

ON THE ALMOST EVERYWHERE CONVERGENCE OF BOCHNER-RIESZ MEANS  
OF MULTIPLE FOURIER INTEGRALS FOR RADIAL FUNCTIONS

MICHITAKA KOJIMA

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $(S_*^\delta f)(x) = \sup_{R>0} |(S_R^\delta f)(x)|$ , where  $S_R^\delta f$  is the Bochner-Riesz mean of order  $\delta$  of the Fourier integral for  $f$  on  $R^n$ . We show that the operator  $S_*^\delta$  is bounded from the Lorentz space  $L^{p,1}(R^n)$  into  $L^{p,\infty}(R^n)$  on the critical line  $\delta = n(1/p - 1/2) - 1/2$  for  $2n/(n+2) \leq p \leq 2n/(n+1)$  besides  $p > 1$  when acting on radial functions.

§1. Introduction.

Let  $R^n$  be the  $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional Euclidean space and for any  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  in  $R^n$ , we denote  $(x, y) = x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_n y_n$  and  $|x| = (x, x)^{1/2}$ .

For the Fourier integral of a function  $f \in L^p(R^n)$  ( $1 \leq p \leq 2$ ), its Bochner-Riesz mean of order  $\delta \geq 0$  is defined by

$$(1) \quad (S_R^\delta f)(x) = (\sqrt{2\pi})^{-n} \int_{|y| < R} \left(1 - \frac{|y|^2}{R^2}\right)^\delta \widehat{f}(y) e^{i(x,y)} dy,$$

where  $\widehat{f}(y)$  is the Fourier transform of  $f$ , i.e.

$$\widehat{f}(y) = (\sqrt{2\pi})^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-i(x,y)} dx.$$

It has been shown that for  $1 \leq p \leq 2n/(n+1)$  there exists a function  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $(S_R^\delta f)(x)$  diverges almost everywhere as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\delta = n(1/p - 1/2) - 1/2$  ([Ko]). On the other hand, acting on radial functions, it is known that for  $1 \leq p \leq 2n/(n+1)$  and  $0 \leq \delta \leq n(1/p - 1/2) - 1/2$ ,  $S_R^\delta$  is unbounded on radial  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ([W]). But for  $1 \leq p < 2n/(n+1)$  and  $\delta = n(1/p - 1/2) - 1/2$ ,  $S_R^\delta$  is weakly bounded on radial  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ([CM]), and for  $p = 2n/(n+1)$  and  $\delta = 0$ ,  $S_R^\delta$  is not weakly bounded ([KT]) but restricted weakly bounded on radial  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ([C]).

We put

$$(2) \quad (S_*^\delta f)(x) = \sup_{R > 0} |(S_R^\delta f)(x)|.$$

Then, it is known that for  $2n/(n+1) < p \leq 2$  and  $\delta = 0$ ,  $S_*^\delta$  is bounded on radial  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ([Ka], [P]), and for  $p = 2n/(n+1)$  and  $\delta = 0$ ,  $S_*^\delta$  is weakly bounded on radial Lorentz space  $L^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ([RS]).

In this paper we shall prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** For  $p > 1$  and  $2n/(n+2) \leq p \leq 2n/(n+1)$  and for the critical line  $\delta = n(1/p - 1/2) - 1/2$ ,  $S_*^\delta$  is weakly bounded on radial Lorentz space  $L^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . That is, for any  $\lambda > 0$  and for any radial  $f \in L^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; (S_*^\delta f)(x) > \lambda\}| \leq C_p \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \|f\|_{p,1} \right)^p$$

is valid, where  $C_p$  is some constant independent of  $\lambda$  and  $f$ . Consequently, for any radial function  $f \in L^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $(S_R^\delta f)(x)$  converges to  $f(x)$  almost everywhere as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .

Here we remember the Lorentz space  $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  briefly. For any measurable function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we denote the distribution function and the non-increasing rearrangement by  $\lambda_f(y)$  and  $f^*(t)$  respectively, i.e.

$$\lambda_f(y) = |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |f(x)| > y\}| \quad \text{for } y > 0,$$

$$f^*(t) = \inf\{y > 0; \lambda_f(y) \leq t\} \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

When  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we put

$$\|f\|_{p,q} = \left\{ \int_0^\infty (f^*(t)t^{1/p})^q t^{-1} dt \right\}^{1/q}$$

for  $1 \leq q < \infty$  and

$$\|f\|_{p,\infty} = \sup_{t>0} \{f^*(t)t^{1/p}\} = \sup_{y>0} \{y(\lambda_f(y))^{1/p}\}.$$

We denote the set of all functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such as  $\|f\|_{p,q} < \infty$  by  $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then,  $L^{p,r} \subset L^{p,s}$  for  $1 \leq r \leq s \leq \infty$  and  $L^{p,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Also, if  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $1 < q < \infty$ , then  $(L^{p,q})^* = L^{p',q'}$ , where  $p'$  and  $q'$  are conjugate exponents of  $p$  and  $q$  respectively, and if  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then  $(L^{p,1})^* = L^{p',\infty}$ .

If  $f$  is a radial function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we write  $f(x) = f_0(|x|)$ . Then we can express

$\widehat{f}(\xi)$  as

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = |\xi|^{-(n-2)/2} \int_0^\infty f_0(r) J_{(n-2)/2}(|\xi|r) r^{n/2} dr,$$

where  $J_\mu(t)$  is the Bessel function of order  $\mu \geq 0$ . So, setting

$$(3) \quad K_R(r,s) = \sqrt{rs} \int_0^R \left(1 - \frac{t^2}{R^2}\right)^\delta J_{(n-2)/2}(rt) J_{(n-2)/2}(st) t dt$$

for  $r > 0$ ,  $s > 0$ , we rewrite (1) as

$$(4) \quad (S_R^\delta f)(x) = |x|^{-(n-1)/2} \int_0^\infty f_0(r) r^{(n-1)/2} K_R(r, |x|) dr.$$

We note that

$$(5) \quad K_R(r, s) = R K_1(Rr, Rs).$$

## §2. Lemmas.

The following lemma is wellknown.

**Lemma 1** ([SW]). If  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $1 \leq p \leq 2$ ) and  $\alpha \geq 0$ , then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$

the following formulas are valid ;

$$(i) \quad (S_R^{\alpha+\varepsilon} f)(x) = \frac{2\Gamma(\alpha+1+\varepsilon)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\varepsilon)} R^{-2(\alpha+\varepsilon)} \int_0^R (R^2-t^2)^{\varepsilon-1} t^{2\alpha+1} (S_t^\alpha f)(x) dt,$$

$$(ii) \quad \frac{2\Gamma(\alpha+1+\varepsilon)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\varepsilon)} R^{-2(\alpha+\varepsilon)} \int_0^R (R^2-t^2)^{\varepsilon-1} t^{2\alpha+1} dt = 1.$$

Therefore we get

$$(ii) \quad (S_*^{\alpha+\varepsilon} f)(x) \leq (S_*^\alpha f)(x).$$

We use the fact (ii) with  $\alpha=0$  and  $\varepsilon=\delta$  later on.

Let  $M$ ,  $H$ ,  $H^*$  be the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, the Hilbert transform, the maximal Hilbert transform respectively, and  $C^*$  be the Carleson's operator

$$(C^*f)(x) = \sup_{R>0} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-iRt}}{s-t} f(t) dt \right|.$$

The following fact is known ([P]).

**Lemma 2.** For any radial function  $f(x) = f_0(|x|)$ , the inequality

$$(S_*^0 f)(x) \leq C |x|^{-(n-1)/2} (M + |H| + H^* + C^*)(|f_0(r)| r^{(n-1)/2})(|x|)$$

is valid. Furthermore, denoting  $M + |H| + H^* + C^*$  by  $T$ , we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |(Tg)(t)|^p w(t) dt \leq C_p \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(t)|^p w(t) dt$$

for  $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R})$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ).

It is known that  $|t|^\gamma \in A_p(\mathbb{R})$  for  $-1 < \gamma < p-1$ .

For (3) with  $R=1$ , i.e. for  $K_1(r, s)$ , the following estimates are valid.

**Lemma 3** ([CM]). For  $\delta > -1$ ,

$$(i) \quad |K_1(r, s)| \leq C \frac{\sqrt{r}}{\sqrt{1+r}} \frac{\sqrt{s}}{\sqrt{1+s}} |r-s|^{-\delta-1},$$

$$(ii) \quad |K_1(r, s)| \leq C \frac{\sqrt{r}}{\sqrt{1+r}} \frac{\sqrt{s}}{\sqrt{1+s}},$$

$$(iii) \quad |K_1(r, s)| \leq C (rs)^{(n-1)/2}.$$

By the lemma and (5), we get

$$(6) \quad |K_R(r, |x|)| \leq C R \frac{\sqrt{Rr}}{\sqrt{1+Rr}} \frac{\sqrt{R|x|}}{\sqrt{1+R|x|}} |Rr - R|x||^{-\delta-1},$$

$$(7) \quad |K_R(r, |x|)| \leq C R \frac{\sqrt{Rr}}{\sqrt{1+Rr}} \frac{\sqrt{R|x|}}{\sqrt{1+R|x|}},$$

$$(8) \quad |K_R(r, |x|)| \leq C R^n r^{(n-1)/2} |x|^{(n-1)/2}.$$

The following lemma is shown easily.

**Lemma 4.** For  $1 < p \leq \infty$ , we have for radial function  $f(x) = f_0(|x|)$ ,

$$\int_0^\infty |f_0(r)| r^{n/p-1} dr \leq C_p \|f\|_{p,1}.$$

Proof. We put  $\phi(x) = |x|^{-\mu}$  ( $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ) for  $\mu > 0$ . Since

$$\lambda_\phi(y) = |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |\phi(x)| > y\}| = |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |x| < y^{-1/\mu}\}| = \Omega_n y^{-n/\mu},$$

we have

$$|\phi|_{p', \infty} = \Omega_n^{1/p'} \sup_{y>0} y^{1-n(1-1/p)/\mu}$$

and so  $\phi \in L^{p', \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $\mu = n(1-1/p)$ . Therefore for such the  $\mu$  we get

$$\int_0^\infty |f_0(r)| r^{n-1} r^{-\mu} dr = C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \phi(x) dx \leq C_p \|f\|_{p, 1}.$$

Since  $n-1-\mu = n/p-1$ , we get the conclusion.

### §3. Proof of the theorem.

We put  $I_k = [2^k, 2^{k+1})$  and  $I_k^* = [2^{k-1}, 2^{k+1})$ ,  $k=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ . For  $1 \leq p < 2n/(n+1)$  we put  $\delta = n(1/p - 1/2) - 1/2$ . Since  $(0, \infty) = \bigcup_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} I_k$ , we have

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; (S_*^\delta f)(x) > \lambda\} = \bigcup_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |x| \in I_k, (S_*^\delta f)(x) > \lambda\}$$

for  $\lambda > 0$ . For each  $k$  we decompose  $f$  into

$$f = f \chi_{I_k^*} + f \chi_{(I_k^*)^c} = f_k^{(1)} + f_k^{(2)}$$

say. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (9) \quad & |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; (S_*^\delta f)(x) > \lambda\}| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |x| \in I_k, (S_*^\delta f_k^{(1)})(x) > \lambda/2\}| \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |x| \in I_k, (S_*^\delta f_k^{(2)})(x) > \lambda/2\}|. \end{aligned}$$

First we estimate  $S_*^\delta f_k^{(1)}$ . Simplifying notations, we denote  $f_k^{(i)}(x)$

$= f_k^{(i)}(|x|)$  ( $i=1, 2$ ). By Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we have

$$(S_*^\delta f_k^{(1)})(x) \leq (S_*^0 f_k^{(1)})(x) \leq C|x|^{-(n-1)/2} T(|f_k^{(1)}(r)|r^{(n-1)/2})(|x|)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |x| \in I_k, (S_*^\delta f_k^{(1)})(x) > \lambda/2\}| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |x| \in I_k, T(|f_k^{(1)}(r)|r^{(n-1)/2})(|x|) > C_1|x|^{(n-1)/2}\lambda\}| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |x| \in I_k, T(|f_k^{(1)}(r)|r^{(n-1)/2})(|x|) > C_2 2^k (n-1)/2 \lambda\}| \\ & \leq C_3 \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (2^k (n-1)/2 \lambda)^{-p} \int_{I_k} \{T(|f_k^{(1)}(r)|r^{(n-1)/2})(s)\}^p s^{n-1} ds \\ & \leq C_4 \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (2^k (n-1)/2 \lambda)^{-p} 2^{k(n-1)} \int_{I_k} \{T(|f_k^{(1)}(r)|r^{(n-1)/2})(s)\}^p ds \\ & \leq C_4 \lambda^{-p} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k(n-1)(1-p/2)} \int_0^\infty \{T(|f_k^{(1)}(r)|r^{(n-1)/2})(s)\}^p ds. \end{aligned}$$

If  $p > 1$ , we apply Lemma 2 with  $w(t) = 1 = |t|^0 \in A_p(\mathbb{R})$ . Then the last term is

bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq C_5 \lambda^{-p} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k(n-1)(1-p/2)} \int_0^\infty (|f_k^{(1)}(r)|r^{(n-1)/2})^p dr \\ & = C_5 \lambda^{-p} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k(n-1)(1-p/2)} \int_{I_k^*} |f_0(r)|^p r^{(n-1)p/2} dr \\ & \leq C_6 \lambda^{-p} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k(n-1)(1-p/2)} 2^{k(n-1)(p/2-1)} \int_{I_k^*} |f_0(r)|^p r^{n-1} dr \\ & = C_6 \lambda^{-p} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{I_k^*} |f_0(r)|^p r^{n-1} dr \leq C_7 \lambda^{-p} \int_0^\infty |f_0(r)|^p r^{n-1} dr \\ (10) \quad & = C_8 \lambda^{-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p dx = C_8 (|f|_p / \lambda)^p \leq C_8 (|f|_{p,1} / \lambda)^p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get the desired estimate for  $f_k^{(1)}$ .

Next we estimate  $(S_*^\delta f_k^{(2)})(x)$  on the set  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |x| \in I_k\}$ . We want to prove

the estimate

$$(11) \quad (S_*^\delta f_k^{(2)})(x) \leq C_p |x|^{-n/p} \int_0^\infty |f_0(r)| r^{n/p-1} dr$$

for  $(p, \delta)$  in the theorem.

If we can get (11), then by Lemma 4 we will have

$$(S_*^\delta f_k^{(2)})(x) \leq C_{p'} |x|^{-n/p'} \|f\|_{p,1}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |x| \in I_k, (S_*^\delta f_k^{(2)})(x) > \lambda/2\}| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |x| \in I_k, |x| < (2C_{p'} \|f\|_{p,1}/\lambda)^{p/n}\}| \\ & = |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |x| < (2C_{p'} \|f\|_{p,1}/\lambda)^{p/n}\}| \\ & = \Omega_n (2C_{p'} \|f\|_{p,1}/\lambda)^p = C_{p'} (\|f\|_{p,1}/\lambda)^p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we will have the desired estimate for  $f_k^{(2)}$  and so, by (9) and (10), the theorem will be obtained.

For the sake of the proof of (11), we fix any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|x| \in I_k$ . So  $|x| \sim 2^k$ . Since  $\text{supp } f_k^{(2)}(r) \subset (2^{k-1}, 2^{k+2})^c$ , by (4) we decompose  $(S_*^\delta f_k^{(2)})(x)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} (12) \quad (S_*^\delta f_k^{(2)})(x) &= |x|^{-(n-1)/2} \int_0^\infty f_k^{(2)}(r) r^{(n-1)/2} K_R(r, |x|) dr \\ &= \int_0^{2^{k-1}} + \int_{2^{k+2}}^\infty = I_R^{(1)}(x) + I_R^{(2)}(x) \end{aligned}$$

say. We shall estimate  $I_R^{(j)}(x)$ ,  $j=1,2$ , in the case of  $0 < R \leq 1/|x|$  and  $R \geq 1/|x|$  separately.



(I) Estimates for  $I_R^{(2)}(x)$ .

In the case of  $0 < R \leq 1/|x|$ , by (7) we have

$$|K_R(r, |x|)| \leq C R^{3/2} |x|^{1/2}$$

and so

$$|I_R^{(2)}(x)| \leq C |x|^{-(n-2)/2} R^{3/2} \int_{2^{k+2}}^{\infty} |f_0(r)| r^{(n-1)/2} dr.$$

Since  $(n-1)/2 - (n/p-1) = -\delta \leq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_R^{(2)}(x)| &\leq C |x|^{-(n-2)/2} R^{3/2} (2^{k+2})^{(n-1)/2 - (n/p-1)} \int_{2^{k+2}}^{\infty} |f_0(r)| r^{n/p-1} dr \\ &\leq C_1 R^{3/2} |x|^{-n/p+3/2} \int_0^{\infty} |f_0(r)| r^{n/p-1} dr \\ &\leq C_1 |x|^{-n/p} \int_0^{\infty} |f_0(r)| r^{n/p-1} dr. \end{aligned}$$

In the case of  $R \geq 1/|x|$ , noting that  $r - |x| \geq r/2$  for  $r \geq 2^{k+2}$ , we have by (6)

$$|K_R(r, |x|)| \leq C R^{-\delta} (r - |x|)^{-(\delta+1)} \leq C_1 R^{-\delta} r^{-(\delta+1)},$$

and so we get

$$|I_R^{(2)}(x)| \leq C |x|^{-(n-1)/2} R^{-\delta} \int_{2^{k+2}}^{\infty} |f_0(r)| r^{(n-1)/2 - (\delta+1)} dr.$$

Since  $(n-1)/2 - (\delta+1) - (n/p-1) = -n(1/p-1/2) - 1/2 - \delta < 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_R^{(2)}(x)| &\leq C_1 |x|^{-(n-1)/2} R^{-\delta} (2^{k+2})^{-n(1/p-1/2) - 1/2 - \delta} \int_{2^{k+2}}^{\infty} |f_0(r)| r^{n/p-1} dr \\ &\leq C_2 R^{-\delta} |x|^{-n/p - \delta} \int_0^{\infty} |f_0(r)| r^{n/p-1} dr \\ &\leq C_2 |x|^{-n/p} \int_0^{\infty} |f_0(r)| r^{n/p-1} dr. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for  $1 \leq p \leq 2n/(n+1)$  we get the estimate

$$(13) \quad \sup_{R>0} |I_R^{(2)}(x)| \leq C_p |x|^{-n/p} \int_0^\infty |f_0(r)| r^{n/p-1} dr.$$

(I) Estimates for  $I_R^{(1)}(x)$ .

In the case of  $0 < R \leq 1/|x|$ , noting that  $Rr \leq 1/2$  for  $0 \leq r \leq 2^{k-1}$ , we have by (8)

$$\begin{aligned} |I_R^{(1)}(x)| &\leq C |x|^{-(n-1)/2} \int_0^{2^{k-1}} |f_0(r)| r^{(n-1)/2} R^n r^{(n-1)/2} |x|^{(n-1)/2} dr \\ &= CR^n \int_0^{2^{k-1}} |f_0(r)| r^{n-1} dr. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(n-1) - (n/p-1) = n(1-1/p) \geq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_R^{(1)}(x)| &\leq CR^n (2^{k-1})^{n(1-1/p)} \int_0^{2^{k-1}} |f_0(r)| r^{n/p-1} dr \\ &\leq C_1 R^n |x|^{n(1-1/p)} \int_0^\infty |f_0(r)| r^{n/p-1} dr \\ &\leq C_1 |x|^{-n/p} \int_0^\infty |f_0(r)| r^{n/p-1} dr. \end{aligned}$$

In the case of  $R \geq 1/|x|$ , we decompose  $I_R^{(1)}(x)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} |I_R^{(1)}(x)| &\leq |x|^{-(n-1)/2} \int_0^{2^{k-1}} |f_0(r)| r^{(n-1)/2} |K_R(r, |x|)| dr \\ &\leq |x|^{-(n-1)/2} \int_0^{|x|/2} |f_0(r)| r^{(n-1)/2} |K_R(r, |x|)| dr \\ &= \int_0^{1/(2R)} + \int_{1/(2R)}^{|x|/2} = J_R^{(1)}(x) + J_R^{(2)}(x) \end{aligned}$$

say.

For  $J_R^{(2)}(x)$ , noting that  $Rr \geq 1/2$  and  $|x| - r \geq |x|/2$  for  $1/(2R) \leq r \leq |x|/2$ , we

have by (6)

$$|K_R(r, |x|)| \leq CR^{-\delta} (|x| - r)^{-(\delta+1)} \leq C_1 R^{-\delta} |x|^{-(\delta+1)}$$

and so

$$J_R^{(2)}(x) \leq C_1 |x|^{-(n-1)/2 - (\delta+1)} R^{-\delta} \int_{1/(2R)}^{|x|/2} |f_0(r)| r^{(n-1)/2} dr.$$

Since  $(n-1)/2 - (n/p-1) = -\delta \leq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_R^{(2)}(x) &\leq C_1 |x|^{-(n+1)/2 - \delta} R^{-\delta} (1/(2R))^{-\delta} \int_{1/(2R)}^{|x|/2} |f_0(r)| r^{n/p-1} dr \\ &\leq C_2 |x|^{-(n+1)/2 - \delta} \int_0^\infty |f_0(r)| r^{n/p-1} dr \\ &= C_2 |x|^{-n/p} \int_0^\infty |f_0(r)| r^{n/p-1} dr. \end{aligned}$$

Lastly, we estimate  $J_R^{(1)}(x)$ . Noting that  $Rr \leq 1/2$  and  $|x| - r \geq |x|/2$  for  $0 \leq r \leq 1/(2R)$ , we have by (6)

$$\begin{aligned} |K_R(r, |x|)| &\leq C R^{3/2 - (\delta+1)} r^{1/2} (|x| - r)^{-(\delta+1)} \\ &\leq C_1 R^{1/2 - \delta} |x|^{-(\delta+1)} r^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

and so we get

$$J_R^{(1)}(x) \leq C_1 |x|^{-(n-1)/2 - (\delta+1)} R^{1/2 - \delta} \int_0^{1/(2R)} |f_0(r)| r^{n/2} dr.$$

Since  $n/2 - (n/p-1) \geq 0$  is valid only for  $p \geq 2n/(n+2)$ , for  $p \geq 2n/(n+2)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_R^{(1)}(x) &\leq C_1 |x|^{-(n+1)/2 - \delta} R^{1/2 - \delta} (1/(2R))^{n/2 - (n/p-1)} \int_0^{1/(2R)} |f_0(r)| r^{n/p-1} dr \\ &\leq C_2 |x|^{-(n+1)/2 - \delta} \int_0^\infty |f_0(r)| r^{n/p-1} dr \\ &= C_2 |x|^{-n/p} \int_0^\infty |f_0(r)| r^{n/p-1} dr. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for  $2n/(n+2) \leq p \leq 2n/(n+1)$  we get

$$(14) \quad \sup_{R>0} |I_R^{(1)}(x)| \leq C_p |x|^{-n/p} \int_0^\infty |f_0(r)| r^{n/p-1} dr.$$

By (12), (13) and (14) we get (11) and our proof is complete.

Remark. I don't know whether the statement of the theorem is true when  $1 \leq p < 2n/(n+2)$  for general  $n$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [C] S.Chanillo, The multiplier for the ball and radial functions, Journ.Funct. Anal., 55(1984), 18-24.
- [CM] S.Chanillo and B.Muckenhoupt, Weak type estimates for Bochner-Riesz spherical summation multipliers, Trans.Amer.Math.Soc., 294(1986), 693-703.
- [Ka] Y.Kanjin, Convergence and divergence almost everywhere of spherical means for radial functions, Proc.Amer.Math.Soc., 103(1988), 1063-1069.
- [KT] C.E.Kenig and P.A.Tomas, The weak behavior of spherical means, Proc.Amer. Math.Soc., 78(1980), 48-50.
- [Ko] M.Kojima, On the almost everywhere divergence of Bochner-Riesz means of multiple Fourier series and integrals, (to appear in Math.Japonica, 37 (1992)).

- [P] E.Prestini, Almost everywhere convergence of the spherical partial sums for radial functions, *Monatsh.Math.*, 105(1988), 207-216.
- [RS] E.Romera and F.Soria, Endpoint estimates for the maximal operator associated to spherical partial sums on radial functions, *Proc.Amer.Math.Soc.*, 111(1991), 1015-1022.
- [SW] E.M.Stein and G.Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton, 1971.
- [W] G.V.Welland, Norm convergence of Riesz-Bochner means for radial functions, *Canad. Journ. Math.*, 27(1975), 176-185.

Received Dec. 11, 1991    Revised Feb. 4, 1992

FACULTY OF GENERAL EDUCATION, HOKURIKU UNIVERSITY, KANAGAWA-MACHI, KANAZAWA,  
920-11, JAPAN.