INFINITE DIMENSIONAL ROTATIONS
AND LAPLACIANS IN TERMS
OF WHITE NOISE CALCULUS

TAKEYUKI HIDA, NOBUAKI OBATA* AND KIMIAKI SAITÔ

Introduction

The theory of generalized white noise functionals (white noise calculus) initiated in [2] has been considerably developed in recent years, in particular, toward applications to quantum physics, see e.g. [5], [7] and references cited therein. On the other hand, since H. Yoshizawa [4], [23] discussed an infinite dimensional rotation group to broaden the scope of an investigation of Brownian motion, there have been some attempts to introduce an idea of group theory into the white noise calculus. For example, conformal invariance of Brownian motion with multi-dimensional parameter space [6], variational calculus of white noise functionals [14], characterization of the Lévy Laplacian [17] and so on.

The paper aims at establishing the fundamentals of infinite dimensional harmonic analysis within the framework of white noise calculus, namely, based on the calculus of differential operators ∂_t and their dual operators ∂_t^*, where t runs over a time parameter space T. We develop a general theory of operators acting on white noise functionals and, as a particular case, discuss infinite dimensional rotations and Laplacians in detail.

Let us now recall some notions of white noise calculus, for more precise information see Section 1. Let T be a topological space with a Borel measure ν. We consider T as a time parameter space including a multi-time parameter case where quantum field theory may be formulated. Let $E \subset L^2(T, \nu; \mathbf{R}) = H \subset E^*$ be a Gelfand triple constructed by means of a particular self-adjoint operator A. Let μ be the Gaussian measure on E^* and put $(L^2) = L^2(E^*, \mu; \mathbf{C})$, which is canonically isomorphic to the Boson Fock space over $H_{\mathbf{C}}$. We then obtain a Gelfand triple $(E) \subset (L^2) \subset (E)^*$ by means of the second quantized operator $\Gamma(A)$. An element

of (E) (resp. $(E)^*$) is called a *test* (resp. *generalized*) *white noise functional*. For each $t \in T$ we define

$$\partial_t \phi(x) = \lim_{\theta \to 0} \frac{\phi(x + \theta\delta_t) - \phi(x)}{\theta}, \quad \phi \in (E), \quad x \in E^*,$$

where $\delta_t \in E^*$ is the Dirac δ-function at $t \in T$. Then ∂_t becomes a continuous derivation on (E) and ∂_t^* a continuous linear operator on $(E)^*$. They correspond to the *annihilation* and *creation operators* at a point $t \in T$, respectively, and satisfy the canonical commutation relation.

In this paper we establish an effective theory of continuous operators on (E) expressed as superposition of ∂_t and ∂_t^* with normal ordering:

$$(0\text{-}1) \quad \Xi_{l,m}(\kappa) = \int_{T^{l+m}} \kappa(s_1, \ldots, s_l, t_1, \ldots, t_m) \partial_{s_1}^* \cdots \partial_{s_l}^* \partial_{t_1} \cdots \partial_{t_m} ds_1 \cdots ds_l dt_1 \cdots dt_m.$$

By means of duality argument we prove that $(0\text{-}1)$ defines a continuous operator from (E) into $(E)^*$ for any $\kappa \in (E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes(l+m)})^*$, namely, for any distribution κ in $(l+m)$-variables (Theorem 2.2). The integral $(0\text{-}1)$ is, therefore, understood in a generalized sense and $\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)$ is called an *integral kernel operator*. Moreover, we have a criterion for checking when $\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)$ defines a continuous operator on (E) (Theorem 2.6). Since practically most important (usually unbounded) operators acting on (L^2) are expressed as in the form of $(0\text{-}1)$, our theory will be effective to a systematic approach to the operator theory on a Fock space and further applications as well.

Let $O(E; H)$ denote the infinite dimensional rotation group in the sense of Yoshizawa, namely, it is the group of orthogonal operators on H which induce homeomorphisms of E. In other words, it is the automorphism group of the Gelfand triple $E \subset H \subset E^*$. Recalling that $x(t) = \partial_t + \partial_t^*$ is multiplication operator by a white noise coordinate (Proposition 4.4), we naturally come to a continuous operator from (E) into $(E)^*$:

$$(0\text{-}2) \qquad\qquad x(s)\partial_t - x(t)\partial_s = \partial_s^*\partial_t - \partial_t^*\partial_s,$$

which is a formal analogy of an infinitesimal generator of finite dimensional rotations. Using the general theory established in this paper, we investigate a definite role of $(0\text{-}2)$. Namely, if X is an infinitesimal generator of a regular one-parameter subgroup of $O(E; H)$, there exists a skew-symmetric distribution $\kappa \in E \otimes E^*$ such that

$$d\Gamma(X) = \int_{T \times T} \kappa(s, t)(\partial_s^*\partial_t - \partial_t^*\partial_s) ds dt,$$

where $d\Gamma$ is the differential representation (Theorem 4.3).

Infinite dimensional Laplacians have been so far discussed within the framework of white noise calculus, see e.g., [2], [10], [12], [19]. With our integral expression (0-1) the Gross Laplacian and the number operator are respectively expressed as

$$\Delta_G = \int_{T \times T} \tau(s, t) \partial_s \partial_t ds dt,$$

$$N = \int_{T \times T} \tau(s, t) \partial_s^* \partial_t ds dt,$$

where $\tau \in E \otimes E^*$ is the trace, namely, defined by $\langle \tau, \xi \otimes \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle$, $\xi, \eta \in E$. By means of rotation-invariance we will characterize these operators among second order operators (Theorems 5.1 and 5.2).

The paper is organized as follows. Assembling some basic notions of white noise calculus in Section 1, we establish in Section 2 a general theory of integral kernel operators. In Section 3 we investigate some results on one-parameter groups of transformations in general. As a corollary we obtain the Taylor formula for white noise functionals. In Section 4 we prove that an infinitesimal generator of a one-parameter subgroup of the infinite dimensional rotation group is described in terms of $\partial_s^* \partial_t - \partial_t^* \partial_s$. Finally, in Section 5 we discuss infinite dimensional Laplacians in connection with their invariance under the infinite dimensional rotation group. The Appendix contains a few useful inequalities.

There have been a few approaches to the Lévy Laplacian [15] from the viewpoint of white noise calculus [8], [12], [13], [17]. We now have good hope that the Lévy Laplacian could also be characterized within our setup.

§ 1. Standard setup of white noise calculus

We begin with some general notation. For a real vector space $\mathfrak{X}$ we denote its complexification by $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{C}}$. If $\mathfrak{X}$ is a topological vector space, the dual space $\mathfrak{X}^*$ is always assumed to carry the strong dual topology. For two topological vector spaces

$\mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathfrak{Y}$ let $\mathscr{L}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})$ stand for the space of continuous linear operators from $\mathfrak{X}$ into $\mathfrak{Y}$. If $\mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathfrak{Y}$ are nuclear spaces, we denote simply by $\mathfrak{X} \otimes \mathfrak{Y}$ the completion of the algebraic tensor product $\mathfrak{X} \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathfrak{Y}$ with respect to the π-topology, or equivalently the ε-topology, see e.g., [20]. If H and K are Hilbert spaces, we denote again by $H \otimes K$ the completed Hilbert space tensor product (hence $H \otimes K$ is again a Hilbert space). The somehow ambiguously used symbol, however, will cause no confusion in the context. If $\mathfrak{X}$ is a Hilbert space or a nuclear space, let $\mathfrak{X}^{\hat{\otimes} n} \subset \mathfrak{X}^{\otimes n}$ denote the closed subspace of symmetric tensor products. We also use the symbol $(\mathfrak{X}^{\otimes n})^*_{\text{sym}}$ for the same meaning in case of dual spaces.

We then assemble some basic notions and notations of white noise calculus principally following [7], see also [1], [10], [11], [18] and [22].

Let T be a topological space equipped with a Borel measure $d\nu(t) = dt$. Let $H = L^2(T, \nu; \mathbf{R})$ be the real Hilbert space of square integrable functions on T. Its norm and inner product will be denoted by $|\cdot|_0$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, respectively. Let A be an operator on H with domain $\text{Dom}(A)$. We assume that H admits a complete orthonormal basis $\{e_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \subset \text{Dom}(A)$ such that

(A1) $Ae_j = \lambda_j e_j$ for $\lambda_j \in \mathbf{R}$;

(A2) $1 < \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \cdots \to \infty$;

(A3) $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{-2} < \infty$.

Obviously, A^{-1} is extended to an operator of Hilbert-Schmidt class. Put

$$\rho = \lambda_0^{-1} = \|A^{-1}\|, \quad \delta = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{-2}\right)^{1/2} = \|A^{-1}\|_{HS}.$$

We also note the following apparent inequalities:

$$0 < \rho < 1, \quad \rho < \delta.$$

For $p \in \mathbf{R}$ let E_p be the completion of $\text{Dom}(A^p)$ with respect to the Hilbertian norm $|\xi|_p = |A^p \xi|_0$, $\xi \in \text{Dom}(A^p)$, where $\text{Dom}(A^p) = H$ for $p < 0$. We then come to a chain of Hilbert spaces:

$$\cdots \subset E_p \subset \cdots \subset E_q \subset \cdots \subset E_0 = H \subset \cdots \subset E_{-q} \subset \cdots \subset E_{-p} \subset \cdots,$$
$$0 \leq q \leq p.$$

Equipped with the Hilbertian norms $\{|\cdot|_p\}_{p \geq 0}$,

$$E = \bigcap_{p \geq 0} E_p$$

becomes a nuclear Fréchet space and its dual space is obtained as

$$E^* = \bigcup_{p \geq 0} E_{-p}.$$

It is known that the strong dual topology of E^* coincides with the inductive limit topology. The canonical bilinear form on $E^* \times E$ is denoted again by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and it is extended to a $\mathbf{C}$-bilinear form on $E_{\mathbf{C}}^* \times E_{\mathbf{C}}$. The symbols $|\cdot|_p$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ are used for tensor products as well. For instance, it holds that

$$(1\text{-}1) \qquad |f|_p \leq \rho^n |f|_{p+1}, \quad f \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes n}, \quad p \in \mathbf{R}.$$

By construction $\xi \in E$ is a function on T determined up to ν-null functions. We then assume the following three conditions which are suggested by Kubo and Takenaka [10].

(H1) For every $\xi \in E$ there exists a unique continuous function on T which coincides with ξ up to ν-null functions.

We agree then that E consists of continuous functions.

(H2) For each $t \in T$ the evaluation map $\delta_t : \xi \mapsto \xi(t)$, $\xi \in E$, is continuous, i.e., $\delta_t \in E^*$.

(H3) The map $t \mapsto \delta_t \in E^*$, $t \in T$, is continuous.

Under these conditions one may prove that any function in $E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes n}$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, is a continuous function on T^n.

Let μ be the Gaussian measure on E^* which is uniquely determined by the characteristic functional:

$$\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}|\xi|_0^2\right) = \int_{E^*} e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} d\mu(x), \quad \xi \in E.$$

We put $(L^2) = L^2(E^*, \mu; \mathbf{C})$ for simplicity and let $\|\cdot\|_0$ denote its norm. The Wiener-Itô decomposition theorem says (L^2) is canonically isomorphic to the Fock space over $H_{\mathbf{C}}$:

$$(1\text{-}2) \qquad (L^2) \cong \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \oplus H_{\mathbf{C}}^{\widehat{\otimes} n}.$$

If $\phi \in (L^2)$ corresponds to $(f_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $f_n \in H_{\mathbf{C}}^{\widehat{\otimes} n}$, we have

$$\|\phi\|_0^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! |f_n|_0^2.$$

In that case we may write

$$(1\text{-}3) \qquad \phi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle :x^{\otimes n}: , f_n \rangle, \quad f_n \in H_{\mathbf{C}}^{\widehat{\otimes} n}.$$

Here $:x^{\otimes n}: \in (E^{\otimes n})_{\text{sym}}^*$ is defined inductively as follows:

$$\begin{cases} : x^{\otimes 0}: = 1 \\ : x^{\otimes 1}: = x \\ : x^{\otimes n}: = x \,\hat{\otimes}\, : x^{\otimes (n-1)}: - (n-1)\, \tau \,\hat{\otimes}\, : x^{\otimes (n-2)}:, \quad n \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

where $\tau \in (E \otimes E)^*_{\mathrm{sym}}$ is defined by

$$(1\text{-}4) \qquad\qquad\qquad \tau = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e_j \otimes e_j.$$

Note that

$$|\tau|^2_{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{-4} < \delta^2.$$

In (1-3) each $\langle : x^{\otimes n}:, f_n \rangle$ is defined only as L^2-function and the series is con-verges in (L^2) according to (1-2).

Through (1-2) and (1-3) we may introduce a second quantized operator. Let $\mathrm{Dom}(\Gamma(A))$ be the subspace of $\phi \in (L^2)$ given as in (1-3) such that (i) $f_n = 0$ ex-cept finitely many n; and (ii) $f_n \in \mathrm{Dom}(A) \otimes_{\mathrm{alg}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathrm{alg}} \mathrm{Dom}(A)$ (n-times). Then for $\phi \in \mathrm{Dom}(\Gamma(A))$ we put

$$(1\text{-}5) \qquad (\Gamma(A)\phi)(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle : x^{\otimes n}:, A^{\otimes n} f_n \rangle.$$

As is easily seen, $\Gamma(A)$ satisfies (A1) and (A3) with replacing A with $\Gamma(A)$. As for (A2) we observe that the smallest eigenvalue of $\Gamma(A)$ is exactly one. We then apply the method of constructing E from A to the white noise case.

Let (E_p) be the completion of $\Gamma(A)^p$ with respect to the Hilbertian norm

$$\|\phi\|^2_p = \|\Gamma(A)^p \phi\|^2_0 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! \,|f_n|^2_p,$$

where ϕ and $(f_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are related as in (1-3). Equipped with the norms $\{\|\cdot\|_p\}_{p \geq 0}$,

$$(E) = \bigcap_{p \geq 0} (E_p)$$

becomes a nuclear Fréchet space. Moreover, we note the following result due to Kubo and Yokoi [11], see also Yokoi [22].

PROPOSITION 1.1. *Let $\phi \in (L^2)$ be given as in (1-3). Then $\phi \in (E)$ if and only if $f_n \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n}$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! \,|f_n|^2_p < \infty$ for all $p \geq 0$. In that case the right hand side of (1-3) converges pointwisely and becomes a unique con-*

tinuous function on E^* which coincides with ϕ up to μ-null functions.

By the above fact we always regard (E) as a space of continuous functions on E^*. Let $(E)^*$ be the dual space of (E). An element in (E) (resp.$(E)^*$) is called a *test* (resp. *generalized*) *white noise functional*. We denote by $\langle\!\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle\!\rangle$ the canonical **C**-bilinear form on $(E)^* \times (E)$. When $T = \mathbf{R}$ and $A = 1 + t^2 - (d/dt)^2$, (E) and $(E)^*$ are often denoted by $(\mathscr{S})$ and $(\mathscr{S})^*$, respectively.

We now introduce a differential operator ∂_t which plays a fundamental role in the white noise calculus. For $G_m \in (E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes m})^*$ and $f_{m+n} \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\widehat{\otimes}(m+n)}$ we denote by $G_m \otimes_m f_{m+n} \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\widehat{\otimes}n}$ uniquely determined by

$$\langle G_m \otimes F_n,\, f_{m+n}\rangle = \langle F_n,\, G_m \otimes_m f_{m+n}\rangle, \quad F_n \in (E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes n})^*.$$

For example, if $f_{n+1} \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\widehat{\otimes}(n+1)}$, then

$$\delta_t \otimes_1 f_{n+1}(t_1,\ldots,t_n) = f_{n+1}(t,t_1,\ldots,t_n).$$

For $\phi \in (E)$ and $y \in E^*$ we put

$$(1\text{-}6) \qquad (D_y\phi)(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \,\langle: x^{\otimes(n-1)}:,\, y \otimes_1 f_n\rangle,$$

where $f_n \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\widehat{\otimes}n}$ is given as in (1–3), see also Proposition 1.1. Since

$$|\, y \otimes_1 f_n\,|_p \le \rho^{q(n-1)}\, |\, y\,|_{-(p+q)}\, |\, f_n\,|_{p+q}, \quad p,\, q \ge 0,$$

which is easily verified by Fourier expansion or by Proposition A.1, we obtain

$$(1\text{-}7) \qquad \|\, D_y\phi\,\|_p \le M_1\, |\, y\,|_{-(p+q)}\, \|\,\phi\,\|_{p+q}. \quad \phi \in (E).$$

where

$$M_1 = M_1(p,\, q) = \sup_{n \ge 0} \sqrt{n}\,\rho^{q(n-1)} < \infty. \quad q > 0.$$

Therefore D_y is a continuous linear operator on (E). It is known that

$$(1\text{-}8) \qquad (D_y\phi)(x) = \lim_{\theta \to 0} \frac{\phi(x + \theta y) - \phi(x)}{\theta}, \quad \phi \in (E).$$

We now denote D_{δ_t} simply by ∂_t.

It is often convenient to use so-called *exponential vectors*. For $\xi \in \dot{E}_{\mathbf{C}}$ define $\phi_\xi \in (E)$ by

$$(1\text{-}9) \qquad \phi_\xi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle: x^{\otimes n}:,\, \xi^{\otimes n}\rangle.$$

Then $\{\phi_\xi; \ \xi \in E_{\mathbf{C}}\}$ spans a dense subspace of (E). Note that

$$(1\text{-}10) \qquad\qquad \langle\!\langle \phi_\xi, \phi_\eta \rangle\!\rangle = e^{\langle \xi, \eta \rangle}, \quad \xi, \eta \in E_{\mathbf{C}},$$

$$(1\text{-}11) \qquad\qquad D_y\phi_\xi = \langle y, \xi \rangle \phi_\xi, \quad y \in E^*, \quad \xi \in E_{\mathbf{C}}.$$

In particular,

$$(1\text{-}12) \qquad\qquad \partial_t\phi_\xi = \xi(t)\phi_\xi, \quad t \in T, \quad \xi \in E_{\mathbf{C}}.$$

These are easily verified.

§ 2. Integral kernel operators

Having introduced the differential operator ∂_t in the previous section, we now develop a general theory of operators which are expressed as an integral of ∂_t and ∂_t^*. We begin with

LEMMA 2.1. *For $\phi, \ \psi \in (E)$ we put*

$$(2\text{-}1) \qquad\quad \eta_{\phi,\psi}(s_1,\ldots,s_l, t_1,\ldots,t_m) = \langle\!\langle \partial_{s_1}^*\cdots\partial_{s_l}^*\partial_{t_1}\cdots\partial_{t_m}\phi, \ \psi \rangle\!\rangle.$$

Then for any $p > 0$ we have

$$(2\text{-}2) \qquad |\eta_{\phi,\psi}|_p \leq \rho^{-p}(l^l m^m)^{1/2}\left(\frac{\rho^{-p}}{-2pe\log\rho}\right)^{(l+m)/2} \|\phi\|_p \|\psi\|_p.$$

In particular, $\eta_{\phi,\psi} \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes(l+m)}$.

Proof. For simplicity we put $\eta = \eta_{\phi,\psi}$ and suppose

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \langle :x^{\otimes n}:, f_n \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \langle :x^{\otimes n}:, g_n \rangle,$$

where $f_n, \ g_n \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\hat{\otimes}n}$. Then, by a simple calculation we have

$$(2\text{-}3) \quad \eta(s_1,\ldots,s_l, t_1,\ldots,t_m)$$

$$= \langle\!\langle \partial_{t_1}\cdots\partial_{t_m}\phi, \ \partial_{s_l}\cdots\partial_{s_1}\psi \rangle\!\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(m+n)!(l+n)!}{n!} \eta_n(s_1,\ldots,s_l, t_1,\ldots,t_m),$$

where

$$\eta_n(s_1,\ldots,s_l, t_1,\ldots,t_m)$$

$$= \langle (\delta_{t_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \delta_{t_m}) \otimes_m f_{m+n}, \; (\delta_{s_l} \otimes \cdots \otimes \delta_{s_1}) \otimes_l g_{l+n} \rangle$$

$$= \int_{T^n} f_{m+n}(t_m, \ldots, t_1, \, u_1, \ldots, u_n) g_{l+n}(s_1, \ldots, s_l, \, u_1, \ldots, u_n) \, du_1 \cdots du_n.$$

Then, using the Schwarz inequality and Corollary A.2, we obtain

$$(2\text{-}4) \qquad | \, \eta_n \, |_p = | \, (A^p)^{\otimes (l+m)} \eta_n \, |_0$$
$$\le | \, ((A^p)^{\otimes m} \otimes I^{\otimes n}) f_{m+n} \, |_0 \, | \, ((A^p)^{\otimes l} \otimes I^{\otimes n}) g_{l+n} \, |_0$$
$$\le \rho^{2pn} \, | \, f_{m+n} \, |_p \, | \, g_{l+n} \, |_p.$$

Hence from (2-3) and (2-4) we see that

$$| \, \eta \, |_p \le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m+n)! \, (l+n)!}{n!} \, | \, \eta_n \, |_p$$

$$\le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{(m+n)!}{n!}} \sqrt{\frac{(l+n)!}{n!}} \, \rho^{2pn} \sqrt{(m+n)!} \, | \, f_{m+n} \, |_p \sqrt{(l+n)!} \, | \, g_{l+n} \, |_p$$

$$\le M_2 \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (m+n)! \, | \, f_{m+n} \, |_p^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (l+n)! \, | \, g_{l+n} \, |_p^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where

$$M_2 = \sup_{n \ge 0} \sqrt{\frac{(m+n)!}{n!}} \sqrt{\frac{(l+n)!}{n!}} \, \rho^{2pn} < \infty, \quad p > 0.$$

Hence

$$(2\text{-}5) \qquad\qquad\qquad | \, \eta \, |_p \le M_2 \, \| \, \phi \, \|_p \, \| \, \phi \, \|_p,$$

and therefore, $\eta = \eta_{\phi,\phi} \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes (l+m)}$. Finally, using repeatedly an elementary fact

$$\max_{x \ge 0} x e^{-\beta x} = \frac{1}{\beta e}. \quad \beta > 0,$$

we obtain

$$(2\text{-}6) \qquad\qquad M_2 \le \rho^{-p} (l^l m^m)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\rho^{-p}}{-2pe \log \rho} \right)^{(l+m)/2}.$$

Then (2-2) follows from (2-5) and (2-6) immediately.   Q.E.D.

THEOREM 2.2. *For any $\kappa \in (E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes (l+m)})^*$ there exists a continuous linear operator* $\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa) \in \mathcal{L}((E), (E)^*)$ *such that*

$$(2\text{-}7) \qquad\qquad \langle\!\langle \Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)\phi, \, \psi \rangle\!\rangle = \langle \kappa, \, \eta_{\phi,\psi} \rangle, \quad \phi, \, \psi \in (E),$$

where $\eta_{\phi,\psi}$ is given in (2-1). Moreover, for any $p > 0$ with $|\kappa|_{-p} < \infty$ it holds that

$$(2\text{-}8) \qquad \|\,\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)\phi\,\|_{-p} \leq \rho^{-p}\,(l^l m^m)^{1/2}\left(\frac{\rho^{-p}}{-2pe\log\rho}\right)^{(l+m)/2}|\kappa|_{-p}\,\|\phi\|_p.$$

Proof. Note first that $\phi, \psi \mapsto \langle\kappa, \eta_{\phi,\psi}\rangle$, $\phi, \psi \in (E)$, is a continuous bilinear form on (E). In fact by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$(2\text{-}9) \qquad |\langle\kappa, \eta_{\phi,\psi}\rangle| \leq |\kappa|_{-p}\,|\eta_{\phi,\psi}|_p$$

$$\leq \rho^{-p}(l^l m^m)^{1/2}\left(\frac{\rho^{-p}}{-2pe\log\rho}\right)^{(l+m)/2}|\kappa|_{-p}\,\|\phi\|_p\,\|\psi\|_p.$$

Therefore there is a continuous linear operator $\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa) \in \mathscr{L}((E), (E)^*)$ such that

$$\langle\!\langle\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)\phi,\ \psi\rangle\!\rangle = \langle\kappa,\ \eta_{\phi,\psi}\rangle, \quad \phi, \psi \in (E).$$

Hence (2-9) becomes

$$|\langle\!\langle\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)\phi,\ \psi\rangle\!\rangle| \leq \rho^{-p}\,(l^l m^m)^{1/2}\left(\frac{\rho^{-p}}{-2pe\log\rho}\right)^{(l+m)/2}|\kappa|_{-p}\,\|\phi\|_p\,\|\psi\|_p$$

from which (2-8) follows immediately.   Q.E.D.

In view of (2-7) we also employ a formal integral expression:

$$\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa) = \int_{T^{l+m}} \kappa(s_1,\ldots,s_l,\ t_1,\ldots,t_m)\,\partial_{s_1}^*\cdots\partial_{s_l}^*\partial_{t_1}\cdots\partial_{t_m}ds_1\cdots ds_l dt_1\cdots dt_m.$$

This is called an *integral kernel operator* with *kernel distribution* κ.

Here we discuss some basic properties of integral kernel operators. We begin with the uniqueness of the kernel distribution. For $\kappa \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes(l+m)}$ we difine $s_{l,m}(\kappa) \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes(l+m)}$ by the formula:

$$\langle s_{l,m}(\kappa),\ \eta_1\otimes\cdots\eta_l\otimes\xi_1\otimes\cdots\xi_m\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{l!m!}\sum_{\substack{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_l\\\tau\in\mathfrak{S}_m}}\langle\kappa,\ \eta_{\sigma(1)}\otimes\cdots\eta_{\sigma(l)}\otimes\eta_{\tau(1)}\otimes\cdots\xi_{\tau(m)}\rangle,$$

where $\xi_i, \eta_j \in E_{\mathbf{C}}$. Then a direct verification implies the following

PROPOSITION 2.3. *For any $\kappa \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes(l+m)}$ it holds that $\Xi_{l,m}(s_{l,m}(\kappa)) = \Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)$. If $\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa) = 0$, then $s_{l,m}(\kappa) = 0$.*

Recall that (E) is a nuclear Fréchet space and hence reflexive. If $\varXi \in \mathscr{L}((E), (E)^*)$, its adjoint $\varXi^*$ belongs to $\mathscr{L}((E), (E)^*)$ again. For the adjoint of an integral kernel operator we have

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let* $\kappa \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes(l+m)}$. *Then* $\varXi_{l,m}(\kappa)^* = \varXi_{m,l}(t_{m,l}(\kappa))$, *where* $t_{m,l}(\kappa)$ *is defined by*

$$\langle t_{m,l}(\kappa), \eta \otimes \zeta \rangle = \langle \kappa, \zeta \otimes \eta \rangle, \quad \eta \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes m}, \zeta \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes l}.$$

We next discuss when an integral kernel operator $\varXi_{l,m}(\kappa)$ belongs to $\mathscr{L}((E), (E))$ which is a subclass of $\mathscr{L}((E), (E)^*)$. For that purpose we first recall the canonical isomorphism between $\mathscr{L}(E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes m}, E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes l})$ and $(E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes l}) \otimes (E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes m})^* \subset (E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes(l+m)})^*$, where all the tensor products are topological as we agreed at the beginning of Section 1. If $\kappa \in (E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes l}) \otimes (E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes m})^*$ and $K \in \mathscr{L}(E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes m}, E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes l})$ are in correspondence, then

(2-10) $\qquad \langle \kappa, \eta_l \otimes \zeta_m \rangle = \langle \eta_l, K \zeta_m \rangle, \quad \eta_l \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes l}, \zeta_m \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes m},$

for further details, see e.g., [20: Chap.50]. The next result is easily derived.

LEMMA 2.5 *For* $\kappa \in (E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes(l+m)})^*$ *the following two conditions are equivalent:*
(i) $\kappa \in (E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes l}) \otimes (E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes m})^*$;
(ii) *for any* $p \geq 0$ *there exist* $C \geq 0$ *and* $q \geq 0$ *such that* $|\langle \kappa, \eta \otimes \zeta \rangle| \leq C |\eta|_{-p} |\zeta|_{p+q}$ *for* $\eta \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes l}$ *and* $\zeta \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes m}$.

THEOREM 2.6. *Let* $\kappa \in (E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes(l+m)})^*$. *Then* $\varXi_{l,m}(\kappa) \in \mathscr{L}((E), (E))$ *if and only if* $\kappa \in (E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes l}) \otimes (E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes m})^*$.

Proof. First suppose that $\kappa \in (E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes l}) \otimes (E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes m})^*$ and let $K \in \mathscr{L}(E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes m}, E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes l})$ be the corresponding operator determined as in (2-10). Let $\phi, \psi \in (E)$ and we keep to the notations in the proof of Lemma 2.1. We then observe from (2-3) and (2-4) that

$$\langle\!\langle \varXi_{l,m}(\kappa)\phi, \psi \rangle\!\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m+n)!(l+n)!}{n!} \langle \kappa, \eta_n \rangle.$$

Then, by (2-10) we have

(2-11) $\quad \langle\!\langle \varXi_{l,m}(\kappa)\phi, \psi \rangle\!\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m+n)!(l+n)!}{n!} \langle g_{l+n}, (K \otimes I^{\otimes n}) f_{m+n} \rangle.$

Since K is continuous, for a given $p \geq 0$ we may find $q \geq 0$ and $C = C(p, q) \geq 0$ such that $|K\eta|_p \leq C |\eta|_{p+q}, \eta \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes m}$. Then, applying Proposition A.1, we

obtain

$$(2\text{-}12) \qquad |\langle g_{l+n}, (K \otimes I^{\otimes n}) f_{m+n} \rangle| \leq |g_{l+n}|_{-p} |(K \otimes I^{\otimes n}) f_{m+n}|_p$$
$$\leq C \rho^{qn} |f_{m+n}|_{p+q} |g_{l+n}|_{-p}.$$

In view of (2-11) and (2-12) we obtain

$$|\langle\!\langle \Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)\phi, \psi \rangle\!\rangle| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m+n)!(l+n)!}{n!} C\rho^{qn} |f_{m+n}|_{p+q} |g_{l+n}|_{-p}$$

$$\leq M_3 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{(m+n)!} |f_{m+n}|_{p+q} \sqrt{(l+n)!} |g_{l+n}|_{-p}$$

$$\leq M_3 \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (m+n)! |f_{m+n}|_{p+q}^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (l+n)! |g_{l+n}|_{-p}^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq M_3 \| \phi \|_{p+q} \| \psi \|_{-p},$$

where

$$M_3 = M_3(l, m, p, q) = \sup_{n \geq 0} \sqrt{\frac{(m+n)!}{n!}} \sqrt{\frac{(l+n)!}{n!}} C\rho^{qn} < \infty$$

for $q > 0$. Consequently,

$$\| \Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)\phi \|_p \leq M_3 \| \phi \|_{p+q}.$$

This means that $\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)$ is a continuous linear operator on (E).

Conversely, suppose that $\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa) \in \mathscr{L}((E), (E))$. Then, for any $p \geq 0$ there exist $C \geq 0$ and $q \geq 0$ such that

$$\| \Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)\phi \|_p \leq C \| \phi \|_{p+q}. \quad \phi \in (E).$$

Now consider

$$\phi(x) = \langle :x^{\otimes m}:, \zeta \rangle, \quad \psi(x) = \langle :x^{\otimes l}:, \eta \rangle,$$

where $\eta \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes l}$ and $\zeta \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes m}$. By definition we have

$$\langle\!\langle \Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)\phi, \psi \rangle\!\rangle = l! m! \langle \kappa, \eta \otimes \zeta \rangle,$$

and therefore,

$$|\langle \kappa, \eta \otimes \zeta \rangle| \leq \frac{C}{l! m!} \| \phi \|_{p+q} \| \psi \|_{-p} = \frac{C}{\sqrt{l! m!}} |\eta|_{-p} |\zeta|_{p+q}.$$

It then follows from Lemma 2.5 that $\kappa \in (E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes l}) \otimes (E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes m})^*$.   Q.E.D.

The action of $\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)$ on exponential vectors (see (1-9)) is given explicitly.

PROPOSITION 2.7. (1) Let $\kappa \in (E_\mathbf{C}^{\otimes(l+m)})^*$. Then

$$\langle\!\langle \Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)\phi_\xi, \phi_\eta \rangle\!\rangle = \langle \kappa, \eta^{\otimes l} \otimes \xi^{\otimes m} \rangle\, e^{\langle \xi, \eta \rangle}, \quad \xi, \eta \in E_\mathbf{C}.$$

) Let $\kappa \in (E_\mathbf{C}^{\otimes l}) \otimes (E_\mathbf{C}^{\otimes m})^*$ and $K \in \mathscr{L}(E_\mathbf{C}^{\otimes m}, E_\mathbf{C}^{\otimes l})$ the corresponding operator determined as in (2-10). Then

$$\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)\phi_\xi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{n!} \langle :x^{\otimes(l+n)}:, (K\xi^{\otimes m}) \otimes \xi^{\otimes n} \rangle.$$

) For $y \in E^*$ it holds that

$$D_y = \Xi_{0,1}(y) = \int_T y(t)\,\partial_t dt.$$

Proof. (1) We need only to combine (2-7), (1-10) and (1-12).

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\!\langle \Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)\phi_\xi, \phi_\eta \rangle\!\rangle &= \langle \kappa, \langle\!\langle \partial_{t_1}\cdots\partial_{t_m}\phi_\xi, \partial_{s_l}\cdots\partial_{s_1}\phi_\eta \rangle\!\rangle \rangle \\
&= \langle \kappa, \eta(s_1)\cdots\eta(s_l)\xi(t_1)\cdots\xi(t_m) \rangle \langle\!\langle \phi_\xi, \phi_\eta \rangle\!\rangle \\
&= \langle \kappa, \eta^{\otimes l} \otimes \xi^{\otimes m} \rangle\, e^{\langle \xi, \eta \rangle}.
\end{aligned}$$

) Let $\psi(x)$ be the right hand side of the identity to be verified. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\!\langle \psi, \phi_\eta \rangle\!\rangle &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty (l+n)! \left\langle \frac{1}{n!}(K\xi^{\otimes m}) \otimes \xi^{\otimes n}, \frac{1}{(l+n)!}\eta^{\otimes(l+n)} \right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{n!} \langle K\xi^{\otimes m}, \eta^{\otimes l} \rangle \langle \xi, \eta \rangle^n \\
&= \langle \kappa, \eta^{\otimes l} \otimes \xi^{\otimes m} \rangle\, e^{\langle \xi, \eta \rangle}.
\end{aligned}$$

view of (1) we conclude that $\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)\phi_\xi = \psi$.

) It follows from (2) and (1-11) that

$$\Xi_{0,1}(y)\phi_\xi = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{n!} \langle :x^{\otimes n}:, \langle y, \xi \rangle \xi^{\otimes n} \rangle = \langle y, \xi \rangle \phi_\xi = D_y\phi_\xi.$$

herefore $\Xi_{0,1}(y) = D_y$. <div style="text-align:right">Q.E.D.</div>

Remark. During the proof of Theorem 2.6 we have observed the following sult: Let $K \in \mathscr{L}(E_\mathbf{C}^{\otimes m}, E_\mathbf{C}^{\otimes l})$ and $\kappa \in (E_\mathbf{C}^{\otimes l}) \otimes (E_\mathbf{C}^{\otimes m})^*$ be related as in (2-10). $|K\eta|_p \leq C|\eta|_{p+q}$ with some $p \geq 0$, $q > 0$ and $C \geq 0$, then $\|\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)\phi\|_p \leq$ $\|\phi\|_{p+q}$ with some $M \geq 0$. Specializing this result for $\kappa = y \in E^*$ and $\Xi_{0,1}(y)$ D_y, we obtain a result due to Yan [21]: If $|y|_{-p} < \infty$ and $q < p$, then $\|D_y\phi\|_q$ $C\|\phi\|_p$. This is, of course, the same as (1-7).

Combining Proposition 2.4 and Theorem 2.6, we come to the following

THEOREM 2.8.   If $\kappa \in (E_C^{\otimes l}) \otimes (E_C^{\otimes m})^*$, then

$$\varXi_{m,l}(t_{m,l}(\kappa)) = \int_{T^{m+l}} \kappa(s_1, \ldots, s_l, \, t_1, \ldots, t_m) \, \partial_{t_1}^* \cdots \partial_{t_m}^* \partial_{s_1} \cdots \partial_{s_l} dt_1 \cdots dt_m ds_1 \cdots ds_l$$

is extended to a continuous linear operator from $(E)^*$ into itself.

If $\varXi \in \mathscr{L}((E), (E)^*)$ can be extended to a continuous linear operator from $(E)^*$ into itself, the extension is denoted by $\tilde{\varXi}$. For example, D_ξ is extended to $\tilde{D}_\xi \in \mathscr{L}((E)^*, (E)^*)$ whenever $\xi \in E$.

§ 3.   One-parameter groups of transformations in general

In this section $\mathfrak{X}$ denotes a barreled Hausdorff locally convex vector space with defining seminorms $\{\|\cdot\|_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$. Recall that every Fréchet space is such a space, for further information see [20]. Let $GL(\mathfrak{X})$ be the group of linear homeomorphisms from $\mathfrak{X}$ onto itself. We put $\mathscr{L}(\mathfrak{X}) = \mathscr{L}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X})$ for simplicity. Obviously, $GL(\mathfrak{X}) \subset \mathscr{L}(\mathfrak{X})$.

A one-parameter subgroup $\{G_\theta\}_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}} \subset GL(\mathfrak{X})$ is called *differentiable* if $\lim_{\theta \to 0}(G_\theta \xi - \xi)/\theta$ converges in $\mathfrak{X}$ for any $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}$. If $\{G_\theta\}_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}}$ is differentiable, a linear operator X from $\mathfrak{X}$ into itself is defined by

$$(3\text{-}1) \qquad\qquad X\xi = \lim_{\theta \to 0} \frac{G_\theta \xi - \xi}{\theta}, \quad \xi \in \mathfrak{X}.$$

As usual, this operator X is called the *infinitesimal generator* of the differentiable one-parameter subgroup $\{G_\theta\}_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}} \subset GL(\mathfrak{X})$. The next result is immediate from the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, e.g., see [20: Theorem 33.1].

PROPOSITION 3.1.   *Let* $\{G_\theta\}_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}} \subset GL(\mathfrak{X})$ *be a differentiable one-parameter subgroup. Then its infinitesimal generator* X *is always continuous, i.e.,* $X \in \mathscr{L}(\mathfrak{X})$. *Moreover, the convergence* (3-1) *is uniform on every compact subset of* $\mathfrak{X}$, *namely,*

$$(3\text{-}2) \qquad\qquad \lim_{\theta \to 0} \sup_{\xi \in K} \left\| \frac{G_\theta \xi - \xi}{\theta} - X\xi \right\|_\alpha = 0$$

for any $\alpha \in A$ *and any compact subset* $K \subset \mathfrak{X}$.

Remark.   When $\mathfrak{X}$ is a nuclear Fréchet space, every bounded closed subset of $\mathfrak{X}$ is compact. Therefore, in that case the topology of $\mathscr{L}(\mathfrak{X})$ induced from uniform convergence on every compact subset of $\mathfrak{X}$ is equivalent to that of uniform con-

vergence on every bounded subset of $\mathfrak{X}$.

A differetiable one-parameter subgroup is uniquely determined by its infinitesimal generator, namely, we have

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let* $\{G_\theta\}_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}}$ *and* $\{H_\theta\}_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}}$ *be two differentiable one-parameter subgroups of* $GL(\mathfrak{X})$ *with the same infinitesimal generator* X. *Then* $G_\theta = H_\theta$ *for all* $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$.

For the proof we need two straightforward results.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let* $\{G_\theta\}_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}} \subset GL(\mathfrak{X})$ *be a differentiable one-parameter subgroup with infinitesimal generator* X. *Then for any* $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$ *and any* $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}$ *we have*

$$G_\theta X\xi = XG_\theta\xi = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{G_{\theta+\varepsilon}\xi - G_\theta\xi}{\varepsilon}.$$

Moreover, the convergence is uniform on every compact subset in $\mathfrak{X}$.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let* $\{G_\theta\}_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}} \subset GL(\mathfrak{X})$ *be a differentiable one-parameter subgroup. Then,*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{\xi \in K} \| G_{\theta+\varepsilon}\xi - G_\theta\xi \|_\alpha = 0$$

for any $\alpha \in A$ *and any compact subset* $K \subset \mathfrak{X}$.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Let $\xi_0 \in \mathfrak{X}$ be arbitrarily fixed. For simplicity we put $\xi(\theta) = H_{-\theta}\xi_0$. It becomes a differentiable curve in $\mathfrak{X}$ and from Lemma 3.3 we see that

$$\frac{d}{d\theta}\,\xi(\theta) = -\,XH_{-\theta}\xi_0 = -\,X\xi(\theta).$$

Furthermore, $\{G_\theta\xi(\theta)\}_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}}$ is also a differentiable curve in $\mathfrak{X}$. In fact, a simple verification with Lemma 3.4 leads us to the following

$$\frac{d}{d\theta}\,(G_\theta\xi(\theta)) = G_\theta(-\,X\xi(\theta)) + XG_\theta\xi(\theta) = 0, \quad \theta \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Namely, $G_\theta\xi(\theta) = G_0\xi(0) = \xi_0$ for all $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$, and therefore $G_\theta\xi_0 = H_\theta\xi_0$. Since $\xi_0 \in \mathfrak{X}$ is arbitrary, we conclude that $G_\theta = H_\theta$.   Q.E.D.

In general, not every $X \in \mathscr{L}(\mathfrak{X})$ can be an infinitesimal generator of a differentiable one-parameter subgroup of $GL(\mathfrak{X})$. We give here a sufficient condition.

PROPOSITION 3.5.   *Let* $X \in \mathscr{L}(\mathfrak{X})$ *and assume that there exists* $r > 0$ *such that* $\{(rX)^n/n!\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *is equicontinuous, namely, for every* $\alpha \in A$ *there exist* $C = C(\alpha) \geq 0$ *and* $\beta = \beta(\alpha) \in A$ *such that*

$$\sup_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \| (rX)^n \xi \|_\alpha \leq C \| \xi \|_\beta, \quad \xi \in \mathfrak{X}.$$

Then there exists a differentiable one-parameter subgroup $\{G_\theta\}_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}} \subset GL(\mathfrak{X})$ *with infinitesimal generator* X.

Proof.   By assumpiton, the series

$$(3\text{-}3) \qquad G_\theta \xi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta^n}{n!} X^n \xi, \quad \xi \in \mathfrak{X}, \quad | \theta | < r,$$

is convergent in $\mathfrak{X}$ and $\| G_\theta \xi \|_\alpha \leq C(1 - | \theta |/r)^{-1} \| \xi \|_\beta$, namely, $G_\theta \in \mathscr{L}(\mathfrak{X})$ for $| \theta | < r$. Furthermore, $G_0 = I$ and $G_{\theta_1 + \theta_2} = G_{\theta_1} G_{\theta_2}$ whenever $| \theta_1 |$, $| \theta_2 |$, $| \theta_1 + \theta_2 | < r$. We now define G_θ for all $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$. For a given $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$ choose a positive integer n such that $| \theta / n | < r$ and put $G_\theta = (G_{\theta/n})^n$. As is easily seen, this definition is independent of the choice of n, and therefore $G_{\theta_1 + \theta_2} = G_{\theta_1} G_{\theta_2}$ for all $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbf{R}$. Since

$$\left\| \frac{G_\theta \xi - \xi}{\theta} - X\xi \right\|_\alpha \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{| \theta |^{n-1}}{n!} \| X^n \xi \|_\alpha$$

$$\leq | \theta | C r^{-2} \left(1 - \frac{| \theta |}{r} \right)^{-1} \| \xi \|_\beta, \quad | \theta | < r,$$

$\{G_\theta\}_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}}$ is a differentiable one-parameter subgroup of $GL(\mathfrak{X})$ with infinitesimal generator X.   Q.E.D.

During the above proof a somewhat stronger property of $\{G_\theta\}_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}}$ has been observed, cf. (3-2): for any $\alpha \in A$ there exists $\beta \in A$ such that

$$\lim_{\theta \to 0} \sup_{\| \xi \|_\beta \leq 1} \left\| \frac{G_\theta \xi - \xi}{\theta} - X\xi \right\|_\alpha = 0.$$

If a differentiable one-parameter subgroup has this property, we call it *regular*. This notion will be useful when we consider the second quantization of the action of the infinite dimensional rotation group, see the next section.

Remark. For $X \in \mathscr{L}(\mathfrak{X})$ consider the following condition: for any $\alpha \in A$ there exist constant numbers $C \geq 0$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$ and $\beta \in A$ such that

$$\| X^n \xi \|_\alpha \leq C (n!)^\delta \| \xi \|_\beta, \quad \xi \in \mathfrak{X}.$$

This condition is apparently stronger than that in Proposition 3.5. Under this condition G_θ is defined by (3-3) for all $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$.

We end this section with an example. For $y \in E^*$ we defined a differential operator D_y on (E) by the formula (1-6). In a similar way as in (1-7), for $p \geq 0$ and $q > 0$ we obtain

$$\| D_y^n \phi \|_p \leq C(n) \, | y |^n_{-(p+q)} \| \phi \|_{p+q},$$

where

$$C(n) = \sup_{k \geq 0} \sqrt{\frac{(k+n)!}{k!}} \, \rho^{qk} < \infty.$$

By a simple calculation $C(n) \leq n^{n/2}$ whenever $q \geq (-2 \log \rho)^{-1}$. Taking $q > 0$ large enough to hold $| y |_{-(p+q)} < \infty$ too, we obtain

$$\| D_y^n \phi \|_p \leq n^{n/2} \, | y |^n_{-(p+q)} \| \phi \|_{p+q} \leq C (n!)^\delta \| \phi \|_{p+q}$$

for some $C \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \delta < 1$. (In fact, by the Stirling formula we may take any δ with $1/2 < \delta < 1$.) Therefore D_y is an infinitesimal generator of a regular one-parameter subgroup of $GL((E))$. As is expected from (1-8), the one-parameter subgroup is given by $\{T_{\theta y}\}_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}}$, where

$$(T_{\theta y} \phi)(x) = \phi(x + \theta y), \quad \phi \in (E).$$

Furthermore, as a direct consequence of the above Remark, we obtain the Taylor formula for white noise functionals due to Potthoff and Yan [18].

THEOREM 3.6. *For any $y \in E^*$ it holds that*

$$T_y \phi = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{n!} D_y^n \phi, \quad \phi \in (E),$$

where the series is convergent in (E).

§ 4. Infinite dimensional rotations

For $X \in \mathscr{L}(E)$ we introduce two operators $\Gamma(X)$ and $d\Gamma(X)$ on (E). Let $\phi \in (E)$ be given by

$$(4\text{-}1) \qquad \phi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle : x^{\otimes n} :, f_n \rangle, \quad x \in E^*, \quad f_n \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes n},$$

as before, see Proposition 1.1. Then we define

$$(4\text{-}2) \qquad (\Gamma(X)\phi)(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle : x^{\otimes n} :, X^{\otimes n} f_n \rangle,$$

$$(4\text{-}3) \qquad (d\Gamma(X)\phi)(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \langle : x^{\otimes n} :, (X \otimes I^{\otimes (n-1)}) f_n \rangle.$$

It is not difficult to prove that both $\Gamma(X)$ and $d\Gamma(X)$ belong to $\mathscr{L}((E))$. However, it is not clear whether $\{\Gamma(G_\theta)\}$ becomes a differentiable one-parameter subgroup of $GL((E))$ for any differentiable one-parameter subgroup $\{G_\theta\}_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}}$ of $GL(E)$. In this connection we have

THEOREM 4.1. *Let* $\{G_\theta\}_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}}$ *be a regular one-parameter subgroup of* $GL(E)$ *with infinitesimal generator* X. *Then,* $\{\Gamma(G_\theta)\}_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}}$ *is a regular one-parameter subgroup of* $GL((E))$ *with infinitesimal generator* $d\Gamma(X)$.

For the proof we need some inequalities. Suppose that $p \geq 0$ is given. From the regularity of $\{G_\theta\}_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}}$ there exists $q \geq 0$ such that

$$(4\text{-}4) \qquad \lim_{\theta \to 0} \sup_{|\xi|_{p+q} \leq 1} \left| \frac{G_\theta \xi - \xi}{\theta} - X\xi \right|_p = 0.$$

Moreover, we may assume that with some $C \geq 0$,

$$(4\text{-}5) \qquad |X\xi|_p \leq C |\xi|_{p+q},$$

$$(4\text{-}6) \qquad \delta\rho^{q+1} + 2\rho^{q+2} < 1.$$

Suppose next $\varepsilon > 0$ is given. In view of (4-4) there exists $\theta_0 > 0$ such that

$$(4\text{-}7) \qquad \left| \frac{G_\theta \xi - \xi}{\theta} - X\xi \right|_p \leq \varepsilon |\xi|_{p+q}, \quad |\theta| < \theta_0.$$

Furthermore, by (4-6) we may assume

$$(4\text{-}8) \qquad \delta\rho(\varepsilon + C)\theta_0 + \delta\rho^{q+1} + 2\rho^{q+2} < 1.$$

We then obtain

$$(4\text{-}9) \qquad |G_\theta \xi - \xi|_p \leq (\varepsilon + C) |\theta| |\xi|_{p+q}$$

and

$$(4\text{-}10) \qquad\qquad\qquad |\, G_\theta \xi \,|_p \leq M_4 \,|\, \xi \,|_{p+q},$$

where $|\, \theta \,| < \theta_0$ and $M_4 = (\varepsilon + C)\theta_0 + \rho^q$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1.   For simplicity we put

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_n(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} I^{\otimes k} \otimes X \otimes I^{\otimes (n-1-k)}, & n \geq 1, \\ \gamma_0(X) = 0. \end{cases}$$

By a simple calculation we have

$$\frac{G_\theta^{\otimes n} - I^{\otimes n}}{\theta} - \gamma_n(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left\{ I^{\otimes k} \otimes \left(\frac{G_\theta - I}{\theta} - X \right) \otimes G_\theta^{\otimes (n-1-k)} \right\}$$
$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \{ I^{\otimes k} \otimes X \otimes (G_\theta^{\otimes (n-1-k)} - I^{\otimes (n-1-k)}) \},$$

and therefore, for $f_n \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes n}$ it holds that

$$(4\text{-}11) \qquad \left| \frac{G_\theta^{\otimes n} f_n - f_n}{\theta} - \gamma_n(X) f_n \right|_p$$
$$\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left| \left(I^{\otimes k} \otimes \left(\frac{G_\theta - I}{\theta} - X \right) \otimes G_\theta^{\otimes (n-1-k)} \right) f_n \right|_p$$
$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\, (I^{\otimes k} \otimes X \otimes (G_\theta^{\otimes (n-1-k)} - I^{\otimes (n-1-k)})) \, f_n \,|_p.$$

In view of (4-7), (4-10) and Corollary A.4, we obtain

$$\left| \left(I^{\otimes k} \otimes \left(\frac{G_\theta - I}{\theta} - X \right) \otimes G_\theta^{\otimes (n-1-k)} \right) f_n \right|_p$$
$$\leq \varepsilon M_4^{n-1-k} \rho^{1+(q+1)k} \delta^{n-1-k} \,|\, f_n \,|_{p+q+1}$$
$$= \varepsilon \rho (\delta M_4)^{n-1-k} \rho^{(q+1)k} \,|\, f_n \,|_{p+q+1}.$$

Hence,

$$(4\text{-}12) \qquad \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left| \left(I^{\otimes k} \otimes \left(\frac{G_\theta - I}{\theta} - X \right) \otimes G_\theta^{\otimes (n-1-k)} \right) f_n \right|_p$$
$$\leq \varepsilon \rho M_5^{n-1} \,|\, f_n \,|_{p+q+1}$$
$$\leq \varepsilon \rho^2 (\rho M_5)^{n-1} \,|\, f_n \,|_{p+q+2},$$

where $M_5 = \delta M_4 + \rho^{q+1}$. On the other hand, by (4-9), (4-10) and Corollary A.5 we get

$$(4\text{-}13) \quad |\, (G_\theta^{\otimes (n-1-k)} - I^{\otimes (n-1-k)}) \, \omega \,|_p \leq \rho (\varepsilon + C) M_5^{n-2-k} \,|\, \theta \,| \,|\, \omega \,|_{p+q+1}.$$

In view of (4–5), (4–13) and Corollary A.4, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
| \, (I^{\otimes k} \otimes X \otimes (G_\theta^{\otimes (n-1-k)} & - I^{\otimes (n-1-k)})) \, f_n \, |_p. \\
&\leq C \rho \cdot \rho(\varepsilon + C) \, M_5^{n-2-k} \, | \, \theta \, | \, \rho^{n-1-k+(q+2)k} \delta \, | \, f_n \, |_{p+q+2} \\
&= C \delta \rho^2 (\varepsilon + C) \, M_5^{-1} \, (\rho M_5)^{n-1-k} \rho^{(q+2)k} \, | \, \theta \, | \, | \, f_n \, |_{p+q+2} \\
&\leq C \delta \rho^{-q+1}(\varepsilon + C) (\rho M_5)^{n-1-k} \rho^{(q+2)k} \, | \, \theta \, | \, | \, f_n \, |_{p+q+2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used $M_5^{-1} < \rho^{-q-1}$. Therefore we have

$$
(4\text{--}14) \qquad \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} | \, (I^{\otimes k} \otimes X \otimes (G_\theta^{\otimes (n-1-k)} - I^{\otimes (n-1-k)})) \, f_n \, |_p
$$
$$
\leq C \delta \rho^{-q+1}(\varepsilon + C) (\rho M_5 + \rho^{q+2})^{n-1} \, | \, \theta \, | \, | \, f_n \, |_{p+q+2}.
$$

From (4–11), (4–12) and (4–14) we see that

$$
\left| \frac{G_\theta^{\otimes n} f_n - f_n}{\theta} - \gamma_n(X) f_n \right|_p \leq \varepsilon \rho^2 (\rho M_5)^{n-1} \, | \, f_n \, |_{p+q+2}
$$
$$
+ \, C \delta \rho^{-q+1}(\varepsilon + C) (\rho M_5 + \rho^{q+2})^{n-1} \, | \, \theta \, | \, | \, f_n \, |_{p+q+2}.
$$

Since $\rho M_5 < \rho M_5 + \rho^{q+2} < 1$ by (4–8), the last quantity is bounded by

$$
\{\varepsilon \rho^2 (\rho M_5)^{-1} + C \delta \rho^{-q+1}(\varepsilon + C) (\rho M_5 + \rho^{q+2})^{-1} \, | \, \theta \, |\} \, | \, f_n \, |_{p+q+2}
$$
$$
\leq (\varepsilon \rho^{-q} + C \delta(\varepsilon + C) \, \rho^{-2q-1} \, | \, \theta \, |) \, | \, f_n \, |_{p+q+2},
$$

where $M_5^{-1} < \rho^{-q-1}$ is used again. Since $d\Gamma(X) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n(X)$, we conclude that

$$
\left\| \frac{\Gamma(G_\theta)\phi - \phi}{\theta} - d\Gamma(X)\phi \right\|_p \leq (\varepsilon \rho^{-q} + | \, \theta \, | \, C(\varepsilon + C) \delta \rho^{-2q-1}) \, \| \phi \|_{p+q+2},
$$

whenever $| \, \theta \, | < \theta_0$. Consequently,

$$
\lim_{\theta \to 0} \sup_{\|\phi\|_{p+q+2} \leq 1} \left\| \frac{\Gamma(G_\theta)\phi - \phi}{\theta} - d\Gamma(X)\phi \right\|_p = 0,
$$

which completes the proof.   Q.E.D.

We are now going to a discussion on the infinite rotation group. Following Yoshizawa [23] a linear homeomorphism $g \in GL(E)$ is called a *rotation* of E if $| \, g\xi \, |_0 = | \, \xi \, |_0$, i.e., if it can be extended to an orthogonal operator on $H = L^2(T, \nu; \mathbf{R})$. Let $O(E; H)$ denote the group of all rotations of E. Obviously, it is a subgroup of $GL(E)$.

It is noted that $(\Gamma, (L^2))$ is a unitary representation of $O(E; H)$. In fact,

$$
(\Gamma(g)\phi)(x) = \phi(g^*x), \quad \phi \in (L^2), \, x \in E^*,
$$

where $g^* x$ is defined by

$$\langle x, g\xi \rangle = \langle g^* x, \xi \rangle, \quad x \in E^*, \xi \in E.$$

Let $U((E); (L^2))$ be the group of unitary operators on (L^2) which is defined similarly as $O(E; H)$. It then follows that $\Gamma(g) \in U((E); (L^2))$ for any $g \in O(E; H)$.

Let $\{G_\theta\}_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}}$ be a differentiable one-parameter subgroup of $O(E; H)$ with infinitesimal generator X. As is easily seen, X is skew-symmetric in the sense that

$$(4\text{-}15) \qquad \langle X\xi, \eta \rangle = - \langle \xi, X\eta \rangle, \quad \xi, \eta \in E.$$

PROPOSITION 4.2.   *Let X be a continuous operator on E which is skew-symmetric in the sense of (4-15). Then there exists a skew-symmetric distribution $\kappa \in E \otimes E^*$ such that*

$$(4\text{-}16) \qquad d\Gamma(X) = \int_{T \times T} \kappa(s, t)(\partial_s^* \partial_t - \partial_t^* \partial_s) \, ds dt.$$

Proof.   Consider

$$(4\text{-}17) \qquad \kappa = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \langle e_i, Xe_j \rangle e_i \otimes e_j.$$

Since X is continuous, there exist $q \geq 0$ and $C > 0$ such that $|X\xi|_0 \leq C|\xi|_q$. Hence,

$$|\langle e_i, Xe_j \rangle| \leq |e_i|_0 |Xe_j|_0 \leq C|e_j|_q = C\lambda_j^q$$

and $\kappa \in (E \otimes E)^*$. Moreover, by a direct calculation, we have

$$(4\text{-}18) \qquad \langle \kappa, \eta \otimes \zeta \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \eta, X\zeta \rangle.$$

This shows that $\kappa \in E \otimes E^*$ and that κ is skew-symmetric. The right hand side of (4-15) is, therefore, equal to $2\Xi_{1,1}(\kappa)$ which is a continuous operator on (E) by Theorem 2.6. Since $d\Gamma(X)$ is also continuous, we need only to show that $2\Xi_{1,1}(\kappa)\phi_\xi = d\Gamma(X)\phi_\xi$ for exponential vectors $\phi_\xi \in (E)$ defined as in (1-9). By (4-3) we have

$$(d\Gamma(X)\phi_\xi)(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \langle : x^{\otimes n} :, (X\xi) \otimes \xi^{\otimes(n-1)} \rangle$$

and therefore

$$\langle\!\langle d\Gamma(X)\phi_\xi, \phi_\eta \rangle\!\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n! \frac{1}{(n-1)!n!} \langle (X\xi) \otimes \xi^{\otimes(n-1)}, \eta^{\otimes n} \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \langle X\xi, \eta \rangle \langle \xi, \eta \rangle^{n-1}$$

$$= \langle X\xi, \eta \rangle\, e^{\langle\xi,\eta\rangle}.$$

On the other hand, in view of Proposition 2.7 and (4-18) we have

$$2\langle\!\langle \Xi_{1,1}(\kappa)\phi_\xi, \phi_\eta \rangle\!\rangle = 2\langle \kappa, \eta \otimes \xi \rangle\, e^{\langle\xi,\eta\rangle} = (\eta, X\xi)\, e^{\langle\xi,\eta\rangle}.$$

This completes the proof.   Q.E.D.

In view of Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 4.2 we obtain the following

THEOREM 4.3. *Let X be an infinitesimal generator of a regular one-parameter subgroup of $O(E; H)$. Then, there exists a skew-symmetric distribution $\kappa \in E \otimes E^*$ such that*

$$d\Gamma(X) = \int_{T \times T} \kappa(s, t)(\partial_s^* \partial_t - \partial_t^* \partial_s)\, dsdt.$$

For a fixed $t \in T$ we define $\Phi_t \in (E)^*$ by

$$\langle\!\langle \Phi_t, \phi \rangle\!\rangle = f_1(t)$$

for $\phi \in (E)$ given as in (4-1). It is convenient to use a somewhat formal notation $x(t) = \Phi_t(x)$ which is regarded as a coordinate function in white noise calculus. Note that a product $\Phi\phi = \phi\Phi \in (E)^*$ is defined for $\Phi \in (E)^*$ and $\phi \in (E)$ in a usual manner.

PROPOSITION 4.4. $x(t)\phi(x) = (\partial_t + \partial_t^*)\phi(x)$ *for any* $\phi \in (E)$.

The proof is direct, see e.g., [10], [12]. We can thereby regard

$$\partial_s^* \partial_t - \partial_t^* \partial_s = (\partial_s^* + \partial_s)\partial_t - (\partial_t^* + \partial_t)\partial_s = x(s)\partial_t - x(t)\partial_s$$

as a direct analog of an infinitesimal generator of finite dimensional rotations. Therefore Theorem 4.3 is a direct extension of a well-known fact on finite dimensional rotations to the white noise case.

§ 5. Infinite dimensional Laplacians

We now discuss rotation-invariance of infinite dimensional Laplacians as a

simple application of a general theory established in the previous sections.

The distribution $\tau \in (E \otimes E)^*$ is already defined in (1-4) and, in view of Theorem 2.6, we see that

$$\Xi_{0,2}(\tau) = \int_{T \times T} \tau(s, t) \partial_s \partial_t \, dsdt = \Delta_G$$

becomes a continuous operator on (E). On the other hand, note that $\tau \in E \otimes E^*$. In fact, since $\langle \tau, \eta \otimes \zeta \rangle = \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle$ for $\eta, \zeta \in E$, the corresponding operator in $\mathscr{L}(E)$ is nothing but the identity (see (2-10)). Hence, using Theorem 2.6 again, we observe that

$$\Xi_{1,1}(\tau) = \int_{T \times T} \tau(s, t) \partial_s^* \partial_t \, dsdt = N$$

is also a continuous operator on (E). These operators are called the *Gross Laplacian* and the *number operator*, respectively. Note that $\Delta_B = -N$ is often called the *Beltrami Laplacian*, see e.g. [12]. In fact, with the help of Proposition 2.7, for an exponential vector ϕ_ξ, $\xi \in E_{\mathbf{C}}$, we obtain

$$\Xi_{0,2}(\tau) \phi_\xi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle :x^{\otimes n}:, \langle \tau, \xi \otimes \xi \rangle \xi^{\otimes n} \rangle$$
$$= \langle \xi, \xi \rangle \, \phi_\xi(x) = \Delta_G \phi_\xi(x)$$

and

$$\Xi_{1,1}(\tau) \phi_\xi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle :x^{\otimes (n+1)}:, \xi^{\otimes (n+1)} \rangle$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \left\langle :x^{\otimes n}:, \frac{\xi^{\otimes n}}{n!} \right\rangle = N\phi_\xi.$$

In this section we characterize Δ_G and N among quadratic forms of operators ∂_t and ∂_t^* in terms of rotation invariance. The main assertions are the following.

THEOREM 5.1. *If*

$$\Xi_{0,2}(\lambda) = \int_{T \times T} \lambda(s, t) \partial_s \partial_t \, dsdt. \quad \lambda \in (E_{\mathbf{C}} \otimes E_{\mathbf{C}})^*,$$

is invariant under $O(E; H)$, *then it is a constant multiple of the Gross Laplacian.*

THEOREM 5.2. *If*

$$\varXi_{1,1}(\lambda) = \int_{T \times T} \lambda(s,\ t) \partial_s^* \partial_t\ dsdt, \quad \lambda \in E_{\mathbf{C}} \otimes E_{\mathbf{C}}^*,$$

is invariant under $O(E;H)$, then it is a constant multiple of the number operator.

First note that if a continuous operator $\varXi$ on (E) is invariant under $O(E;H)$ then

(5-1) $[\varXi,\ d\Gamma(X)] = 0$

for any infinitesimal genarator X of a regular one-parameter subgroup $\{G_\theta\}_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}} \subset O(E;H)$. In fact, with the help of Theorem 4.1 one can differentiate at $\theta = 0$ the identity $\Gamma(G_\theta)\varXi\phi = \varXi\Gamma(G_\theta)\phi,\ \phi \in (E)$, to obtain (5-1).

LEMMA 5.3.   *Let $\lambda \in (E_{\mathbf{C}} \otimes E_{\mathbf{C}})^*$ and X an infinitesimal generator of a regular one-parameter subgroup of $O(E;H)$. Then, for an exponential vector ϕ_ξ, $\xi \in E_{\mathbf{C}}$, we have*

$$[\varXi_{0,2}(\lambda),\ d\Gamma(X)]\phi_\xi = 2\langle \hat{\lambda},\ X\xi \otimes \xi \rangle\ \phi_\xi,$$

where $\hat{\lambda}$ is the symmetrization of λ.

LEMMA 5.4.   *Let $\lambda \in E_{\mathbf{C}} \otimes E_{\mathbf{C}}^*$ and X an infinitesimal generator of a regular one-parameter subgroup of $O(E;H)$. Then, we have*

$$[\varXi_{1,1}(\lambda),\ d\Gamma(X)] = -\ d\Gamma([X,\ L]),$$

where L is a continuous operator on $E_{\mathbf{C}}$ defined by $\langle \lambda,\ \xi \otimes \eta \rangle = \langle \xi,\ L\eta \rangle$, $\xi,\ \eta \in E_{\mathbf{C}}$.

First we note that $d\Gamma(X) = 2\varXi_{1,1}(\kappa)$, where $\kappa \in E \otimes E^*$ is given as in (4-15). Then, for the proofs of the above lemmas we need only to apply Proposition 2.7. It is noteworthy that $\varXi_{1,1}(\lambda) = d\Gamma(L)$ for λ and L being the same as in Lemma 5.4.

Proof of Theorem 5.1.   Suppose that $\varXi_{0,2}(\lambda)$ is invariant under $O(E;H)$. It then follows from Lemma 5.3 that

$$\langle \hat{\lambda},\ X\xi \otimes \xi \rangle = 0, \quad \xi \in E_{\mathbf{C}},$$

for any infinitesimal generator of a regular one-parameter subgroup of $O(E;H)$. Suppose that $i \neq j$ are arbitrarily fixed non-negative integers and define X as

$$(5\text{-}2) \qquad \begin{cases} Xe_i = e_j \\ Xe_j = -e_i \\ Xe_k = 0, \qquad k \neq i,j. \end{cases}$$

Then we obtain

$$0 = \langle \hat{\lambda}, Xe_i \otimes e_i \rangle = \langle \hat{\lambda}, e_j \otimes e_i \rangle$$

and

$$0 = \langle \hat{\lambda}, X(e_i + e_j) \otimes (e_i + e_j) \rangle = \langle \hat{\lambda}, Xe_i \otimes e_j + Xe_j \otimes e_i \rangle$$
$$= \langle \hat{\lambda}, e_j \otimes e_j \rangle - \langle \hat{\lambda}, e_i \otimes e_i \rangle.$$

Hence $\langle \hat{\lambda}, e_i \otimes e_i \rangle = c$ is independent of $i = 0,1,2,\ldots$ and $\langle \hat{\lambda}, e_i \otimes e_j \rangle = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Therefore $\hat{\lambda} = c\tau$ and we conclude that $\Xi_{0,2}(\lambda) = \Xi_{0,2}(\hat{\lambda}) = \Xi_{0,2}(c\tau) = c\Delta_G$.

Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 5.2. Suppose that $\Xi_{1,1}(\lambda)$ is invariant under $O(E; H)$. It then follows from Lemma 5.4 that $d\Gamma([X, L]) = 0$, and therefore $[X, L] = 0$. Let X be the same as in (5-2). Then, for $k \neq i, j$ we have

$$0 = LXe_k = XLe_k = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \langle Le_k, e_l \rangle Xe_l$$
$$= \langle Le_k, e_i \rangle Xe_i + \langle Le_k, e_j \rangle Xe_j$$
$$= \langle Le_k, e_i \rangle e_j - \langle Le_k, e_j \rangle e_i.$$

Therefore, $\langle Le_k, e_i \rangle = \langle Le_k, e_j \rangle = 0$. In other words,

$$\langle \lambda, e_i \otimes e_j \rangle = \langle e_i, Le_j \rangle = 0 \quad i \neq j.$$

On the other hand,

$$\langle Le_i, e_i \rangle = -\langle LXe_j, e_i \rangle = -\langle XLe_j, e_i \rangle$$
$$= \langle Le_j, Xe_i \rangle = \langle Le_j, e_j \rangle.$$

Namely, $\langle \lambda, e_i \otimes e_i \rangle = \langle e_i, Le_i \rangle = c$ is independent of $i = 0,1,2,\ldots$. Therefore $\lambda = c\tau$ and $\Xi_{1,1}(\lambda) = \Xi_{1,1}(c\tau) = cN$.   Q.E.D.

Remark. During the above discussion we used only a subgroup of $O(E; H)$ consisting of rotations which act identically on the subspace spanned by $\{e_n, e_{n+1}, \ldots\}$ for some $n = 0,1,2, \ldots$. This group is sometimes denoted by O_∞ and is an inductive limit of $O(n)$. It is also interesting to consider another subgroups, for example, a group of transformations of T which is naturally imbedded

in $O(E; H)$. In general, a one-parameter subgroup of $O(E; H)$ arising from transformations on T is called a *whisker* and plays an interesting role in a study of symmetry of Brownian motion, in this connection see [4], [14], [23].

Appendix. Some inequalities

PROPOSITION A.1.   Let $K \in \mathcal{L}(E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes m}, E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes l})$ such that $| K\eta |_p \leq C | \eta |_{p+q}$, $\eta \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes m}$, for some $p, q \geq 0$ and $C \geq 0$. Then, for any $n \geq 0$,

$$| (K \otimes I^{\otimes n}) f_{m+n} |_p \leq C \rho^{qn} | f_{m+n} |_{p+q}, \quad f_{m+n} \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes (m+n)}.$$

Proof.   By Fourier expansion we have

$$f_{m+n} = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n=0}^{\infty} g_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \otimes e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_n},$$

where $g_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes m}$ and

$$| f_{m+n} |_r^2 = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{i_1}^{2r} \cdots \lambda_{i_n}^{2r} | g_{i_1, \dots, i_n} |_r^2, \quad r \geq 0.$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
| (K \otimes I^{\otimes n}) f_{m+n} |_p^2 &= | \sum K g_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \otimes e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_n} |_p^2 \\
&= \sum \lambda_{i_1}^{2p} \cdots \lambda_{i_n}^{2p} | K g_{i_1, \dots, i_n} |_p^2 \\
&\leq \sum \lambda_{i_1}^{2p} \cdots \lambda_{i_n}^{2p} C^2 | g_{i_1, \dots, i_n} |_{p+q}^2 \\
&= C^2 \sum \lambda_{i_1}^{-2q} \cdots \lambda_{i_n}^{-2q} \lambda_{i_1}^{2(p+q)} \cdots \lambda_{i_n}^{2(p+q)} | g_{i_1, \dots, i_n} |_{p+q}^2 \\
&\leq C^2 \rho^{2qn} | f_{m+n} |_{p+q}^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used $1 < \rho^{-1} = \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots$.   Q.E.D.

COROLLARY A.2.   For $f_{m+n} \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes (m+n)}$ and $p \geq 0$, we have

$$| ((A^p)^{\otimes m} \otimes I^{\otimes n}) f_{m+n} |_0 \leq \rho^{pn} | f_{m+n} |_p.$$

PROPOSITION A.3.   For $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$, let $K_i \in \mathcal{L}(E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes m_i}, E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes l_i})$. Assume that $| K_i \xi_i |_p \leq C_i | \xi_i |_q$, $\xi_i \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes m_i}$ for some $p, q \geq 0$ and $C_i \geq 0$. Then, for any i we have

$$| (K_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes K_d) \omega |_p \leq C_1 \cdots C_d \rho^{m_i} \delta^{m - m_i} | \omega |_{q+1}, \quad \omega \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes m},$$

where $m = m_1 + \cdots + m_d$.

Remark. Putting $m_i = \max(m_1, \cdots, m_d)$, we obtain the best estimate. Since $\rho < \delta$, we have

$$| (K_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes K_d)\omega |_p \leq C_1 \cdots C_d \delta^m | \omega |_{q+1}. \quad \omega \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes m}.$$

This is also useful.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the inequality for $i = 1$. Let $\mathscr{B}_i$ be the basis of $E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes m_i}$, namely,

$$\mathscr{B}_i = \{f_i = e_{j(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{j(m_i)}; j(1), \cdots, j(m_i) \geq 0\}.$$

Then, each $\omega \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes m}$ is expressed as

$$\omega = \sum_{\substack{f_i \in \mathscr{B}_i \\ 2 \leq i \leq d}} g(f_2, \cdots, f_d) \otimes f_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_d,$$

where $g(f_2, \cdots, f_d) \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes m_1}$ and

$$| \omega |_r^2 = \sum | g(f_2, \ldots, f_d) |_r^2 | f_2 |_r^2 \cdots | f_d |_r^2.$$

Then, using the Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$| (K_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes K_d)\omega |_p^2 \leq (\sum | K_1 g(f_2, \ldots, f_d) |_p | K_2 f_2 |_p \cdots | K_d f_d |_p)^2$$
$$\leq C_1^2 \cdots C_d^2 (\sum | g(f_2, \ldots, f_d) |_q | f_2 |_q \cdots | f_d |_q)^2$$
$$\leq C_1^2 \cdots C_d^2 (\sum | g(f_2, \cdots, f_d) |_{q+1}^2 | f_2 |_{q+1}^2 \cdots | f_d |_{q+1}^2)$$
$$\times (\sum | g(f_2, \ldots, f_d) |_{q+1}^{-2} | g(f_2, \ldots, f_d) |_q^2 | f_2 |_{q+1}^{-2} | f_2 |_q^2 \cdots | f_d |_{q+1}^{-2} | f_d |_q^2).$$

Since $| g(f_2, \ldots, f_d) |_q \leq \rho^{m_1} | g(f_2, \ldots, f_d) |_{q+1}$, we obtain

$$| (K_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes K_d)\omega |_p^2 \leq C_1^2 \cdots C_d^2 | \omega |_{q+1}^2 \rho^{2m_1} \prod_{i=2}^{d} \sum_{f_i \in \mathscr{B}_i} \left(\frac{| f_i |_q}{| f_i |_{q+1}} \right)^2.$$

If $f_i = e_{j(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{j(m_i)}$, we have $| f_i |_q = (\lambda_{j(1)} \cdots \lambda_{j(m_i)})^q$, and therefore

$$\sum_{f_i \in \mathscr{B}_i} \left(\frac{| f_i |_q}{| f_i |_{q+1}} \right)^2 = \sum_{j(1), \ldots, j(m_i) = 0}^{\infty} (\lambda_{j(1)} \cdots \lambda_{j(m_i)})^{-2} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{-2} \right)^{m_i} = \delta^{2m_i}.$$

Consequently,

$$| (K_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes K_d)\omega |_p \leq C_1 \cdots C_d | \omega |_{q+1} \rho^{m_1} \delta^{m_2 + \cdots + m_d}.$$

This proves the assertion. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY A.4. *For $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$, let $K_i \in \mathscr{L}(E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes m_i}, E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes l_i})$. Assume that $|K_i \xi_i|_p \leq C_i |\xi_i|_{p+q}$, $\xi_i \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes m_i}$, for some $p, q \geq 0$ and $C_i \geq 0$. Then, for any i we have*

$$| (I^{\otimes k} \otimes K_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes K_d) \omega |_p \leq C_1 \cdots C_d \rho^{m_i + (q+1)k} \delta^{m-m_i} | \omega |_{p+q+1}, \quad \omega \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes (k+m)},$$

where $m = m_1 + \cdots + m_d$.

Proof. Immediate from Propositions A.1 and A.3.   Q.E.D.

COROLLARY A.5. *Let $B \in \mathscr{L}(E_{\mathbf{C}})$ be such that $|B\xi|_p \leq C_1 |\xi|_{p+q}$ and $|(B - I)\xi|_p \leq C_2 |\xi|_{p+q}$. Then,*

$$| (B^{\otimes n} - I^{\otimes n}) f_n |_p \leq \rho C_2 (\delta C_1 + \rho^{q+1})^{n-1} | f |_{p+q+1}, \quad f_n \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes n}.$$

Proof. We need only simple calculation and Corollary A.4.

$$| (B^{\otimes n} - I^{\otimes n}) f_n |_p \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} | B^{\otimes (n-1-k)} \otimes (B - I) \otimes I^{\otimes k} f_n |_p$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C_1^{n-1-k} C_2 \rho^{1+(q+1)k} \delta^{n-1-k} | f_n |_{p+q+1}$$

$$\leq \rho C_2 (\delta C_1 + \rho^{q+1})^{n-1} | f_n |_{p+q+1}.$$

This completes the proof.   Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

[1] Yu. M. Berezansky and Yu. G. Kondrat'ev, "Spectral Methods in Infinite Dimensional Analysis," (in Russian), Kiev, 1988.

[2] T. Hida, "Analysis of Brownian Functionals," Carleton Math. Lect. Notes Vol. 13, Carleton University, Ottawa, 1975.

[3] T. Hida, "Brownian Motion," Springer-Verlag, 1980.

[4] T. Hida, I. Kubo, H. Nomoto and H. Yoshizawa, On projective invariance of Brownian Motion, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. Ser. A., **4** (1969), 595–609.

[5] T. Hida, H.-H. Kuo, J. Potthoff and L. Streit, "White Noise: An Infinite Dimensional Calculus," Monograph in preparation.

[6] T. Hida, K.-S. Lee and S.-S. Lee, Conformal invariance of white noise, Nagoya Math. J., **98** (1985), 87–98.

[7] T. Hida and J. Potthoff, White noise analysis—An overview, in "White Noise Analysis (T. Hida et al. Eds.)," World Scientific, Singapore/New Jersey/London/Hong Kong, 1990, pp. 140–165.

[8] T. Hida and K. Saitô, White noise analysis and the Lévy Laplacian, in "Stochastic Processes in Physics and Engineering (S. Albeverio et al. Eds.)," D. Reidel Pub., Dordrecht/Boston/Lancaster/Tokyo, 1988, pp. 177–184.

)] P. Krée, La théorie des distributions en dimension quelconque et l'intégration stochastique, in "Stochastic Analysis and Related Topics (H. Korezlioglu and A. S. Ustunel Eds.)," Lect. Notes in Math. Vol. 1316, Springer-Verlag, 1988, pp. 170−233.

0] I. Kubo and S. Takenaka, Calculus on Gaussian white noise I-IV, Proc. Japan Acad., **56A** (1980), 376−380; 411−416; **57A** (1981), 433−437; **58A** (1982), 186−189.

1] I. Kubo and Y. Yokoi, A remark on the space of testing random variables in the white noise calculus, Nagoya Math. J., **115** (1989), 139−149.

2] H.-H. Kuo, On Laplacian operators of generalized Brownian functionals, in "Stochastic Processes and Their Applications (K. Itô and T. Hida Eds.)," Lect. Notes in Math. Vol. 1203, Springer-Verlag, 1986, pp. 119−128.

3] H.-H. Kuo, N. Obata and K. Saitô, Lévy Laplacian of generalized functions on a nuclear space, J. Funct. Anal., **94** (1990), 74−92.

4] K.-S. Lee, White noise approach to Gaussian random field, Nagoya Math. J., **119** (1990), 93−106.

5] P. Lévy, "Problèmes Concrets d'Analyse Fonctionnelle," Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1951.

6] P. A. Meyer, Distributions, noyaux, symboles d'après Krée, in "Séminaire de Probabilités XXII (J. Azéma et al. Eds.)," Lect. Notes in Math. Vol. 1321, Springer-Verlag, 1988, pp. 467−476.

7] N. Obata, A characterization of the Lévy Laplacian in terms of infinite dimensional rotation groups, Nagoya Math. J., **118** (1990), 111−132.

8] J. Potthoff and J.-A. Yan, Some results about test and generalized functionals of white noise, in "Probability Theory (L. H. Y. Chen et al. Eds.)," Walter de Gruyter, Berlin/New York, 1992, pp. 121−145.

9] K. Saitô, Itô's formula and Lévy's Laplacian, Nagoya Math. J., **108** (1987), 67−76; II, ibid., **123** (1991), 153−169.

0] F. Treves, "Topological Vector Spaces, Distributions and Kernels," Academic Press, New York/London, 1967.

1] J.-A. Yan, Products and transforms of white noise functionals, preprint (1990).

2] Y. Yokoi, Positive generalized white noise functionals, Hiroshima Math. J., **20** (1990), 137−157.

3] H. Yoshizawa, Rotation group of Hilbert space and its application to Brownian motion, in "Proc. International Conference on Functional Analysis and Related Topics," University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1970, pp. 414−423.

. Hida and K. Saitô
epartment of Mathematics
'eijo University
agoya 468, Japan

. Obata
epartment of Mathematics
chool of Science
agoya University
agoya 464-01, Japan