

**A CHARACTERIZATION OF COMPLETE
RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS MINIMALLY
IMMERSED IN THE UNIT SPHERE***

QING-MING CHENG

§1. Introduction

Let M^n be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold minimally immersed in the unit sphere $S^{n+p}(1)$ of dimension $n+p$. When M^n is compact, Chern, do Carmo and Kobayashi [1] proved that if the square $\|h\|^2$ of length of the second fundamental form h in M^n is not more than $\frac{n}{2-1/p}$, then either M^n is totally geodesic, or M^n is the Veronese surface in $S^4(1)$ or M^n is the Clifford torus $S^k(\sqrt{k/n}) \times S^{n-k}(\sqrt{(n-k)/n})$ in $S^{n+1}(1)$ ($0 < k < n$).

In this paper, we generalize the results due to Chern, do Carmo and Kobayashi [1] to complete Riemannian manifolds.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to express his gratitude to Professors K. Shiohama and H. Nakagawa for their valuable suggestions.

§2. Preliminaries

Let M^n be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold which is minimally immersed in the unit sphere $S^{n+p}(1)$ of dimension $n+p$. Then the second fundamental form h of the immersion is given by $h(X, Y) = \bar{\nabla}_X Y - \nabla_X Y$ and it satisfies $h(X, Y) = h(Y, X)$, where $\bar{\nabla}$ and ∇ denote the covariant differentiations on $S^{n+p}(1)$ and M^n respectively, X and Y are vector fields on M^n . We choose a local field of orthonormal frames e_1, \dots, e_{n+p} in $S^{n+p}(1)$ such that, restricted to M^n , the vectors e_1, \dots, e_n are tangent to M^n . We use the following convention on the range of indices unless otherwise stated: $A, B, C, \dots = 1, 2, \dots, n+p$; $i, j, k, \dots = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$; $\alpha, \beta, \dots = n+1, \dots, n+p$. We agree the

Received November 21, 1988.

* The project Supported by NNSFC.

repeated indices under a summation sign without indication are summed over the respective range. With respect to the frame field of $S^{n+p}(1)$ chosen above, let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n+p}$ be the dual frame. Then the structure equations of $S^{n+p}(1)$ are given by

$$(2.1) \quad d\omega_A = \sum \omega_{AB} \wedge \omega_B, \quad \omega_{AB} + \omega_{BA} = 0,$$

$$(2.2) \quad d\omega_{AB} = \sum \omega_{AC} \wedge \omega_{CB} - \omega_A \wedge \omega_B.$$

Restricting these forms to M^n , we have the structure equations of the immersion:

$$(2.3) \quad \omega_\alpha = 0,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \omega_{i\alpha} = \sum h_{ij}^\alpha \omega_j, \quad h_{ij} = h_{ji},$$

$$(2.5) \quad d\omega_i = \sum \omega_{ij} \wedge \omega_j, \quad \omega_{ij} + \omega_{ji} = 0,$$

$$(2.6) \quad d\omega_{ij} = \sum \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} - \Omega_{ij}, \quad \Omega_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sum R_{ijkl} \omega_k \wedge \omega_l,$$

$$(2.7) \quad R_{ijkl} = (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} - \delta_{il} \delta_{jk}) + \sum (h_{ik}^\alpha h_{jl}^\alpha - h_{il}^\alpha h_{jk}^\alpha),$$

$$(2.8) \quad d\omega_{\alpha\beta} = \sum \omega_{\alpha\gamma} \wedge \omega_{\gamma\beta} - \Omega_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \Omega_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \sum R_{\alpha\beta ij} \omega_i \wedge \omega_j,$$

$$(2.9) \quad R_{\alpha\beta ij} = \sum (h_{ik}^\alpha h_{kj}^\beta - h_{jk}^\alpha h_{ki}^\beta).$$

Then, the second fundamental form h can be written as

$$(2.10) \quad h(e_i, e_j) = \sum h_{ij}^\alpha e_\alpha.$$

We denote the square of the length of h by $\|h\|^2$. Then $\|h\|^2$ is intrinsic and given by $\|h\|^2 = n(n-1) - R$, where R is the scalar curvature. If we define h_{ijk}^α by

$$(2.11) \quad \sum h_{ijk}^\alpha \omega_k = dh_{ij}^\alpha + \sum h_{jk}^\alpha \omega_{ki} + \sum h_{ik}^\alpha \omega_{kj} + \sum h_{ij}^\beta \omega_{\beta\alpha},$$

then, from (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), we have $h_{ijk}^\alpha = h_{ikj}^\alpha$.

In this paper, we denote the image of the immersion by M^n for simplicity.

LEMMA 1 (cf. [2]). *Let M^n be a Riemannian manifold minimally immersed in $S^{n+p}(1)$. Then for any unit vector v on M^n ,*

$$(2.12) \quad \text{Ric}(v, v) \geq \frac{n-1}{n} (n - \|h\|^2),$$

where $\text{Ric}(v, v)$ denotes the Ricci curvature in the v direction.

LEMMA 2 (cf. [3]). *Let M^n be a complete Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature bounded from below. Let f be a C^2 -function bounded from above on M^n , then for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a point x in M^n such that at x ,*

$$(2.13) \quad f(x) > \sup f - \varepsilon,$$

$$(2.14) \quad \|\nabla f\| < \varepsilon,$$

$$(2.15) \quad \Delta f < \varepsilon.$$

§3. Main results

THEOREM 1. *Let M^n be an n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold minimally immersed in the unit sphere $S^{n+p}(1)$ of dimension $n + p$. Then either M^n is totally geodesic and M^n is globally isometric to $S^n(1)$, or $\inf R \leq n(n - 1) - \frac{n}{2 - 1/p}$.*

Proof. Following the computation in [1], we have

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta \|h\|^2 = \sum (h_{ijk}^\alpha)^2 - K_N - L_N + n \|h\|^2.$$

Because

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{ij} \left(\sum_k (h_{ik}^\alpha h_{kj}^\beta - h_{jk}^\alpha h_{ki}^\beta) \right)^2 \leq 2 \sum_{ij} (h_{ij}^\alpha)^2 \sum_{ij} (h_{ij}^\beta)^2,$$

we get

$$(3.3) \quad K_N = \sum_k \left(\sum_{ik} (h_{ik}^\alpha h_{kj}^\beta - h_{jk}^\alpha h_{ki}^\beta) \right)^2 \\ \leq 2 \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \sum_{ij} (h_{ij}^\alpha)^2 \sum_{ij} (h_{ij}^\beta)^2 = 2 \|h\|^4 - 2 \sum_{ij} (\sum_{ij} (h_{ij}^\alpha)^2)^2.$$

(3.1) and (3.3) imply

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta \|h\|^2 \geq \|h\|^2 \left[n - \left(2 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \|h\|^2 \right].$$

1) If $\inf R \leq n(n - 1) - \frac{n}{2 - 1/p}$, then Theorem 1 is true.

2) If $\inf R > n(n - 1) - \frac{n}{2 - 1/p}$, then $R > n(n - 1) - \frac{n}{2 - 1/p}$. We

have

$$(3.5) \quad \|h\|^2 = n(n-1) - R < \frac{n}{2 - 1/p}.$$

Hence, $\|h\|^2$ is bounded. According to Lemma 1, we know that the Ricci curvature of M^n is bounded from below. In fact, from (2.12) and (3.5), we have, for any unit vector v ,

$$\text{Ric}(v, v) \geq \frac{n-1}{n} (n - \|h\|^2) \geq (n-1) \left[1 - \frac{1}{2 - 1/p} \right].$$

We define $f = \|h\|^2$, $F = (f + a)^{1/2}$ (where $a > 0$ is any positive constant number). F is bounded because $\|h\|^2$ is bounded.

$$dF = \frac{1}{2} (f + a)^{-1/2} df,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta F &= \frac{1}{2} \left[-\frac{1}{2} (f + a)^{-3/2} \|df\|^2 + (f + a)^{-1/2} \Delta f \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [-2 \|dF\|^2 + \Delta f] (f + a)^{-1/2} = \frac{1}{2F} [-2 \|dF\|^2 + \Delta f]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $F\Delta F = -\|dF\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \Delta f$, namely,

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta f = F\Delta F + \|dF\|^2.$$

Applying the Lemma 2 to F , we have for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a point x in M^n such that at x ,

$$(3.7) \quad \|dF(x)\| < \varepsilon,$$

$$(3.8) \quad \Delta F(x) < \varepsilon,$$

$$(3.9) \quad F(x) > \sup F - \varepsilon.$$

(3.6), (3.7) and (3.8) imply

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta f < \varepsilon^2 + F\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\varepsilon + F) \quad (\text{by } F > 0).$$

We take a sequence $\{\varepsilon_m\}$ such that $\varepsilon_m \rightarrow 0$ ($m \rightarrow \infty$) and for all m , there exists a point x_m in M^n such that (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9) hold good. Hence, $\varepsilon_m(\varepsilon_m + F(x_m)) \rightarrow 0$ ($m \rightarrow \infty$) because F is bounded.

On the other hand, from (3.9),

$$F(x_m) > \sup F - \varepsilon_m.$$

Since F is bounded, $\{F(x_m)\}$ is a bounded sequence, and we get

$$F(x_m) \rightarrow F_0,$$

if necessary, we can choose subsequence. Hence,

$$F_0 \geq \sup F.$$

According to the definition of supremum, we have

$$(3.11) \quad F_0 = \sup F.$$

From the definition of F , we get

$$(3.12) \quad f(x_m) \rightarrow f_0 = \sup f \quad (\text{by } F_0 = \sup F).$$

From (3.4) and (3.10), we obtain

$$f[n - (2 - 1/p)f] \leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta f < \varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon F,$$

$$f(x_m)[n - (2 - 1/p)f(x_m)] < \varepsilon_m^2 + \varepsilon_m F(x_m) \leq \varepsilon_m^2 + \varepsilon_m F_0.$$

Let $m \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\varepsilon_m \rightarrow 0$, $f(x_m) \rightarrow f_0$. Hence,

$$f_0[n - (2 - 1/p)f_0] \leq 0.$$

1) If $f_0 = 0$, we have $f = \|h\|^2 = 0$. Hence M^n is totally geodesic, and we know that M^n is globally isometric to $S^n(1)$.

2) If $f_0 > 0$, we have

$$n - (2 - 1/p)f_0 \leq 0, \quad f_0 \geq \frac{n}{2 - 1/p},$$

that is, $\sup \|h\|^2 \geq \frac{n}{2 - 1/p}$. From (2.15),

$$\inf R \leq n(n - 1) - \frac{n}{2 - 1/p}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. *Let M^n be an n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold minimally immersed in the unit sphere $S^{n+p}(1)$ of dimension $n + p$. If $n > 1$, $p > 1$, then either M^n is totally geodesic and M^n is globally isometric to $S^n(1)$, or M^n is the*

Veronese surface in $S^4(1)$ or $\inf R < n(n-1) - \frac{n}{2-1/p}$.

Proof. According to the proof of Theorem 1, we know

$$\|h\|^2 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \sup \|h\|^2 \geq \frac{n}{2-1/p}.$$

1) If $\|h\|^2 = 0$, then M^n is totally geodesic and M^n is globally isometric to $S^n(1)$ from Theorem 1.

2) If $\sup \|h\|^2 \geq \frac{n}{2-1/p}$, then we have

$$\inf R = n(n-1) - \sup \|h\|^2 \leq n(n-1) - \frac{n}{2-1/p}.$$

When $\inf R < n(n-1) - \frac{n}{2-1/p}$, we know that Theorem 2 holds.

When $\inf R = n(n-1) - \frac{n}{2-1/p}$, we have

$$\sup \|h\|^2 = \frac{n}{2-1/p}.$$

Hence,

$$\|h\|^2 \leq \frac{n}{2-1/p}.$$

According to Lemma 1, we get, for any unit vector v in M^n ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}(v, v) &\geq \frac{n-1}{n} \left[n - \frac{n}{2-1/p} \right] \\ &\geq (n-1) \left[1 - \frac{1}{2-1/p} \right] > 0 \quad (\text{by } p > 1, n > 1). \end{aligned}$$

From Myers' Theorem, we know that M^n is compact. Main theorem, Corollary and theorem 3 in [1] yield $p = n = 2$ and M^n is the Veronese surface in $S^4(1)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

THEOREM 3. *Let M^n be an n -dimensional connected complete Riemannian manifold immersed in the unit sphere $S^{n+1}(1)$ of dimension $n+1$. If there is a point p in M^n and a unit vector v such that $\text{Ric}(v, v)(p) = 0$, then either M^n is totally geodesic and M^n is globally isometric to $S^n(1)$, or M^n is locally the Clifford torus $S^k(\sqrt{k/n}) \times S^{n-k}(\sqrt{(n-k)/n})$ in $S^{n+1}(1)$ ($0 < k < n$), or $\inf R < n(n-2)$.*

Proof. According to Theorem 1, we know that either M^n is totally geodesic and M^n is globally isometric to $S^n(1)$, or $\inf R \leq n(n-1) - n = n(n-2)$ (from $p = 1$).

1) If M^n is totally geodesic or $\inf R < n(n-2)$, then Theorem 3 is true.

2) If $\inf R = n(n-2)$, then $\sup \|h\|^2 = n$. Hence, $\|h\|^2 \leq n$. When $\|h\|^2$ get its maximum in M^n , that is, there is a point p in M^n such that $\|h(p)\|^2 = \sup \|h\|^2$, we have $\|h\|^2 = n$ from *E. Hopf's Theorem*. Theorem 2 of [1] implies that Theorem 3 is true. When $\|h\|^2 < n$, we will show that it is impossible. In fact, if $\|h\|^2 < n$, we have

$$\text{Ric}(v, v) \geq (n-1) \left(1 - \frac{\|h\|^2}{n}\right) > 0.$$

This is a contradiction.

REFERENCES

- [1] S.S. Chern, M. do Carmo and S. Kobayashi, Minimal submanifolds of a sphere with second fundamental form of constant length, *Functional analysis and related fields*, (1970), 60–75.
- [2] P.F. Leung, Minimal submanifolds in a sphere, *Math. Z.* **183** (1983), 86–75.
- [3] S.T. Yan, Harmonic functions on complete Riemannian manifolds, *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.* **28** (1975), 201–228.

*Institute of Mathematics
Fudan University
Shanghai, P.R. China*

