

## THE GLUING PROBLEM DOES NOT FOLLOW FROM HOMOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF $\Delta_p(G)$

ASSAF LIBMAN

(communicated by J. P. C. Greenlees)

### Abstract

Given a block  $b$  in  $kG$  where  $k$  is an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p$ , there are classes  $\alpha_Q \in H^2(\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q); k^\times)$ , constructed by Külshammer and Puig, where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the fusion system associated to  $b$  and  $Q$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -centric subgroup. The gluing problem in  $\mathcal{F}$  has a solution if these classes are the restriction of a class  $\alpha \in H^2(\mathcal{F}^c; k^\times)$ . Linckelmann showed that a solution to the gluing problem gives rise to a reformulation of Alperin's weight conjecture. He then showed that the gluing problem has a solution if for every finite group  $G$ , the equivariant Bredon cohomology group  $H_G^1(|\Delta_p(G)|; \mathcal{A}^1)$  vanishes, where  $|\Delta_p(G)|$  is the simplicial complex of the non-trivial  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$  and  $\mathcal{A}^1$  is the coefficient functor  $G/H \mapsto \text{Hom}(H, k^\times)$ . The purpose of this note is to show that this group does not vanish if  $G = \Sigma_{p^2}$  where  $p \geq 5$ .

## 1. Introduction

Given a functor  $M: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ , where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a small category, we will write  $H^*(\mathcal{C}; M)$  for the groups  $\varprojlim_{\mathcal{C}}^* M$ . When  $\mathcal{C}$  has one object with a group  $G$  of automorphisms, a functor  $M: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  is the same thing as a  $G$ -module and  $H^*(\mathcal{C}; M) \cong \varprojlim_{\mathcal{C}}^* M$ .

Let us now fix a prime  $p$  and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the fusion system of a block  $b$  of a finite group  $G$ . As usual, we will write  $\mathcal{F}^c$  for the full subcategory generated by the  $\mathcal{F}$ -centric subgroups in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p$ . In [8] Külshammer and Puig show that for every  $\mathcal{F}$ -centric subgroup  $Q$  there is a canonically chosen class  $\alpha_Q \in H^2(\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q); k^\times)$ . We view  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)$  as a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{F}^c$  and say that the gluing problem has a solution in  $\mathcal{F}$  if there exists a class  $\alpha \in H^2(\mathcal{F}^c; k^\times)$ , where  $k^\times$  is the constant functor, such that the restriction  $\alpha|_{\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)}$  is equal to  $\alpha_Q$  for all  $Q \in \mathcal{F}^c$ .

Linckelmann showed in [10] that if the gluing problem has a solution in the fusion systems of all blocks then Alperin's weight conjecture is logically equivalent to a relation between the number  $\mathbf{k}(b)$  of complex representations of  $G$  associated to  $b$  by

---

Received May 27, 2009, revised October 19, 2009; published on February 18, 2010.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20C20, 55N25, 05E25.

Key words and phrases: gluing problem, Alperin's conjecture, equivariant cohomology.

This article is available at <http://intlpress.com/HHA/v12/n1/a1>

Copyright © 2010, International Press. Permission to copy for private use granted.

Knörr and Robinson [7] and the Euler characteristic of a certain cochain complex built from the fusion system of  $b$  and the cohomology class  $\alpha$ .

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $\mathcal{C}$  a finite  $G$ -poset. The (combinatorial) simplicial complex associated to  $\mathcal{C}$ , see [13, Chap. 3], is denoted  $S(\mathcal{C})$ . The  $n$ -simplices are sequences  $c_0 \not\preceq \cdots \not\preceq c_n$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  which we denote  $\mathbf{c}$ . Face maps are inclusion of simplices. We view  $S(\mathcal{C})$  as a topological space via the geometric realization. Clearly  $G$  acts on  $S(\mathcal{C})$  whose orbit space is denoted  $[S(\mathcal{C})]$ . It is a CW-complex obtained as the geometric realization of the simplicial set  $\text{Nr}(\mathcal{C})/G$  where  $\text{Nr}(-)$  is the nerve construction [3, XI.2.1]. By abuse of notation,  $[S(\mathcal{C})]$  will also denote the poset of the cells of  $[S(\mathcal{C})]$  ordered by inclusion.

As a special case consider the poset  $\Delta_p(G)$  of the non-trivial  $p$ -subgroups of a finite group  $G$ . Note that the isotropy group of an  $n$ -simplex  $\mathbf{P} = (P_0 < \cdots < P_n)$  in  $S(\Delta_p(G))$  is

$$N_G(\mathbf{P}) = \bigcap_{i=0}^n N_G(P_i).$$

The objects of the poset  $[S(\Delta_p(G))]$ , viewed as a small category, are the  $G$ -conjugacy classes  $[\mathbf{P}]$  of the simplices of  $S(\Delta_p(G))$  and there is a unique morphism  $[\mathbf{Q}] \rightarrow [\mathbf{P}]$  if the simplex  $\mathbf{Q}$  is conjugate in  $G$  to a face of  $\mathbf{P}$ . There is a functor  $\mathcal{N}_G: [S(\Delta_p(G))] \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  defined by Linckelmann in [9]

$$\mathcal{N}_G([\mathbf{P}]) = \text{Hom}(N_G(\mathbf{P}), k^\times) = \text{Hom}(N_G(\mathbf{P})_{\text{ab}}, k^\times).$$

Theorem 1.2 of [9] implies that the gluing problem in  $\mathcal{F}$  has a solution if we can prove that  $H^1([S(\Delta_p(K))]; \mathcal{N}_K) = 0$  for all  $K = \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)/\text{Inn}(Q)$  where  $Q$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -centric subgroup. Thus, if we can prove that  $H^1([S(\Delta_p(G))]; \mathcal{N}_G) = 0$  for all finite groups  $G$ , then the gluing problem has a solution for all fusion systems. The purpose of this note is to show that this programme, suggested by Linckelmann, is not feasible.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Set  $G = \Sigma_{p^2}$ . If  $p \geq 5$  then  $H^1([S(\Delta_p(G))]; \mathcal{N}_G) \neq 0$ .*

We remark that  $\Sigma_{p^2}$  appears as an outer  $\mathcal{F}$ -automorphism group of  $Q = (C_p)^{p^2}$  in the fusion system of the principal block of  $C_p \wr \Sigma_{p^2}$ . We also remark, without proof, that Theorem 1.1 is valid for  $p = 3$  but it fails if  $p = 2$ . For  $p = 2$  one observes that  $H_G^*(|\mathcal{B}_p(G)|; \mathcal{H}^1) = 0$ , see equation (1), because  $\mathcal{H}^1$  vanishes on all the orbits of  $|\mathcal{B}_p(G)|$ . For  $p = 3$  one has to examine the exact sequence (3) more carefully than we do in Propositions 4.2–4.4.

## 2. Subdivision categories and higher limits

Let  $G$  be a finite group. As in the introduction, if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a finite  $G$ -poset, let  $S(\mathcal{C})$  denote the associated  $G$ -simplicial complex and let  $[S(\mathcal{C})]$  denote its orbit space. We will denote the set of  $n$ -simplices of  $S(\mathcal{C})$  by  $S(\mathcal{C})_n$ . It is the set of the non-degenerate  $n$ -simplices of  $\text{Nr}(\mathcal{C})$ . Thus, the  $n$ -simplices of  $S(\mathcal{C})$  are sequences  $\mathbf{c}$  of the form  $c_0 \not\preceq \cdots \not\preceq c_n$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . The faces of  $\mathbf{c}$  are its non-empty subsequences.

The space  $[S(\mathcal{C})]$  is the geometric realization of the simplicial set  $\text{Nr}(\mathcal{C})/G$  whose set of non-degenerate simplices is  $[S(\mathcal{C})]_n = S(\mathcal{C})_n/G$  which in turn, corresponds to the set of  $n$ -cells of  $[S(\mathcal{C})]$ . We obtain a poset, abusively denoted  $[S(\mathcal{C})]$ , whose objects

are the  $G$ -orbits of the simplices of  $S(\mathcal{C})$  with an arrow  $[\mathbf{c}'] \rightarrow [\mathbf{c}]$  if  $\mathbf{c}'$  is in the orbit of a face of  $\mathbf{c}$ . The objects of  $[S(\mathcal{C})]$  will be referred to as ‘‘simplices’’.

Given an  $n$ -simplex  $c_0 \succcurlyeq \cdots \succcurlyeq c_n$  in  $S(\mathcal{C})$  where  $n \geq 1$ , we will write  $\partial_i \mathbf{c}$  for the  $(n-1)$ -simplex obtained by removing  $c_i$  where  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . We obtain face maps

$$\partial_i: S(\mathcal{C})_n \rightarrow S(\mathcal{C})_{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad [\partial_i]: [S(\mathcal{C})]_n \rightarrow [S(\mathcal{C})]_{n-1}, \quad (0 \leq i \leq n)$$

where  $\partial_i$  is  $G$ -equivariant and an  $n$ -simplex  $[\mathbf{c}]$  in  $[S(\mathcal{C})]$  gives rise to a map of transitive  $G$ -sets  $[\mathbf{c}] \xrightarrow{[\partial_i]} [\partial_i \mathbf{c}]$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Fix a commutative ring  $R$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite  $G$ -poset and consider a functor  $\mathcal{A}: [S(\mathcal{C})] \rightarrow R\text{-mod}$ . Define a cochain complex  $C^*(\mathcal{A})$  as follows.

$$C^n(\mathcal{A}) = \prod_{[\mathbf{c}] \in [S(\mathcal{C})]_n} \mathcal{A}([\mathbf{c}]), \quad \text{and} \quad d: C^{n-1}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j d^j} C^n(\mathcal{A}).$$

The homomorphisms  $d^j: C^{n-1}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow C^n(\mathcal{A})$  are defined on the  $[\mathbf{c}]$ -th component of  $C^n(\mathcal{A})$ , where  $[\mathbf{c}] \in [S(\mathcal{C})]_n$ , by the composition

$$C^{n-1}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} \mathcal{A}([\partial_j \mathbf{c}]) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}([\partial_j \mathbf{c}] \preceq [\mathbf{c}])} \mathcal{A}([\mathbf{c}]).$$

**Lemma 2.2** (cf. [10, Proposition 3.2]). *With the notation of Definition 2.1, the cohomology groups of  $C^*(\mathcal{A})$  are isomorphic to  $H^*([S(\mathcal{C})]; \mathcal{A})$ .*

*Proof.* For every  $n \geq 0$  consider the projective functors  $P_n: [S(\mathcal{C})] \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  defined by

$$P_n = \bigoplus_{[\mathbf{c}] \in [S(\mathcal{C})]_n} \mathbb{Z} \otimes \text{Mor}_{[S(\mathcal{C})]}([\mathbf{c}], -).$$

For every  $0 \leq j \leq n$  there are morphisms  $d_{n-1}^j: P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1}$  which are induced by Yoneda’s lemma via the morphisms  $[\partial_j \mathbf{c}] \rightarrow [\mathbf{c}]$  for every  $[\mathbf{c}] \in [S(\mathcal{C})]_n$ . Define morphisms  $d_{n-1}: P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1}$  by  $d_{n-1} = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j d_{n-1}^j$ . We claim that the resulting

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_n \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{d_1} P_1 \xrightarrow{d_0} P_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad (\text{denoted } P_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$$

is a projective resolution of the constant functor  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Indeed, the evaluation of  $P_\bullet$  at every object  $[\mathbf{x}] \in [S(\mathcal{C})]_n$  yields the chain complex  $C_*(\Delta^n; \mathbb{Z})$  because the faces of  $[\mathbf{x}]$  in  $[S(\mathcal{C})]$  generate the standard simplex  $\Delta^n$ . Finally, by Yoneda’s Lemma  $\text{Hom}(P_\bullet, \mathcal{A}) = C^*(\mathcal{A})$  and its homology groups are isomorphic to  $\varprojlim^* \mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

### 3. Bredon cohomology

Throughout this section a space means a simplicial set. Let  $G$  be a finite group. A *coefficient functor*  $\mathcal{M}$  for  $G$  is a contravariant functor  $\{G\text{-sets}\} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  which turns coproducts of  $G$ -sets into products of abelian groups. By applying  $\mathcal{M}$  to the sets of simplices of a  $G$ -space  $X$ , one obtains a cosimplicial abelian group  $\mathcal{M}(X)$ . The cochain complex associated to  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  is denoted  $C^*(X; \mathcal{M})$ , see [15, 8.2]. Its homology groups are called the Bredon cohomology groups  $H_G^*(X; \mathcal{M})$ , see e.g., [5, §4]. Note that  $C^n(X; \mathcal{M}) = \prod_{[\mathbf{x}] \subseteq X} \mathcal{M}([\mathbf{x}])$  where the product runs through the orbits of the  $n$ -simplices of  $X$ .

If  $Y$  is a  $G$ -subspace of  $X$  then there is a canonical short exact sequence of cochain complexes

$$0 \rightarrow C_G^*(X, Y; \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow C_G^*(X; \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow C_G^*(Y; \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow 0$$

which defines the relative cohomology groups  $H_G^*(X, Y; \mathcal{M})$  together with the usual long exact sequences. Bredon cohomology is an equivariant cohomology theory, cf. [4]. In particular it turns  $G$ -homotopy equivalences into isomorphisms and if  $X$  is a union of subspaces  $Y_1 \cup Y_2$ , one has the usual Mayer Vietoris sequence.

The normalized cochain complex  $NC^*(X; \mathcal{M})$  is a sub-complex of  $C^*(X; \mathcal{M})$  defined by

$$NC^n(X; \mathcal{M}) = \bigcap_{i=0}^{n-1} \left( \text{Ker}(C^n(X; \mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{s^i} C^{n-1}(X; \mathcal{M})) \right),$$

where  $s^i$  are the codegeneracy maps of the cosimplicial group  $\mathcal{M}(X)$ . If  $[\mathbf{x}]$  is the orbit of a simplex in  $X$  and  $s_i$  is a degeneracy operator of  $X$ , it is easy to check that  $s_i: [\mathbf{x}] \rightarrow [s_i\mathbf{x}]$  is an isomorphism of transitive  $G$ -sets and in particular  $\mathcal{M}([\mathbf{x}]) = \mathcal{M}([s_i\mathbf{x}])$ . It easily follows that  $NC^n(X; \mathcal{M}) = \prod_{[\mathbf{x}] \subseteq X} \mathcal{M}([\mathbf{x}])$  where  $[\mathbf{x}]$  runs through the orbits of the *non-degenerate*  $n$ -simplices of  $X$ .

It is well known that the inclusion of  $NC^*(X; \mathcal{M})$  in  $C^*(X; \mathcal{M})$  is a homology equivalence. See [15, 8.3].

Recall that the Borel construction of a  $G$ -space  $U$  is  $U \times_G EG$  where  $EG$  is a contractible space on which  $G$  acts freely. If  $U = G/K$  then  $U \times_G EG = BK$  is the classifying space of  $K$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Fix a finite group  $G$ , an abelian group  $A$  and an integer  $n \geq 0$ . Define a coefficient functor  $\mathcal{H}^n$  for  $G$  by  $\mathcal{H}^n(U) = H^n(U \times_G EG; A)$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{H}^n(G/K) = H^n(K; A)$  where  $A$  has the trivial action of  $K$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite  $G$ -poset and let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a coefficient system. The underlying set of every object  $[\mathbf{c}]$  of  $[S(\mathcal{C})]$  is a transitive  $G$ -set and we define a functor  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}: [S(\mathcal{C})] \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}([\mathbf{c}]) = \mathcal{M}([\mathbf{c}]).$$

If  $[\mathbf{c}']$  is a face of  $[\mathbf{c}]$ , we define  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}([\mathbf{c}'] \rightarrow [\mathbf{c}])$  by applying  $\mathcal{M}$  to the map  $[\mathbf{c}] \rightarrow [\mathbf{c}']$  of transitive  $G$ -sets.

By inspection of Definition 2.1,  $C^*(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}) \cong NC_G^*(|\mathcal{C}|; \mathcal{M})$  and the next result follows from Lemma 2.2. It has been observed by Słominska [12, p. 116] and by others e.g., Grodal in [6, Theorem 7.3], Linckelmann [10, Proposition 3.5] and Dwyer in [5].

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite  $G$ -poset and let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a coefficient functor for  $G$ . With the notation of Definition 3.2,  $H^*([S(\mathcal{C})]; \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}) \cong H_G^*(|\mathcal{C}|; \mathcal{M})$ .*

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Set  $G = \Sigma_{p^2}$  and let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the poset  $\Delta_p(G)$  of the non-trivial  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$ . First we observe that  $\text{Hom}(K, A) = H^1(K; A)$  for any finite group  $K$  and any abelian group  $A$ . Thus, the functor  $\mathcal{N}_G: [S(\mathcal{C})] \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  defined in the introduction is

canonically isomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}^1}$  as defined in 3.2 and in 3.1 with  $A = k^\times$  where  $k$  is an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p$ . In light of Lemma 3.3 we need to prove that  $H_G^1(|\Delta_p(G)|; \mathcal{H}^1) \neq 0$ . Consider the  $G$ -subposet  $\mathcal{B}_p(G)$  of the non-trivial radical  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$ , namely the non-trivial  $p$ -subgroup  $P \leq G$  such that  $N_G(P)/P$  contains no non-trivial normal  $p$ -subgroup. It is well known that the inclusion  $|\mathcal{B}_p(G)| \subseteq |\Delta_p(G)|$  is a  $G$ -homotopy equivalence, see e.g., [2, Proposition 6.6.5]. Therefore, it remains to prove that

$$H_G^1(|\mathcal{B}_p(G)|; \mathcal{H}^1) \neq 0. \quad (1)$$

The radical  $p$ -subgroups of the symmetric groups were classified by Alperin and Fong in [1]. In  $G = \Sigma_{p^2}$  they form the following conjugacy classes:

- (R1) The conjugacy class of the Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $V_{1,1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} C_p \wr C_p \leq \Sigma_{p^2}$ . Its normalizer is  $V_{1,1} \rtimes (\text{GL}_1(p) \times \text{GL}_1(p))$  with the diagonal action of  $\text{GL}_1(p)$  on the base group  $(C_p)^p$  and the usual action of the second  $\text{GL}_1(p)$  on  $C_p$  at the top.
- (R2) The conjugacy class of the subgroup  $V_2 = C_p \times C_p$  embedded in  $\Sigma_{p^2}$  via its action on itself by translation. Its normalizer is  $V_2 \rtimes \text{GL}_2(p)$ .
- (R3) For every  $k = 1, \dots, p$  the conjugacy class of the subgroup  $V_1^{\times k}$  which is isomorphic to  $C_p^{\times k}$  as a subgroup of  $\Sigma_p^{\times k} \leq \Sigma_{p^2}$ . The normalizer of  $V_1^{\times k}$  is

$$\left( (V_1 \rtimes \text{GL}_1(p)) \wr \Sigma_k \right) \times \Sigma_{p(p-k)}.$$

**Definition 4.1.** Consider the following subposets of  $\mathcal{B}_p(G)$ .

1. Let  $\mathcal{D}_1$  be the subposet consisting of the conjugacy class of  $V_{1,1}$  and the conjugacy classes of  $V_1, V_1^{\times 2}, \dots, V_1^{\times p}$ .
2. Let  $\mathcal{V}_1$  be the subposet consisting of the conjugacy classes of  $V_1, V_1^{\times 2}, \dots, V_1^{\times p}$ .
3. Let  $\mathcal{D}_2$  be the subposet consisting of the conjugacy classes of  $V_{1,1}$  and  $V_2$ .
4. Let  $\mathcal{D}_3$  be the subposet consisting of the conjugacy class of  $V_{1,1}$ .

Observe that  $V_2$  is a transitive subgroup of  $\Sigma_{p^2}$  so it cannot be conjugate to a subgroup of  $V_1^{\times k}$  whose orbits have cardinality  $p$ . Also,  $V_2$  acts freely so it cannot contain a conjugate of  $V_1^{\times k}$  since the latter do not act freely on the underlying set of  $p^2$  elements. We see that up to conjugacy  $\mathcal{B}_p(G)$  has the form

$$[V_1] < [V_1^{\times 2}] < \dots [V_1^{\times p}] < [V_{1,1}] > [V_2]$$

and it follows that

$$|\mathcal{B}_p(G)| = |\mathcal{D}_1| \cup |\mathcal{D}_2|, \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathcal{D}_3| = |\mathcal{D}_1| \cap |\mathcal{D}_2|. \quad (2)$$

The Mayer Vietoris sequence gives an exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_G^0(|\mathcal{D}_1|; \mathcal{H}^1) \oplus H_G^0(|\mathcal{D}_2|; \mathcal{H}^1) \rightarrow H_G^0(|\mathcal{D}_3|; \mathcal{H}^1) \rightarrow H_G^1(|\mathcal{B}_p(G)|; \mathcal{H}^1) \rightarrow \dots \quad (3)$$

For what follows, it will be convenient to denote

$$L = \text{Hom}(\text{GL}_1(p), k^\times) \cong \mathbb{F}_p^\times.$$

**Proposition 4.2.**  $H_G^0(|\mathcal{D}_3|; \mathcal{H}^1) \cong L \times L$  and  $H_G^{*\geq 1}(|\mathcal{D}_3|; \mathcal{H}^1) = 0$ .

**Proposition 4.3.**  $H_G^0(|\mathcal{D}_2|; \mathcal{H}^1) \cong L$  and  $H_G^{*\geq 1}(|\mathcal{D}_2|; \mathcal{H}^1) = 0$ .

**Proposition 4.4.**  $H_G^0(|\mathcal{D}_1|; \mathcal{H}^1) \cong C_2$  and  $H_G^{*\geq 1}(|\mathcal{D}_1|; \mathcal{H}^1) = 0$ .

Propositions 4.2–4.4 together with the exact sequence (3) immediately imply (1) because by hypothesis  $p \geq 5$ , whence  $|L| \geq 4$ .

Recall that  $k$  has characteristic  $p$ . Therefore the kernel of any group homomorphism  $H \rightarrow k^\times$  contains the commutator subgroup of  $H$  and any  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$ . We will use this fact repeatedly.

*Proof of Proposition 4.2.* Since  $\mathcal{D}_3$  is a single orbit of  $G$  with isotropy group  $N_G(V_{1,1})$  it follows from **(R1)** that  $H_G^*(|\mathcal{D}_3|; \mathcal{H}^1) = \text{Hom}(N_G(V_{1,1}), k^\times) = L \times L$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 4.3.* Since  $|\mathcal{B}_p(G)|$  is  $G$ -equivalent to  $|\Delta_p(G)|$ , Symond’s resolution of Webb’s conjecture in [14] shows that the orbit space  $|\mathcal{B}_p(G)|/G$  is contractible. But (2) shows that  $|\mathcal{B}_p(G)|/G = (|\mathcal{D}_1|/G) \vee (|\mathcal{D}_2|/G)$ . It follows that the CW-complex  $|\mathcal{D}_2|/G$ , namely  $[S(\mathcal{D}_2)]$ , is contractible and since it is 1-dimensional with two 0-simplices  $[V_2]$  and  $[V_{1,1}]$ , the poset  $[S(\mathcal{D}_2)]$  must have the form

$$[V_2] \rightarrow [V_2 < V_{1,1}] \leftarrow [V_{1,1}].$$

Now,  $V_2 \leq V_{1,1} = C_p \wr C_p$  is generated by the copy of  $C_p$  at the top and the diagonal copy of  $C_p$  in the base group  $C_p \times \cdots \times C_p$  which is the centre of  $V_{1,1}$ . One easily deduces from **(R1)** and **(R2)** that  $N_G(V_2 < V_{1,1})/N_{V_{1,1}}(V_2) \cong \text{GL}_1(p)^2$  as a diagonal subgroup of  $\text{GL}_2(p)$ . With the notation of Definition 3.2 we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}^1}([V_2 < V_{1,1}]) \cong \text{Hom}(\text{GL}_1(p)^2, k^\times) \cong \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}^1}([V_{1,1}]),$$

and  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}^1}([V_2]) = \text{Hom}(\text{GL}_2(p), k^\times) \cong L$  because  $\text{GL}_2(p)_{\text{ab}} = \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ . By Lemma 3.3, the groups  $H_G^*(|\mathcal{D}_2|; \mathcal{H}^1)$  are isomorphic to  $H^*([S(\mathcal{D}_2)]; \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}^1})$ , namely to the derived functors of the diagram  $L \xrightarrow{\Delta} L \times L \xleftarrow{\text{id}} L \times L$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *The inclusion  $\mathcal{V}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{D}_1$ , see Definition 4.1, induces a  $G$ -equivariant homotopy equivalence  $|\mathcal{V}_1| \rightarrow |\mathcal{D}_1|$ .*

*Proof.* Given a subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  let  $\delta_1(P)$  denote the subgroup of  $P$  generated by all the permutations  $g \in P$  whose support contains at most  $p$  elements. Observe that  $\delta_1$  is invariant under conjugation, namely  $\delta_1(gPg^{-1}) = g\delta_1(P)g^{-1}$ . By inspection  $\delta_1(V_1^{\times k}) = V_1^{\times k}$  and  $\delta_1(V_{1,1}) = V_1^{\times p}$ . We obtain a  $G$ -equivariant morphism of posets  $\delta_1: \mathcal{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_1$ . Clearly,  $|\delta_1| \circ i_{|\mathcal{V}_1|}^{|\mathcal{D}_1|} = \text{Id}_{|\mathcal{V}_1|}$ . The inclusions  $\delta_1(P) \leq P$  give a  $G$ -equivariant homotopy  $i_{|\mathcal{V}_1|}^{|\mathcal{D}_1|} \circ |\delta_1| \simeq \text{Id}_{|\mathcal{D}_1|}$ , cf. [11, 1.3]. The result follows.  $\square$

We leave the following result as an easy exercise for the reader.

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $K$  be a finite group, fix an integer  $n \geq 1$  and set  $G_n = K \wr \Sigma_n$ . Then  $(G_n)_{\text{ab}} \cong K_{\text{ab}} \times (\Sigma_n)_{\text{ab}}$ . The restriction of  $G_n \rightarrow (G_n)_{\text{ab}}$  to any one of the factors  $K$  of  $K^n \leq G_n$  is the canonical projection  $K \rightarrow K_{\text{ab}}$  and the restriction of  $G_n \rightarrow (G_n)_{\text{ab}}$  to  $\Sigma_n$  is the projection onto  $(\Sigma_n)_{\text{ab}}$ .*

*If  $n, m \geq 1$  then  $G_n \times G_m \leq G_{n+m}$ . The resulting  $(G_n)_{\text{ab}} \times (G_m)_{\text{ab}} \rightarrow (G_{n+m})_{\text{ab}}$  is induced by the fold map  $K_{\text{ab}} \times K_{\text{ab}} \rightarrow K_{\text{ab}}$  and by  $(\Sigma_n)_{\text{ab}} \times (\Sigma_m)_{\text{ab}} \rightarrow (\Sigma_{n+m})_{\text{ab}}$ .*

**Notation 4.7.** The following non-standard description of the  $(n-1)$ -simplex  $\Delta^{n-1}$  will be used throughout. The  $r$ -simplices of  $\Delta^{n-1}$  are sequences  $i_0 < \dots < i_r$  where  $1 \leq i_0, \dots, i_r \leq n$ . Face maps are obtained by inclusion of sequences. (The usual convention is  $0 \leq i_0, \dots, i_r \leq n-1$ .)

*Proof of Proposition 4.4.* In light of Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 3.3, we must prove that  $H_G^*([S(\mathcal{V}_1)]; \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}^1}) \cong C_2$ .

The high transitivity of the symmetric groups and the description of  $N_G(V_1^{\times k})$  in **(R3)** imply that every  $r$ -simplex of  $S(\mathcal{V}_1)$  is conjugate in  $G$  to a simplex of the form  $V_1^{\times i_0} < \dots < V_1^{\times i_r}$  where  $1 \leq i_0 < \dots < i_r \leq p$ . With the notation of 4.7 we see that  $[S(\mathcal{V}_1)] = \Delta^{p-1}$ .

For any group  $K$  let  $\widehat{K}$  denote the abelian group  $\text{Hom}(K, k^\times)$ . Let  $N$  denote the normalizer of  $C_p$  in  $\Sigma_p$ . Thus,  $N = C_p \rtimes \text{GL}_1(p)$  and observe that  $\text{GL}_1(p) \leq \Sigma_p$  is generated by an odd permutation, in fact a cycle of even length ( $p$  is odd). Set

$$L = \widehat{N} = \text{Hom}(N, k^\times) = \text{Hom}(\text{GL}_1(p), k^\times) \cong C_{p-1}.$$

Consider the following functor  $\Phi: (\Delta^{p-1})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \{\text{Groups}\}$ . On objects

$$\Phi(i_0 < \dots < i_r) = \left( \prod_{t=0}^r N \wr \Sigma_{i_t - i_{t-1}} \right) \times \Sigma_{p^2 - i_r p}, \quad (\text{by convention } i_{-1} = 0).$$

For an  $r$ -simplex  $\mathbf{i}$  and for  $0 \leq j \leq r$ , the effect of  $\Phi(\mathbf{i}) \rightarrow \Psi(\partial_j \mathbf{i})$  is induced by the inclusions

$$\begin{aligned} (N \wr \Sigma_{i_j - i_{j-1}}) \times (N \wr \Sigma_{i_{j+1} - i_j}) &\leq (N \wr \Sigma_{i_{j+1} - i_{j-1}}) && \text{if } 0 \leq j < r \\ (N \wr \Sigma_{i_r - i_{r-1}}) \times \Sigma_{p(p - i_r)} &\leq \Sigma_{p(p - i_{r-1})} && \text{if } j = r. \end{aligned}$$

Inspection of **(R3)** shows that  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}^1} = \widehat{\Phi}$ , namely  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}^1} = \text{Hom}(\Phi, k^\times)$ . Having identified  $[S(\mathcal{V}_1)]$  with  $\Delta^{p-1}$ , it remains to prove that

$$H^*(\Delta^{p-1}; \widehat{\Phi}) \cong C_2. \quad (4)$$

Consider the following functor  $\Psi: \Delta^{p-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  defined by

$$\Psi(i_0 < \dots < i_r) = \left( \prod_{t=0}^r N \wr \Sigma_{i_t - i_{t-1}} \right) \times (N \wr \Sigma_{p - i_r}), \quad (\text{by convention } i_{-1} = 0).$$

It is a subfunctor of  $\Phi$  via the inclusions  $N \wr \Sigma_{p - i_r} \leq \Sigma_{p(p - i_r)}$ . We obtain a morphism of functors  $\widehat{\Phi} \rightarrow \widehat{\Psi}$  of abelian groups. Our goal now is to prove that it is a monomorphism and to calculate its cokernel. Fix an  $r$ -simplex  $\mathbf{i} = (i_0 < \dots < i_r)$  in  $\Delta^{p-1}$  and consider  $\widehat{\Phi}(\mathbf{i}) \rightarrow \widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{i})$ . Note that  $(\Sigma_n)_{\text{ab}} = C_2$  if  $n \geq 2$  and that if  $H \leq \Sigma_n$  contains an odd permutation then  $H_{\text{ab}} \rightarrow (\Sigma_n)_{\text{ab}}$  is surjective.

*Case (a).* If  $i_r = p$  then  $\Sigma_{p^2 - i_r p}$  and  $N \wr \Sigma_{p - i_r}$  are the trivial group and therefore  $\widehat{\Phi}(\mathbf{i}) \rightarrow \widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{i})$  is an isomorphism.

*Case (b).* If  $i_r = p - 1$  then  $N \wr \Sigma_{p - i_r} = N$  and  $\Sigma_{p(p - i_r)} = \Sigma_p$ . Since  $N = C_p \rtimes C_{p-1}$  contains an odd permutation, by applying  $\text{Hom}(-, k^\times)$  to the inclusion  $N \leq \Sigma_p$  we obtain the monomorphism  $C_2 \rightarrow L$  and therefore  $\widehat{\Phi}(\mathbf{i}) \rightarrow \widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{i})$  is injective with cokernel  $L/C_2$ .

*Case (c).* Assume that  $i_r \leq p - 2$ . The inclusion of  $N^{p - i_r} \leq \Sigma_{p(p - i_r)}$  contains odd permutations. Since  $p$  is odd, also the diagonal inclusion  $\Sigma_{p - i_r} \leq \Sigma_{p(p - i_r)}$  contains

odd permutations. By Lemma 4.6 the induced map  $\widehat{\Sigma_{p(p-i_r)}} \rightarrow N \widehat{\Sigma_{p-i_r}}$  is the diagonal inclusion  $C_2 \rightarrow L \oplus C_2$  into  $C_2 \oplus C_2$ . It follows that  $\widehat{\Phi}(\mathbf{i}) \rightarrow \widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{i})$  is injective with cokernel  $L$ .

We obtain a short exact sequence of functors  $\Delta^{p-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{\Phi} \rightarrow \widehat{\Psi} \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 0, \quad (5)$$

where the functor  $\Gamma$  has the form

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{i}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i_r = p \\ L/C_2 & \text{if } i_r = p-1 \\ L & \text{if } i_r \leq p-2. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 4.6,  $\Gamma(\mathbf{j}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbf{i})$  are induced by the quotient maps  $L \rightarrow L/C_2 \rightarrow 0$ .

Let  $\Gamma', \Gamma'': \Delta^{p-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  be the functors defined for objects  $\mathbf{i} = (i_0 < \dots < i_r)$  by

$$\Gamma'(\mathbf{i}) = \begin{cases} L & \text{if } 1 \leq i_r \leq p-1 \\ 0 & \text{if } i_r = p \end{cases} \quad \Gamma''(\mathbf{i}) = \begin{cases} C_2 & \text{if } i_r = p-1 \\ 0 & \text{if } i_r \neq p-1. \end{cases}$$

Face maps  $\mathbf{i} \subseteq \mathbf{j}$  induce either the identity or the trivial homomorphisms  $\Gamma'(\mathbf{i}) \rightarrow \Gamma'(\mathbf{j})$  and  $\Gamma''(\mathbf{i}) \rightarrow \Gamma''(\mathbf{j})$ . We get a short exact sequence of functors

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma'' \rightarrow \Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 0.$$

We view  $\Delta^{p-2}$  as the  $(p-1)$ st face of  $\Delta^{p-1}$ , that is,  $\Delta^{p-2}$  consist of the simplices  $\mathbf{i} = (i_0 < \dots < i_r)$  of  $\Delta^{p-1}$  with  $i_r \leq p-1$ . Similarly  $\Delta^{p-3}$  is the  $(p-2)$ nd face of  $\Delta^{p-2}$ . Thus,  $\Delta^{p-3}$  is the subcomplex of  $\Delta^{p-1}$  of the simplices  $\mathbf{i}$  with  $i_r \leq p-2$ . At this point we should recall that  $p \geq 5$ .

By inspection of Definition 2.1 we see that  $C^*(\Gamma'')$  is isomorphic to the cochain complex  $C^*(\Delta^{p-2}, \Delta^{p-3}; C_2)$  of the relative simplicial complex  $(\Delta^{p-2}, \Delta^{p-3})$ . Since  $p \geq 5$ , the contractibility of the standard simplices and Lemma 2.2 imply that

$$H^*(\Delta^{p-1}; \Gamma'') \cong H^*(\Delta^{p-2}, \Delta^{p-3}; C_2) = 0.$$

The acyclicity of  $\Gamma''$  now shows that  $\Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma$  induces an isomorphism

$$H^*(\Delta^{p-1}; \Gamma') \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(\Delta^{p-1}; \Gamma). \quad (6)$$

By Lemma 4.6 we see that  $\widehat{\Psi}: \Delta^{p-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  has the following form

$$\widehat{\Psi}(i_0 < \dots < i_r) = \left( \prod_{t=0}^r L \times \widehat{\Sigma_{i_t - i_{t-1}}} \right) \times \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i_r = p \\ L \times \widehat{\Sigma_{p-i_r}} & \text{if } i_r < p. \end{cases}$$

We obtain a constant subfunctor  $\Psi'(\mathbf{i}) = L$  of  $\widehat{\Psi}$  via the diagonal inclusion and it is easy to check that the following square commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Psi' & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\Psi} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Gamma' & \longrightarrow & \Gamma. \end{array}$$

By inspection of Definition 2.1, there are isomorphisms  $C^*(\Psi') \cong C^*(\Delta^{p-1}; L)$  and  $C^*(\Gamma') \cong C^*(\Delta^{p-2}; L)$ . The map  $\Psi' \rightarrow \Gamma'$  gives rise to the map of cochain complexes



induced by  $\Delta^{p-2} \subseteq \Delta^{p-1}$ . We deduce from Lemma 2.2 and the contractibility of the standard simplices that  $\Psi' \rightarrow \Gamma'$  induces an isomorphism

$$H^*(\Delta^{p-1}; \Psi') \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(\Delta^{p-1}; \Gamma') \cong \begin{cases} L & \text{if } * = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } * = 0. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

The commutative square above, together with (6) and (7) imply that  $\widehat{\Psi} \rightarrow \Gamma$  induces an epimorphism  $H^*(\Delta^{p-1}; \widehat{\Psi}) \rightarrow H^*(\Delta^{p-1}; \Gamma)$ . By (6) and (7) and the long exact sequence associated to (5), the proof of (4), whence the proof of this proposition, will be complete if we prove that  $H^*(\Delta^{p-1}; \widehat{\Psi}) \cong L \oplus C_2$  (cohomology concentrated in degree 0).

Set  $K = N \wr \Sigma_p$  and let it act on the poset  $\Omega$  of the non-empty subsets of  $\{1, \dots, p\}$  via the projection onto  $\Sigma_p$ . One easily checks that  $[S(\Omega)] = \Delta^{p-1}$  and that, by choosing appropriate representatives, the isotropy groups of the  $r$ -simplices of  $S(\Omega)$  are

$$\text{Iso}_K(i_0 < \dots < i_r) = \Psi(i_0 < \dots < i_r).$$

Thus, if  $\mathcal{H}_K^1$  is the coefficient functor for  $K$  defined in 3.1 with  $A = k^\times$ , we see that  $C^*(\widehat{\Psi}) \cong C^*(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}_K^1})$ , whence by Lemma 3.3,

$$H^*(\Delta^{p-1}; \widehat{\Psi}) \cong H^*([S(\Omega)]; \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}_K^1}) \cong H_K^*(|\Omega|; \mathcal{H}_K^1).$$

Now,  $|\Omega|$  is  $K$ -equivalent to a point because  $\{1, \dots, p\}$  is a maximal element of  $\Omega$  fixed by  $K$ . Therefore  $H_K^*(|\Omega|; \mathcal{H}_K^1) \cong \mathcal{H}_K^1(\text{pt}) = \widehat{N \wr \Sigma_p} = L \oplus C_2$  by Lemma 4.6. This completes the proof.  $\square$

## References

- [1] J. L. Alperin, P. Fong, Weights for symmetric and general linear groups. *J. Algebra* **131** (1990), no. 1, 2–22.
- [2] D. J. Benson, *Representations and cohomology. II. Cohomology of groups and modules*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 31. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [3] A. K. Bousfield, D. M. Kan, *Homotopy limits, completions and localizations*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 304. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.
- [4] Glen E. Bredon, *Equivariant cohomology theories*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 34. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York 1967
- [5] W. G. Dwyer, Sharp homology decompositions for classifying spaces of finite groups. Group representations: cohomology, group actions and topology (Seattle, WA, 1996), 197–220, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, **63**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [6] Jesper Grodal, Higher limits via subgroup complexes. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **155** (2002), no. 2, 405–457.
- [7] Reinhard Knörr, Geoffrey R. Robinson, Some remarks on a conjecture of Alperin. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **39** (1989), no. 1, 48–60.

- [8] Burkhard Külshammer, Lluís Puig, Extensions of nilpotent blocks. *Invent. Math.* **102** (1990), no. 1, 17–71.
- [9] Markus Linckelmann, On  $H^*(C; k^\times)$  for fusion systems. *Homology, Homotopy and Applications* **11**(1), 203–218.
- [10] Markus Linckelmann, Alperin’s weight conjecture in terms of equivariant Bredon cohomology. *Math. Z.* **250** (2005), no. 3, 495–513.
- [11] Daniel Quillen, Homotopy properties of the poset of nontrivial  $p$ -subgroups of a group. *Adv. in Math.* **28** (1978), no. 2, 101–128.
- [12] Jolanta Słomińska, Some spectral sequences in Bredon cohomology. *Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle Catég.* **33** (1992), no. 2, 99–133.
- [13] Edwin H. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York-Toronto, Ont.-London 1966
- [14] Peter Symonds, The orbit space of the  $p$ -subgroup complex is contractible. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **73** (1998), no. 3, 400–405.
- [15] Weibel, Charles A. *An introduction to homological algebra*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 38. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

Assaf Libman [a.libman@abdn.ac.uk](mailto:a.libman@abdn.ac.uk)

Mathematics Department, University of Aberdeen, Fraser Noble building, King’s College, Aberdeen, AB24 3UE, U.K.