|^{2k} K_c(x) dx \ll \tau X^{2k-kL^c},$$

where c is some positive constant depending on k only.

Proof. This is a consequence of Hua's Lemma [Theorem 4, 7]. See Lemma 21 in [8].

LEMMA 8. *We have*

$$\int_{E_2} |V(x)| K_\tau(x) dx \ll \tau^2 X^{s-k} L^{-3}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_2} |V(x)| K_\tau(x) dx &\leq \sup_{x \in E_2} \min(|S_3(x)|, |S_4(x)|) \\ (4.4) \quad &\times \left\{ \int_{E_2} (|S_3(x)| + |S_4(x)|) \left| \prod_{j \neq 3,4} S_j(x) \right| K_\tau(x) dx \right\} \\ &\ll X^{1-A(k)} \{J_1 + J_2\}, \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

Note that by (2.4) there are 2^k factors in the above product $\prod_{j \neq 3,4} S_j(x)$. We denote the products taken over the first 2^{k-1} and last 2^{k-1} factors by \prod_1 and \prod_2 respectively. By (2.5) and Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\ll X \int_{E_2} \left| \prod_{j \neq 3,4} S_j(x) \right| K_\tau(x) dx \\ &\ll X \left\{ \prod_1 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |S_j(x)|^{2^k} K_\tau(x) dx \right)^{2^{1-k}} \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \prod_2 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |S_j(x)|^{2^k} K_\tau(x) dx \right)^{2^{1-k}} \right\}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The same argument holds for J_2 , then by Lemma 7 we have

$$J_1 \quad \text{and} \quad J_2 \ll \tau X^{2k-k+1} L^c.$$

This, together with (4.4), (2.4) and (2.8), proves Lemma 8.

§5. Completion of the proof

LEMMA 9. *Let $\Omega(x) = \sum e(x\omega(y_1, \dots, y_n))$, where ω is any real-valued function and the summation is over any finite set of values y_1, \dots, y_n . Then for any $R > 4/\tau$ we have*

$$\int_{|x| > R} |\Omega(x)|^2 K_\tau(x) dx \ll (R\tau)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Omega(x)|^2 K_\tau(x) dx.$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2 in [2]. See Lemma 16 in [8].

LEMMA 10. *We have*

$$\int_{E_3} |V(x)| K_\tau(x) dx \ll \tau^2 X^{s-k} L^{-3}.$$

Proof. By (2.5), Lemma 9 with $R = X^{2A(k)}$ and a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 8, we have

$$\int_{E_3} |V(x)| K_\tau(x) dx \ll X^2 (X^{2A(k)} \tau)^{-1} \left\{ \prod_1 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |S_j(x)|^{2k} K_\tau(x) dx \right)^{2^{1-k}} \right\}^{1/2} \\ \times \left\{ \prod_2 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |S_j(x)|^{2k} K_\tau(x) dx \right)^{2^{1-k}} \right\}^{1/2} \\ \ll \tau^2 X^{s-k} L^{-3} .$$

This proves Lemma 10.

We come now to the proof of our Theorem. By Lemma 1 we have

$$J = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(x) K_\tau(x) dx = \sum_2 \max \left(0, \tau - \left| n_1^k - n_2^k + f(p_3) + p_4^k + \sum_{5 \leq j \leq s} n_j^k \right| \right),$$

where the summation \sum_2 is taken over all s -tuples $(n_1, n_2, p_3, p_4, n_5, \dots, n_s)$ lying in

$$(5.1) \quad X \leq n_1, n_2 \leq 2X; \quad \delta X \leq p_3, p_4, n_5, \dots, n_s \leq 2\delta X .$$

Then

$$(5.2) \quad J \leq \tau N ,$$

where N is the number of $(n_1, n_2, p_3, p_4, n_5, \dots, n_s)$ satisfying (5.1) and

$$(5.3) \quad \left| n_1^k - n_2^k + f(p_3) + p_4^k + \sum_{5 \leq j \leq s} n_j^k \right| < \tau = X^{-A(k)+\epsilon} .$$

Now, by Lemmas 4, 8 and 10 we have

$$J = \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \int_{E_\nu} V(x) K_\tau(x) dx \gg \tau^2 X^{s-k} L^{-2} .$$

So by (5.2)

$$(5.4) \quad N \gg \tau X^{s-k} L^{-2} \longrightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } X \longrightarrow \infty .$$

Since $n_1^k - n_2^k + p_4^k + \sum_{5 \leq j \leq s} n_j^k$ is an integer and $\delta X \leq p_3 \leq 2\delta X$, by (5.3), (5.4) we see that

$$\|f(p_3)\| < p_3^{-A(k)+\epsilon}$$

has infinitely many solutions in primes p_3 . This proves our Theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Davenport and H. Heilbronn, On indefinite quadratic forms in five variables, J. London Math. Soc., **21** (1946), 185–193.
- [2] H. Davenport and K. F. Roth, The solubility of certain diophantine inequalities, Mathematika, **2** (1955), 81–96.

- [3] H. Davenport, On a theorem of Heilbronn, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*, (2), **18** (1967), 339–344.
- [4] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, 4th ed. Oxford, 1965.
- [5] S. Hartman and S. Knapowski, Bemerkungen über die Bruchteile von p^α , *Ann. Polon. Math.*, **3** (1957), 285–287.
- [6] H. Heilbronn, On the distribution of the sequence $n^2\theta \pmod{1}$, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*, **19** (1948), 249–256.
- [7] L. K. Hua, *Additive Theory of Prime Numbers*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs Vol. 13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. 1965.
- [8] M. C. Liu, Approximation by a sum of polynomials involving primes, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **30** (1978), 395–412.
- [9] W. M. Schmidt, Small Fractional Parts of Polynomials, *Regional Conference Series in Mathematics No. 32*, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, R.I. 1977.
- [10] —, On the distribution modulo 1 of the sequence $an^2 + \beta n$, *Canad. J. Math.*, **29** (1977), 819–826.
- [11] R. C. Vaughan, On the distribution of a_p modulo 1, *Mathematika*, **24** (1977), 135–141.
- [12] I. M. Vinogradov, Analytischer Beweis des Satzes über die Verteilung der Bruchteile eines ganzen Polynoms, (in Russian), *Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR* (6), **21** (1927), 567–578.
- [13] —, A new estimate of a trigonometric sum containing primes, (in Russian with English summary), *Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR ser. Math.*, **2** (1938), 3–13.
- [14] —, *The Method of Trigonometrical Sums in the Theory of Numbers*, New York: Interscience 1954.

*Department of Mathematics
University of Hong Kong
Hong Kong*

