

Characterization of generalized surfaces of revolution

Young Ho Kim *

Abstract : We study the so-called generalized surfaces of revolution in a Euclidean space by considering normal sections.

1. Introduction

We define a generalized surface of revolution in $(n+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space E^{n+1} : Let C be a plane curve in E^{n+1} . A manifold of dimension n generated by revolving C around an axis is said to be a generalized surface of revolution in E^{n+1} . In the present paper, we characterize a generalized surface of revolution in E^{n+1} .

The author would like to express his sincere thanks to the referee who gave him many valuable suggestions to improve the paper.

2. Preliminaries

Let $M = (M, x)$ be an n -dimensional submanifold in m -dimensional Euclidean space E^m , where x is an isometric immersion from M into E^m . Let ∇ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ be the Levi-Civita connections of M and E^m respectively. For any two vector fields X and Y tangent to M , the second fundamental form σ is given by $\sigma(X, Y) = \tilde{\nabla}_X Y - \nabla_X Y$. For a vector field ξ normal to M and X a vector field tangent to M , we may decompose $\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi$ as $\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_\xi X + \nabla_X^\perp \xi$, where $-A_\xi X$ and $\nabla_X^\perp \xi$ denote the tangential and normal components of $\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi$, respectively, and ∇^\perp is called the normal connection of the normal bundle $T^\perp M$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the scalar product of E^m . Then the Weingarten map A_ξ and the second fundamental form σ have the following relationship : $\langle A_\xi X, Y \rangle = \langle \sigma(X, Y), \xi \rangle$ for all vector fields X and Y tangent to M and every normal vector field ξ .

For the second fundamental form σ , we define a covariant derivative $\bar{\nabla}\sigma$ by

$$(2.1) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X \sigma)(Y, Z) = \nabla_X^\perp \sigma(Y, Z) - \sigma(\nabla_X Y, Z) - \sigma(Y, \nabla_X Z)$$

for vector fields X, Y and Z tangent to M . Let R be the curvature tensor of M . Then the structure equations of Gauss and Codazzi are given by

*This work was partially supported by TGRC-KOSEF.

1980 Mathematical Subject Classification (1985 Revision) : Primary 53C40

Key Words and Phrases : geodesics, normal sections and surfaces of revolution

$$(2.2) \quad R(X, Y)Z = A_{\sigma(Y, Z)}X - A_{\sigma(X, Z)}Y,$$

$$(2.3) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X \sigma)(Y, Z) - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \sigma)(X, Z) = 0$$

for all vector fields X, Y and Z tangent to M .

For a point p in M and a unit vector t tangent to M at p , the vector t and the normal space $T_p^\perp M$ to M at p form an $(m-n+1)$ -dimensional affine space $E(p, t)$ in E^m through p . The intersection of M with $E(p, t)$ gives rise to a curve in a neighborhood of p which is called the normal section of M at p in the direction of t (See [2], [3], [4] and [5] etc. for detail).

We shall recall the definition of isotropy in the sense of O'Neill [8]. A submanifold M of E^m is said to be isotropic at p if the length of second fundamental form $\| \sigma(v, v) \|$ is independent of the choice of the unit vector v in tangent space $T_p M$ to M at p . If M is isotropic at every point, then M is said to be isotropic.

3. Submanifolds with geodesic normal sections through a point

Let M be a smooth hypersurface of an $(n+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space E^{n+1} . A smooth manifold means that every geometric object is assumed to be smooth. We now define a property (*).

(*) There is a point o in M such that every geodesic through o is a normal section.

We suppose that M satisfies (*). Without loss of generality we may assume that o is the origin of E^{n+1} . Let γ be a geodesic through o parametrized by arc length s and let $\gamma(0) = 0$. Then we have

$$\gamma'(s) = X, \quad \gamma''(s) = \sigma(X, X), \quad \gamma'''(s) = -A_{\sigma(X, X)}X + (\bar{\nabla}_X \sigma)(X, X).$$

Since every geodesic through o is a normal section, $A_{\sigma(v, v)}v \wedge v = 0$, where $v = X(0)$, i.e.,

$$(3.1) \quad \langle \sigma(v, v), \sigma(v, u) \rangle = 0,$$

where u is a unit vector tangent to M at o orthogonal to v .

By O'Neill [8], M is isotropic at o . Thus we have proved the following.

Proposition 3.1. *Let M be a hypersurface in E^{n+1} satisfying (*). Then M is isotropic at o .*

Since γ is a plane curve, $\gamma(s) \wedge \gamma'(s) \wedge \gamma''(s) = 0$ along γ . Thus we get

$$X \wedge A_{\sigma(X, X)}X \wedge \sigma(X, X) = 0$$

along γ . This gives

$$(3.2) \quad \langle \sigma(X, X), \sigma(X, Y) \rangle = 0$$

along γ , where Y is a unit vector field tangent to M orthogonal to X along γ .

Since every geodesic through o is planar, we can write the immersion $x : M \rightarrow E^{n+1}$ locally on a neighborhood U of o with geodesic polar coordinate system $(s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1})$ as

$$(3.3) \quad x(s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) = h(s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) e(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) \\ + k(s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) N,$$

where $e(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1})$ is a vector tangent to M at o , h and k functions satisfying $h(0, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) = k(0, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) = 0$ and N a unique (up to sign) unit vector normal to M at o . We then have mutually orthogonal local tangent vector fields from (3.3)

$$(3.4) \quad x_*(\partial/\partial s) = \frac{\partial h}{\partial s} (s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) e(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) + \frac{\partial k}{\partial s} (s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) N,$$

$$(3.5) \quad x_*(\partial/\partial y_i) = \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_i} (s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) e(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1})$$

$$+ h(s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) \frac{\partial e}{\partial y_i} (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) + \frac{\partial k}{\partial y_i} (s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) N$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, where $x_*(\partial/\partial s)(0, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) = e(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1})$. Since $\langle x_*(\partial/\partial s), x_*(\partial/\partial s) \rangle = 1$, we see that

$$(3.6) \quad \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial s}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial k}{\partial s}\right)^2 = 1,$$

from which, we put

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial s} = \cos f(s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}), \quad \frac{\partial k}{\partial s} = \sin f(s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}),$$

where f is a smooth function satisfying $f(0, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) = 0$ for all y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} .

Lemma 3.2. *Let M be a hypersurface in E^{n+1} satisfying (*). Then the curvature of geodesics through o is independent of the choice of geodesics.*

Proof. Let γ be a geodesic through o . Then $\gamma(s) = x(s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1})$ for some y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} . The curvature κ is given by

$$(3.8) \quad (\kappa(s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}))^2 = \langle \sigma(X, X), \sigma(X, X) \rangle,$$

where $\gamma'(s) = X$. Now we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} x_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \right) (\kappa(s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}))^2 = \langle \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}}^\perp \sigma(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}), \sigma(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}) \rangle \\ & = \langle (\bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}} \sigma)(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}), \sigma(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}) \rangle + 2 \langle \sigma(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}), \sigma(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}) \rangle \\ & = \langle (\bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \sigma)(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}), \sigma(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}) \rangle + 2 \langle \sigma(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}), \sigma(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}) \rangle \\ & \text{(By Codazzi equation)} \\ & = \langle (\bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \sigma)(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}), \sigma(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}) \rangle \text{ (By (3.2))} \\ & = x_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right) \langle \sigma(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}), \sigma(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}) \rangle - \langle \sigma(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}), \sigma(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}) \rangle \\ & \quad - \langle (\bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \sigma)(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}), \sigma(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}) \rangle \\ & = - \langle (\bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \sigma)(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}), \sigma(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}) \rangle \text{ (By (3.2))} \end{aligned}$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Suppose that $\langle (\bar{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial s} \sigma)(\partial/\partial s, \partial/\partial s), \sigma(\partial/\partial y_i, \partial/\partial s) \rangle \neq 0$ for some s_0 in $\text{Dom } \gamma$, then $(\bar{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial s} \sigma)(\partial/\partial s, \partial/\partial s) \neq 0$ and $\sigma(\partial/\partial y_i, \partial/\partial s) \neq 0$ at $(s_0, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1})$. Thus there exists an open interval J containing s_0 such that $(\bar{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial s} \sigma)(\partial/\partial s, \partial/\partial s) \neq 0$ and $\sigma(\partial/\partial y_i, \partial/\partial s) \neq 0$ at $(s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1})$ for all $s \in J$. Hence $\sigma(\partial/\partial s, \partial/\partial s) = 0$ at $(s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1})$ for all $s \in J$ because of (3.2), which is a contradiction. Therefore, we get $\langle (\bar{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial s} \sigma)(\partial/\partial s, \partial/\partial s), \sigma(\partial/\partial y_i, \partial/\partial s) \rangle = 0$ for any s in $\text{Dom } \gamma$. Thus we obtain

$$x_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \right) (\kappa(s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}))^2 = 0$$

on a neighborhood of o . Hence the curvature of geodesics through o is independent of the choice of geodesics. (Q.E.D.).

Remark. Lemma 3.2 is different from Theorem 1 in [5] because (*) is satisfied at every point of the submanifold in Theorem 1 in [5] but we only assume that (*) is satisfied at a point in this paper. So the curvature of the geodesic through o is not constant in general.

Lemma 3.3. *The functions h and k are functions of s only on a neighborhood of o .*

Proof. Taking the covariant derivative of (3.4) along the geodesic itself and using (3.7), we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad (\kappa(s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}))^2 = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \right)^2.$$

(3.2) implies

$$(3.9) \quad \langle \tilde{\nabla}_{x_*(\partial/\partial s)} x_*(\partial/\partial s), \tilde{\nabla}_{x_*(\partial/\partial y_i)} x_*(\partial/\partial s) \rangle = 0,$$

which gives

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i} = 0 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

From (3.8), we get

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) = \varepsilon \kappa(s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}), \varepsilon = \pm 1.$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.2, we see that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1})$ is a function of s only, say, $\phi(s)$, for any $(s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1})$ in the domain of x :

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) = \phi(s).$$

Integrating (3.11) with respect to s , we get

$$f(s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) - f(0, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) = \int_0^s \phi(t) dt + q(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1})$$

for some function q of y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} only. Since $f(0, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) = 0$, we see that $q(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) = 0$ and hence

$$(3.12) \quad f(s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) = \int_0^s \phi(t) dt .$$

We denote by $\psi(s)$ the function given by the right hand side of the above equality (3.12). Integrating (3.7) and taking account of (3.12), we get

$$h(s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) - h(0, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) = \int_0^s \cos\psi(t) dt + h_1(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}),$$

$$k(s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) - k(0, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) = \int_0^s \sin\psi(t) dt + k_1(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1})$$

for some functions h_1 and k_1 of y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} only. Since $h(0, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) = 0$ and $k(0, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) = 0$, we see that $h_1(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) = 0$ and $k_1(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) = 0$ and hence h and k are functions of s only given by

$$h(s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) = \int_0^s \cos\psi(t) dt ,$$

$$k(s, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) = \int_0^s \sin\psi(t) dt. \quad (\text{Q. E. D.}).$$

If a smooth hypersurface M of E^{n+1} satisfies (*), then we can conclude M is locally a generalized surface of revolution with vertex o by observing the equation (3.3), Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3. The converse is trivial. Thus we have

Theorem 3.4. (Characterization). *Let M be a smooth hypersurface of E^{n+1} . Then M is locally a generalized surface of revolution with vertex o if and only if there is a point o such that every geodesic through o is a normal section .*

Corollary 3.5. *Let M be a complete connected smooth hypersurface of E^{n+1} . Then M is a generalized surface of revolution if and only if there is a point o such that every geodesic through o is a normal section .*

Corollary 3.6. *Let M be a smooth surface of E^3 . Then M is a locally surface of revolution with vertex o if and only if there is a point o such that every geodesic through o is a normal section.*

Bibliography

- [1] Chen, B.-Y., Geometry of submanifolds, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1973.
- [2] Chen, B.-Y., Submanifolds with planar normal sections, Soochow J. Math. 7 (1981), 19 - 24.
- [3] Chen, B.-Y., Surfaces with planar normal sections, J. Geometry 20 (1983), 122 - 127.
- [4] Chen, B.-Y., Differential geometry of submanifolds with planar normal sections, Ann. Math. Pura Appl. 130 (1982), 59 - 66.
- [5] Chen, B.-Y. and Verheyen, P., Submanifolds with geodesic normal sections, Math. Ann. 269 (1984), 417 - 429.
- [6] Hong, S. L., Isometric immersions of manifolds with plane geodesics into Euclidean space, J. Diff. Geometry 8 (1973), 259 - 278.
- [7] Kim, Y. H., Submanifolds of Euclidean spaces with planar or helical geodesics through a point, Ph.D. Thesis, Michigan State University, 1988.
- [8] O'Neill, B., Isotropic and Kaehler immersions, Canad. J. Math. 17 (1965), 907 - 915.

Department of Mathematics
Kyungpook University
Teachers College
Taegu 702-701
Korea

Received December 17, 1990, Revised February 25, 1991