

**EXISTENCE OF DIRICHLET INFINITE HARMONIC
 MEASURES ON THE UNIT DISC**

MITSURU NAKAI

The primary purpose of this paper is to give an affirmative answer to a problem posed by Ohtsuka [13] whether there exists a p -harmonic measure on the unit disc in the 2-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^2 with an infinite p -Dirichlet integral for the exponent $1 < p < 2$.

To clarify the meaning of the problem we start by explaining the background of the problem. We say that \mathcal{A} is a strictly monotone elliptic operator on the Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^d of dimension $d \geq 2$ with exponent $p \in (1, d]$ if \mathcal{A} is a mapping of $\mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d$ to \mathbf{R}^d satisfying the following assumption for some constants $0 < \alpha \leq \beta < \infty$:

- (1) the function $h \mapsto \mathcal{A}(x, h)$ is continuous for almost every fixed $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$, and the function $x \mapsto \mathcal{A}(x, h)$ is measurable for all fixed $h \in \mathbf{R}^d$;

for almost every $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$ and for all $h \in \mathbf{R}^d$

- (2) $\mathcal{A}(x, h) \cdot h \geq \alpha |h|^p$,
- (3) $|\mathcal{A}(x, h)| \leq \beta |h|^{p-1}$,
- (4) $(\mathcal{A}(x, h_1) - \mathcal{A}(x, h_2)) \cdot (h_1 - h_2) > 0$

whenever $h_1 \neq h_2$, and

- (5) $\mathcal{A}(x, \lambda h) = |\lambda|^{p-2} \lambda \mathcal{A}(x, h)$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Here $|x|$ indicates the length of a vector $x = (x^1, \dots, x^d)$ in \mathbf{R}^d . The class of all operators \mathcal{A} on \mathbf{R}^d satisfying (1)-(5) with exponent $p \in (1, d]$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{A}_p(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Received December 16, 1993.

This work was partly supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, No. 06640227, Japanese Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

Using an $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}_p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ we consider a quasilinear elliptic equation

$$(6) \quad -\nabla \cdot \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u(x)) = 0$$

on \mathbf{R}^d . A function u on an open subset U of \mathbf{R}^d is a weak solution of (6) if $u \in \text{loc } W_p^1(U)$ and

$$\int_U \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u(x)) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) dx = 0$$

for every $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(U)$ where $W_p^1(U)$ is the Sobolev space on U consisting of functions $f \in L_p(U) = L_p(U; \mathbf{R})$ with distributional gradients $\nabla f \in L_p(U) = L_p(U; \mathbf{R}^d)$ and $dx = dx^1 \cdots dx^d$. A weak solution u of (6) (possibly modified on a set of zero measure dx) is actually continuous. We say that a function u is \mathcal{A} -harmonic on U if u is a continuous weak solution of (6) on U . We denote by $H_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$ the class of all \mathcal{A} -harmonic functions on U . The simplest and the most typical operator \mathcal{A} in $\mathcal{A}_p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is the p -Laplacian $\mathcal{A}(x, h) = |h|^{p-2}h$ so that the corresponding elliptic equation is the p -Laplace equation

$$(7) \quad -\nabla \cdot (|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x)) = 0.$$

In this case we use the term p -harmonic instead of \mathcal{A} -harmonic and the notation $H_p(U)$ in place of $H_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$.

The greatest \mathcal{A} -harmonic minorant $u \wedge v$ on U , if it exists, of two \mathcal{A} -harmonic functions u and v on U is the \mathcal{A} -harmonic function $u \wedge v$ on U characterized by the following two conditions: (i) $u \wedge v \leq u$ and $u \wedge v \leq v$ on U ; (ii) if there is an \mathcal{A} -harmonic function h on U such that $h \leq u$ and $h \leq v$ on U , then $h \leq u \wedge v$ on U . A function w is said to be an \mathcal{A} -harmonic measure on U in the sense of Heins [3] if w is \mathcal{A} -harmonic on U and satisfies

$$(8) \quad w \wedge (1 - w) = 0$$

on U . An \mathcal{A} -harmonic measure always satisfies $0 \leq w \leq 1$ on U ; $w \equiv 0$ or $w \equiv 1$ are \mathcal{A} -harmonic measures on U ; when U is a region, an \mathcal{A} -harmonic measure w on U is nonconstant if and only if $0 < w < 1$ on U .

Our main concern in this paper is the p -Dirichlet integral

$$D_p(w) = D_p(w; B^d) = \int_{B^d} |\nabla w(x)|^p dx \leq \infty$$

of each \mathcal{A} -harmonic measure w on the unit ball $B^d = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^d; |x| < 1\}$ with $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}_p(\mathbf{R}^d)$. We say that w is p -Dirichlet finite (infinite, resp.) if $D_p(w) < \infty$ ($D_p(w) = \infty$, resp.). We have the following result:

9. THEOREM. *If $2 \leq p \leq d$, then every nonconstant \mathcal{A} -harmonic measure on the unit ball B^d is p -Dirichlet infinite for every \mathcal{A} in $\mathcal{A}_p(\mathbf{R}^d)$.*

We say that a subdivision $\delta_0 \cup \delta_1$ of ∂B^d gives rise to an electric condenser $(B^d; \delta_0, \delta_1)$ surrounded by two electrodes δ_0 and δ_1 if the unit potential difference can be produced between δ_0 and δ_1 by putting a charge of finite energy on δ_1 when δ_0 is grounded. The intuitive meaning of the above result is that B^d cannot be made to an electric condenser no matter how we decompose the boundary ∂B^d of B^d into two parts. The above result in its present final form was obtained and proved in [11]. The result in the special case of $p = 2$ and the classical Laplace operator $\mathcal{A}(x, h) = h$ was proved in [9] based on a different view point. If $p = d = 2$ and $\mathcal{A}(x, h) = h$, then the above result has been known in the frame of the theory of functions and its proof is found in various sources (cf. e.g. [8], [13], etc.). If $p = 2$ and $\mathcal{A}(x, h) = h$, then the above result is the one in the linear potential theory. From this view point we remark that (6) can be nonlinear for $p = 2$ and even for the borderline conformal case $p = d = 2$ (see Appendix at the end of this paper).

In contrast with the case $2 \leq p \leq d$, we have proved the following result in the same paper [11] cited above:

10. THEOREM. *If $1 < p < 2$, then there exist nonconstant p -Dirichlet finite \mathcal{A} -harmonic measures on the unit ball B^d for every \mathcal{A} in $\mathcal{A}_p(\mathbf{R}^d)$.*

We turn to the final question in the case $1 < p < 2$ whether there are p -Dirichlet infinite \mathcal{A} -harmonic measures on the unit ball B^d for every \mathcal{A} in $\mathcal{A}_p(\mathbf{R}^d)$, which is the main theme of this paper. For a technical reason we restrict ourselves to the case of the dimension $d = 2$ in the remainder of this paper. We view \mathbf{R}^2 also as the complex plane by identifying the point (x^1, x^2) in \mathbf{R}^2 with the complex number $x = x^1 + ix^2$ ($i = \sqrt{-1}$). For simplicity we denote by Δ the unit disc in \mathbf{R}^2 : $\Delta = B^2 = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 : |x| < 1\}$.

Take two sequences $(a_n) = (a_n : 1 \leq n < N + 1)$ and $(b_n) = (b_n : 1 \leq n < N + 1)$ of real numbers a_n and b_n such that

$$(11) \quad 0 < a_n < b_n < a_{n+1} < b_{n+1} < \pi \quad (1 \leq n < N)$$

so that (a_n) and (b_n) are finite sequences of N terms if $1 \leq N < \infty$ and infinite sequences if $N = \infty$. With these two sequences (a_n) and (b_n) we associate the sequence $(A_n) = (A_n : 1 \leq n < N + 1)$ of *main arcs* A_n in $\partial\Delta = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 : |x| = 1\}$ given by

$$A_n = \{e^{i\theta} : a_n < \theta < b_n\} \quad (1 \leq n < N + 1)$$

and the sequence $(B_n) = (B_n : 1 \leq n < N + 1)$ of *subsidiary arcs* B_n in $\partial\Delta$ given by

$$B_n = \{e^{i\theta} : b_n < \theta < a_{n+1}\} \quad (1 \leq n < N).$$

Finally we consider the open subset A in $\partial\Delta$ associated with sequences (a_n) and (b_n) given by

$$A = A((a_n), (b_n)) = \bigcup_{n=1}^N A_n.$$

The function $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})$ on Δ given by

$$(12) \quad \omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})(x) = \sup\{h(x) : h \in C(\bar{\Delta}) \cap H_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta), h|_{\bar{\Delta}} \leq 1, h|_{(\partial\Delta \setminus A)} \leq 0\}$$

for $x \in \Delta$ is referred to as the \mathcal{A} -harmonic measure of A with respect to Δ for $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}_p(\mathbf{R}^2)$ with $1 < p \leq 2$. In this case of an open set A in $\partial\Delta$ the definition of $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})$ in (12) coincides with the one given by Martio ([4], [2, Chap. 11]). We will see later in 44 that $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})$ is actually an \mathcal{A} -harmonic measure on Δ in the sense of Heins characterized by (8).

If $1 < p < 2$, $\mathcal{A}(x, h) = |h|^{p-2}h$, and $N < \infty$, i.e. A is the union of a finite number of mutually disjoint open arcs in $\partial\Delta$, then we know that the p -harmonic measure $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})$ of A with respect to Δ is p -Dirichlet finite (Ohtsuka [13], [10]; also see Theorem 14 below). In view of this fact one might feel that every p -harmonic measure on Δ is p -Dirichlet finite for every $1 < p < 2$. Thus we are naturally led to ask the following question originally raised by Ohtsuka [13, Chap. VIII] in terms of extremal distances in an equivalent to but superfacially different from our present setting:

13. OHTSUKA'S PROBLEM. *Does there exist a p -Dirichlet infinite p -harmonic measure on Δ for each $1 < p < 2$? Or more generally, does there exist a p -Dirichlet infinite \mathcal{A} -harmonic measure on Δ for every $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}_p(\mathbf{R}^2)$ with each $1 < p < 2$?*

The purpose of this paper is to give an affirmative answer to the above problem of Ohtsuka by proving the following result.

14. MAIN THEOREM. *If $N < \infty$ or if $N = \infty$ and either the sequence $(|A_n| : 1 \leq n < \infty)$ or $(|B_n| : 1 \leq n < \infty)$ converges to zero so rapidly as to satisfy the condition*

$$(15) \quad \min\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |A_n|^{2-p}, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |B_n|^{2-p}\right) < \infty,$$

where $|A_n|$ denotes the length of A_n , then the \mathcal{A} -harmonic measure $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})$ is p -Dirichlet finite for every \mathcal{A} in $\mathcal{A}_p(\mathbf{R}^2)$ with $1 < p < 2$. If the sequences $(|A_n| : 1 \leq n < \infty)$ and $(|B_n| : 1 \leq n < \infty)$ converge to zero so slowly as to satisfy the condition

$$(16) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \min(|A_n|^{2-p}, |B_n|^{2-p}) = \infty,$$

then the \mathcal{A} -harmonic measure $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})$ is p -Dirichlet infinite for every \mathcal{A} in $\mathcal{A}_p(\mathbf{R}^2)$ with each $1 < p < 2$.

The proof of this theorem will be given later in **51** after a series of preparations starting from **22**. The latter half of the above result takes the following more applicable form.

17. COROLLARY. *If the sequences $(|A_n| : 1 \leq n < \infty)$ and $(|B_n| : 1 \leq n < \infty)$ satisfy the condition*

$$(18) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |B_n| / |A_n| > 0 \quad (\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |A_n| / |B_n| > 0, \text{ resp.})$$

and also the condition

$$(19) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |A_n|^{2-p} = \infty \quad \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |B_n|^{2-p} = \infty, \text{ resp.}\right),$$

then the \mathcal{A} -harmonic measure $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})$ is p -Dirichlet infinite for every \mathcal{A} in $\mathcal{A}_p(\mathbf{R}^2)$ with each $1 < p < 2$.

Proof. Condition (18) assures the existence of a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|B_n| \geq C |A_n| \quad (|A_n| \geq C |B_n|, \text{ resp.}) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \min(|A_n|^{2-p}, |B_n|^{2-p}) &\geq \min(|A_n|^{2-p}, C^{2-p} |A_n|^{2-p}) \\ &= \min(1, C^{2-p}) |A_n|^{2-p} \\ (\min(|A_n|^{2-p}, |B_n|^{2-p}) &\geq \min(C^{2-p} |B_n|^{2-p}, |B_n|^{2-p}) \\ &= \min(1, C^{2-p}) |B_n|^{2-p}, \text{ resp.}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (19) implies (16) and thus Theorem 14 yields the above conclusion. □

We are now able to give an affirmative answer to Problem 13 as an application of Corollary 17 by giving the following example.

20. EXAMPLE. Choose sequences $(a_n : 1 \leq n < \infty)$ and $(b_n : 1 \leq n < \infty)$ so as to satisfy the condition

$$(21) \quad a_{n+1} - b_n = b_n - a_n = n^{-1/(2-p)}$$

for sufficiently large n . Then the \mathcal{A} -harmonic measure $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})$ is p -Dirichlet infinite for every \mathcal{A} in $\mathcal{A}_p(\mathbf{R}^2)$ with each $1 < p < 2$.

Proof. Since $0 < 2 - p < 1$, the series $\sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-1/(2-p)} < \infty$ and therefore we can choose sequences (a_n) and (b_n) satisfying conditions (11) and (21). Then $|A_n| = |B_n| = n^{-1/(2-p)}$ for sufficiently large n and hence (18) and (19) are trivially satisfied. Thus Corollary 17 assures that the corresponding \mathcal{A} -harmonic measure $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})$ is p -Dirichlet infinite for every \mathcal{A} in $\mathcal{A}_p(\mathbf{R}^2)$ with each $1 < p < 2$. □

22. Trace

For simplicity we denote by $\Gamma = \partial\Delta$ the unit circle $\{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 : |x| = 1\}$. The Sobolev space $W_p^1(G)$ ($1 < p \leq 2$) is a Banach space equipped with the norm

$$\|f; W_p^1(G)\| = \|f; L_p(G)\| + \|\nabla f; L_p(G)\|,$$

where G is an open set in \mathbf{R}^2 . The Sobolev null space $W_{p,0}^1(G)$ is the closure of $C_0^\infty(G)$ in $W_p^1(G)$ with respect to the above norm.

There exists a unique continuous linear operator γ of $W_p^1(\Delta)$ into $L_p(\Gamma)$ such that $\gamma f = f|_\Gamma$ for every f in $C(\bar{\Delta}) \cap W_p^1(\Delta)$. The function γf defined a.e. on Γ and belonging to $L_p(\Gamma)$ is referred to as the *trace* on Γ of f in $W_p^1(G)$. It is seen that the expression

$$(23) \quad (\gamma f)(\zeta) = \lim_{r \uparrow 1} f(r\zeta)$$

holds for a.e. ζ in Γ (cf. e.g. [6, p.47]).

Concerning the kernel $\text{Ker } \gamma = \gamma^{-1}(0)$ and the image $\text{Im } \gamma = \gamma(W_p^1(\Delta))$ of γ we have the following fundamental results. First, $\text{Ker } \gamma$ characterizes the Sobolev null space (cf. e.g. [7, p.187]):

$$(24) \quad W_{p,0}^1(\Delta) = \text{Ker } \gamma = \{f \in W_p^1(\Delta) : \gamma f = 0\}.$$

Second, we denote $\text{Im } \gamma = \gamma(W_p^1(\Delta))$ by $\Lambda_p(\Gamma)$. It is seen that the space $\Lambda_p(\Gamma)$ forms a Banach space under the norm

$$(25) \quad \|\varphi; \Lambda_p(\Gamma)\| = \|\varphi; L_p(\Gamma)\| + \left(\int \int_{\Gamma \times \Gamma} \frac{|\varphi(\zeta) - \varphi(\eta)|^p}{|\zeta - \eta|^p} ds_\zeta ds_\eta \right)^{1/p}$$

where ds is the line element on Γ . The theorem of Gagliardo [1] assures the existence of a constant $C \geq 1$ such that

$$(26) \quad C^{-1} \|\varphi; \Lambda_p(\Gamma)\| \leq \inf_{\gamma f = \varphi} \|f; W_p^1(\Delta)\| \leq C \|\varphi; \Lambda_p(\Gamma)\|$$

for every φ in $\Lambda_p(\Gamma)$. The quantity $\|\varphi; \Lambda_p(\Gamma)\|$ will be referred to as the *Gagliardo norm* of φ in this paper.

Hereafter we sometimes use the same letter C to denote positive constants which may differ from each other from line to line and even in the same line.

27. Dirichlet problem

Let G be a bounded region in \mathbf{R}^2 . We will mainly consider the case $G = \Delta$ but G is supposed to be a general bounded region for a while. For any f in $W_p^1(G)$ there exists a *unique* u in the space $H_{\mathcal{A}}(G) \cap W_p^1(G)$ such that $u - f$ belongs to $W_{p,0}^1(G)$ (cf. Maz'ya [5]). This fact can be reformulated as the Maz'ya decomposition of $W_p^1(G)$:

$$(28) \quad W_p^1(G) = (H_{\mathcal{A}}(G) \cap W_p^1(G)) \oplus W_{p,0}^1(G),$$

i.e. any f in $W_p^1(G)$ can be expressed as the sum of the \mathcal{A} -harmonic part u in $H_{\mathcal{A}}(G) \cap W_p^1(G)$ and the "potential part" g in $W_{p,0}^1(G)$: $f = u + g$. We denote by $\pi_{\mathcal{A}}^G$ the projection operator of $W_p^1(G)$ to $H_{\mathcal{A}}(G) \cap W_p^1(G)$ determined by $\pi_{\mathcal{A}}^G f = u$. We say that G is \mathcal{A} -regular if

$$(29) \quad \lim_{x \in G, x \rightarrow y} (\pi_{\mathcal{A}}^G f)(x) = f(y)$$

for any f in $C(\bar{G}) \cap W_p^1(G)$ and for every y in ∂G . If G is bounded by a finite number of mutually disjoint smooth Jordan curves, then G is \mathcal{A} -regular (cf. [5]). The disc Δ is the most typical example of \mathcal{A} -regular regions.

We also use the following extremal property of $\pi_{\mathcal{A}}^G$: the quasi Dirichlet principle is valid in the sense that $\pi_{\mathcal{A}}^G f$ quasiminimizes the p -Dirichlet integral:

$$(30) \quad \int_G |\nabla (\pi_{\mathcal{A}}^G f)(x)|^p dx \leq (\beta/\alpha)^p \int_G |\nabla f(x)|^p dx.$$

In fact, since $u = \pi_{\mathcal{A}}^G f$ is a weak solution of (6) and $u - f$ belongs to $W_{p,0}^1(G)$ in which $C_0^\infty(G)$ is $\|\cdot\|; W_p^1(G)$ -dense, we have

$$\int_G \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u(x)) \cdot \nabla(u - f)(x) dx = 0.$$

By (2), (3) and the Hölder inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \int_G |\nabla u(x)|^p dx &\leq \int_G \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u(x)) \cdot \nabla u(x) dx = \int_G \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u(x)) \cdot \nabla f(x) dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_G |\mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u(x))|^{p/(p-1)} dx \right)^{(p-1)/p} \cdot \left(\int_G |\nabla f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \beta \left(\int_G |\nabla u(x)|^p dx \right)^{(p-1)/p} \cdot \left(\int_G |\nabla f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

by which we can conclude the inequality (30).

We now restrict ourselves to the case $G = \Delta$. We use the abbreviation $\pi = \pi_{\mathcal{A}} = \pi_{\mathcal{A}}^\Delta$. We say that an f in $W_p^1(G)$ has an essential limit α at ξ in $\Gamma = \partial\Delta$,

$$\alpha = \operatorname{ess\,lim}_{x \in \Delta, x \rightarrow \xi} f(x)$$

in notation, if

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \|f - \alpha; L_\infty(\Delta(\xi, \varepsilon) \cap \Delta)\| = 0$$

where $\Delta(\xi, \varepsilon)$ is the disc of radius $\varepsilon > 0$ centered at ξ . As a localized version of (29) we have

$$\lim_{x \in \Delta, x \rightarrow \xi} (\pi f)(x) = \operatorname{ess\,lim}_{x \in \Delta, x \rightarrow \xi} f(x)$$

at a point ξ in Γ for every f in $L_\infty(\Delta) \cap W_p^1(\Delta)$ for which the right hand side of the above exists at a ξ in Γ (cf. [12]). Although the operator $\pi = \pi_{\mathcal{A}} = \pi_{\mathcal{A}}^\Delta$ is homogeneous but not linear, we see that π is *monotone* (cf. [11]), i.e. $f_1 \geq f_2$ a.e. on Δ for any f_1 and f_2 in $W_p^1(\Delta)$, then $\pi f_1 \geq \pi f_2$ on Δ .

In view of the relation (24) and the uniqueness of the Maz'ya decomposition (28) we can define the operator

$$\tau = \pi \circ \gamma^{-1}: \Lambda_p(\Gamma) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta) \cap W_p^1(\Delta).$$

Clearly the operator $\tau = \tau_{\mathcal{A}} = \tau_{\mathcal{A}}^\Delta$ is *bijective*. Moreover we have the following result.

31. PROPOSITION. *The operator τ is monotone, i.e. if $\varphi_1 \geq \varphi_2$ a.e. on Γ for any φ_1 and φ_2 in $L_p(\Gamma)$, then $\tau\varphi_1 \geq \tau\varphi_2$ everywhere on Δ .*

Proof. Choose an arbitrary g_i in $W_p^1(\Delta)$ with $\gamma g_i = \varphi_i$ ($i = 1, 2$). We denote by $F \cup G$ the function given by $(F \cup G)(x) = \max(F(x), G(x))$ for any two functions F and G . Then $(g_1 - g_2) \cup 0$ belongs to $W_p^1(\Delta)$ by the lattice property of $W_p^1(\Delta)$. By (23) we see that

$$\gamma((g_1 - g_2) \cup 0) = (\gamma(g_1 - g_2)) \cup 0 = (\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) \cup 0 = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2.$$

If we set $f_2 = g_2$ and $f_1 = g_2 + (g_1 - g_2) \cup 0$, then $\gamma f_2 = \gamma g_2 = \varphi_2$ and

$$\gamma f_1 = \gamma g_2 + \gamma((g_1 - g_2) \cup 0) = \varphi_2 + (\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) = \varphi_1.$$

Then $\tau\varphi_1 = \pi f_1$, $\tau\varphi_2 = \pi f_2$ and $f_1 \geq f_2$ on Δ imply that $\tau\varphi_1 \geq \tau\varphi_2$ on Δ by the monotonicity of π . □

Beside the defining boundary behavior $\gamma(\tau\varphi) = \varphi$ of $\tau\varphi$, we have the following more precise boundary behavior of $\tau\varphi$ if an additional condition is imposed upon φ :

32. LEMMA. *If $\varphi \in L_\infty(\Gamma) \cap L_p(\Gamma)$ is continuous at a point $\xi \in \Gamma$ in the sense that $\text{ess lim}_{\eta \in \Gamma, \eta \rightarrow \xi} \varphi(\eta) = \varphi(\xi)$, then $\tau\varphi$ has a boundary value $\varphi(\xi)$ at ξ .*

Proof. We only have to show that $\lim_{x \in \Delta, x \rightarrow \xi} (\tau\varphi)(x) = \varphi(\xi)$. Since $\tau(\varphi - \varphi(\xi)) = \tau\varphi - \varphi(\xi)$, we may suppose $\varphi(\xi) = \text{ess lim}_{\eta \in \Gamma, \eta \rightarrow \xi} \varphi(\eta) = 0$ to show the above identity. Let $|\varphi| \leq K$ a.e. on Γ for a positive constant K and $\rho(x) = |x - \xi|$ on \mathbf{R}^2 . Clearly ρ belongs to the class $C(\bar{\Delta}) \cap W_p^1(G)$ and $\tau(\rho|_\Gamma) = \pi\rho$, or roughly $\tau\rho = \pi\rho$. Hence by (29) we have

$$\lim_{x \in \Delta, x \rightarrow \xi} (\tau\rho)(x) = 0.$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $|\varphi(\eta)| < \varepsilon$ for a.e. η in $\Delta(\xi, \delta) \cap \Gamma$. Since $(K/\delta)\rho \geq K$ for every η in $\Gamma \setminus \Delta(\xi, \delta)$, we see that

$$-\frac{K}{\delta}\rho(\eta) - \varepsilon \leq \varphi(\eta) \leq \frac{K}{\delta}\rho(\eta) + \varepsilon$$

a.e. on Γ . By Proposition 31, we have

$$-\frac{K}{\delta}(\tau\rho)(x) - \varepsilon \leq (\tau\varphi)(x) \leq \frac{K}{\delta}(\tau\rho)(x) + \varepsilon \quad (x \in \Delta).$$

On letting x in Δ tend to ξ , we see by $(\tau\rho)(x) \rightarrow 0$ that

$$-\varepsilon \leq \liminf_{x \in \Delta, x \rightarrow \xi} (\tau\varphi)(x) \leq \limsup_{x \in \Delta, x \rightarrow \xi} (\tau\varphi)(x) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we finally conclude the required identity $\lim_{x \in \Delta, x \rightarrow \xi} (\tau\varphi)(x) = 0$. \square

33. Estimate of Gagliardo norms

For two measurable subsets X and Y in Γ and mostly for open or closed subarcs X and Y in Γ we consider the set function

$$(34) \quad S(X, Y) = \int \int_{X \times Y} |\xi - \eta|^{-p} ds_\xi ds_\eta$$

where ds is the arc element on Γ . The following elementary properties of S are easily checked and will be used without making any further mention of them: S is symmetric, i.e. $S(X, Y) = S(Y, X)$; S is rotationally invariant, i.e. $S(e^{i\theta}X, e^{i\theta}Y) = S(X, Y)$ where $e^{i\theta}X = \{e^{i\theta}\xi : \xi \in X\}$; S is additive, i.e. $X = \cup_{j=1}^n X_j$ is a finite disjoint union, then

$$S(\cup_{j=1}^n X_j, Y) = \sum_{j=1}^n S(X_j, Y);$$

S is increasing, i.e. if $X \subset X'$ and $Y \subset Y'$, then $S(X, Y) \leq S(X', Y')$.

We denote by Γ^+ the upper half circle $\{e^{i\theta} : 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi\}$. For a measurable subset X and mostly for open or closed subarc X in Γ we set

$$X^\wedge = \{x \in [0, 2\pi) : e^{ix} \in X\}$$

which is a measurable subset of the real line and actually the interval $[0, 2\pi)$. We consider the auxiliary set function

$$(35) \quad T(X, Y) = \int \int_{X^\wedge \times Y^\wedge} |x - y|^{-p} dx dy$$

which is comparable to (34) for X and Y in Γ^+ :

$$(36) \quad T(X, Y) \leq S(X, Y) \leq (\pi/2)^p T(X, Y) \quad (X, Y \subset \Gamma^+).$$

To see this relation we observe that

$$S(X, Y) = \int \int_{X \times Y} |\xi - \eta|^{-p} ds_\xi ds_\eta = \int \int_{X^\wedge \times Y^\wedge} |e^{ix} - e^{iy}|^{-p} dx dy.$$

Replacing $|e^{ix} - e^{iy}|$ in the above by $|x - y|$ or $(2/\pi)|x - y|$ based on the following inequalities

$$(2/\pi)|x - y| \leq |e^{ix} - e^{iy}| \leq |x - y| \quad (x, y \in [0, \pi]),$$

we deduce the required inequalities (36).

We choose arbitrary open or closed arcs I and J in Γ^+ such that $(\text{int } I) \cap (\text{int } J) = \emptyset$ where $\text{int } I$ is the interior of I considered in Γ . We denote by $|I|$ the length of the arc I . Let $\rho = \rho(I, J)$ be the distance between I and J considered in the Riemannian metric in Γ . We then deduce the following fundamental relation:

37. IDENTITY. *The auxiliary set function $T(I, J)$ is given by*

$$T(I, J) = C_p \{ (|I| + \rho)^{2-p} + (|J| + \rho)^{2-p} - (|I| + |J| + \rho)^{2-p} - \rho^{2-p} \}$$

($1 < p < 2$) where $C_p = 1/(p - 1)(2 - p)$.

Proof. Let the closures of intervals I^\wedge and J^\wedge be $[a, b]$ and $[c, d]$, respectively. Since $T(I, J) = T(J, I)$, we may assume that $0 \leq a < b \leq c < d \leq \pi$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T(I, J) &= \int \int_{I^\wedge \times J^\wedge} |x - y|^{-p} dx dy = \int_a^b \left(\int_c^d (y - x)^{-p} dy \right) dx \\ &= (p - 1)^{-1} \int_a^b \{ (c - x)^{1-p} - (d - x)^{1-p} \} dx \\ &= \{ (p - 1)(2 - p) \}^{-1} \cdot \{ (c - a)^{2-p} - (c - b)^{2-p} + (d - b)^{2-p} - (d - a)^{2-p} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $c - a = |I| + \rho$, $d - b = |J| + \rho$, $d - a = |I| + |J| + \rho$ and $c - b = \rho$, we deduce the identity 37. □

For an arbitrary open or closed arc I in Γ we denote by I^c the complement of I with respect to Γ so that $I^c = \Gamma \setminus I$. Then we have the following relation:

38. ESTIMATE. $S(I, I^c) \leq (2^{p-1} + 3^{p-1}C_p)\pi^p |I|^{2-p} \quad (1 < p < 2).$

Proof. Let $I = \cup_{j=1}^6 I_j$ be the decomposition of I into 6 arcs I_j such that $(\text{int } I_j) \cap (\text{int } I_k) = \emptyset$ and $|I_j| = |I_k|$ for $j, k = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ with $j \neq k$. Take the arc J in Γ^+ such that the midpoint of J is $i = (0, 1)$ and $|J| = |I_j| = |I|/6$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, 6$. We denote by J_1 and J_2 the two arcs which are components of $\Gamma^+ \setminus J$ and set $J_3 = \Gamma^- = \{e^{i\theta} : \pi \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\}$. We estimate $S(I, I^c)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S(I, I^c) &= S\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^6 I_j, I^c\right) = \sum_{j=1}^6 S(I_j, I^c) \leq \sum_{j=1}^6 S(I_j, I_j^c) \\ &= 6S(J, J^c) = 6S\left(J, \bigcup_{j=1}^3 J_j\right) = 6 \sum_{j=1}^3 S(J, J_j). \end{aligned}$$

By (36) and (37) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} S(J, J_j) &\leq (\pi/2)^b T(J, J_j) \\ &= (\pi/2)^b C_p \{|J|^{2-b} + |J_j|^{2-b} - (|J| + |J_j|)^{2-b}\} \\ &\leq (\pi/2)^b C_p |J|^{2-b} \quad (j = 1, 2) \end{aligned}$$

because $\rho(J, J_j) = 0$. Since $J^\wedge \subset [\pi/3, 2\pi/3]$ and $J_3 = \Gamma^-$, we see that $|e^{ix} - e^{iy}| \geq 1$ for $e^{ix} \in J$ and $e^{iy} \in J_3$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} S(J, J_3) &= \int \int_{J^\wedge \times J_3^\wedge} |e^{ix} - e^{iy}|^{-b} dx dy \leq \int \int_{J^\wedge \times J_3^\wedge} dx dy \\ &= |J| |J_3| = \pi |J| \leq \pi(\pi/3)^{b-1} |J|^{2-b} \end{aligned}$$

in view of $|J| \leq \pi/3$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(I, I^c) &\leq 6\{2(\pi/2)^b C_p |J|^{2-b} + (\pi^b/3^{b-1}) |J|^{2-b}\} \\ &= 6\pi^b (2^{1-b} C_p + 3^{1-b}) |J|^{2-b} = 6\pi^b (2^{1-b} C_p + 3^{1-b}) (|I|/6)^{2-b} \\ &= 6^{b-1} (2^{1-b} C_p + 3^{1-b}) \pi^b |I|^{2-b} = (2^{b-1} + 3^{b-1} C_p) \pi^b |I|^{2-b}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

For any set E in Γ we denote by 1_E the characteristic function of E on Γ so that $1_E(\xi) = 1$ for $\xi \in E$ and $1_E(\xi) = 0$ for $\xi \in \Gamma \setminus E$. We then have

39. PROPOSITION. *For any exponent $p \in (1, 2)$ there exists a positive constant C depending only on p such that*

$$(40) \quad \|1_I; A_p(\Gamma)\| \leq |I|^{1/p} + C |I|^{(2-p)/p}$$

for every open or closed subarc I of Γ .

Proof. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \|1_I; A_p(\Gamma)\| &= \|1_I; L_p(\Gamma)\| + \left(\int \int_{\Gamma \times \Gamma} \frac{|1_I(\xi) - 1_I(\eta)|^p}{|\xi - \eta|^p} ds_\xi ds_\eta \right)^{1/p} \\ &= |I|^{1/p} + (S(I, I^c) + S(I^c, I))^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

By the estimate 38 we see that

$$\|1_I; A_p(I)\| \leq |I|^{1/p} + \{2(2^{p-1} + 3^{p-1}C_p)\pi^p\}^{1/p} |I|^{(2-p)/p}.$$

Hence it suffices to choose $C = \{2(2^{p-1} + 3^{p-1}C_p)\}^{1/p}\pi$. □

41. \mathcal{A} -harmonic measures of boundary sets

In this section we assume that $1 < p < 2$ and study the \mathcal{A} -harmonic measure $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})$ of the boundary set

$$A = A((a_n), (b_n)) = \bigcup_{n=1}^N A_n$$

where $A_n = \{e^{i\theta} : a_n < \theta < b_n\}$ ($1 \leq n < N + 1; N \leq \infty$) is introduced in (12). Since 0 is a competing function in the definition (12), we see that $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A}) \geq 0$ on Δ . Since any competing function h in (12) satisfies $h \leq 1$ on Δ , we see that $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A}) \leq 1$ on Δ . Thus we have

$$(42) \quad 0 \leq \omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})(x) \leq 1 \quad (x \in \Delta).$$

As for the boundary behavior of $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})$ we have the following relation:

$$(43) \quad \begin{cases} \lim_{x \in \Delta, x \rightarrow \xi} \omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})(x) = 1 & (\xi \in A), \\ \lim_{x \in \Delta, x \rightarrow \xi} \omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})(x) = 0 & (\xi \in \Gamma \setminus \bar{A}). \end{cases}$$

In fact, suppose first that $\xi \in A$. There is a function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)$ such that $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ on \mathbf{R}^2 , $\varphi(\xi) = 1$ and $\varphi = 0$ on $\Gamma \setminus A$. Since φ belongs to $C(\bar{\Delta}) \cap W_p^1(\Delta)$, $h = \pi_{\mathcal{A}}^\Delta \varphi$ is a competing function in (12) and we have

$$h(x) \leq \omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})(x) \leq 1 \quad (x \in \Delta).$$

Thus $h(x) \rightarrow 1$ ($x \in \Delta, x \rightarrow \xi$) implies the first relation in (43). Next we assume $\xi \in \Gamma \setminus \bar{A}$. There is a function ψ in $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)$ such that $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ on \mathbf{R}^2 , $\psi(\xi) = 1$ and $\psi = 0$ on \bar{A} . Then $\varphi = 1 - \psi$ belongs to $C(\bar{\Delta}) \cap W_p^1(\Delta)$ and $g = \pi_{\mathcal{A}}^\Delta \varphi$ is in $C(\bar{\Delta}) \cap H_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta)$ such that $0 \leq g \leq 1$ on $\bar{\Delta}$, $g(\xi) = 0$ and $g = 1$ on \bar{A} . Let h be any competing function in (12). Since $h \leq g$ on Γ , the comparison principle (cf. e.g. [2, p. 183]) implies that $h \leq g$ on Δ . Thus

$$0 \leq \omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})(x) \leq g(x) \quad (x \in \Delta).$$

That $g(x) \rightarrow 0$ ($x \in \Delta, x \rightarrow \xi$) implies the second relation in (43).

We are now ready to prove the following result announced in the introductory part. Only here we assume that $1 < p \leq 2$.

44. PROPOSITION. *The function $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})$ is an \mathcal{A} -harmonic measure in the sense of Heins.*

Proof. We denote by K the closure of the set consisting of points e^{ia_n} and e^{ib_n} ($1 \leq n < N + 1; N \leq \infty$). We can find a sequence $(K_m)_{1 \leq m < \infty}$ of unions K_m of a finite number of mutually disjoint closed discs such that

$$K_m \supset K_{m+1} \supset K \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and

$$\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} K_m = K.$$

Choose an $R \in (1, \infty)$ such that $K_1 \subset G := \Delta(0, R)$. We can find an f_m in $C(\bar{G}) \cap W_p^1(G)$ such that $f_m|_{K_m} = 1$ and $f_m|_{\partial G} = 0$ for each $m = 1, 2, \dots$. Moreover, by the lattice property of $C(\bar{G}) \cap W_p^1(G)$, we can assume that $0 \leq f_{m+1} \leq f_m \leq 1$ on \bar{G} ($m = 1, 2, \dots$). Since $G \setminus K_m$ is \mathcal{A} -regular, the function w_m defined by

$$w_m(x) := \begin{cases} (\pi_{\mathcal{A}}^{G \setminus K_m} f_m)(x) & (x \in G \setminus K_m), \\ f_m(x) & (x \in K_m \cup \partial G) \end{cases}$$

for each $m = 1, 2, \dots$ belongs to $C(\bar{G}) \cap H_{\mathcal{A}}(G \setminus K_m) \cap W_p^1(G)$, and satisfies $w_m|_{K_m} = 1$ and $w_m|_{\partial G} = 0$. The sequence $(w_m)_{1 \leq m < \infty}$ is decreasing along with $(f_m)_{1 \leq m < \infty}$. By the Harnack principle (cf. e.g. [2, p. 113]), $w = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} w_m$ is \mathcal{A} -harmonic on $G \setminus K$. Clearly $w \in C(\bar{G} \setminus K)$ and $w|_{\partial G} = 0$.

Consider the p -capacity $\text{cap}_p(K_m, G)$ of the condenser (K_m, G) given by

$$\text{cap}_p(K_m, G) = \inf \int_{G \setminus K_m} |\nabla \varphi(x)|^p dx$$

where the infimum is taken with respect to φ in $C_0^\infty(G)$ with $\varphi \geq 1$ on K_m . The p -capacity $\text{cap}_p(K, G)$ is similarly defined. It is a fundamental property of the p -capacity (cf. e.g. [2, Chap. 2, in particular, p. 28]) that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \text{cap}_p(K_m, G) = \text{cap}_p(K, G)$$

since K_m and K are compact and $K_m \downarrow K$. Note that

$$K = \{e^{ia_n}\}_{1 \leq n < N+1} \cup \{e^{ib_n}\}_{1 \leq n < N+1} \cup X$$

where X consists of only one point $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{ia_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{ib_n}$ if $N = \infty$ and $X = \emptyset$ if $N < \infty$. By the subadditivity of the p -capacity and the vanishingness of the p -capacity for one point we see that

$$\text{cap}_p(K, G) \leq \sum_{n=1}^N \{ \text{cap}_p(\{e^{ia_n}\}, G) + \text{cap}_p(\{e^{ib_n}\}, G) \} + \text{cap}_p(X, G) = 0$$

and therefore we conclude that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \text{cap}_p(K_m, G) = 0.$$

For any competing function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(G)$ with $\varphi \geq 1$ on K_m for the p -capacity $\text{cap}_p(K_m, G)$ we set $\varphi_m = \max(\min(\varphi, 1), 0)$. Clearly

$$w_m = \pi_{\mathcal{A}}^{G \setminus K_m} f_m = \pi_{\mathcal{A}}^{G \setminus K_m} \varphi_m.$$

By (30) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G |\nabla w_m(x)|^p dx &= \int_{G \setminus K_m} |\nabla w_m(x)|^p dx \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^p \int_{G \setminus K_m} |\nabla \varphi_m(x)|^p dx \leq \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^p \int_{G \setminus K_m} |\nabla \varphi(x)|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\int_G |\nabla w_m(x)|^p dx \leq \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^p \text{cap}_p(K_m, G) \rightarrow 0 \quad (m \rightarrow \infty)$$

and therefore we can conclude that $\{\nabla w_m\}_{1 \leq m < \infty}$ converges to zero strongly in $L_p(G, \mathbf{R}^2)$ and hence converges to zero weakly in $L_p(G, \mathbf{R}^2)$. As the locally uniform limit of the decreasing sequence $\{w_m\}$ with $0 \leq w_m \leq 1$, the function w is bounded and continuous on $G \setminus K$. Hence we may view that $w \in L_p(G, \mathbf{R})$. Thus, by $w_m \downarrow w$ a.e. on G , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G \nabla w(x) \cdot \Phi(x) dx &= - \int_G w(x) \nabla \cdot \Phi(x) dx \\ &= - \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_G w_m(x) \nabla \cdot \Phi(x) dx = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_G \nabla w_m(x) \cdot \Phi(x) dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for every C^∞ vector field Φ on G with compact support. This means that $\nabla w(x) = 0$ on G and thus w is a constant on G . Hence $w|_{\partial G} = 0$ implies that

$$(45) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} w_m(x) = 0 \quad (x \in G \setminus K).$$

It is clear that $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A}) \geq 0$ and $1 - \omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A}) \geq 0$ on Δ . Take any \mathcal{A} -harmonic function h on Δ such that $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A}) \geq h$ and $1 - \omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A}) \geq h$ on Δ . By (43) we see that

$$\limsup_{x \in \Delta, x \rightarrow \eta} h(x) \leq 0 \quad (\eta \in \Gamma \setminus K).$$

It is clear that $h \leq 1$ and $w_m \geq 0$ on Δ . Hence we see that

$$\limsup_{x \in \Delta \setminus K_m, x \rightarrow \eta} h(x) \leq \limsup_{x \in \Delta \setminus K_m, x \rightarrow \eta} w_m(x) = \lim_{x \in \Delta \setminus K_m, x \rightarrow \eta} w_m(x)$$

for every η in $\partial(\Delta \setminus K_m)$. By the comparison principle (cf. e.g. [2, p.183]) we have $h \leq w_m$ on $\Delta \setminus K_m$. On letting $m \uparrow \infty$, (45) yields $h \leq 0$ on Δ . This proves the existence of the greatest \mathcal{A} -harmonic minorant $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A}) \wedge (1 - \omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A}))$ of $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})$ and $1 - \omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})$ on Δ and therefore we have

$$\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A}) \wedge (1 - \omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})) = 0$$

which is the defining property of \mathcal{A} -harmonic measure on Δ in the sense of Heins. □

We next study the \mathcal{A} -harmonic measure $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})$ when $N < \infty$ so that A is the union of a finite number N of open arcs $A_n : A = \cup_{n=1}^N A_n$ ($N < \infty$). Let $X = \cup_{n=1}^N X_n$ and $Y = \cup_{n=1}^N Y_n$ where X_n and Y_n are open arcs in Γ such that $\bar{X}_n \subset A_n \subset \bar{A}_n \subset Y_n \subset \bar{Y}_n \subset \Gamma^+$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots, N$) and $\bar{Y}_n \cap \bar{Y}_m = \emptyset$ ($n \neq m$). Such an X will be referred to as being *admissible* for A . In view of Proposition 39 we see that

$$\|1_X; A_p(\Gamma)\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^N \|1_{X_n}; A_p(\Gamma)\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^N (|X_n|^{1/p} + C|X_n|^{(2-p)/p})$$

so that we have

$$(46) \quad \|1_X; A_p(\Gamma)\| \leq C_N \text{ and similarly } \|1_Y; A_p(\Gamma)\|, \|1_A; A_p(\Gamma)\| \leq C_N$$

where $C_N = N(\pi^{1/p} + C\pi^{(2-p)/p})$ is a constant depending only on N (and p). Therefore we can define $w_X = \tau 1_X$ and $w_Y = \tau 1_Y$. By Lemma 32, (43) and the comparison principle, we deduce

$$(47) \quad w_X(x) \leq \omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})(x) \leq w_Y(x) \quad (x \in \Delta).$$

By the comparison principle and the Harnack principle

$$\underline{w}_A = \lim_{X \uparrow A} w_X \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{w}_A = \lim_{Y \downarrow A} w_Y$$

are well defined and \mathcal{A} -harmonic on Δ . Similarly, (46) assures the possibility of defining $w_A = \tau 1_A$. We will show that

$$(48) \quad \underline{w}_A(x) = \bar{w}_A(x) = w_A(x) \quad (x \in \Delta).$$

This with (47) implies that $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A}) = w_A$ on Δ . Thus we can conclude the following result.

49. PROPOSITION. *If $N < \infty$ and $1 < p < 2$, then $1_A \in \Lambda_p(\Gamma)$, the \mathcal{A} -harmonic measure $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})$ is p -Dirichlet finite on Δ , and the trace $\gamma(\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})) = 1_A$ on Γ .*

Proof. We only have to show the relation (48). By (26) and (46) we see that

$$\inf_{\gamma f = 1_X} \|\nabla f; L_p(\Delta)\| \leq \inf_{\gamma f = 1_X} \|f; W_p^1(\Delta)\| \leq C \|1_X; \Lambda_p(\Gamma)\| \leq CC_N.$$

By the quasi Dirichlet principle (30),

$$\|\nabla w_X; L_p(\Delta)\| \leq (\beta/\alpha) \|\nabla f; L_p(\Delta)\|$$

for any f with $\gamma f = 1_X$ since $\pi f = w_X$. Hence we see that

$$\|\nabla w_X; L_p(\Delta)\| \leq C$$

where we denote by C the constant $(\beta/\alpha)CC_N$. Any bounded set in the reflexive Banach space $L_p(\Delta) = L_p(\Delta; \mathbf{R}^2)$ is weakly sequentially compact. Therefore we can find a countable sequence $(X(m))_{1 \leq m < \infty}$ in the set $\{X\}$ of admissible X such that $X(m) \subset X(m+1)$,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} w_{X(m)} = \underline{w}_A$$

locally uniformly on Δ , and $(\nabla w_{X(m)})_{1 \leq m < \infty}$ is weakly convergent in $L_p(\Delta)$. Since $0 \leq \underline{w}_A \leq 1$ on Δ , \underline{w}_A belongs to $L_p(\Delta)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Delta} \underline{w}_A(x) \nabla \cdot \Phi(x) dx &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Delta} w_{X(m)}(x) \nabla \cdot \Phi(x) dx \\ &= - \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Delta} \nabla w_{X(m)}(x) \cdot \Phi(x) dx = - \int_{\Delta} (\text{weak lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \nabla w_{X(m)}(x)) \cdot \Phi(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

for every C^∞ vector field Φ with compact support in Δ . This means that the distributional gradient $\nabla w_A = \text{weak lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \nabla w_{X(m)} \in L_p(\Delta)$ and therefore $\underline{w}_A \in W_p^1(\Delta)$. By (47), $w_X \leq \underline{w}_A \leq \omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})$ on Δ for any admissible X . By (43) we see that

$$1_X \leq \gamma \underline{w}_A \leq 1_A$$

a.e. on Γ for any admissible X . A fortiori we can conclude that $\gamma \underline{w}_A = 1_A$ in $L_p(\Gamma)$. Hence $\gamma \underline{w}_A = \gamma w_A = 1_A$ implies that $\underline{w}_A = w_A = \tau 1_A$. Similarly we can show that $\bar{w}_A = w_A = \tau 1_A$. The proof of (48) and hence that of Proposition 49 is thus complete. \square

We turn to the study of the \mathcal{A} -harmonic measure $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})$ when $N = \infty$ so that $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$. We will base our reasoning upon the fact that $1_{\bigcup_{n=1}^k A_n}$ always belongs to $\Lambda_p(\Gamma)$ for every $k < \infty$ as was shown in Proposition 49. However $1_A = 1_{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n}$ may or may not belong to $\Lambda_p(\Gamma)$ in general.

50. PROPOSITION. *Suppose $N = \infty$ and $1 < p < 2$. The \mathcal{A} -harmonic measure $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})$ is p -Dirichlet finite on Δ if and only if $1_A \in \Lambda_p(\Gamma)$ and in this case the trace $\gamma(\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})) = 1_A$ on Γ .*

Proof. Suppose $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})$ is p -Dirichlet finite so that $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})$ belongs to $W_p^1(\Delta)$. Then by (43) and (23) we see that $\gamma(\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})) = 1_A$ on Γ except for the boundary of $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \cup B_n)$ relative to Γ and hence a.e. on Γ . Therefore 1_A belongs to $\Lambda_p(\Gamma)$.

Conversely assume that $1_A \in \Lambda_p(\Gamma)$. Then we can define $w_A = \tau 1_A$ so that $D_p(w_A) = \|\nabla w_A; L_p(\Delta)\|^p < \infty$. Let $a = \lim_{k \uparrow \infty} a_k$ which belongs to $(0, \pi]$. Set

$$r_k = |e^{ia_{k+1}} - e^{ia}| \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and choose a function χ_k on $\bar{\Delta}(e^{ia}, 3) = \overline{\Delta(e^{ia}, 3)}$ such that χ_k is continuous on $\bar{\Delta}(e^{ia}, 3)$, p -harmonic on $\Delta(e^{ia}, 3) \setminus \bar{\Delta}(e^{ia}, r_k)$, $\chi_k|_{\bar{\Delta}(e^{ia}, r_k)} = 0$ and $\chi_k|_{\partial\Delta(e^{ia}, 3)} = 1$. Choose an arbitrary φ in $C_0^\infty(\Delta(e^{ia}, 3))$ with $\varphi \geq 1$ on $\bar{\Delta}(e^{ia}, r_k)$ and set $\psi = \max(\min(\varphi, 1), 0)$. Observe that

$$1 - \chi_k = \pi_p^{\Delta(e^{ia}, 3) \setminus \bar{\Delta}(e^{ia}, r_k)} \psi$$

on $\Delta(e^{ia}, 3) \setminus \bar{\Delta}(e^{ia}, r_k)$ where $\pi_p = \pi_{\mathcal{A}}$ with $\mathcal{A}(x, h) = |h|^{p-2}h$. The quasi Dirichlet principle (30) is nothing but the Dirichlet principle in this case of $\alpha = \beta = 1$ for $\mathcal{A}(x, h) = |h|^{p-2}h$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla(1 - \chi_k); L_p(\Delta(e^{ia}, 3) \setminus \bar{\Delta}(e^{ia}, r_k))\| &\leq \|\nabla\psi; L_p(\Delta(e^{ia}, 3) \setminus \bar{\Delta}(e^{ia}, r_k))\| \\ &\leq \|\nabla\varphi; L_p(\Delta(e^{ia}, 3) \setminus \bar{\Delta}(e^{ia}, r_k))\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{cap}_p(\bar{\Delta}(e^{ia}, r_k), \Delta(e^{ia}, 3))$ is the infimum of $\|\nabla\varphi; L_p(\Delta(e^{ia}, 3))\|^p$ for every $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Delta(e^{ie}, 3))$ with $\varphi \geq 1$ on $\bar{\Delta}(e^{ie}, r_k)$, we see that

$$\|\nabla\chi_k; L_p(\Delta(e^{ia}, 3))\|^p \leq \text{cap}_p(\bar{\Delta}(e^{ia}, r_k), \Delta(e^{ia}, 3))$$

(and actually we can replace \leq by $=$ in the above). Note that $\bar{\Delta}(e^{ia}, r_k)$ and $\{e^{ia}\}$ are compact and $\bar{\Delta}(e^{ia}, r_k) \downarrow \{e^{ia}\}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This assures that

$$\text{cap}_p(\bar{\Delta}(e^{ia}, r_k), \Delta(e^{ia}, 3)) \downarrow \text{cap}_p(\{e^{ia}\}, \Delta(e^{ia}, 3)) \quad (k \uparrow \infty).$$

Since $\text{cap}_p(\{e^{ia}\}, \Delta(e^{ia}, 3)) = 0$ (cf. e.g. [2, p. 35]), we see that

$$\lim_{k \uparrow \infty} \int_{\Delta(e^{ia}, 3)} |\nabla\chi_k(x)|^p dx = 0.$$

By the comparison principle and the Harnack principle, we see that $(\chi_k)_{1 \leq k < \infty}$ is increasing and converges to a p -harmonic function χ locally uniformly on $\Delta(e^{ia}, 3) \setminus \{e^{ia}\}$. Here $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$, $\chi \in C(\bar{\Delta}(e^{ia}, 3) \setminus \{e^{ia}\})$ and $\chi|_{\partial\Delta(e^{ia}, 3)} = 1$, and in particular $\chi \in L_p(\Delta(e^{ia}, 3))$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Delta(e^{ia}, 3)} \chi(x) \nabla \cdot \Phi(x) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Delta(e^{ia}, 3)} \chi_k(x) \nabla \cdot \Phi(x) dx \\ &= - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Delta(e^{ia}, 3)} \nabla\chi_k(x) \cdot \Phi(x) dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for every C^∞ vector field Φ with compact support in $\Delta(e^{ia}, 3)$. This proves that $\nabla\chi = 0$ on $\Delta(e^{ia}, 3)$. Thus χ is a constant, which must be 1. Therefore we see in particular that $\chi_k \uparrow 1$ ($k \uparrow \infty$) locally uniformly on $\bar{\Delta} \setminus \{e^{ia}\}$ and $D_p(\chi_k) = \|\nabla\chi_k; L_p(\Delta)\|^p \downarrow 0$ ($k \uparrow \infty$).

Next we consider the sequence $(\chi_k w_A)_{1 \leq k < \infty}$ in Δ . Clearly we see that $\chi_k w_A \uparrow w_A$ ($k \uparrow \infty$) locally uniformly on Δ . We also have that $D_p(\chi_k w_A - w_A) \rightarrow 0$ ($k \uparrow \infty$). In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} &D_p(\chi_k w_A - w_A)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Delta} |\chi_k(x) - 1|^p |\nabla w_A(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} + \left(\int_{\Delta} |w_A(x)|^p |\nabla\chi_k(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Delta} |\chi_k(x) - 1|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p} + \left(\int_{\Delta} |\nabla\chi_k(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

where $d\mu(x) = |\nabla w_A(x)|^p dx$ is a finite measure on Δ . The second term of the rightmost side of the above is $D_p(\chi_k) \downarrow 0$ ($k \uparrow \infty$). The first term of the rightmost side of the above tends to zero as $k \uparrow \infty$ by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem since $\chi_k \uparrow 1$ on Δ as $k \uparrow \infty$.

We now set

$$u_k = \pi_{\mathcal{A}}^\Delta(\chi_k w_A) = \tau_{\mathcal{A}}^\Delta((\gamma\chi_k)1_A) \leq w_A$$

on Δ . The last inequality comes from the monotoneity of $\pi_{\mathcal{A}}^{\Delta}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}^{\Delta}$. By the same reason, $(u_k)_{1 \leq k < \infty}$ is increasing on Δ . By the Harnack principle there exists an \mathcal{A} -harmonic function u on Δ such that $u_k \uparrow u \leq w_A$ ($k \uparrow \infty$) on Δ . By the quasi Dirichlet principle

$$D_p(u_k) \leq (\beta/\alpha)^p D_p(\chi_k w_A) \rightarrow (\beta/\alpha)^p D_p(w_A) \quad (k \uparrow \infty).$$

Hence $(\nabla u_k)_{1 \leq k < \infty}$ is a bounded sequence in $L_p(\Delta)$ and we can extract a weakly convergent subsequence $(\nabla u_{k'})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Delta} u(x) \nabla \cdot \Phi(x) dx &= \lim_{k' \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Delta} u_{k'}(x) \nabla \cdot \Phi(x) dx \\ &= - \lim_{k' \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Delta} \nabla u_{k'}(x) \cdot \Phi(x) dx = - \int_{\Delta} (\text{weak lim}_{k' \rightarrow \infty} \nabla u_{k'}(x)) \cdot \Phi(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

for every C^{∞} vector field Φ with compact support in Δ , which proves that the distributional $\nabla u = \text{weak lim}_{k' \uparrow \infty} \nabla u_{k'}$ belongs to $L_p(\Delta)$. Hence $D_p(u) < \infty$ and $u \in W_p^1(\Delta)$. Therefore $\gamma u_k \leq \gamma u \leq \gamma w_A$ or $(\gamma \chi_k) 1_A \leq \gamma u \leq 1_A$ a.e. on Γ . Since $\chi_k \uparrow 1$ ($k \uparrow \infty$) locally uniformly on $\bar{\Delta} \setminus \{e^{i\alpha}\}$ and thus $\gamma \chi_k \uparrow 1$ ($k \uparrow \infty$) a.e. on Γ , we see that $\gamma u = 1_A$ so that $u = w_A$, i.e. $\lim_{k \uparrow \infty} u_k = w_A$ on Δ .

Observe that

$$(\gamma \chi_k) 1_{\cup_{n=1}^k A_n} \leq 1_A$$

so that we have $u_k \leq w_{\cup_{n=1}^k A_n} \leq w_A$ on Δ . By Proposition 49 we have

$$\omega(\cup_{n=1}^k A_n, \Delta; \mathcal{A}) = w_{\cup_{n=1}^k A_n}$$

on Δ . Hence we have

$$u_k \leq \omega(\cup_{n=1}^k A_n, \Delta; \mathcal{A}) \leq w_A$$

on Δ and by letting $k \uparrow \infty$ we conclude that

$$\lim_{k \uparrow \infty} \omega(\cup_{n=1}^k A_n, \Delta; \mathcal{A}) = w_A$$

on Δ . Since $O_k = \cup_{n=1}^k A_n$ is open in Γ , $O_k \subset O_{k+1}$ and

$$O = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} O_k = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = A$$

is again open, we can show (cf. e.g. [2, p. 29]) that

$$\lim_{k \uparrow \infty} \omega(\bigcup_{n=1}^k A_n, \Delta; \mathcal{A}) = \lim_{k \uparrow \infty} \omega(O_k, \Delta; \mathcal{A}) = \omega(O, \Delta; \mathcal{A}) = \omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A}).$$

Thus $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A}) = w_A = \tau 1_A$ is p -Dirichlet finite and $\gamma(\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})) = 1_A \in \Lambda_p(\Gamma)$. □

51. Proof of Main theorem

If $N < \infty$, then, by Proposition 49, $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})$ is p -Dirichlet finite on Δ . Hence, hereafter in this proof, we assume that $N = \infty$ so that $A = A((a_n), (b_n)) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$. Let

$$B_0 = \overline{\Gamma \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \cup B_n)}$$

and set $B = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n$.

We now start the essential part of this proof by showing that (15) implies the p -Dirichlet finiteness of $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})$ on Δ . Suppose first that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |B_n|^{2-p} < \infty$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|1_A; \Lambda_p(\Gamma)\| &= \|1_A; L_p(\Gamma)\| + \left(\int \int_{\Gamma \times \Gamma} \frac{|1_A(\xi) - 1_A(\eta)|^p}{|\xi - \eta|^p} ds_{\xi} ds_{\eta} \right)^{1/p} \\ &= |A|^{1/p} + (2S(A^c, A))^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

By the estimate 38, $S(B_n, B_n^c) \leq C |B_n|^{2-p}$ where C is a constant independent of $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(A^c, A) &= S(\bar{A}^c, A) = S\left(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n, A\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S(B_n, A) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S(B_n, B_n^c) \leq C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |B_n|^{2-p} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we see that $1_A \in \Lambda_p(\Gamma)$. Next suppose that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |A_n|^{2-p} < \infty$. In the same fashion as above simply replacing the role of A and $(A_n)_1^{\infty}$ by B and $(B_n)_0^{\infty}$, we see that $1_B \in \Lambda_p(\Gamma)$. Clearly

$$1_A = 1 - 1_{A^c} = 1 - 1_{\bar{B}} = 1 - 1_B$$

a.e. on Γ and thus $1_A \in \Lambda_p(\Gamma)$. Hence in any case the condition (15) implies that $1_A \in \Lambda_p(\Gamma)$. By Proposition 50 we can conclude that $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})$ is p -Dirichlet finite.

We close this proof by showing that (16) implies that $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})$ is p -Dirichlet infinite. We prove this by contradiction. Suppose, contrary to the

assertion, that $\omega(A, \Delta; \mathcal{A})$ is p -Dirichlet finite. By Proposition 50 we must have $1_A \in A_p(\Gamma)$. Since A_n and B_n ($n \geq 1$) are in Γ^+ , (36) implies that

$$T(A_n, B_n) \leq S(A_n, B_n) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Therefore we deduce that, for any fixed positive integer k ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^k T(A_n, B_n) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^k S(A_n, B_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^k \left(\sum_{m=1}^k S(A_n, B_m) \right) \\ &= S\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^k A_n, \bigcup_{m=1}^k B_m\right) \leq 2S\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n, \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} B_m\right) = 2S(A, (\bar{A})^c) \\ &= 2S(A, A^c) = \int \int_{\Gamma \times \Gamma} \frac{|1_A(\xi) - 1_A(\eta)|^p}{|\xi - \eta|^p} ds_\xi ds_\eta \leq \|1_A; A_p(\Gamma)\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

On letting $k \uparrow \infty$, we obtain

$$(52) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T(A_n, B_n) \leq \|1_A; A_p(\Gamma)\|^p.$$

By the identity 37 we have

$$T(A_n, B_n) = C_p(|A_n|^{2-p} + |B_n|^{2-p} - (|A_n| + |B_n|)^{2-p}).$$

Here we used the fact that the Riemannian distance $\rho = \rho(A_n, B_n) = 0$ considered in Γ since $\bar{A}_n \cap \bar{B}_n = \{e^{ib_n}\} \neq \emptyset$.

We pause here to observe the validity of the following simple and elementary inequality for $1 < p < 2$:

$$(53) \quad x^{2-p} + y^{2-p} - (x+y)^{2-p} \geq a^{2-p} + b^{2-p} - (a+b)^{2-p} \quad (0 \leq a \leq x, 0 \leq b \leq y).$$

In fact, consider $f_y(x) = x^{2-p} + y^{2-p} - (x+y)^{2-p}$ as a function of $x \geq 0$ for an arbitrary fixed $y \geq 0$. Since

$$\frac{d}{dx} f_y(x) = (2-p)\{x^{1-p} - (x+y)^{1-p}\} \geq 0 \quad (x > 0),$$

we see that $f_y(x)$ is increasing and hence $f_y(x) \geq f_y(a)$ ($0 \leq a \leq x$). By the symmetry $f_y(a) = f_a(y)$ we also see that $f_a(y) \geq f_a(b)$ or $f_y(a) \geq f_b(a)$. Thus $f_y(x) \geq f_b(a)$ ($0 \leq a \leq x, 0 \leq b \leq y$) which proves (53).

On setting $x = |A_n|$, $y = |B_n|$, and $a = b = \min(|A_n|, |B_n|)$ in (53), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|A_n|^{2-p} + |B_n|^{2-p} - (|A_n| + |B_n|)^{2-p} \\ &\geq 2(\min(|A_n|, |B_n|))^{2-p} - (2\min(|A_n|, |B_n|))^{2-p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the left hand side of the above is $C_p^{-1}T(A_n, B_n)$, we have

$$C_p C \cdot \min(|A_n|^{2-p}, |B_n|^{2-p}) \leq T(A_n, B_n)$$

where $C = 2 - 2^{2-p} \in (0, 1)$. Hence by (52) and (16)

$$\infty = C_p C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \min(|A_n|^{2-p}, |B_n|^{2-p}) \leq \|1_A; A_p(\Gamma)\| < \infty,$$

which is clearly a contradiction. □

54. Appendix: Nonlinearity of $\mathcal{A}_2(\mathbf{R}^2)$

The p -Laplace operator $\mathcal{A}(x, h) = |h|^{p-2}h$ is a typical example of $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}_p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ which makes the equation (6) nonlinear if $p \neq 2$. However it is important to recognize that $\mathcal{A}_2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ contains an \mathcal{A} which produces a genuinely nonlinear equation (6) as was pointed out e.g. by Martio in [4]. Even in the borderline conformal case $p = d = 2$, the \mathcal{A} -harmonicity in general belongs in essence to the category of nonlinearity. In this appendix we will exhibit such an $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}_2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ for every dimension $d \geq 2$. The author owes a lot to Professor Masaru Hara in constructing this example.

As a required $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}_2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ we only have to take the one of the form $\mathcal{A}(x, h) = A(h)$ independent of $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$ such that $A = A_d: \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^d$ ($d \geq 2$) is nonlinear.

Consider a closed surface Σ in \mathbf{R}^d ($d \geq 2$) which is star-shaped and symmetric with respect to the origin 0 of \mathbf{R}^d belonging to the region bounded by Σ . In terms of the polar coordinate expression $x = r\omega$ of $x \in \mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ with $r = |x|$ and $\omega = x/|x| \in \partial B^d$, since Σ is star-shaped with respect to 0, we have the polar coordinate expression of Σ as follows:

$$\Sigma : r = g(\omega) \quad (\omega \in \partial B^d).$$

By the symmetry of Σ with respect to 0 we see that $g(-\omega) = g(\omega)$ for every $\omega \in \partial B^d$. Since the origin 0 is contained in the interior region bounded by Σ , we have

$$c_\Sigma := \inf\{g(\omega) : \omega \in \partial B^d\} > 0.$$

We then set

$$C_\Sigma := \sup\left(\frac{|g(\omega) - g(\bar{\omega})|}{|\omega - \bar{\omega}|} : \omega, \bar{\omega} \in \partial B^d, \omega \neq \bar{\omega}\right)$$

which lies in $(0, \infty]$ at the moment. As a candidate of the required A we now set

$$A(h) = \begin{cases} g(h/|h|)h & (h \neq 0), \\ 0 & (h = 0). \end{cases}$$

Then we have the following

55. FACT. *If the condition $C_\Sigma < \sqrt{2}c_\Sigma$ is satisfied, then A belongs to $\mathcal{A}_2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ ($d \geq 2$) and moreover A is not linear if and only if Σ is not a sphere with center 0.*

Proof. The continuity of $A(h)$ at $h \in \mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ follows from that of g . Since $|A(h)| \leq (\sup_{\partial B^d} g) |h|$ and $A(0) = 0$, $A(h)$ is continuous at $h = 0$. Thus A satisfies (1). Observe that

$$A(h) \cdot h = g(h/|h|)h \cdot h \geq c_\Sigma |h|^2 \quad (h \neq 0)$$

which shows the validity of (2) for $p = 2$ by taking $\alpha = c_\Sigma$. Similarly

$$|A(h)| = |g(h/|h|)| |h| \leq (\sup_{\partial B^d} g) |h|^{2-1} \quad (h \neq 0)$$

which assures (3) for $p = 2$ by taking $\beta = \sup_{\partial B^d} g$. In passing we observe that $0 < \alpha \leq \beta < \infty$. Next we ascertain that (5) is valid for $p = 2$. If $\lambda > 0$, then

$$A(\lambda h) = g(\lambda h/|\lambda h|)\lambda h = \lambda A(h) \quad (h \neq 0).$$

If $\lambda < 0$, then, by $\lambda = -|\lambda|$ and $g(-\omega) = g(\omega)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} A(\lambda h) &= A(|\lambda|(-h)) = |\lambda|A(-h) = |\lambda|g(-h/|-h|)(-h) \\ &= -|\lambda|g(h/|h|)h = \lambda A(h) \quad (h \neq 0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the proof of (4) only is nontrivial. We need to show that

$$(56) \quad (A(h) - A(\bar{h})) \cdot (h - \bar{h}) > 0 \quad (h \neq \bar{h}).$$

When one of h and \bar{h} is 0, the other is nonzero and a fortiori (2) and $A(0) = 0$ trivially imply (56). Thus we assume that both of h and \bar{h} are not 0. We can moreover assume that $|\bar{h}| = 1$ so that we may set $h = r\omega$ ($r = |h|$, $\omega \in \partial B^d$), $\bar{h} = \bar{\omega}$ ($\bar{\omega} \in \partial B^d$) and

$$\omega \cdot \bar{\omega} = \cos \theta \quad (\theta \in [0, \pi]).$$

Then $h \neq \bar{h}$ is equivalent to either $r \neq 1$ or $\omega \neq \bar{\omega}$ (or $\theta \neq 0$). Hence (56) is equivalent to

$$(g(\omega)r\omega - g(\bar{\omega})\bar{\omega}) \cdot (r\omega - \bar{\omega}) > 0 \quad (r \neq 1 \text{ or } \omega \neq \bar{\omega}),$$

which can be restated as

$$(57) \quad Q := g(\omega)r^2 - \{(g(\omega) + g(\bar{\omega}))\cos \theta\}r + g(\bar{\omega}) > 0 \quad (r \neq 1 \text{ or } \theta \neq 0).$$

We thus have to prove (57). If $\theta = 0$, then $\omega = \bar{\omega}$ and $r \neq 1$ so that

$$Q = g(\omega)(r - 1)^2 > 0$$

and (57) is certainly true. If $\theta \in [\pi/2, \pi]$, then $\cos \theta = -|\cos \theta|$ and hence we have

$$Q = g(\omega)r^2 + \{(g(\omega) + g(\bar{\omega}))|\cos \theta|\}r + g(\bar{\omega}) > 0$$

so that (57) is also true in this case. To prove (57) we thus only have to treat the case $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$. Viewing Q as the quadratic form of r , it is sufficient to show that the discriminant of Q is negative:

$$\begin{aligned} & (g(\omega) + g(\bar{\omega}))^2 \cos^2 \theta - 4g(\omega)g(\bar{\omega}) \\ &= (g(\omega) - g(\bar{\omega}))^2 - (g(\omega) + g(\bar{\omega}))^2 \sin^2 \theta < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\omega - \bar{\omega}|^2 = 4\sin^2(\theta/2) > 0$, the above inequality is equivalent to

$$(58) \quad D := \frac{(g(\omega) - g(\bar{\omega}))^2}{|\omega - \bar{\omega}|^2} - (g(\omega) + g(\bar{\omega}))^2 \cos^2(\theta/2) < 0 \quad (0 < \theta < \pi/2).$$

By virtue of $C_\Sigma < \sqrt{2}c_\Sigma$ we see that

$$D \leq C_\Sigma^2 - 4c_\Sigma^2 \cos^2(\pi/4) = C_\Sigma^2 - 2c_\Sigma^2 < 0,$$

i.e. (58) is valid. Therefore we have shown that $A \in \mathcal{A}_2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ if $C_\Sigma < \sqrt{2}c_\Sigma$.

Clearly A is linear if g is constant on ∂B^d or equivalently Σ is a sphere with center 0. Conversely assume that A is linear. Fix an arbitrary $\omega_0 \in \partial B^d$ and take any $\omega \in \partial B^d$ different from $\pm \omega_0$. Then $A(\omega) + A(\omega_0) = A(\omega + \omega_0)$ or

$$g(\omega)\omega + g(\omega_0)\omega_0 = g((\omega + \omega_0)/|\omega + \omega_0|)(\omega + \omega_0)$$

and the linear independence of $\{\omega, \omega_0\}$ implies $g(\omega) = g(\omega_0) = g((\omega + \omega_0)/|\omega + \omega_0|)$ so that $g \equiv g(\omega_0)$ on ∂B^d , i.e. Σ is a sphere with center 0. \square

59. EXAMPLE. Let Σ be a hyperellipsoid

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{(x^i)^2}{(a^i)^2} = 1 \quad (0 < a^1 \leq a^2 \leq \dots \leq a^d).$$

If $a^d - a^1$ is positive but enough close to zero, e.g. if

$$(60) \quad a^1 < a^d < \sqrt{d/(d-1)} a^1,$$

then Σ induces a nonlinear $A \in \mathcal{A}_2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ ($d \geq 2$) as in the proof of Fact 55. On the contrary, if $a^d - a^1$ is sufficiently large, e.g. if $a^d > 6a^1$, then $A \notin \mathcal{A}_2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ ($d \geq 2$).

Proof. Assume (60). We express Σ as $r = g(\omega)$ ($\omega \in \partial B^d$) by the polar coordinate (r, ω) :

$$g(\omega) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} ((a^i)^{-2} - (a^d)^{-2})(\omega^i)^2 + (a^d)^{-2} \right)^{-1/2} (\omega = (\omega^1, \dots, \omega^d)).$$

Then clearly we have

$$c_\Sigma = \inf\{g(\omega) : \omega \in \partial B^d\} = a^1 > 0.$$

We see that

$$|\partial g / \partial \omega^i| = ((a^i)^{-2} - (a^d)^{-2}) |\omega^i| g(\omega)^3 \leq ((a^1)^{-2} - (a^d)^{-2}) (a^d)^3$$

($i = 1, \dots, d-1$). Therefore we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} C_\Sigma &\leq \sqrt{d-1} ((a^1)^{-2} - (a^d)^{-2}) (a^d)^3 = \sqrt{d-1} ((a^d)^2 - (a^1)^2) a^d (a^1)^{-2} \\ &< \sqrt{d-1} ((d/(d-1)) (a^1)^2 - (a^1)^2) \sqrt{d/(d-1)} a^1 (a^1)^{-2} \\ &= (\sqrt{d}/(d-1)) a^1 \leq \sqrt{2} a^1 = \sqrt{2} c_\Sigma, \end{aligned}$$

by which Fact 55 implies the first assertion.

We proceed to the proof of the second part. Observe that $A \in \mathcal{A}_2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ implies (58). Set $\omega = (1/4, 0, \dots, 0, \sqrt{15}/4)$ and

$$\bar{\omega} = (1/4 + \varepsilon, 0, \dots, 0, \sqrt{15}/4 - (\sqrt{15}/2 - \sqrt{15/4 - 4\varepsilon(1/2 + \varepsilon)})/2)$$

for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ in (58). On letting $\bar{\omega} \rightarrow \omega$ or equivalently $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ or $\theta \rightarrow 0$ in (58) with the above choice of ω and $\bar{\omega}$ we deduce

$$\frac{15}{16} (((a^1)^{-2} - (a^d)^{-2}) 4^{-1} g(\omega)^3)^2 - 4g(\omega)^2 \leq 0.$$

Since $1 < \sqrt{15} - 2$ and $\sqrt{15} + 30 < 36$, we have $(a^1)^{-2} < 36(a^d)^{-2}$ or $a^d < 6a^1$. Hence we must have $A \notin \mathcal{A}_2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ if $a^d > 6a^1$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Gagliardo, Caratterizzazione delle tracce sulla frontiera relative ad alcune classi di funzioni in n variabili, Rend. Sem. Mat. Padova. **27** (1957), 284–305.
- [2] J. Heinonen, T. Kilpeläinen and O. Martio, Nonlinear Potential Theory of Degenerate Elliptic Equations, Oxford, 1993.

- [3] M. Heins, On the Lindelöf principle, *Ann. Math.*, **61** (1955), 440–473.
- [4] O. Martio, Potential theory and quasiconformal mappings, pp. 55–63 in “Potential Theory” (ed. by M. Kishi), de Gruyter, 1992.
- [5] V. G. Maz’ya, On the continuity at the boundary point of solutions of quasi-linear elliptic equations, *Vestnik Leningrad Univ. Math.*, **3** (1976), 225–242. English translation of *Vestnik Leningrad Univ.*, **25** (1970), 42–55 (Russian).
- [6] C. Miranda, *Partial Differential Equations of Elliptic Type*, Springer, 1970.
- [7] S. Mizohata, *The Theory of Partial Differential Equations*, Cambridge, 1973.
- [8] M. Nakai, Dirichlet finite harmonic measures on Riemann surfaces, *Complex Variables*, **17** (1991), 123–131.
- [9] ———, Riemannian manifolds with connected Royden harmonic boundaries, *Duke Math. J.*, **67** (1992), 589–625.
- [10] ———, Existence of Dirichlet finite harmonic measures in nonlinear potential theory, *Complex Variables*, **21** (1993), 107–114.
- [11] ———, Existence of Dirichlet finite harmonic measures on Euclidean balls, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **133** (1994), 85–125.
- [12] ———, Flat cone condition for Dirichlet regularity, *NIT Sem. Rep. Math.*, **103** (1993), 1–12.
- [13] M. Ohtsuka, *Extremal Length and Precise Functions* (In preparation).

Department of Mathematics
Nagoya Institute of Technology
Gokiso, Showa, Nagoya 466
Japan

