

# A Note on a Linear Automorphism of $R^n$ with the Pseudo-Orbit Tracing Property

By  
Seikichi KAKUBARI

(Received October 3, 1986)  
(Revised November 26, 1986)

## 1. Introduction

A. Morimoto proved in [1] (Proposition 1) that for any linear automorphism  $f$  of  $R^n$ ,  $f$  is hyperbolic if and only if  $f$  has the pseudo-orbit tracing property (P.O.T.P.). To show that if  $f$  is not hyperbolic then  $f$  does not have the P.O.T.P., for  $\delta > 0$  he constructed the  $\delta$ -pseudo orbit ( $\delta$ -p.o.) for which there are no tracing points. But the sequence of points that he constructed is not  $\delta$ -p.o. for  $n \geq 2$ .

To supply this gap, we show in this paper that if  $f$  has the P.O.T.P. then  $f$  is hyperbolic.

## 2. Definition and lemmas

Let  $f: X \rightarrow X$  be a homeomorphism of a metric space  $(X, d)$ . We denote by  $H(X)$  the group of all homeomorphisms of  $X$ .

**DEFINITION.** A sequence of points  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}$  is called a  $\delta$ -pseudo-orbit ( $\delta$ -p.o.) of  $f$  if  $d(f(x_n), x_{n+1}) < \delta$  for  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ .  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}$  is called to be  $\varepsilon$ -traced by  $y \in X$  (with respect to  $f$ ) if  $d(f^n(y), x_n) < \varepsilon$  for  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ . This  $y$  is called an  $\varepsilon$ -tracing point.

We say that  $f$  has the *pseudo-orbit tracing property* (P.O.T.P.) if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that any  $\delta$ -p.o. of  $f$  can be  $\varepsilon$ -traced by some point  $y \in X$ .

We shall use the following lemmas given in [1] (or [2]).

**LEMMA 1.** Let  $h \in H(X)$  be a homeomorphism of  $X$  such that  $h$  and  $h^{-1}$  are both uniformly continuous. Take  $f \in H(X)$  and put  $g = h \circ f \circ h^{-1}$ . Then  $f$  has the P.O.T.P. if and only if  $g$  has the P.O.T.P.

**LEMMA 2.** Let  $(X, d)$  and  $(X', d')$  be metric spaces, and let  $f \in H(X)$  and  $g \in H(X')$ . The direct product  $X \times X'$  is a metric space by the distance function  $d'((x, x'), (y, y')) = \text{Max}\{d(x, y), d'(x', y')\}$  for  $x, y \in X$  and  $x', y' \in X'$ . Put  $(f \times g)(x, x') = (f(x), g(x'))$  for

$(x, x') \in X \times X'$ . Then  $f \times g$  has the P.O.T.P. if and only if  $f$  and  $g$  have the P.O.T.P.

LEMMA 3. If  $d$  and  $d'$  are uniformly equivalent metrics on  $X$  then  $f \in H(X)$  has the P.O.T.P. with respect to  $d$  if and only if  $f$  has the P.O.T.P. with respect to  $d'$ .

### 3. Theorem

Our object is to complete the proof of (2)→(1) in the following theorem.

THEOREM. Let  $f: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  be a linear automorphism of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , and let  $d$  be the Euclidean metric on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $f$  is hyperbolic, i.e., if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $f$ , then  $|\lambda| \neq 1$ ; and
- (2)  $f$  has the P.O.T.P. with respect to  $d$ .

PROOF OF (2)→(1). Since a linear map is uniformly continuous, it follows from Lemmas 1, 2, and 3 that  $f$  has the P.O.T.P. with respect to  $d$  if and only if every factor of the real canonical form of  $f$  has the P.O.T.P. with respect to the corresponding Euclidean metric. Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $f$ . If  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ , then we put a linear automorphism  $g: \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$  by

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & & \mathbf{0} \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & \cdot & 1 \\ \mathbf{0} & & & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

If  $\lambda \notin \mathbf{R}$ , that is,  $\lambda = r(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)$  ( $r > 0$ ,  $0 < |\theta| < \pi$ ), then we put a linear automorphism  $g: \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$  by

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D}_{r, \theta} & \mathbf{I} & & \mathbf{0} \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & \cdot & \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{0} & & & \mathbf{D}_{r, \theta} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\mathbf{D}_{r, \theta} = \begin{bmatrix} r \cos \theta & -r \sin \theta \\ r \sin \theta & r \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\mathbf{I} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Then it suffices to show that  $|\lambda| \neq 1$  if the above  $g$  has the P.O.T.P. with respect to the Euclidean metric (also denoted by  $d$ ) on  $\mathbf{R}^m$ . Suppose that  $g$  has the P.O.T.P. with respect to  $d$  on  $\mathbf{R}^m$  and  $|\lambda| = 1$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be small enough. For this  $\varepsilon$ , choose  $\delta > 0$  as in the definition of the P.O.T.P.

(a) In case of

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & & \mathbf{0} \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & \cdot & 1 \\ \mathbf{0} & & & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } \lambda = \pm 1.$$

Put  $x^0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , and  $x^n = g(x^{n-1}) + \lambda^n b$  for  $n \geq 1$ , where  $b = (0, \dots, 0, \delta/2)$ . Since

for any  $n \geq 0$

$$d(g(x^n), x^{n+1}) = |\lambda|^{n+1} \cdot \delta / 2 = \delta / 2,$$

$\{x^n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a  $\delta$ -p.o. on  $\mathbf{R}^m$ . Let  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_m) \in \mathbf{R}^m$  be an  $\varepsilon$ -tracing point of  $\{x^n\}_{n \geq 0}$ . However,

$$d(g^n(v), x^n) \geq |(g^n(v))_m - (x^n)_m| = |\lambda^n v_m - n \cdot \delta / 2| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This contradicts the definition of  $v$ .

(b) In case of

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D}_{1, \theta} & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \\ & \cdot & \cdot \\ \mathbf{0} & & \mathbf{D}_{1, \theta} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } 0 < |\theta| < \pi.$$

Take  $M \in \mathbf{N}$  with  $\frac{\pi}{M} < \text{Arccos}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \delta^2\right)$ , and put  $\theta' = \frac{\pi}{M}$ . We put a linear automorphism  $g' : \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$  by

$$g' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \mathbf{0} & \vdots & \\ & \cdot & & & \mathbf{0} \\ & & \cdot & & \\ & \mathbf{0} & & \cdot & 1 \\ \cdots & & & & \\ \mathbf{0} & & & \vdots & \mathbf{D}_{1, \theta'} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Put  $x^0 = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0)$ , and  $x^n = g' \circ g(x^{n-1})$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Since for any  $n \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} d(g(x^n), x^{n+1}) &= d(g(x^n), g' \circ g(x^n)) = \{2 - 2 \cos \theta'\}^{1/2} \\ &< \left\{2 - 2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \delta^2\right)\right\}^{1/2} = \delta, \end{aligned}$$

$\{x^n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a  $\delta$ -p.o. on  $\mathbf{R}^m$ . Let  $v \in \mathbf{R}^m$  be an  $\varepsilon$ -tracing point of  $\{x^n\}_{n \geq 0}$ . Then, noticing the  $(m-1)$ -th and the  $m$ -th coordinates,  $d(g^M(v), x^M) > \varepsilon$ . This contradicts the definition of  $v$ . This completes the proof of (2)  $\rightarrow$  (1).

### References

- [1] A. Morimoto, *Some stabilities of group automorphisms*, Progress in Math., 14 (1981), 283-299.
- [2] A. Morimoto, *The method of the pseudo-orbit tracing property and stability*, Tokyo Univ. Seminar Notes, 39 (1979) (in Japanese).

Seikichi Kakubari  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Faculty of Science  
 NIIGATA UNIVERSITY  
 Niigata 950-21  
 Japan