

## DERIVATIONS ON WHITE NOISE FUNCTIONALS

NOBUAKI OBATA

### Introduction

The Gaussian space  $(E^*, \mu)$  is a natural infinite dimensional analogue of Euclidean space with Lebesgue measure and a special choice of a Gelfand triple  $(E) \subset L^2(E^*, \mu) \subset (E)^*$  gives a fundamental framework of white noise calculus [2] as distribution theory on Gaussian space. It is proved in Kubo-Takenaka [7] that  $(E)$  is a topological algebra under pointwise multiplication. The main purpose of this paper is to answer the fundamental question: what are the derivations on the algebra  $(E)$ ?

Since  $(E)$  is a topological algebra, each  $\Phi \in (E)^*$  gives rise to a multiplication operator  $\phi \mapsto \Phi\phi = \phi\Phi \in (E)^*$ ,  $\phi \in (E)$ . In fact, this is a continuous operator, namely,  $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}((E), (E)^*)$ . We then adopt a slightly general definition: a linear operator  $\mathcal{E}$  from  $(E)$  into  $(E)^*$  is called a *derivation* if

$$(0-1) \quad \mathcal{E}(\phi\psi) = \mathcal{E}\phi \cdot \psi + \phi \cdot \mathcal{E}\psi, \quad \phi, \psi \in (E).$$

In this paper we determine all continuous derivations on  $(E)$ ; more precisely, the derivations which belong to  $\mathcal{L}((E), (E)^*)$  or  $\mathcal{L}((E), (E))$ . The main result is stated in Theorem 5.1.

As a result, we shall see that a continuous derivation is nothing but a *first order differential operator* with distribution coefficients. Its formal expression is given as

$$(0-2) \quad \mathcal{E} = \int_T \Phi_t \partial_t dt,$$

where  $\partial_t$  is Hida's differential operator, i.e., an annihilation operator at a point  $t \in T$ , and  $\Phi_t \in (E)^*$  is (identified with) a multiplication operator with parameter  $t \in T$ . In fact,  $t \mapsto \Phi_t$  is an  $(E)^*$ -valued distribution on  $T$ , namely,  $\tilde{\Phi}(t, x) = \Phi_t(x)$  is an element in  $E_C^* \otimes (E)^* \cong (E_C \otimes (E))^*$ , for the rigorous definition see Section 3. Moreover, the operator  $\mathcal{E}$  defined as in (0-2) belongs to  $\mathcal{L}((E), (E))$  if

---

Received June 21, 1993.

and only if  $\tilde{\Phi} \in E_C^* \otimes (E)$ , namely, if and only if  $\mathcal{E}$  is a first order differential operator with smooth coefficients.

The discussion is based on the theory of Fock expansion of operators on white noise functionals established in a series of works [12], [13], [14]. The essence of this theory lies in the fact that *every* operator  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{L}((E), (E)^*)$  admits an infinite series expansion in terms of *integral kernel operators*, see also Section 4. For another application of this effective theory, see e.g., [11].

There has been observed formal analogy between white noise calculus and the calculus on Euclidean space based on the Gelfand triple  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \subset L^2(\mathbf{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , e.g., rotation groups, Laplacians, Fourier transform, see [3], [4], [9], [11]. A more informative expression of (0-2) would be

$$\mathcal{E}\phi(x) = \int_T \Phi_t(x) \partial_t \phi(x) dt, \quad \phi \in (E), x \in E^*.$$

We then easily understand that the operator  $\mathcal{E}$  is a white noise analogue of a usual first order differential operator on Euclidean space given as

$$D\phi(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j(x) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j}(x), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n), x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Thus the formal analogy is again reinforced with our result in this paper.

Obviously, a first order differential operator on  $E^*$  gives rise to a vector field on it and vice versa. It is then interesting to investigate a (local) one-parameter group of transformations on  $E^*$  which generates the vector field, for a particular case see [4]. As a next step, it will be interesting to discuss an operator of the form:

$$(0-3) \quad \int_{T \times T} \partial_s^* \Phi_{s,t}(x) \partial_t ds dt,$$

which gives rise to a quadratic form on  $(E)$  in a natural way. In [5] a simple case  $\Phi_{s,t}(x) = \tau(s, t) \Phi(x)$  is discussed in relation with Dirichlet forms on white noise functionals. Furthermore, there are similarities between the above mentioned operators and quantum stochastic integrals, see e.g., [10], [15]. In fact, (0-2) and (0-3) are considered as direct generalizations of quantum stochastic integrals against the annihilation process and the number process, respectively. Systematic approaches to those topics will be carried out elsewhere.

## 1. White noise functionals

To avoid tedious introduction of notation we use the same framework as settled in [4] under the name of standard setup of white noise calculus. Nevertheless we recapitulate minimal notation for readers' convenience, for details see also [12], [13], [14], etc.

Let  $T$  be a topological space equipped with a Borel measure  $d\nu(t) = dt$  and introduce a real Hilbert space  $H = L^2(T, \nu; \mathbf{R})$  with norm  $|\cdot|_0$  and inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Let  $A$  be a positive selfadjoint operator on  $H$  with Hilbert-Schmidt inverse and assume that  $\inf \text{Spec}(A) > 1$ . Then there exist an increasing sequence of positive numbers  $1 < \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$  and a complete orthonormal basis  $\{e_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$  for  $H$  such that  $Ae_j = \lambda_j e_j$ . We use the following constant numbers:

$$\delta \equiv \|A^{-1}\|_{\text{HS}} = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{-2} \right)^{1/2} < \infty, \quad 0 < \rho \equiv \|A^{-1}\|_{\text{OP}} = \lambda_0^{-1} < 1.$$

Then a Gelfand triple  $E \subset H \subset E^*$  is constructed in the standard manner, where the nuclear Fréchet space  $E$  is equipped with Hilbertian norms:

$$|\xi|_p = |A^p \xi|_0 = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2p} \langle \xi, e_j \rangle^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad \xi \in E, \quad p \in \mathbf{R}.$$

The canonical bilinear form on  $E^* \times E$  is also denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Hereafter we assume the usual conditions (H1)-(H3) to keep a delta function  $\delta_t$  in  $E^*$ , see [4], [14].

The *Gaussian space* is by definition the probability space  $(E^*, \mu)$ , where  $\mu$  is the Gaussian measure defined by

$$\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} |\xi|_0^2\right) = \int_{E^*} e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} \mu(dx), \quad \xi \in E.$$

The Wiener-Itô-Segal isomorphism between  $(L^2) \equiv L^2(E^*, \mu; \mathbf{C})$  and the Boson Fock space over  $H_{\mathbf{C}}$  is given by means of the Wick ordered product as

$$(1-1) \quad \phi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle :x^{\otimes n} :, f_n \rangle, \quad x \in E^*,$$

where  $\phi \in (L^2)$  and  $f_n \in H_{\mathbf{C}}^{\otimes n}$ . Note also that each  $\langle :x^{\otimes n} :, f_n \rangle$  is defined as an  $L^2$ -function and that the series is an orthogonal direct sum.

For  $\phi \in (L^2)$  given as in (1-1) the second quantized operator  $\Gamma(A)$  acts as

$$(\Gamma(A)\phi)(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle :x^{\otimes n} :, A^{\otimes n} f_n \rangle.$$

With the maximal domain  $\Gamma(A)$  becomes a positive selfadjoint operator with Hilbert-Schmidt inverse and thereby we obtain a complex Gelfand triple:

$$(E) \subset (L^2) = L^2(E^*, \mu; \mathbf{C}) \subset (E)^*.$$

Here  $(E)$  is again a nuclear Fréchet space equipped with Hilbertian norms:

$$\|\phi\|_p^2 = \|\Gamma(A)^p \phi\|_0^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! |(A^{\otimes n})^p f_n|_0^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! |f_n|_p^2, \quad p \in \mathbf{R},$$

where  $\phi \in (E)$  and  $f_n \in H_C^{\otimes n}$  are related as in (1-1) and  $\|\cdot\|_0$  denotes the norm of  $(L^2)$ . In particular, if  $\phi \in (E)$  then  $f_n \in H_C^{\otimes n}$  for all  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Elements in  $(E)$  and  $(E)^*$  are called a *test (white noise) functional* and a *generalized (white noise) functional*, respectively. We denote by  $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$  the canonical bilinear form on  $(E)^* \times (E)$ .

By construction each  $\phi \in (E)$  is a function on  $E^*$  determined only up to  $\mu$ -null functions. Kubo-Yokoi's continuous version theorem [8] asserts that for  $\phi \in (E)$  the series (1-1) converges absolutely at each  $x \in E^*$  and becomes a unique continuous function on  $E^*$  which coincides with  $\phi$  up to  $\mu$ -null functions. Hereafter we always assume that  $(E)$  consists of such continuous functions on  $E^*$ .

As for generalized white noise functionals similar but formal expression as in (1-1) is also possible and useful in many applications.

## 2. Gradient operator

We first recall basic differential operators on white noise functionals. Let  $\phi \in (E)$  be given as

$$(2-1) \quad \phi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle x^{\otimes n}, f_n \rangle, \quad x \in E^*, \quad f_n \in E_C^{\otimes n}.$$

Then for any  $y \in E^*$  we put

$$(2-2) \quad D_y \phi(x) = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\phi(x + \theta y) - \phi(x)}{\theta} \\ = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \langle x^{\otimes (n-1)}, y \otimes_1 f_n \rangle, \quad x \in E^*, \quad \phi \in (E),$$

where  $\otimes_1$  stands for the contraction of tensor products. The limit always exists and the series converges absolutely as numerical series. Moreover, it is known [4] that for any  $p \geq 0$  and  $q > 0$ ,

$$(2-3) \quad \|D_y \phi\|_p \leq \left( \frac{\rho^{-2q}}{-2eq \log \rho} \right)^{1/2} \|y\|_{-(p+q)} \|\phi\|_{p+q}, \quad \phi \in (E).$$

In particular,  $D_y \in \mathcal{L}((E), (E))$ . Hida's differential operator is defined as  $\hat{\partial}_t = D_{\delta_t}$ , where  $\delta_t \in E^*$  is the delta function at  $t \in T$ . It is nothing but an annihilation operator at a point  $t \in T$  in usual Fock space language.

It is convenient to use a white noise analogy of the gradient. We put

$$(2-4) \quad \nabla \phi(t, x) = \partial_t \phi(x), \quad t \in T, \quad x \in E^*.$$

This operator is well known in various contexts, see e.g., [1], [5], [6]. For further discussion we need  $E_{\mathbf{C}} \otimes (E)$ , i.e., the space of  $E_{\mathbf{C}}$ -valued white noise test functionals. As usual the symbol  $\otimes$  stands for the completed  $\pi$ -tensor product following our convention [4], [14]. It is known (see e.g., [13]) that the topology of  $E_{\mathbf{C}} \otimes (E)$  is given by the norms

$$(2-5) \quad \|\omega\|_p = \|(A \otimes \Gamma(A))^p \omega\|_0, \quad \omega \in E_{\mathbf{C}} \otimes (E), \quad p \in \mathbf{R}.$$

With these notation we prove the following

PROPOSITION 2.1. *It holds that*

$$(2-6) \quad \nabla \phi = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e_j \otimes D_{e_j} \phi, \quad \phi \in (E),$$

where the series converges in  $E_{\mathbf{C}} \otimes (E)$  as well as pointwisely. Moreover, for any  $p \geq 0$

$$(2-7) \quad \|\nabla \phi\|_p^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|e_j \otimes D_{e_j} \phi\|_p^2 \leq \left( \frac{\rho^{-2} \delta^2}{-2e \log \rho} \right) \|\phi\|_{p+1}^2, \quad \phi \in (E).$$

In particular,  $\nabla \in \mathcal{L}((E), E_{\mathbf{C}} \otimes (E))$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\phi \in (E)$  is given as in (2-1). Then, by definition

$$(2-8) \quad \nabla \phi(t, x) = \partial_t \phi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \langle x^{\otimes(n-1)} ; \delta_t \otimes_1 f_n \rangle.$$

Using the Fourier expansion of  $f_n$  in terms of  $\{e_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ , we obtain

$$(2-9) \quad \nabla \phi(t, x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \langle x^{\otimes(n-1)} ; e_j \otimes_1 f_n \rangle e_j(t).$$

For simplicity we put  $\phi_j = D_{e_j} \phi$ . Then, by (2-2)

$$(2-10) \quad \nabla\phi(t, x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e_j(t)\phi_j(x).$$

As is easily verified, the above infinite series (2-8), (2-9) and (2-10) converge absolutely at each  $t \in T$  and  $x \in E^*$ .

We next investigate a norm estimate. In view of (2-3) we have

$$(2-11) \quad \|\phi_j\|_p \leq \left( \frac{\rho^{-2q}}{-2eq \log \rho} \right)^{1/2} |e_j|_{-(p+q)} \|\phi\|_{p+q}, \quad p \geq 0, \quad q > 0.$$

On the other hand, since  $\{e_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$  is an orthogonal set with respect to every norm  $|\cdot|_p$ , we see from (2-5) and (2-10) that

$$(2-12) \quad \|\nabla\phi\|_p^2 = \|(A \otimes \Gamma(A))^p \nabla\phi\|_0^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |e_j|_p^2 \|\phi_j\|_p^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|e_j \otimes \phi_j\|_p^2,$$

which proves the first half of (2-7). Inserting (2-11) into (2-12) we obtain

$$\|\nabla\phi\|_p^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |e_j|_p^2 \left( \frac{\rho^{-2q}}{-2eq \log \rho} \right) |e_j|_{-(p+q)}^2 \|\phi\|_{p+q}^2 = \left( \frac{\rho^{-2q}}{-2eq \log \rho} \right) \|\phi\|_{p+q}^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{-2q}.$$

Thus the second half of (2-7) follows by taking  $q = 1$ . The rest of the assertion is now immediate. Q.E.D.

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *For  $y \in E^*$  and  $\Phi \in (E)^*$  it holds that*

$$(2-13) \quad \langle\langle y \otimes \Phi, \nabla\phi \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle \Phi, D_y\phi \rangle\rangle, \quad \phi \in (E).$$

Here the canonical bilinear form on  $(E_C \otimes (E))^* \times (E_C \otimes (E))$  is also denoted by  $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$ . It is also possible to adopt (2-13) as the definition of  $\nabla\phi$ .

### 3. First order differential operators

Before going into the definition of an operator of the form (0-2) we recall the following

**LEMMA 3.1** ([7]). *For each  $p \geq 0$  there exist  $q > 0$  and  $C \geq 0$  such that*

$$(3-1) \quad \|\phi\psi\|_p \leq C \|\phi\|_{p+q} \|\psi\|_{p+q}, \quad \phi, \psi \in (E).$$

In this paper we do not need a precise norm estimate though it is very interesting in itself, see e.g., [14]. We next prove the following

LEMMA 3.2. For  $\phi, \psi \in (E)$  put

$$(3-2) \quad \omega_{\phi, \psi}(t, x) = (\partial_t \phi)(x) \cdot \psi(x), \quad t \in T, \quad x \in E^*.$$

Then,  $\omega_{\phi, \psi} \in E \otimes (E)$ . Moreover,  $(\phi, \psi) \mapsto \omega_{\phi, \psi}$  is a continuous bilinear map from  $(E) \times (E)$  into  $E_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes (E)$ .

*Proof.* For simplicity we write  $\omega = \omega_{\phi, \psi}$ . It then follows from Proposition 2.1 that

$$\omega(t, x) = \nabla \phi(t, x) \cdot \psi(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e_j(t) \phi_j(x) \psi(x), \quad t \in T, \quad x \in E^*,$$

where  $\phi_j = D_{e_j} \phi$ . Suppose  $p \geq 0$  is given. Then, in view of Lemma 3.1,

$$(3-3) \quad \|\omega\|_p^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |e_j|_p^2 \|\phi_j \psi\|_p^2 \leq C^2 \|\psi\|_{p+q}^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |e_j|_p^2 \|\phi\|_{p+q}^2,$$

for some  $C \geq 0$  and  $q > 0$ . Using  $|e_j|_p = \rho^q |e_j|_{p+q}$ , we obtain

$$\|\omega\|_p^2 \leq C^2 \rho^{2q} \|\psi\|_{p+q}^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |e_j|_{p+q}^2 \|\phi\|_{p+q}^2,$$

and therefore, by (2-7) we come to

$$(3-4) \quad \|\omega_{\phi, \psi}\|_p \leq M \|\phi\|_{p+q+1} \|\psi\|_{p+q}, \quad \phi, \psi \in (E),$$

where  $M = C\rho^{q-1} \delta(-2e \log \rho)^{-1/2}$ . This completes the proof. Q.E.D.

THEOREM 3.3. For  $\tilde{\Phi} \in (E_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes (E))^*$  there exists a unique operator  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{L}((E), (E)^*)$  such that

$$(3-5) \quad \langle \mathcal{E}\phi, \psi \rangle = \langle \tilde{\Phi}, \omega_{\phi, \psi} \rangle, \quad \phi, \psi \in (E),$$

where  $\omega_{\phi, \psi}$  is defined as in (3-2).

*Proof.* Choose  $p \geq 0$  such as  $\|\tilde{\Phi}\|_{-p} < \infty$ . Then, by (3-4) we have

$$|\langle \tilde{\Phi}, \omega_{\phi, \psi} \rangle| \leq \|\tilde{\Phi}\|_{-p} \|\omega_{\phi, \psi}\|_p \leq M \|\tilde{\Phi}\|_{-p} \|\phi\|_{p+q+1} \|\psi\|_{p+q}$$

for some  $q > 0$  and  $M \geq 0$ . This means that  $(\phi, \psi) \mapsto \langle \tilde{\Phi}, \omega_{\phi, \psi} \rangle$  is a continuous bilinear form on  $(E) \times (E)$ , and therefore there exists a unique operator  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{L}((E), (E)^*)$  satisfying (3-5). Q.E.D.

The above constructed operator  $\mathcal{E}$  is called a *first order differential operator* with coefficient  $\tilde{\Phi} \in (E_{\mathbf{C}} \otimes (E))^*$  and is denoted (somehow formally) by

$$(3-6) \quad \mathcal{E} = \int_T \Phi_t \partial_t dt.$$

Here we write  $\Phi_t(x) = \tilde{\Phi}(t, x)$ . In fact  $t \mapsto \tilde{\Phi}_t$  is an  $(E)^*$ -valued distribution on  $T$ , namely, an element in  $E_{\mathbf{C}}^* \otimes (E)^* \cong (E_{\mathbf{C}} \otimes (E))^*$ .

We are now interested in first order differential operators acting on  $(E)$  into itself.

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a first order differential operator with coefficient  $\tilde{\Phi} \in (E_{\mathbf{C}} \otimes (E))^*$ . Then  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{L}((E), (E))$  if and only if  $\tilde{\Phi} \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^* \otimes (E)$ .*

*Proof.* There is a canonical isomorphism  $(E_{\mathbf{C}} \otimes (E))^* \cong \mathcal{L}(E_{\mathbf{C}}, (E)^*)$ : the correspondence between  $\tilde{\Phi} \in (E_{\mathbf{C}} \otimes (E))^*$  and  $K \in \mathcal{L}(E_{\mathbf{C}}, (E)^*)$  is given by

$$(3-7) \quad \langle\langle \tilde{\Phi}, \xi \otimes \phi \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle K\xi, \phi \rangle\rangle, \quad \xi \in E_{\mathbf{C}}, \quad \phi \in (E).$$

Under this isomorphism,  $\tilde{\Phi} \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^* \otimes (E)$  if and only if  $K \in \mathcal{L}(E_{\mathbf{C}}, (E))$ .

Suppose that  $\mathcal{E}$  is given as in (3-6). Then, by definition (3-5) holds. On the other hand, it has been established during the proof of Lemma 3.2 that

$$\omega_{\phi, \phi} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e_j \otimes (\phi_j \phi), \quad \phi_j = D_{e_j} \phi,$$

converges in  $E_{\mathbf{C}} \otimes (E)$ . Then (3-5) becomes

$$\langle\langle \mathcal{E}\phi, \phi \rangle\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \langle\langle \tilde{\Phi}, e_j \otimes (\phi_j \phi) \rangle\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \langle\langle Ke_j, \phi_j \phi \rangle\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \langle\langle \phi_j Ke_j, \phi \rangle\rangle.$$

Hence for any  $p \geq 0$ ,

$$(3-8) \quad |\langle\langle \mathcal{E}\phi, \phi \rangle\rangle| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|\phi_j Ke_j\|_p \|\phi\|_{-p},$$

though the sum is possibly infinite. We now suppose that  $\tilde{\Phi} \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^* \otimes (E)$ , or equivalently,  $K \in \mathcal{L}(E_{\mathbf{C}}, (E))$ . Then  $Ke_j \in (E)$  and by Lemma 3.1 there exist  $q > 0$  and  $C_1 \geq 0$  such that

$$\|\phi_j Ke_j\|_p \leq C_1 \|\phi_j\|_{p+q} \|Ke_j\|_{p+q}.$$

Moreover, there exist  $r \geq 0$  and  $C_2 \geq 0$  such that

$$\|Ke_j\|_{p+q} \leq C_2 |e_j|_{p+q+r}.$$

Thus (3-8) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle\langle \mathcal{E}\phi, \psi \rangle\rangle| &\leq C_1 C_2 \|\psi\|_{-p} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|\phi_j\|_{p+q} |e_j|_{p+q+r} \\ &= C_1 C_2 \|\psi\|_{-p} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|\phi_j\|_{p+q} |e_j|_{p+q+r+1} \lambda_j^{-1} \\ &\leq C_1 C_2 \|\psi\|_{-p} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|\phi_j\|_{p+q}^2 |e_j|_{p+q+r+1}^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{-2} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C_1 C_2 \delta \|\psi\|_{-p} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|e_j \otimes \phi_j\|_{p+q+r+1}^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows from Proposition 2.1 that

$$|\langle\langle \mathcal{E}\phi, \psi \rangle\rangle| \leq C_1 C_2 \delta \|\psi\|_{-p} \|\nabla\phi\|_{p+q+r+1},$$

and hence

$$\|\mathcal{E}\phi\|_p \leq C_1 C_2 \delta \|\nabla\phi\|_{p+q+r+1} \leq C_1 C_2 \delta \left( \frac{\rho^{-2} \delta^2}{-2e \log \rho} \right)^{1/2} \|\phi\|_{p+q+r+2}.$$

We have thus seen that  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{L}((E), (E))$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{L}((E), (E))$ . Then for any  $p \geq 0$  there exist  $q \geq 0$  and  $C \geq 0$  such that

$$(3-9) \quad \|\mathcal{E}\phi\|_p \leq C \|\phi\|_{p+q}, \quad \phi \in (E).$$

Let  $\xi \in E_{\mathbf{C}}$  be fixed and consider

$$\phi(x) = \langle x, \xi \rangle, \quad x \in E^*.$$

As is easily verified,  $\omega_{\phi, \phi} = \xi \otimes \phi$  for any  $\phi \in (E)$ . Hence by (3-5) and (3-7) we obtain

$$\langle\langle \mathcal{E}\phi, \psi \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle \tilde{\Phi}, \omega_{\phi, \phi} \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle \tilde{\Phi}, \xi \otimes \phi \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle K\xi, \psi \rangle\rangle.$$

Then by (3-9) we obtain

$$|\langle\langle K\xi, \psi \rangle\rangle| = |\langle\langle \mathcal{E}\phi, \psi \rangle\rangle| \leq \|\mathcal{E}\phi\|_p \|\psi\|_{-p} \leq C \|\phi\|_{p+q} \|\psi\|_{-p}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|K\xi\|_p \leq C \|\phi\|_{p+q} = C |\xi|_{p+q}, \quad \xi \in E_{\mathbf{C}}.$$

Consequently,  $K \in \mathcal{L}(E_{\mathbf{C}}, (E))$

Q.E.D.

Such an operator  $\mathcal{E}$  as described in Theorem 3.4 is called a *first order differential operator with smooth coefficients*. This would be reasonable because in that case  $t \mapsto \Phi_t$  is an  $(E)$ -valued distribution on  $T$ .

#### 4. Integral kernel operators and Fock expansion

With each  $\kappa \in (E_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes(l+m)})^*$  we may associate an *integral kernel operator* whose formal expression is given by

$$\mathcal{E}_{l,m}(\kappa) = \int_{T^{l+m}} \kappa(s_1, \dots, s_l, t_1, \dots, t_m) \partial_{s_1}^* \cdots \partial_{s_l}^* \partial_{t_1} \cdots \partial_{t_m} ds_1 \cdots ds_l dt_1 \cdots dt_m,$$

where  $\kappa$  is called the *kernel distribution*. More precisely, it is defined through two canonical bilinear forms:

$$\langle\langle \mathcal{E}_{l,m}(\kappa)\phi, \psi \rangle\rangle = \langle \kappa, \langle\langle \partial_{s_1}^* \cdots \partial_{s_l}^* \partial_{t_1} \cdots \partial_{t_m} \phi, \psi \rangle\rangle \rangle, \quad \phi, \psi \in (E).$$

It is proved that  $\mathcal{E}_{l,m}(\kappa) \in \mathcal{L}((E), (E)^*)$ , see [4] for further details. Without loss of generality we may assume that the kernel distribution  $\kappa$  is symmetric with respect to the first  $l$  and the last  $m$  variables independently. We denote by  $(E_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes(l+m)})_{\text{sym}(l,m)}^*$  the space of such  $\kappa \in (E_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes(l+m)})^*$ .

**THEOREM 4.1** ([12]). *For any  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{L}((E), (E)^*)$  there exists a unique family of distributions  $\kappa_{l,m} \in (E_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes(l+m)})_{\text{sym}(l,m)}^*$  such that*

$$(4-1) \quad \mathcal{E}\phi = \sum_{l,m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_{l,m}(\kappa_{l,m})\phi, \quad \phi \in (E),$$

where the right hand side converges in  $(E)^*$ .

More complete results are found in [14]. The unique expression of  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{L}((E), (E)^*)$  given in Theorem 4.1 is called the *Fock expansion* of  $\mathcal{E}$  and denoted simply by

$$(4-2) \quad \mathcal{E} = \sum_{l,m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_{l,m}(\kappa_{l,m}).$$

It is also known that the series converges in  $\mathcal{L}((E), (E)^*)$ .

Given  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{L}((E), (E)^*)$ , the kernel distributions  $\kappa_{l,m}$  are easily found by using an operator symbol. For each  $\xi \in E_{\mathbb{C}}$  the *exponential vector*  $\phi_{\xi} \in (E)$  is defined by

$$(4-3) \quad \phi_\xi(x) = \exp\left(\langle x, \xi \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \xi, \xi \rangle\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\langle : x^{\otimes n} :, \frac{\xi^{\otimes n}}{n!} \right\rangle, \quad x \in E^*.$$

For  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{L}((E), (E)^*)$  a function on  $E_{\mathbf{C}} \times E_{\mathbf{C}}$  defined by

$$(4-4) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\xi, \eta) = \langle\langle \mathcal{E}\phi_\xi, \phi_\eta \rangle\rangle, \quad \xi, \eta \in E_{\mathbf{C}},$$

is called the *symbol* of  $\mathcal{E}$ . For example, for  $\mathcal{E}$  with Fock expansion (4-2) we have

$$(4-5) \quad e^{-\langle \xi, \eta \rangle} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\xi, \eta) = \sum_{l,m=0}^{\infty} \langle \kappa_{l,m}, \eta^{\otimes l} \otimes \xi^{\otimes m} \rangle, \quad \xi, \eta \in E_{\mathbf{C}}.$$

Hence, in order to find kernel distributions  $\kappa_{l,m}$  one needs only to consider the Taylor expansion of (4-5).

We also note the following

PROPOSITION 4.2. *For a first order differential operator  $\mathcal{E}$  with coefficient  $\tilde{\Phi} \in (E_{\mathbf{C}} \otimes (E))^*$  we have*

$$(4-6) \quad e^{-\langle \xi, \eta \rangle} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\xi, \eta) = \langle\langle \tilde{\Phi}, \xi \otimes \phi_{\xi+\eta} \rangle\rangle, \quad \xi, \eta \in E_{\mathbf{C}}.$$

*Proof.* By definition (3-2) we have

$$\omega_{\phi_\xi, \phi_\eta}(t, x) = \partial_t \phi_\xi(x) \phi_\eta(x) = \xi(t) \phi_\xi(x) \phi_\eta(x), \quad \xi, \eta \in E_{\mathbf{C}},$$

namely,  $\omega_{\phi_\xi, \phi_\eta} = e^{\langle \xi, \eta \rangle} \xi \otimes \phi_{\xi+\eta}$ . Then

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\xi, \eta) = \langle\langle \mathcal{E}\phi_\xi, \phi_\eta \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle \tilde{\Phi}, \omega_{\phi_\xi, \phi_\eta} \rangle\rangle = e^{\langle \xi, \eta \rangle} \langle\langle \tilde{\Phi}, \xi \otimes \phi_{\xi+\eta} \rangle\rangle.$$

This shows (4-6). Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 4.3. *Let  $\kappa \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^*$  and put  $\tilde{\Phi} = \kappa \otimes 1 \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^* \otimes (E)$ . Then the first order differential operator with coefficient  $\tilde{\Phi}$  coincides with  $\mathcal{E}_{0,1}(\kappa)$ .*

Such an operator described as in Corollary 4.3 is called a *first order differential operator with constant coefficients*.

## 5. Main result

Recall that a linear operator  $\mathcal{E} : (E) \rightarrow (E)^*$  is called a *derivation* if

$$(5-1) \quad \mathcal{E}(\phi\psi) = \mathcal{E}\phi \cdot \psi + \phi \cdot \mathcal{E}\psi, \quad \phi, \psi \in (E).$$

We then come to the main result.

THEOREM 5.1. *Any continuous derivation in  $\mathcal{L}((E), (E)^*)$  is a first order differential operator and vice versa. Furthermore, any continuous derivation in  $\mathcal{L}((E), (E))$  is a first order differential operator with smooth coefficients and vice versa.*

For the proof we prepare a few lemmas.

LEMMA 5.2. *Let  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{L}((E), (E)^*)$ . Then, it is a derivation if and only if*

$$(5-2) \quad e^{\langle \xi, \eta \rangle} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}(\xi + \eta, \zeta) = e^{\langle \eta, \zeta \rangle} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}(\xi, \eta + \zeta) + e^{\langle \xi, \zeta \rangle} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}(\eta, \xi + \zeta), \quad \xi, \eta, \zeta \in E_{\mathbf{C}}.$$

*Proof.* Since the exponential vectors (4-3) span a dense subspace of  $(E)$ ,  $\mathcal{E}$  is a derivation if and only if

$$(5-3) \quad \mathcal{E}(\phi_{\xi} \phi_{\eta}) = \mathcal{E} \phi_{\xi} \cdot \phi_{\eta} + \phi_{\xi} \cdot \mathcal{E} \phi_{\eta}, \quad \xi, \eta \in E_{\mathbf{C}}^*.$$

With the obvious relation  $\phi_{\xi} \phi_{\eta} = e^{\langle \xi, \eta \rangle} \phi_{\xi + \eta}$ , we see easily that (5-3) is equivalent to (5-2). Q.E.D.

LEMMA 5.3. *Any first order differential operator is a derivation in  $\mathcal{L}((E), (E)^*)$ .*

*Proof.* Immediate from Proposition 4.2 and Lemma 5.2. Q.E.D.

LEMMA 5.4. *Let  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{L}((E), (E)^*)$  be a derivation with Fock expansion:*

$$(5-4) \quad \mathcal{E} = \sum_{l, m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_{l, m}(\kappa_{l, m}).$$

*Then,  $\kappa_{l, 0} = 0$  for all  $l \geq 0$  and*

$$\langle \kappa_{l, m+1}, \eta^{\otimes l} \otimes \xi^{\otimes (m+1)} \rangle = \binom{l+m}{l} \langle \kappa_{l+m, 1}, (\eta^{\otimes l} \otimes \xi^{\otimes m}) \otimes \xi \rangle, \quad \xi, \eta \in E_{\mathbf{C}},$$

*for all  $l, m \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* By assumption the symbol  $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}$  satisfies (5-2). Then, in view of expansion (4-5) we obtain

$$(5-5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \binom{m+n}{n} \langle \kappa_{l, m+n}, \zeta^{\otimes l} \otimes \xi^{\otimes m} \otimes \eta^{\otimes n} \rangle \\ &= \binom{l+m}{m} \langle \kappa_{l+m, n}, \zeta^{\otimes l} \otimes \xi^{\otimes m} \otimes \eta^{\otimes n} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \binom{l+n}{n} \langle \kappa_{l+n,m}, \zeta^{\otimes l} \otimes \eta^{\otimes n} \otimes \xi^{\otimes m} \rangle, \quad \xi, \eta, \zeta \in E_{\mathbf{C}}.$$

Then, putting  $m = n = 0$  in (5-5), we see that  $\kappa_{l,0} = 0$  for all  $l \geq 0$ . We next put  $n = 1$  and  $\eta = \xi$  in (5-5) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & l!(m+1)! \langle \kappa_{l,m+1}, \zeta^{\otimes l} \otimes \xi^{\otimes(m+1)} \rangle \\ &= (l+m)! \langle \kappa_{l+m,1}, (\zeta^{\otimes l} \otimes \xi^{\otimes m}) \otimes \xi \rangle \\ &+ (l+1)!m! \langle \kappa_{l+1,m}, (\zeta^{\otimes l} \otimes \xi) \otimes \xi^{\otimes m} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Applying this argument to the second term successively, we come to

$$l!(m+1)! \langle \kappa_{l,m+1}, \zeta^{\otimes l} \otimes \xi^{\otimes(m+1)} \rangle = (m+1)(l+m)! \langle \kappa_{l+m,1}, (\zeta^{\otimes l} \otimes \xi^{\otimes m}) \otimes \xi \rangle,$$

which completes the proof. Q.E.D.

*Proof of Theorem 5.1.* Suppose that we are given a continuous derivation  $\mathcal{E}$  with Fock expansion as in (5-4). We first introduce a continuous bilinear form  $\Omega$  on  $E_{\mathbf{C}} \times (E)$  by

$$(5-6) \quad \Omega(\xi, \phi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! \langle \kappa_{n,1}, f_n \otimes \xi \rangle, \quad \xi \in E_{\mathbf{C}}, \quad \phi \in (E),$$

where  $\phi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle x^{\otimes n}, f_n \rangle$ . We shall prove the convergence of (5-6). In fact, for any  $p, q \geq 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (5-7) \quad & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! | \langle \kappa_{n,1}, f_n \otimes \xi \rangle | \\ & \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! | \kappa_{n,1} |_{-(p+q+1)} | f_n \otimes \xi |_{p+q+1} \\ & \leq \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! | \kappa_{n,1} |_{-(p+q+1)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! | f_n |_{p+q+1}^2 \right)^{1/2} | \xi |_{p+q+1} \\ & = | \xi |_{p+q+1} \| \phi \|_{p+q+1} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! | \kappa_{n,1} |_{-(p+q+1)}^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{L}((E), (E)^*)$ , there exist  $C \geq 0, K \geq 0$  and  $p \geq 0$  such that

$$| \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\xi, \eta) | \leq C \exp K ( | \xi |_p^2 + | \eta |_p^2 ), \quad \xi, \eta \in E_{\mathbf{C}}.$$

It is proved in [12] that the kernel distributions  $\kappa_{l,m}$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfies

$$| \kappa_{l,m} |_{-(p+1)} \leq C (l!m!)^{-1/2} (2e^3\delta^2)^{(l+m)/2} \left( \frac{\rho^{2p}}{2} + K \right)^{(l+m)/2}.$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} |\kappa_{n,1}|_{-(p+q+1)} &\leq \rho^{q(n+1)} |\kappa_{n,1}|_{-(p+1)} \\ &\leq C \rho^{q(n+1)} n^{-n/2} (2e^3 \delta^2)^{(n+1)/2} \left( \frac{\rho^{2p}}{2} + K \right)^{(n+1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(5-8) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! |\kappa_{n,1}|_{-(p+q+1)}^2 \leq C^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{n^n} \left\{ 2e^3 \delta^2 \rho^{2q} \left( \frac{\rho^{2p}}{2} + K \right) \right\}^{n+1} < \infty$$

for a sufficiently large  $q \geq 0$ . In conclusion, we see from (5-7) and (5-8) that

$$\left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! \langle \kappa_{n,1}, f_n \otimes \xi \rangle \right| \leq C_1 |\xi|_{p+q+1} \|\phi\|_{p+q+1}, \quad \xi \in E_{\mathbf{C}}, \phi \in (E),$$

for some  $C_1 \geq 0$ ,  $p \geq 0$  and  $q \geq 0$ . Therefore  $\Omega$  in (5-6) is well defined on  $E_{\mathbf{C}} \times (E)$  and becomes a continuous bilinear form.

Let  $\tilde{\Phi} \in (E_{\mathbf{C}} \otimes (E))^*$  be the element corresponding to  $\Omega$ , namely,

$$\langle\langle \tilde{\Phi}, \xi \otimes \phi \rangle\rangle = \Omega(\xi, \phi), \quad \xi \in E_{\mathbf{C}}, \phi \in (E).$$

Let  $\mathcal{E}'$  be the first order differential operator with coefficient  $\tilde{\Phi}$ . It then follows from Proposition 4.2 that

$$(5-9) \quad e^{-\langle \xi, \eta \rangle} \hat{\mathcal{E}}'(\xi, \eta) = \langle\langle \tilde{\Phi}, \xi \otimes \phi_{\xi+\eta} \rangle\rangle = \Omega(\xi, \phi_{\xi+\eta}).$$

On the other hand, in view of (5-6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(\xi, \phi_{\xi+\eta}) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! \left\langle \kappa_{n,1}, \frac{(\xi + \eta)^{\otimes n}}{n!} \otimes \xi \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} \langle \kappa_{n,1}, (\eta^{\otimes l} \otimes \xi^{\otimes (n-l)}) \otimes \xi \rangle \\ &= \sum_{l,m=0}^{\infty} \binom{l+m}{l} \langle \kappa_{l+m,1}, (\eta^{\otimes l} \otimes \xi^{\otimes m}) \otimes \xi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now, applying the relations:

$$\begin{cases} \kappa_{l,0} = 0, & l \geq 0, \\ \langle \kappa_{l,m+1}, \eta^{\otimes l} \otimes \xi^{\otimes (m+1)} \rangle = \binom{l+m}{l} \langle \kappa_{l+m,1}, (\eta^{\otimes l} \otimes \xi^{\otimes m}) \otimes \xi \rangle, & l, m \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

which are obtained in Lemma 5.4, we come to

$$(5-10) \quad \Omega(\xi, \phi_{\xi+\eta}) = \sum_{l,m=0}^{\infty} \langle \kappa_{l,m}, \eta^{\otimes l} \otimes \xi^{\otimes m} \rangle = e^{-\langle \xi, \eta \rangle} \tilde{E}(\xi, \eta).$$

It follows from (5-9) and (5-10) that

$$e^{-\langle \xi, \eta \rangle} \tilde{E}'(\xi, \eta) = e^{-\langle \xi, \eta \rangle} \tilde{E}(\xi, \eta), \quad \xi, \eta \in E_C,$$

so that  $\tilde{E} = \tilde{E}'$ . Consequently,  $\tilde{E}$  is the first order differential operator with coefficient  $\tilde{\Phi}$ . The rest of the assertion is now immediate from Lemma 5.3 and Theorem 3.4. Q.E.D.

Note that any derivation maps constant functions into zero.

COROLLARY 5.5. *Any continuous derivation on  $(E)$  which maps linear functionals into constants is a first order differential operator with constant coefficients and vice versa.*

The *Gross Laplacian* and the *number operator* are defined respectively as integral kernel operators:

$$\Delta_G = \int_{T \times T} \tau(s, t) \partial_s \partial_t ds dt, \quad N = \int_{T \times T} \tau(s, t) \partial_s^* \partial_t ds dt,$$

where  $\tau \in E^* \otimes E$  is given by  $\langle \tau, \xi \otimes \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle, \xi, \eta \in E$ .

COROLLARY 5.6.  $\Delta_G + N$  is a derivation in  $\mathcal{L}((E), (E))$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] S. Albeverio, T. Hida, J. Potthoff, M. Röckner and L. Streit, Dirichlet forms in terms of white noise analysis II. Closability and diffusion processes, Rev. Math. Phys., **1** (1990), 313–323.
- [ 2 ] T. Hida, "Analysis of Brownian Functionals," Carleton Math. Lect. Notes no. 13, Carleton University, Ottawa, 1975.
- [ 3 ] T. Hida, H.-H. Kuo and N. Obata, Transformations for white noise functionals, J. Funct. Anal., **111** (1993), 259–277.
- [ 4 ] T. Hida, N. Obata and K. Saitô, Infinite dimensional rotations and Laplacians in terms of white noise calculus, Nagoya Math. J., **128** (1992), 65–93.
- [ 5 ] T. Hida, J. Potthoff and L. Streit, Dirichlet forms and white noise analysis, Commun. Math. Phys., **116** (1988), 235–245.
- [ 6 ] N. Ikeda and S. Watanabe, "Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion Processes (2nd ed.)," North-Holland, Amsterdam/New York, 1988.
- [ 7 ] I. Kubo and S. Takenaka, Calculus on Gaussian white noise I-IV, Proc. Japan Acad., **56A** (1980), 376–380; 411–416; **57A** (1981), 433–437; **58A** (1982),

- 186–189.
- [ 8 ] I. Kubo and Y. Yokoi, A remark on the space of testing random variables in the white noise calculus, Nagoya Math. J., **115** (1989), 139–149.
  - [ 9 ] H.-H. Kuo, On Laplacian operators of generalized Brownian functionals, in “Stochastic Processes and Their Applications (T. Hida and K. Itô, eds.),” Lect. Notes in Math. Vol. 1203, Springer-Verlag, 1986, pp. 119–128.
  - [10] P. A. Meyer, “Quantum Probability for Probabilists,” Lect. Notes in Math. Vol. 1538, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
  - [11] N. Obata, Rotation-invariant operators on white noise functionals, Math. Z., **210** (1992), 69–89.
  - [12] —, An analytic characterization of symbols of operators on white noise functionals, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **45** (1993), 421–445.
  - [13] —, Operator calculus on vector-valued white noise functionals, J. Funct. Anal., **121** (1994), 185–232.
  - [14] —, “White Noise Calculus and Fock Space,” Lect. Notes in Math. Vol. 1577, Springer-Verlag, 1994.
  - [15] K. R. Parthasarathy, “An Introduction to Quantum Stochastic Calculus,” Birkhäuser, 1992.

*Graduate School of Polymathematics  
Nagoya University  
Nagoya, 464-01 Japan*