

J. Laub  
Nagoya Math. J.  
Vol. 83 (1981), 1-4

## A SINGULAR CONVOLUTION KERNEL WITHOUT PSEUDO-PERIODS

JESPER LAUB

Let  $G$  be a locally compact abelian group and  $N$  a non-zero convolution kernel on  $G$  satisfying the domination principle. We define the cone of  $N$ -excessive measures  $E(N)$  to be the set of positive measures  $\xi$  for which  $N$  satisfies the relative domination principle with respect to  $\xi$ . For  $\xi \in E(N)$  and  $\Omega \subseteq G$  open the reduced measure of  $\xi$  over  $\Omega$  is defined as

$$R_{\xi}^{\Omega} = \inf \{ \eta \in E(N) \mid \eta \geq \xi \text{ in } \Omega \}.$$

Further discussion of excessive and reduced measures is given in [4] and [5].

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  denote the set of compact neighbourhoods of  $O$ , the neutral element of  $G$ . The convolution kernel  $N$  is said to be *singular* if

$$R_N^V = N \text{ for all } V \in \mathcal{V}.$$

A point  $x \in G$  is called a *pseudo-period* of  $N$  if there exists a number  $c > 0$  such that

$$N * \varepsilon_x = cN,$$

where  $\varepsilon_x$  denotes the Dirac-measure at  $x$ . The set of pseudo-periods of  $N$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$ .

In [3] Itô gave the following result (Corollaire 2):

*A convolution kernel  $N$  satisfying the domination principle is singular if and only if the group of pseudo-periods of  $N$  is non-compact.*

The "if" part of the statement is easy to prove (cf. e.g. [1]), but the "only if" statement is false in general, although it seems reasonable due to obvious examples. It is our purpose to give a counterexample to this statement.

Suppose that there exists a strictly decreasing sequence  $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of closed non-compact subgroups of  $G$

$$G = G_1 \supset G_2 \supset G_3 \supset \dots$$

satisfying  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n = \{0\}$ . We denote by  $\omega_{G_n}$  a Haar-measure on  $G_n$ . Let  $\varphi$  be a fixed non-zero positive continuous function with compact support and put  $a_n = \sup_{x \in G} \omega_{G_n} * \varphi(x)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The convolution kernel, which we will consider, is

$$\kappa = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n a_n} \omega_{G_n}.$$

Since every positive continuous function with compact support can be majorized by a finite linear combination of translates of  $\varphi$ , it follows that the series converges vaguely. Furthermore  $\kappa$  is shift-bounded.

1°. The only pseudoperiod of  $\kappa$  is 0.

Since  $\kappa$  is shift-bounded, we have  $c = 1$  for a pseudo-period  $x \in G$  of  $\kappa$ . If  $x \neq 0$ , then we can find  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \in G_i \setminus G_{i+1}$  and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa * \varepsilon_x &= \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{1}{2^n a_n} \omega_{G_n} + \sum_{n=i+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n a_n} \omega_{G_n} * \varepsilon_x \\ \kappa &= \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{1}{2^n a_n} \omega_{G_n} + \sum_{n=i+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n a_n} \omega_{G_n}. \end{aligned}$$

These two expressions cannot be equal, since  $x$  belongs to the support of the second term of  $\kappa * \varepsilon_x$ , but not to support of the second term of  $\kappa$ .

2°.  $\kappa$  satisfies the domination principle.

We shall need the following two lemmas, which are both easily proved

LEMMA 1 (Itô [2]). *Let  $N$  be a shift-bounded convolution kernel and  $\omega_G$  a Haar-measure on  $G$ . If  $N$  satisfies the domination principle, then  $N + \omega_G$  satisfies the domination principle.*

LEMMA 2. *Let  $N$  be a convolution kernel on  $G$  and  $H$  a closed subgroup of  $G$  such that  $\text{supp } N \subseteq H$ . Then  $N$  satisfies the domination principle as convolution kernel on  $G$  if and only if  $N$  satisfies the domination principle as convolution kernel on  $H$ .*

By repeated use of these lemmas it follows, that the partial sum

$$\kappa_k = \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{1}{2^n a_n} \omega_{G_n}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

satisfies the domination principle. Since the set of convolution kernels satisfying the domination principle is vaguely closed and  $\kappa = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_k$ , we

have that  $\kappa$  satisfies the domination principle.

3°.  $\kappa$  is singular.

Let  $V \in \mathcal{D}$  be given and choose for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  a point  $x_i \in G_i \setminus G_{i+1}$  such that  $x_i \notin V - \text{supp } \varphi$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa * \varepsilon_{x_i} * \varphi &= \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{1}{2^n a_n} \omega_{G_n} * \varphi + \sum_{n=i+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n a_n} \varepsilon_{x_i} * \omega_{G_n} * \varphi \\ &\leq R_{\kappa * \varphi}^{\mathcal{G}V} + 2^{-i} \text{ in } \mathcal{C}V \end{aligned}$$

However since  $\text{supp}(\varepsilon_{x_i} * \varphi) \subseteq \mathcal{C}V$  and  $R_{\kappa * \varphi}^{\mathcal{G}V} + 2^{-i} \in E(\kappa)$  we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^i \frac{1}{2^n a_n} \omega_{G_n} * \varphi \leq \kappa * \varepsilon_{x_i} * \varphi \leq R_{\kappa * \varphi}^{\mathcal{G}V} + 2^{-i},$$

and by letting  $i$  tend to infinity we get  $R_{\kappa * \varphi}^{\mathcal{G}V} = \kappa * \varphi$ . Finally Lemma 1.8 in [5] gives

$$\kappa * \varphi = \lim_{V \uparrow G} R_{\kappa * \varphi}^{\mathcal{G}V} = \left( \lim_{V \uparrow G} R_{\kappa}^{\mathcal{G}V} \right) * \varphi$$

which shows that  $R_{\kappa}^{\mathcal{G}V} = \kappa$  for all  $V \in \mathcal{D}$ .

EXAMPLE. For  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $G_n = 2^{n-1} \mathbb{Z} = \{2^{n-1}k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  and  $\varphi$  the function which takes the value 1 at 0 and 0 elsewhere we get

$$\kappa(\{0\}) = 1; \quad \kappa(\{m\}) = 1 - 2^{-i-1}, \quad m \neq 0$$

where  $i$  is the largest non-negative integer for which  $2^i$  divides  $m$ .

*Remark.* If a singular convolution kernel  $N$  satisfies the balayage principle for all open sets, then the group of pseudo-periods of  $N$  is non-compact, because if  $\varepsilon'_{\mathcal{G}V}$  denotes a  $N$ -balayaged measure of  $\varepsilon_0$  on  $\mathcal{C}V$ ,  $V \in \mathcal{D}$ , then we have  $N = N * \varepsilon'_{\mathcal{G}V}$ . Consequently  $N$  has a pseudo-period in  $\text{supp } \varepsilon'_{\mathcal{G}V} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{C}V}$  by Proposition 7 in [3].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Berg, C. and Laub, J., The resolvent for a convolution kernel satisfying the domination principle, Preprint Series 1977 No. 41, Dept. of Math., Univ. of Copenhagen.
- [2] Itô, M., Une caractérisation du principe de domination pour les noyaux de convolution, Japan J. Math., New series 1, No.1 (1975), 5-35.
- [3] —, Caractérisation du principe de domination pour les noyaux de convolution non-bornés, Nagoya Math. J., 57 (1975), 167-197.
- [4] —, Sur le principe relatif de domination pour les noyaux de convolution, Hiroshima Math. J., 5 (1975), 293-350.

- [ 5 ] Laub, J., On unicity of the Riesz decomposition of an excessive measure, *Math. Scand.*, to appear.

*Matematisk Institut  
Universitetsparken 5  
DK-2100 København  $\phi$   
Denmark*