

## ON THE SERIES FOR $L(1, \chi)$

MING-GUANG LEU AND WEN-CH'ING WINNIE LI

### 1. Introduction

Let  $k$  be a positive integer greater than 1, and let  $\chi(n)$  be a real primitive character modulo  $k$ . The series

$$L(1, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n}$$

can be divided into groups of  $k$  consecutive terms. Let  $v$  be any nonnegative integer,  $j$  and integer,  $0 \leq j \leq k - 1$ , and let

$$T(v, j, \chi) = \sum_{n=j+1}^{j+k} \frac{\chi(vk + n)}{vk + n} = \sum_{n=j+1}^{j+k} \frac{\chi(n)}{vk + n}.$$

Then  $L(1, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^j \frac{\chi(n)}{n} + \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} T(v, j, \chi)$ .

In [3] Davenport proved the following theorem:

**THEOREM (H. Davenport).** *If  $\chi(-1) = 1$ , then  $T(v, 0, \chi) > 0$  for all  $v$  and  $k$ . If  $\chi(-1) = -1$ , then  $T(0, 0, \chi) > 0$  for all  $k$ , and  $T(v, 0, \chi) > 0$  if  $v > v(k)$ ; but for any  $r \geq 1$  there exist values of  $k$  for which*

$$T(1, 0, \chi) < 0, T(2, 0, \chi) < 0, \dots, T(r, 0, \chi) < 0.$$

In this paper, we will prove

**THEOREM 2.** *For fixed integers  $k$  and  $j$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq k - 1$ ,*

---

Received August 24, 1994.

This research was done when the first author was visiting the Pennsylvania State University, supported by a grant from the National Science Council of the Republic of China. He would like to thank the Mathematics Department of the Pennsylvania State University for its hospitality. The second author's research is supported in part by the NSA grants MDA904-92-H-3054 and MDA904-95-H-1006.

$$T(v, j, \chi)T(v + 1, j, \chi) > 0$$

for positive integer  $v > v(k, j)$ .

In the case  $j = \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor$ , where  $[x]$  denotes the greatest integer  $\leq x$ , we have the following more refined results.

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $\chi(-1) = 1$ , then  $T\left(v, \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor, \chi\right) < 0$  for all  $v$  and  $k$ .*

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $\chi(-1) = -1$ .*

(1) *If  $k \not\equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ , then  $T\left(v, \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor, \chi\right) < 0$  for  $v > k^{\frac{1}{4}}$ .*

(2) *If  $k \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ , then  $T\left(v, \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor, \chi\right) > 0$  for  $v \geq 0$ .*

As a consequence of Davenport's theorem [3] and Theorem 3, we have the following inequality for even  $\chi$  (cf. Corollary 1 (2)):

$$\sum_{n=1}^k \frac{\chi(n)}{n} < L(1, \chi) < \sum_{n=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor} \frac{\chi(n)}{n}.$$

Furthermore, using a result of Davenport [3], we derive a class number formula

$$h = \left\lfloor \frac{k^{3/2}}{2 \ln \varepsilon} \sum_{n=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor} \frac{\chi(n)}{n(k-n)} \right\rfloor + 1$$

for real quadratic fields, which seems a little more efficient than the class number formulas mentioned in [4] and page 46 of [5]. Also, we give estimates of the class numbers of imaginary quadratic fields (cf. Corollary 2).

We remind the reader that a real primitive character  $(\text{mod } k)$  exists only when either  $k$  or  $-k$  is a fundamental discriminant, and that the character is then given by

$$\chi(n) = \left( \frac{d}{n} \right),$$

where  $d$  is  $k$  or  $-k$ , and the symbol is that of Kronecker (see, for example, Ayoub [1] for the definition of a Kronecker character).

**2. A proof of Theorem 2**

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $\chi$  be a real primitive character modulo a positive odd integer  $k$ . (If  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then  $\chi(-1) = 1$ , otherwise  $\chi(-1) = -1$ .) Then

$$T(0, j, \chi) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 1.$$

*Proof.* For any positive odd integer  $k > 1$ , there exists a unique positive integer  $\alpha$  such that  $2^\alpha < k < 2^{\alpha+1}$ . Let  $\gamma$  be the largest power such that  $2^\gamma \leq j + k$ . Then  $\gamma = \alpha$  or  $\alpha + 1$  depending on  $j$ . For integers  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , we express  $j + i = 2^{\beta_i} m_i$  with  $m_i$  an odd integer and  $\beta_i$  an integer. Clearly,  $j + l = 2^\gamma$  for some integer  $l$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq k$ , and  $\beta_i < \gamma$  for  $i \neq l$ . Write  $\prod_{i=1}^k (j + i) = 2^t M$ , where  $t = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k$  and  $M = \prod_{i=1}^k m_i$  is an odd integer. We have

$$T(0, j, \chi) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\chi(j+i)}{j+i} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \chi(j+i) 2^{t-\beta_i} \frac{M}{m_i}}{2^t M} = \frac{N}{2^t M}.$$

Write the numerator  $N$  as a sum of two parts  $\sum_{i \neq l} \chi(j+i) 2^{t-\beta_i} \frac{M}{m_i} + \chi(j+l) M 2^{t-\gamma}$ . Since the modulus  $k$  is odd, we know  $\chi(2) \neq 0$ , and

$$\frac{N}{2^{t-\gamma}} = \sum_{i \neq l} \chi(j+i) 2^{t-\beta_i} \frac{M}{m_i} + \chi(2^\gamma) M \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

This implies that  $N \neq 0$ , and therefore  $T(0, j, \chi) = \frac{N}{2^t M} \neq 0$ . □

*Remarks.* 1. The above argument actually proves a more general fact, namely, given any two positive integers  $M > m$ , if there is a positive power of 2 between them, then  $\sum_{i=m}^M \frac{\chi(i)}{i^r} \neq 0$  for any positive integer  $r$ .

2. The sign of  $T(0, j, \chi)$  is known for the following cases: When  $j = 0$ , it is positive for any modulus  $k$  (cf. [3]); when  $j = \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor$ , it is negative for any  $k$  such that  $\chi(-1) = 1$  (cf. Theorem 3), and it is positive for  $k \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$  which implies  $\chi(-1) = -1$  (cf. Theorem 6).

Instead of proving Theorem 2 directly we shall prove a more general statement first.

For each positive integer  $d$ , let  $f_d$  be a function on the integers such that  $f_d(j+1), \dots, f_d(j+d)$  are not all zero for some integer  $j$ . Let  $C(l, j, f_d) =$

$\sum_{m=1}^d f_d(j+m)m^l$ , where  $l$  is any integer. Then we have the following result:

**THEOREM 1.** *For some integer  $l$ ,  $0 \leq l \leq d-1$ , one has  $C(l, j, f_d) \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Express the system of equations

$$C(l, j, f_d) = \sum_{m=1}^d f_d(j+m)m^l, \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, d-1,$$

in matrix form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & d \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1^{d-1} & 2^{d-1} & \cdots & d^{d-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_d(j+1) \\ f_d(j+2) \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ f_d(j+d) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C(0, j, f_d) \\ C(1, j, f_d) \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ C(d-1, j, f_d) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the Vandermonde matrix is invertible, and  $f_d(j+1), \dots, f_d(j+d)$  are not all zero, so  $C(l, j, f_d) \neq 0$  for some  $l$ ,  $0 \leq l \leq d-1$ .  $\square$

For integers  $v \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq j \leq k-1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(v, j, \chi) &= \sum_{m=1}^k \frac{\chi(j+m)}{vk+j+m} \\ &= \frac{1}{vk+j} \sum_{m=1}^k \frac{\chi(j+m)}{1 + \frac{m}{vk+j}} \\ &= \frac{1}{vk+j} \sum_{m=1}^k \chi(j+m) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^l \frac{m^l}{(vk+j)^l} \\ &= \frac{1}{vk+j} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m=1}^k \chi(j+m)m^l \right) \left( \frac{-1}{vk+j} \right)^l. \end{aligned}$$

(In the above expansion,  $m = vk+j$  occurs only when  $j=0$ ,  $v=1$  and  $m=k$ , in which case  $\chi(j+m) = 0$  and there is no need to consider such a term.) As a corollary of Theorem 1, we have:

**THEOREM 2.** *For any fixed integers  $k$  and  $j$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq k-1$ , one has*

$$T(v, j, \chi)T(v+1, j, \chi) > 0$$

for positive integer  $v > v(k, j)$ .

*Proof.* Applying Theorem 1 to the case  $d = k$  and  $f_d = \chi$ , we have  $\sum_{m=1}^k \chi(j + m)m^l = C(l, j, \chi) \neq 0$  for some integer  $l, 0 \leq l \leq k - 1$ . Let  $l_0$  be the smallest nonnegative integer such that  $C(l_0, j, \chi) \neq 0$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $v(k, j)$  such that

$$(-1)^{l_0} C(l_0, j, \chi) T(v, j, \chi) > 0$$

for  $v > v(k, j)$ . □

*Remark.* From the proof of Theorem 2, we know that, for integer  $v$  large enough, the sign of  $T(v, j, \chi)$  and the sign of  $(-1)^{l_0} C(l_0, j, \chi)$  are the same, where  $l_0$  is the smallest nonnegative integer such that  $C(l_0, j, \chi) \neq 0$ . Moreover, we may choose  $v(k, j)$  in the proof above to be  $\frac{1}{k} ((k + 1)^{l_0+2} - j)$ . In general, the sign of  $T(v, j, \chi)$ , with fixed  $\chi, j$  and varying  $v$ , changes sometimes, but our computer data never showed these partial sums equal to zero.<sup>1</sup>

### 3. The real quadratic fields

From the definition of Kronecker character we know that  $\chi(n) = \chi(-n) \cdot \text{sgn}(d)$ , where  $d$  is the fundamental discriminant equal to  $k$  or  $-k$  (cf. [1, page 292]). If both  $k$  and  $-k$  are fundamental discriminants (which happens if and only if  $k = 8k'$ , where  $k'$  is odd and squarefree) there are two real primitive characters (Kronecker character)  $(\text{mod } k)$ , otherwise only one. Clearly, we have that  $\chi(-1) = 1$  if and only if  $d > 0$ . In this section we restrict ourselves to the case  $d = k$ . Fix such an integer  $k$ , let  $\chi$  be a real primitive character attached to the real quadratic field  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{k})$  with  $\chi(-1) = 1$ .

**THEOREM 3.** For any integer  $v \geq 0$ ,  $T\left(v, \left[\frac{k}{2}\right], \chi\right) < 0$ .

*Proof.* Write  $T(v, j, \chi) = \sum_{n=j+1}^{j+k} \frac{\chi(n)}{vk + n} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=j+1}^{j+k} \frac{\chi(n)}{v + \frac{n}{k}}$  and keep in

---

<sup>1</sup> After this paper was written, the first author showed in [7] that the sums  $T(v, j, \chi)$  are indeed nonzero for any odd prime  $k$ .

mind that  $j$  is equal to  $\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]$  in this proof.

For integer  $v \geq 0$ , consider the function

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{v+x} \text{ defined for } \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{3}{2}.$$

Over the interval  $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right)$ , it has Fourier expansion

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (a_m \cos 2\pi m x + b_m \sin 2\pi m x),$$

where

$$a_m = 2 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\cos 2\pi m x}{v+x} dx \text{ and } b_m = 2 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\sin 2\pi m x}{v+x} dx.$$

Using integration by parts, we have, for  $m \geq 1$ ,

$$a_m = \frac{-2 \cos 2\pi m x}{(2\pi m)^2 (v+x)^2} \Big|_{1/2}^{3/2} + \frac{12 \cos 2\pi m x}{(2\pi m)^4 (v+x)^4} \Big|_{1/2}^{3/2} + \frac{48}{(2\pi m)^4} \int_{1/2}^{3/2} \frac{\cos 2\pi m x}{(v+x)^5} dx.$$

Let

$$X = \frac{12 \cos 2\pi m x}{(2\pi m)^4 (v+x)^4} \Big|_{1/2}^{3/2} \text{ and } Y = \frac{48}{(2\pi m)^4} \int_{1/2}^{3/2} \frac{\cos 2\pi m x}{(v+x)^5} dx.$$

Then  $|Y| < |X|$  and  $XY < 0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} a_m &= (-1)^m \frac{2}{(2\pi m)^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2} - \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{3}{2}\right)^2} \right\} \\ &+ (-1)^{m+1} \frac{12}{(2\pi m)^4} \left\{ \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)^4} - \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{3}{2}\right)^4} \right\} \theta_m, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta_m = \frac{X+Y}{X}$  depending on  $v$  and  $0 < \theta_m < 1$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} T(v, j, \chi) &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=j+1}^{j+k} \chi(n) g\left(\frac{n}{k}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=j+1}^{j+k} \chi(n) \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( a_m \cos 2\pi m \frac{n}{k} + b_m \sin 2\pi m \frac{n}{k} \right) \right\} \quad \left( \text{since } \sum_{n=j+1}^{j+k} \chi(n) = 0 \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\{ a_m \sum_{n=j+1}^{j+k} \chi(n) \cos 2\pi m \frac{n}{k} + b_m \sum_{n=j+1}^{j+k} \chi(n) \sin 2\pi m \frac{n}{k} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m \chi(m) \sqrt{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the fact that Gauss sum  $\sum_{n=1}^k \chi(n) \exp \frac{2\pi i m n}{k} = \chi(m) \sqrt{k}$  since  $\chi(-1) = 1$ . Rigorously speaking, the above expression for  $T(v, j, \chi)$  is valid for  $k$  odd; when  $k$  is even, we have  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , hence  $\chi(j+k) = \chi\left(\left[\frac{k}{2}\right] + k\right) = 0$  and  $T(v, j, \chi)$  is really summing over  $j+1 \leq n \leq j+k-1$  so that we may replace  $g$  by its Fourier expansion. After interchanging the sum over  $m$  and  $n$ , we may change the limit for  $n$  back to  $j+1 \leq n \leq j+k$  since  $\chi(j+k) = 0$ . The final conclusion for  $T(v, j, \chi)$  remains the same. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{k} T\left(v, \left[\frac{k}{2}\right], \chi\right) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m \chi(m) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2} - \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{3}{2}\right)^2} \right\} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m \chi(m)}{m^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{4\pi^4} \left\{ \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)^4} - \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{3}{2}\right)^4} \right\} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+1} \chi(m) \theta_m}{m^4}. \end{aligned}$$

We divide the argument into two cases:

Case 1.  $v \geq 1$ .

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m \chi(m)}{m^2} &= -1 + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m \chi(m)}{m^2} \\ &< -2 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2} = -2 + \frac{\pi^2}{6} < 0 \end{aligned}$$

and  $\zeta(4) = \frac{\pi^4}{90}$ , we have

$$\sqrt{k} T\left(v, \left[\frac{k}{2}\right], \chi\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&< \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2} - \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{3}{2}\right)^2} \right\} \left(-2 + \frac{\pi^2}{6}\right) + \frac{3}{4\pi^4} \left\{ \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)^4} - \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{3}{2}\right)^4} \right\} \zeta(4) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{\pi^2}\right) \left\{ \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2} - \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{3}{2}\right)^2} \right\} + \frac{1}{120} \left\{ \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)^4} - \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{3}{2}\right)^4} \right\} \\
&= \left\{ \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2} - \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{3}{2}\right)^2} \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{\pi^2} + \frac{1}{120} \left( \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2} + \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{3}{2}\right)^2} \right) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

For integer  $v \geq 1$ , we have

$$120 \left( \frac{1}{\pi^2} - \frac{1}{12} \right) > \frac{1}{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^2} + \frac{1}{\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)^2} \geq \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2} + \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{3}{2}\right)^2}.$$

This gives

$$\frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{\pi^2} + \frac{1}{120} \left\{ \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2} + \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{3}{2}\right)^2} \right\} < 0.$$

Hence  $T\left(v, \left[\frac{k}{2}\right], \chi\right) < 0$  for integer  $v \geq 1$ .

*Case 2.*  $v = 0$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{k} T\left(0, \left[\frac{k}{2}\right], \chi\right) &= \frac{32}{18\pi^2} \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m \chi(m)}{m^2} + \frac{20}{3\pi^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+1} \chi(m) \theta_m}{m^4} \right\} \\
&= \frac{32}{18\pi^2} \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m \chi(m) (m^2 - \alpha \theta_m)}{m^4} \right\} \left( \text{where } \alpha = \frac{20}{3\pi^2} \right) \\
&= \frac{16}{9\pi^2} \left\{ -1 + \alpha \theta_1 + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m \chi(m) (m^2 - \alpha \theta_m)}{m^4} \right\} \\
&< \frac{16}{9\pi^2} \left\{ -1 + \alpha \theta_1 + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2} \right\} \\
&= \frac{16}{9\pi^2} \{-2 + \alpha \theta_1 + \zeta(2)\} \\
&= \frac{16}{9\pi^2} \left\{ -2 + \alpha \theta_1 + \frac{\pi^2}{6} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate  $-2 + \alpha\theta_1 + \frac{\pi^2}{6}$ , write

$$a_1 = \frac{-2}{(2\pi)^2} \left(4 - \frac{4}{9}\right) + \frac{12}{(2\pi)^4} \left(16 - \frac{16}{81}\right) + \frac{48}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\cos 2\pi x}{x^5} dx.$$

We have  $\theta_1 = 1 - \beta$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= - \left\{ \frac{48}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\cos 2\pi x}{x^5} dx \right\} / \left\{ \frac{12}{(2\pi)^4} \left(16 - \frac{16}{81}\right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{-81}{320} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\cos 2\pi x}{x^5} dx. \end{aligned}$$

By using computing software *Mathematica*, we have  $\beta \approx 0.555924$ , so  $\beta > 0.555$ .

Since  $\theta_1 = 1 - \beta < 0.445$  and  $\alpha = \frac{20}{3\pi^2} < \frac{20}{3(3.14)^2}$ , we have

$$-2 + \alpha\theta_1 + \frac{\pi^2}{6} < -2 + \frac{20}{3(3.14)^2} (0.445) + \frac{(3.15)^2}{6} < -0.04.$$

Hence  $T\left(0, \left[\frac{k}{2}\right], \chi\right) < 0$ . □

To give bounds for  $L(1, \chi)$ , define, for integer  $v \geq 0$ ,

$$A(v) = \sum_{n=1}^{\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]} \frac{\chi(n)}{vk+n} \quad \text{and} \quad B(v) = \sum_{n=\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]+1}^k \frac{\chi(n)}{vk+n}.$$

Then

$$T(v, 0, \chi) = A(v) + B(v) \quad \text{and} \quad T\left(v, \left[\frac{k}{2}\right], \chi\right) = B(v) + A(v+1).$$

Combining Davenport's theorem [3], Theorem 3 and the fact  $L(1, \chi) > 0$ , we obtain the following bounds for  $L(1, \chi)$ .

PROPOSITION 2. For any integers  $m, n \geq 0$ ,

$$\sum_{v=0}^n (A(v) + B(v)) < L(1, \chi) < A(0) + \sum_{v=0}^m (B(v) + A(v+1)).$$

COROLLARY 1. (1) For integer  $v \geq 0$ ,  $A(v) > 0$  and  $B(v) < 0$ .

- (2)  $A(0) + B(0) < L(1, \chi) < A(0)$ .  
 (3) For  $k > 1000$ ,  $0 < A(0) - L(1, \chi) < 0.12$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since  $B(v) + A(v+1) = T\left(v, \left[\frac{k}{2}\right], \chi\right) < 0$  for integer  $v \geq 0$  and  $L(1, \chi) > 0$ , so  $A(0) > 0$ . On the other hand, by Proposition 2, we have

$$\sum_{v=0}^n (A(v) + B(v)) < A(0) + \sum_{v=0}^n (B(v) + A(v+1))$$

for any integer  $n \geq 0$ , which implies  $A(n+1) > 0$ . Hence  $B(n) < 0$ .

(2) The inequalities holds by putting  $m = n = 0$  in Proposition 2 and the fact  $B(0) + A(1) < 0$ .

(3) The proofs for the case  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and the case  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  are the same, here we consider the case  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . By (2), we know that

$$A(0) + B(0) < L(1, \chi) < A(0).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} A(0) + B(0) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\frac{k}{2}} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} + \sum_{n=\frac{k}{2}+1}^k \frac{\chi(n)}{n} \\ &> \sum_{n=1}^{\frac{k}{2}} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} - \sum_{n=\frac{k}{2}+1}^{\frac{3k}{4}} \frac{1}{n} + \sum_{n=\frac{3k}{4}+1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{n} \\ &> A(0) - \int_{\frac{k}{2}}^{\frac{3k}{4}} \frac{1}{x} dx + \int_{\frac{3k}{4}+1}^k \frac{1}{x} dx \\ &> A(0) - 0.12 \quad \text{for } k > 1000, \end{aligned}$$

we have  $0 < A(0) - L(1, \chi) < 0.12$  for  $k > 1000$ . □

Dirichlet's class number formula asserts that

$$h = \frac{\sqrt{k}}{2 \ln \varepsilon} L(1, \chi),$$

where  $h$  is the class number, and  $\varepsilon (> 1)$  is the fundamental unit of  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{k})$ . Thus the estimates on  $L(1, \chi)$  in Corollary 1 above yields the following results on the class number of  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{k})$ .

- If  $\frac{\sqrt{k}}{2 \ln \varepsilon} A(0) \leq 2$ , then  $h = 1$ .

- If  $\frac{\sqrt{k}}{2\ln \varepsilon} (A(0) + B(0)) \geq 1$ , then  $h \neq 1$ .

In fact, the class number  $h$  for the real quadratic field  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{k})$  can be expressed explicitly as follows.

THEOREM 4. *We have*

$$h = \left[ \frac{\sqrt{k}}{2\ln \varepsilon} (A(0) + B(0)) \right] + 1 = \left[ \frac{k^{3/2}}{2\ln \varepsilon} \sum_{n=1}^{\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]} \frac{\chi(n)}{n(k-n)} \right] + 1,$$

where  $[x]$  denotes the greatest integer  $\leq x$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} (t + u\sqrt{k}) > 1$  is the fundamental unit of  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{k})$ , we have  $\varepsilon \geq \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$ . Due to Davenport [3], we have the following inequality.

$$(L(1, \chi) - (A(0) + B(0))) \sqrt{k} < \frac{11}{120}.$$

From this inequality and  $A(0) + B(0) < L(1, \chi)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sqrt{k}}{2\ln \varepsilon} (A(0) + B(0)) &< h = \frac{\sqrt{k}}{2\ln \varepsilon} L(1, \chi) \\ &< \frac{\sqrt{k}}{2\ln \varepsilon} (A(0) + B(0)) + \frac{11}{120} \frac{1}{2\ln b}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $b = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$ . Since  $\frac{11}{120} \frac{1}{2\ln b} < 1$ , so we have

$$h = \left[ \frac{\sqrt{k}}{2\ln \varepsilon} (A(0) + B(0)) \right] + 1. \quad \square$$

*Remarks.* 1. By Theorem 4, the following two conjectures are equivalent:

(1) (Gauss conjecture) There exist infinitely many real quadratic fields  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p})$  of class number one, where  $p$  is a prime congruent to 1 modulo 4.

(2) There exist infinitely many real quadratic fields  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p})$  with  $\frac{p^{3/2}}{2\ln \varepsilon} \sum_{n=1}^{\left[\frac{p}{2}\right]} \frac{\chi(n)}{n(p-n)} < 1$ , where  $p$  is a prime congruent to 1 modulo 4 and  $\varepsilon > 1$  is the fundamental unit of  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ .

2. For an evaluation of the regulator  $\ln \varepsilon$  in the class number formula, see, for

example, Williams and Broere [6].

As a corollary of Theorem 4 and the class number formula of Ono [4], we can get the following interesting inequality without involving the class number  $h$  and the fundamental unit  $\varepsilon$ .

THEOREM 5. *Let  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  be a prime. Then*

$$\ln\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} d_n + \frac{d_N}{\sqrt{p}}\right) > \frac{p^{3/2}}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor} \frac{\chi(n)}{n(p-n)},$$

where  $N = \frac{p-1}{4}$ ,  $d_0 = 1$  and  $2nd_n = \sum_{v=1}^n \left(1 + \left(\frac{v}{p}\right)\sqrt{p}\right) d_{n-v}$ ,  $1 \leq n \leq N$ . (Here  $\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)$  denotes the Legendre symbol.)

*Proof.* By [4], we have

$$h \ln \varepsilon = \ln\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} d_n + \frac{d_N}{\sqrt{p}}\right).$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 4, we have

$$h = \left\lfloor \frac{p^{3/2}}{2 \ln \varepsilon} \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor} \frac{\chi(n)}{n(p-n)} \right\rfloor + 1$$

which gives

$$h > \frac{p^{3/2}}{2 \ln \varepsilon} \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor} \frac{\chi(n)}{n(p-n)}, \text{ or equivalently, } h \ln \varepsilon > \frac{p^{3/2}}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor} \frac{\chi(n)}{n(p-n)},$$

hence Theorem follows. □

#### 4. The imaginary quadratic fields

In this section we restrict ourselves to the case  $d = -k$ . Fix such an integer  $k$ , let  $\chi$  be a real primitive character attached to the imaginary quadratic field  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-k})$  with  $\chi(-1) = -1$ . Let  $L = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m \chi(m)}{m}$ ,  $L_1 = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(2m-1)}{2m-1}$  and  $L_2 = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(2m)}{2m}$ . Then  $L_2 = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(2m)}{2m} = \frac{\chi(2)}{2} L(1, \chi)$  and  $L_1 = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(m)}{m} - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(2m)}{2m} = \left(1 - \frac{\chi(2)}{2}\right) L(1, \chi)$ . Furthermore, we have  $L = L_2 - L_1 = (\chi(2) - 1)L(1, \chi)$  which gives the follow-

ing lemma.

LEMMA 1.

$$L = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } -k \equiv 1 \pmod{8}; \\ -L(1, \chi) & \text{if } -k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}; \\ -2L(1, \chi) & \text{if } -k \equiv 5 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 6.

THEOREM 6. (1) If  $k \not\equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ , then  $T\left(v, \left[\frac{k}{2}\right], \chi\right) < 0$  for integer  $v > k^{\frac{1}{4}}$ .

(2) If  $k \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ , then  $T\left(v, \left[\frac{k}{2}\right], \chi\right) > 0$  for integer  $v \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* Express  $T(v, j, \chi) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=j+1}^{j+k} \frac{\chi(n)}{v + \frac{n}{k}}$  and keep in mind that  $j =$

$\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]$  in this proof.

For integer  $v \geq 0$ , as in the proof of Theorem 3, consider the Fourier expansion of

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{v+x} \quad \text{for } \frac{1}{2} < x < \frac{3}{2}.$$

Proceeding as before and applying Gauss's sum  $\sum_{n=j+1}^{j+k} \chi(n) \exp(2\pi imn/k) = i\chi(m)\sqrt{k}$  for  $\chi(-1) = -1$ , we have

$$\sqrt{k}T\left(v, \left[\frac{k}{2}\right], \chi\right) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \chi(m)b_m,$$

where  $b_m = 2 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\sin 2\pi mx}{v+x} dx$ . By integration by parts, we obtain

$$b_m = \frac{(-1)^m}{\pi m} \left( \frac{1}{v + \frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{v + \frac{3}{2}} \right) - \frac{(-1)^m}{2(\pi m)^3} \left( \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)^3} - \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{3}{2}\right)^3} \right) \phi_m,$$

where  $\phi_m = \phi_m(v)$  depending on  $v$  and  $0 < \phi_m < 1$ . Now we have

$$\sqrt{k}T\left(v, \left[\frac{k}{2}\right], \chi\right) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \chi(m)b_m$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \frac{1}{v + \frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{v + \frac{3}{2}} \right) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m \chi(m)}{m} \\ - \frac{4}{(2\pi)^3} \left( \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)^3} - \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{3}{2}\right)^3} \right) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m \chi(m) \phi_m}{m^3}.$$

Let  $J = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m \chi(m) \phi_m m^{-3}$ , then, independent of  $v$ , we have

$$|J + \phi_1| = \left| \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} (-1)^m \chi(m) \phi_m m^{-3} \right| < \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^3} < 0.21.$$

On the other hand,

$$b_1 = 2 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\sin 2\pi x}{v+x} dx \\ = \frac{-\cos 2\pi x}{\pi(v+x)} \Big|_{1/2}^{3/2} + \frac{4 \cos 2\pi x}{(2\pi)^3 (v+x)^3} \Big|_{1/2}^{3/2} + \frac{12}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\cos 2\pi x}{(v+x)^4} dx \\ = \frac{-\cos 2\pi x}{\pi(v+x)} \Big|_{1/2}^{3/2} + \frac{4 \cos 2\pi x}{(2\pi)^3 (v+x)^3} \Big|_{1/2}^{3/2} \phi_1,$$

which gives

$$(4.1) \quad \phi_1 - 1 = \left\{ 3 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\cos 2\pi x}{(v+x)^4} dx \right\} / \left\{ \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)^3} - \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{3}{2}\right)^3} \right\}.$$

$$\text{Let } g_v(x) = \frac{1}{(v+x)^4} - \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{3}{2} - x\right)^4} - \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{1}{2} + x\right)^4} + \frac{1}{(v+2-x)^4} \text{ for } \frac{1}{2}$$

$\leq x \leq \frac{3}{4}$ . Then

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\cos 2\pi x}{(v+x)^4} dx = \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{4}} g_v(x) \cos 2\pi x dx.$$

Since  $g_v'(x) < 0$  for  $\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{3}{4}$  and integer  $v \geq 0$ , also  $g_v\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) = 0$ , so  $g_v(x) \geq 0$

for  $\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{3}{4}$  and integer  $v \geq 0$ . Hence, by (4.2),

$$\frac{2}{\left(v + \frac{5}{4}\right)^3} - \frac{2}{\left(v + \frac{3}{4}\right)^3} - \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{3}{2}\right)^3} + \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)^3} = 3 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{4}} g_v(x) dx$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq 3 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\cos 2\pi x}{(v+x)^4} dx \\ &\geq -3 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{4}} g_v(x) dx \\ &= \frac{2}{\left(v + \frac{3}{4}\right)^3} - \frac{2}{\left(v + \frac{5}{4}\right)^3} - \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)^3} + \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{3}{2}\right)^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting into (4.1), we obtain

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{2\left\{\frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{5}{4}\right)^3} - \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{3}{4}\right)^3}\right\}}{\left\{\frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)^3} - \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{3}{2}\right)^3}\right\}} + 2 \geq \phi_1(v) \geq \frac{2\left\{\frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{3}{4}\right)^3} - \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{5}{4}\right)^3}\right\}}{\left\{\frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)^3} - \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{3}{2}\right)^3}\right\}}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} F(v) &= 2\left\{\frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{3}{4}\right)^3} - \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{5}{4}\right)^3}\right\} / \left\{\frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)^3} - \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{3}{2}\right)^3}\right\} \\ &= \frac{3(v+1)^2 + \frac{1}{16} \left(\frac{(v+1)^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{(v+1)^2 - \frac{1}{16}}\right)^3}{3(v+1)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{(v+1)^2 - \frac{1}{16}}{(v+1)^2 - \frac{1}{16}}\right)^3} \text{ for } v \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $F(v)$  is increasing as  $v$  increases. We have  $1.52 > 2 - F(0) \geq 2 - F(v) \geq \phi_1(v) \geq F(v) \geq F(0) > 0.48$  which implies  $F(v) - 2.21 \leq -\phi_1(v) - 0.21 < J < 0.21 - \phi_1(v) \leq 0.21 - F(v)$  for integer  $v \geq 0$ . Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\pi} \left( \frac{1}{v + \frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{v + \frac{3}{2}} \right) L + \frac{8.84 - 4F(v)}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)^3} - \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{3}{2}\right)^3} \right\} \\ (4.4) \quad &> \sqrt{k} T\left(v, \left[\frac{k}{2}\right], \chi\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \frac{1}{v + \frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{v + \frac{3}{2}} \right) L - \frac{4}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)^3} - \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{3}{2}\right)^3} \right\} J \\ &> \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \frac{1}{v + \frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{v + \frac{3}{2}} \right) L + \frac{4F(v) - 0.84}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)^3} - \frac{1}{\left(v + \frac{3}{2}\right)^3} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for integer  $v \geq 0$ . For simplicity, write  $T(v)$ ,  $a$  and  $b$  for  $T\left(v, \left[\frac{k}{2}\right], \chi\right)$ ,  $\frac{1}{v + \frac{1}{2}}$

and  $\frac{1}{v + \frac{3}{2}}$  respectively, then dividing each term in (4.4) by  $\frac{a-b}{\sqrt{k}}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sqrt{k}}{\pi} L + \frac{\sqrt{k}(8.84 - 4F(v))}{(2\pi)^3} (a^2 + ab + b^2) &> \frac{kT(v)}{a-b} \\ &> \frac{\sqrt{k}}{\pi} L + \frac{\sqrt{k}(4F(v) - 0.84)}{(2\pi)^3} (a^2 + ab + b^2), \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\sqrt{k}(8.84 - 4F(v))}{(2\pi)^3} (a^2 + ab + b^2) &> \frac{kT(v)}{a-b} - \frac{\sqrt{k}}{\pi} L \\ &> \frac{\sqrt{k}(4F(v) - 0.84)}{(2\pi)^3} (a^2 + ab + b^2). \end{aligned}$$

By applying Dirichlet's class number formula for imaginary quadratic fields, Lemma 1, the inequality  $1 > \frac{\sqrt{k}}{v^2} > \frac{\sqrt{k}(8.84 - 4F(v))}{(2\pi)^3} (a^2 + ab + b^2)$  for integer  $v > k^{\frac{1}{4}}$  and (4.5), if  $k \not\equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ , then  $T\left(v, \left[\frac{k}{2}\right], \chi\right) < 0$  for integer  $v > k^{\frac{1}{4}}$  (since the class number  $h \geq 1$  is a positive integer), if  $k \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ , then  $T\left(v, \left[\frac{k}{2}\right], \chi\right) > 0$  for integer  $v \geq 0$ .  $\square$

Let  $T(v)$ ,  $a$  and  $b$  be the ones defined in the proof of Theorem 6, then we have the following estimates of the class number  $h$  of  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-k})$ .

COROLLARY 2. *Suppose  $k > 4$ .*

$$(1) \quad h < \frac{k}{\pi\sqrt{k} - 1} \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{\chi(n)}{n}.$$

(2) *If  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , then*

$$h = \left\lceil \frac{-kT(v)}{a-b} \right\rceil + 1 \text{ for any integer } v > k^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

(3) If  $k \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ , then

$$h = \left\lfloor \frac{-kT(v)}{2(a-b)} \right\rfloor + 1 \text{ for any integer } v > k^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

(4) If  $k \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ , then

$$h > \frac{\sqrt{k}}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} + \frac{28.08}{27\pi^4}.$$

The symbol  $[x]$  denotes the greatest integer  $\leq x$ .

*Proof.* In [3], we have

$$\left( L(1, \chi) - \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{\chi(n)}{n} \right) \sqrt{k} < \frac{1}{\pi} L(1, \chi).$$

Applying class number formula for imaginary quadratic fields  $h = \frac{\sqrt{k}}{\pi} L(1, \chi)$  ( $k > 4$ ), we have statement (1).

The statements (2) and (3) are consequences of (4.5).

For statement (4), we write

$$L(1, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} + \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} T\left(v, \left[\frac{k}{2}\right], \chi\right)$$

which implies, by Theorem 6 (2), that

$$L(1, \chi) > \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} + T\left(0, \left[\frac{k}{2}\right], \chi\right).$$

Hence, by taking  $v = 0$  in (4.4), we have

$$h = \frac{\sqrt{k}}{\pi} L(1, \chi) > \frac{\sqrt{k}}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} + \frac{28.08}{27\pi^4}. \quad \square$$

*Remark.* It is proved in [2] that, for  $k$  sufficiently large, one has  $\sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} > 0$  for any real character modulo  $k$ .

REFERENCES

[ 1 ] R. Ayoub, An Introduction to the Analytic Theory of Numbers, Mathematical surveys, No. 10, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1963.  
 [ 2 ] P. T. Bateman and S. Chowla, The equivalence of two conjectures in the theory of

- numbers, *J. Indian Math. Soc. (N. S.)* **17** (1954), 177–181.
- [ 3 ] H. Davenport, On the series for  $L(1)$ , *J. London Math. Soc.* **24** (1949), 229–233.
- [ 4 ] T. Ono, A deformation of Dirichlet's class number formula, *Algebraic Analysis* **2** (1988), 659–666.
- [ 5 ] L. C. Washington, *Introduction to cyclotomic fields*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [ 6 ] H. C. Williams and J. Broere, A computational technique for evaluating  $L(1, \chi)$  and the class number of a real quadratic field, *Math. Comp.*, **30** (1976), 887–893.
- [ 7 ] M.-G. Leu, On a problem of Davenport and Erdős concerning the series for  $L(1, \chi)$  (1995), submitted.

Ming-Guang Leu  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*National Central University*  
*Chung-Li, Taiwan 32054*  
*Republic of China*

Wen-Ch'ing Winnie Li  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*Pennsylvania State University*  
*University Park*  
*Pennsylvania 16802, U.S.A.*