Experimental Mathematics, 21(2):141–170, 2012

ISSN: 1058-6458 print / 1944-950X online
DOI: 10.1080/10586458.2012.641840

Taylor & Francis
Taylor & Francis Group

Computational Approaches to Poisson Traces Associated to Finite Subgroups of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$

Pavel Etingof, Sherry Gong, Aldo Pacchiano, Qingchun Ren, and Travis Schedler

CONTENTS

2000 AMS Subject Classification: 16S80, 17B63
Keywords: Poisson algebra, Poisson variety, Poisson traces, Hamiltonian flow, quotient singularity, complex reflection groups

We reduce the computation of Poisson traces on quotients of symplectic vector spaces by finite subgroups of symplectic automorphisms to a finite one by proving several results that bound the degrees of such traces as well as the dimension in each degree. This applies more generally to traces on all polynomial functions that are invariant under invariant Hamiltonian flow. We implement these approaches by computer together with direct computation for infinite families of groups, focusing on complex reflection and abelian subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) < \mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{C})$, Coxeter groups of rank ≤ 3 and types A_4, $B_4 = C_4$, and D_4, and subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A be a Poisson algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. We are interested in linear functionals $A \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\{a, b\} \mapsto 0$ for all $a, b \in A$. Such functionals are called *Poisson traces* on A. The space of Poisson traces is denoted by $\mathrm{HP}_0(A)^*$, and is dual to the vector space $\mathrm{HP}_0(A) := A/\{A, A\}$, known as the *zeroth Poisson homology*, which coincides with the zeroth Lie homology.

Here, we study the case that $A = \mathcal{O}_V^G$ is the algebra of G-invariant polynomial functions on a nonzero symplectic vector space V, for a finite subgroup $G < \mathrm{Sp}(V)$. We will let $2n > 0$ denote the dimension of V. We also consider the larger space $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) := \mathcal{O}_V/\{\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V\}$, as well as its dual, $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)^*$, which is the space of functionals ϕ on $\mathcal{O}_V$ that are invariant under the flow of G-invariant Hamiltonian vector fields, i.e., $\phi(\{f, g\}) = 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{O}_V^G$ and $g \in \mathcal{O}_V$. Note that $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)^*$ is a G-representation, and its G-invariants form the space of Poisson traces on $\mathcal{O}_V^G$.

In general, not very much is known about such Poisson traces. In [Alev et al. 00], a related quantity was computed: the dimension of the space of Hochschild traces on $\mathcal{D}_X^G$, where $\mathcal{D}_X$ is the algebra of differential operators on $X \subseteq V$, a Lagrangian subspace. The algebra $\mathcal{D}_X^G$ is naturally a quantization of $\mathcal{O}_V^G$, and its Hochschild traces are defined as $\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)^* := (\mathcal{D}_X^G/[\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X^G])^*$.

More precisely, equip $\mathcal{O}_V$ with its natural grading by degree of polynomials and $\mathcal{D}_X$ with its natural filtration (which is known as the additive or Bernstein filtration). Then $\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{D}_X = \mathcal{O}_V$, and there is a canonical surjection $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{gr}\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$, and similarly $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{gr}\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$. As a result, the dimension of the space of Hochschild traces is a lower bound for the dimension of the space of Poisson traces. In some special cases, the lower bound is attained, i.e., the surjection is an isomorphism. For example, $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) \cong \operatorname{gr}\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$ is known to hold when $V = \mathbb{C}^2$, and in [Etingof and Schedler 09], this was generalized to the case $V = \mathbb{C}^{2n} = (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\oplus n}$ and $G = S_n \ltimes K^n$ for $K < \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ (certain cases were shown previously in [Butin 09], and this result was conjectured by Alev [Butin 09, Remark 40]). In [Etingof and Schedler 12], it will be shown that $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) \cong \operatorname{gr}\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$ when $G = S_{n+1}$ is a Weyl group of type A_n acting on its reflection representation $V = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ (but not for the D_n case).

The following explicit formula for $\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$ as a G-representation is an easy generalization of the main result of [Alev et al. 00]. Let $\mathbb{C}[G]_{\mathrm{ad}}$ denote the G-representation with underlying vector space the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$, but with the conjugation action of G.

Lemma 1.1. *As a G-representation, $\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$ is isomorphic to the subrepresentation of $\mathbb{C}[G]_{\mathrm{ad}}$ spanned by elements $g \in G$ such that $g - \mathrm{Id}$ is invertible.*

We stress, however, that the above lemma says nothing about the filtration on $\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$ and hence about the grading on $\operatorname{gr}\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$. In the aforementioned cases in [Etingof and Schedler 09] and [Etingof and Schedler 12], $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$ is computed along with its grading, so when it is also isomorphic to $\operatorname{gr}\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$, one obtains the grading on the latter.

Although we will not use it, the argument of Lemma 1.1 applies more generally to show that $\mathrm{HH}_*(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X) \cong \mathbb{C}[G]_{\mathrm{ad}}$ as G-representations, with $\mathrm{HH}_j(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$ mapping to the span of elements g such that $\mathrm{rk}(g - \mathrm{Id}) = \dim V - j$. In particular, $\mathrm{HH}_*(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$ is always finite-dimensional. This is not necessarily true for $\mathrm{HP}_*(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$; see, e.g., [Etingof and Ginzburg 10, Theorem 2.4.1.(ii)], which implies that $\mathrm{HP}_*(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$ is infinite-dimensional when G is nontrivial and V is two-dimensional.

However, thanks to [Berest et al. 04, Section 7] (see also [Etingof and Schedler 10]), the space $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ is finite-dimensional. On the other hand, explicit upper bounds are known in only a few cases. The first aim of this paper is to prove explicit upper bounds, which allow us to compute precisely $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ and $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$ for small enough G and low enough dimension of V with the help of computer programs.

More precisely, it is not very computationally useful to prove an upper bound on $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$, since this does not immediately render its computation finite. Instead, we find upper bounds on the top degree of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ as a graded vector space. This renders the computation of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ finite.

To prove such a bound, we use the following reformulation exploited in [Berest et al. 04, Section 7]. Given any Poisson algebra A and any $f \in A$, the condition that a functional $\varphi \in A^*$ kills $\{f, A\}$ can be rewritten as $\xi_f(\varphi) = 0$, where ξ_f is the Hamiltonian vector field corresponding to f, which acts on A by $\xi_f(g) = \{f, g\}$ and acts on A^* by the negative dual. In the case that $A = \mathcal{O}_V$ is a polynomial algebra, we may canonically identify the *graded* dual A^*, defined by $(A^*)_i := (A_{-i})^*$, with $\mathcal{O}_{V^*}$. Call this isomorphism $F : A^* \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{V^*}$.

Under this isomorphism,

$$F(\xi_f(\varphi)) = F_D(\xi_f)F(\varphi),$$

where $F_D(\xi_f)$ is a kind of Fourier transform of ξ_f: for every $v \in V^*$, $w \in V$, and $m \geq 0$, $F_D(v^m \partial_w) = w\partial_v^m$. Here, ∂_v and ∂_w are differentiation operators defined by $\partial_w(v) = v(w) = \partial_v(w)$. More generally, $F_D : \mathcal{D}_V \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_{V^*}^{\mathrm{op}}$ is an anti-isomorphism of rings of differential operators, given by $v \mapsto \partial_v$ and $\partial_w \mapsto w$.

As a result, $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)^*$ is identified with the solutions $h \in \mathcal{O}_{V^*}$ of the differential equations

$$F_D(\xi_f)(h) = 0, \forall f \in \mathcal{O}_V^G.$$

To help understand the main argument below, we will make the above explicit using coordinates (although we do not strictly need to do this—everything below can be formulated invariantly; we will at least take care to distinguish between vector spaces and their duals).

Suppose that $\mathcal{O}_V^G$ is generated as a commutative algebra by elements $h_1, \ldots, h_k$, and $V = X \oplus Y$ is symplectic with complementary Lagrangian subspaces X and Y. Let us write $V^* = X^* \oplus Y^*$, where the inclusions $X^*, Y^* \subseteq V^*$ are defined by $X^* = Y^\perp$ and $Y^* = X^\perp$. Fix bases $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and $(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ of X^* and Y^*, respectively, with dual bases $(x_1^*, \ldots, x_n^*)$ and $(y_1^*, \ldots, y_n^*)$ of X and Y, and assume that under the symplectic pairing $(-,-)$, $(x_i^*, y_j^*) = \delta_{ij} = -(y_j^*, x_i^*)$. In particular, $\mathcal{O}_V = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$. The symplectic form induces the isomorphism $V \xrightarrow{\sim} V^*$ given by $x_i \mapsto y_i^*$ and

$y_i \mapsto -x_i^*$, and hence the Poisson bracket satisfies $\{x_i, y_j\} = \delta_{ij} = -\{y_j, x_i\}$.

Then $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)^* \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{V^*}$ identifies with the solutions of the differential equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y_i^* F_D \left(\frac{\partial h_j}{\partial x_i} \right) - x_i^* F_D \left(\frac{\partial h_j}{\partial y_i} \right) \right) (g) = 0. \quad (1–1)$$

Note that in (1–1), we needed only the restriction of F_D to $\mathcal{O}_V$,

$$F_D : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n}, \partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_n}]. \quad (1–2)$$

The reason that we wrote $\frac{\partial h_j}{\partial x_i}$ instead of $\partial_{x_i^*}(h_j)$ above was to avoid confusion with the product of the two elements $\partial_{x_i^*}, h_j \in \mathcal{D}_{V^*}$, which will not be in $\mathcal{O}_V$, and similarly with $\frac{\partial h_j}{\partial y_i}$.

Next, for every $v \in V^*$, we can evaluate the above equations at v:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y_i^*(v) F_D \left(\frac{\partial h_j}{\partial x_i} \right) - x_i^*(v) F_D \left(\frac{\partial h_j}{\partial y_i} \right) \right) (g)(v) = 0. \quad (1–3)$$

This shows that the Taylor coefficients $F(\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n}, \partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_n})(g)(v)$ of g at v (for F a polynomial) depend only on the class of F in the quotient $R_v := \mathbb{C}[\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n}, \partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_n}]/J_v$ (and on g), where J_v is the ideal generated by the constant-coefficient operators on the left-hand side of (1–3), i.e., the elements $D_{v'}h_1, \dots, D_{v'}h_k$, where $v' \in V$ is the element corresponding to $v \in V^*$ via the symplectic form, and $D_{v'}$ is the directional derivative operation $D_{v'} : \mathcal{O}_V \to \mathcal{O}_V$.

Note that J_v does not actually depend on the choice of generators $h_1, \dots, h_k \in \mathcal{O}_V^G$, since if we adjoin another polynomial $h_{k+1} \in \mathcal{O}_V^G$ to the list $h_1, \dots, h_k$, the new equation (1–3) is already implied by the previous k equations due to the Leibniz rule, $D_{v'}(fg) = (D_{v'}f)g + (D_{v'}g)f$.

As a result, we deduce that

$$\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)^* \le \dim R_v, \forall v \in V^*.$$

This is the upper bound found in [Etingof and Schedler 10, Proposition 3.5] (with the Fourier transform of the proof found there), and it gives a precise version of the proof that HP_0 is finite-dimensional from [Berest et al. 04, Section 7], once one notices that $\dim R_v$ is finite for generic $v \in V^*$.[1]

However, the main drawback is that there is no relation, in general, between the grading on $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ and that on R_v. The first main goal of this paper is to overcome this problem.

Much of this paper will concern the special case that $G < \mathrm{GL}(X) < \mathrm{Sp}(V)$, where the embedding $\mathrm{GL}(X) < \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ is defined by sending $A \in \mathrm{GL}(X)$ to $A \oplus (A^{-1})^* \in \mathrm{Sp}(X \oplus Y)$.

We now outline the contents of the paper. First, Section 2 gives an elementary bound on $\dim R_v$ using regular sequences, using an argument we will need again in Section 3. We also apply these results in Section 2.1 to bound the number of irreducible finite-dimensional representations of filtered quantizations as well as the number of zero-dimensional symplectic leaves of filtered Poisson deformations, although this is not needed for the rest of the paper.

In Sections 3 and 4, we refine the argument outlined in the present section in two different ways to obtain computationally useful bounds on the top degree of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$. In Section 3, we apply the above argument in the case $v \in X^*$ and $G < \mathrm{GL}(X) < \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ to obtain an upper bound on the top degree of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$. In Section 4, for arbitrary G (not necessarily preserving a Lagrangian subspace) and for arbitrary $v \in V$ such that R_v is finite-dimensional, we define a square matrix A_v of size $\dim R_v$ such that the dimension of the degree m-part $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)_m^*$ is bounded by the dimension of the m-eigenspace of A. We do this by lifting generators $f_1, \dots, f_N$ of R_v to differential operators $F_1, \dots, F_N$ on V^*, and considering the differential equations satisfied by all vectors of the form $(F_1(T), \dots, F_N(T))$ for $T \in \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)^*$ upon evaluation on the line $\mathbb{C} \cdot v$.

Next, in Section 5, we will apply these results and computer programs [Ren and Schedler 10] written by two of the authors in Magma to obtain $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ for many groups G, including all finite subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, the Coxeter groups of rank ≤ 3 and types A_4, $B_4 = C_4$, and D_4, and the exceptional Shephard–Todd complex reflection groups $G_4, \dots, G_{22} < \mathrm{GL}_2 < \mathrm{Sp}_4$ (except for G_{18} and G_{19}, where we could obtain only $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$ and without proof). Combining the latter with results of Section 7, we obtain a classification of complex reflection groups of rank two for which $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \cong \mathrm{gr}\, \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$

[1] This is true because the support of J_v is generically $\{0\}$. This holds with minimal $\dim R_v$ when v does not annihilate any subspace of the form V^K for $K = \mathrm{Stab}_G(u) \ne \{1\}$ and $u \in V$; see [Etingof and Schedler 10, Theorem 4.13]; cf. [Berest et al. 04, Section 7]. For a more general result that implies the generic finite-dimensionality of R_v, see Remark 2.2 below.

as well as those for which $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) \cong \operatorname{gr}\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$, and give the Hilbert series in these cases.

In the final two sections, we explicitly compute $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$, as well as its grading and G-structure, for several infinite families of groups in Sp_4. Namely, in Section 6, we give an explicit description of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ in the case that $G < \mathrm{Sp}_4$ is abelian (where it coincides with $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$), classify such groups that have the property that $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) \cong \operatorname{gr}\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$, and give the relevant Hilbert series. In Section 7, we explicitly compute $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ for the complex reflection groups $G = G(m,p,2)$ and classify those having the properties $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \cong \operatorname{gr}\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$ and $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) \cong \operatorname{gr}\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$.

Throughout this article, G always denotes a finite group, and V a finite-dimensional symplectic vector space. The algebra $\mathcal{O}_V$ and the space $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ are nonnegatively graded, whereas their duals, $\mathcal{O}_{V^*}$ and $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)^*$, are nonpositively graded.

2. AN ELEMENTARY BOUND ON DIMENSION USING KOSZUL COMPLEXES

We begin with an elementary explicit bound on the dimension of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$. While for computational purposes, we ultimately want to bound its top degree, we include this both because it may be of independent interest, and because we will generalize it in Section 3.1 to give a bound also on the top degree. Additionally, in the next subsection we apply it to representation theory.

We will consider J_v to be an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_V$ via (1–2). If $h_1, \ldots, h_{2n} \in J_v$ is a collection of homogeneous elements that forms a regular sequence, i.e., h_i is a non-zero-divisor in $\mathcal{O}_V/(h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_{i-1})$ for all i, then the Hilbert series of $R = \mathcal{O}_V/(h_1, \ldots, h_{2n})$ can be computed using the associated Koszul complex, and one obtains

$$h(R_v;t) \le h(R;t) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{2n}(1-t^{|h_i|})}{(1-t)^{2n}}. \qquad (2\text{–}1)$$

Here we say that $\sum_i a_i t^i \le \sum_i b_i t^i$ if $a_i \le b_i$ for all i.

We can construct such a regular sequence from a regular sequence $g_1, \ldots, g_{2n} \in \mathcal{O}_V^G$ using the following lemma, which essentially follows from [Etingof and Schedler 10, Theorem 3.1]. We will actually state and prove it more generally.

Lemma 2.1. *Let U be an arbitrary finite-dimensional vector space and $g_1, \ldots, g_{\dim U} \in \mathcal{O}_U$ a regular sequence of homogeneous elements of degree ≥ 2. Then for generic $u \in U$, the directional derivatives $D_u g_1, \ldots, D_u g_{\dim U}$ also form a regular sequence.*

Remark 2.2. In particular, the ideal in $\mathcal{O}_U$ generated by $D_u g_1, \ldots, D_u g_{\dim U}$ has finite codimension for generic u. Specializing to the case that $U = V$ is symplectic of dimension $2n > 0$, $G < \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ is finite, and $g_1, \ldots, g_{2n} \in \mathcal{O}_V^G$, then for $v \in V^*$ and $u \in V$ the corresponding element by the symplectic form, this ideal is contained in J_v. Hence, this result strengthens the fact from [Etingof and Schedler 10, Section 3] that J_v has finite codimension for generic $v \in V^*$, once one notes that a regular sequence $g_1, \ldots, g_{2n} \in \mathcal{O}_V^G$ of positively graded homogeneous elements always exists (the elements must have degree ≥ 2 unless $V^G \ne \{0\}$, in which case J_v is generically the unit ideal).

Proof. We will prove that for generic u, the vanishing locus Y_u of the functions $D_u g_1, \ldots, D_u g_{\dim U}$ is $\{0\}$. Hence they form a complete intersection, and therefore a regular sequence (by standard characterizations of regular sequences; see, e.g., [Eisenbud 95, Sections 17, 18]). Note that Y_u is nonempty and invariant under scaling, since $g_1, \ldots, g_{\dim U}$ are homogeneous of degrees ≥ 2. So we need to prove only that $\dim Y_u = 0$.

The inclusion of polynomial algebras

$$\mathbb{C}[g_1, \ldots, g_{\dim U}] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_U$$

defines a map $\phi : U \to \mathbb{A}^{\dim U}$. Since $g_1, \ldots, g_{\dim U}$ define a regular sequence, ϕ is a finite map, i.e., $\mathcal{O}_U$ is a finite module over the polynomial subalgebra $\mathbb{C}[g_1, \ldots, g_{\dim U}]$. Now consider the locus

$$Z := \{(v,u) \in TU \mid v \in U, u \in T_v U, D_u g_i(v) = 0, \forall i\}.$$

We are interested in the intersections $(U \times \{u\}) \cap Z = (Y_u \times \{u\})$, for each fixed u.

For every $0 \le r \le \dim U$, consider the locus U_r of $v \in U$ at which the map ϕ has rank r, i.e., the derivatives $D(g_1)|_v, \ldots, D(g_{\dim U})|_v$ evaluated at v span a dimension-r subspace of $T_v^* U$. Then the intersection $Z \cap (TU|_{U_r})$ is a vector bundle of rank $\dim U - r$ over U_r.

We claim that $\dim U_r \le r$. This implies that $\dim Z \le \dim U$. Thus $(U \times \{u\}) \cap Z = (Y_u \times \{u\})$ has dimension zero for generic u (since Y_u is always nonempty), as desired.

It remains to prove the claim that $\dim U_r \le r$. Assume that U_r is nonempty. If we restrict ϕ to U_r, then we obtain a finite map $U_r \to \phi(U_r)$. Generically, this restriction has rank $\dim U_r$, but by definition, the rank is at most r. Hence $\dim U_r \le r$. $\square$

We return to the case of the symplectic vector space V.

Corollary 2.3. *If $A \subseteq \mathcal{O}_V$ is a graded Poisson subalgebra containing a regular sequence $g_1, \ldots, g_{2n} \in A$ of homogeneous positively graded elements, then*

$$\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(A, \mathcal{O}_V)^* \leq \prod_{i=1}^{2n}(|g_i| - 1). \tag{2–2}$$

Proof. This follows immediately if none of the g_i have degree one. On the other hand, if g_i has degree one, then $\{g_i, \mathcal{O}_V\} = \mathcal{O}_V$, since $\{g_i, -\}$ is a directional derivative operator, so $\mathrm{HP}_0(A, \mathcal{O}_V) = 0$. □

For example, if $G < \mathrm{GL}(X) < \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ is a complex reflection group and $A = \mathcal{O}_V^G$, one could take $g_1, \ldots, g_n$ and $g_{n+1}, \ldots, g_{2n}$ to be homogeneous generators of the polynomial algebras $\mathcal{O}_X^G$ and $\mathcal{O}_Y^G$, where $V = X \oplus Y$ is as in the introduction. Then we deduce that

$$\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)^* \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n}(|g_i| - 1)^2 < \prod_{i=1}^{n}|g_i|^2 = |G|^2.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 1.1,

$$\dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X) = |\{g \in G : g - \mathrm{Id} \text{ is invertible}\}|,$$

and as explained in the introduction, this gives a lower bound for $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$. Hence, we deduce the following.

Corollary 2.4. *If $G < \mathrm{GL}(X) < \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ is a complex reflection group, then*

$$|\{g \in G : g - \mathrm{Id} \textit{ is invertible}\}| \leq \dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)^* < |G|^2.$$

However, in individual cases, one can do much better than this by directly computing $\dim R_v$.

2.1. Applications to Representation Theory and Poisson Geometry

The material of this subsection is not needed for the rest of the paper; we include it because it is a natural consequence of the preceding results. Let $A = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A_i$ be a nonnegatively graded commutative algebra with a Poisson bracket of degree $-d < 0$, i.e., $\{A_i, A_j\} \subseteq A_{i+j-d}$. A *filtered quantization* is a filtered associative algebra $B = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} B_{\leq i}$ such that $\mathrm{gr}\, B = A$ as a commutative algebra, $[B_{\leq i}, B_{\leq j}] \subseteq B_{\leq (i+j-d)}$, and $\mathrm{gr}_{i+j-d}[a, b] = \{\mathrm{gr}_i\, a, \mathrm{gr}_j\, b\}$ for all $a \in B_{\leq i}, b \in B_{\leq j}$.

Next, given an arbitrary associative algebra B and any finite-dimensional representation ρ of B, the trace functional $\mathrm{Tr}(\rho) : B \to \mathbb{C}$ annihilates $[B, B]$ and hence defines an element of $\mathrm{HH}_0(B)^*$. Given nonisomorphic finite-dimensional irreducible representations $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m$, the trace functionals $\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_i)$ are linearly independent (by the density theorem), and hence $\dim \mathrm{HH}_0(B) \geq m$. In the situation that B is a filtered quantization of A, one has a canonical surjection $\mathrm{HP}_0(A) \to \mathrm{gr}\, \mathrm{HH}_0(B)$ (as in the case of $A = \mathcal{O}_V^G$ and $B = \mathcal{D}_X^G$ treated in the introduction). Hence, the number of irreducible representations of B is at most $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(A)$.

By the material from [Etingof and Schedler 10] recalled in the introduction, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.5. [Etingof and Schedler 10] *If $G < \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ is finite, B an arbitrary filtered quantization of $\mathcal{O}_V^G$, and $v \in V^*$, then there are at most $\dim R_v$ irreducible finite-dimensional representations of B.*

Applying Corollary 2.3, we immediately obtain the following.

Corollary 2.6. *If $g_1, \ldots, g_{2n} \in \mathcal{O}_V^G$ is a regular sequence of homogeneous positively graded elements, then for every filtered quantization B of $\mathcal{O}_V^G$, there are at most $\prod_i(|g_i| - 1)$ irreducible finite-dimensional representations.*

Applying Corollary 2.4 yields the next corollary.

Corollary 2.7. *If G is a complex reflection group and B a filtered quantization of $\mathcal{O}_V^G$, then there are fewer than $|G|^2$ irreducible finite-dimensional representations of B.*

As pointed out after Corollary 2.4, in individual cases, one can compute $\dim R_v$ directly, and it is typically much lower than this. Moreover, $\dim R_v$ is actually a bound on $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$, which is in general much larger than the upper bound $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$ above. Finally, again for G a complex reflection group, when B is a spherical symplectic reflection algebra quantizing $\mathcal{O}_V^G$ (see Remark 2.9 for the notion; note that these are also called spherical Cherednik algebras in the present case that G is a complex reflection group), then it is actually known that there are fewer than $|\mathrm{Irrep}(G)|$ irreducible finite-dimensional representations of B, where $\mathrm{Irrep}(G)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of G. This is much better than Corollary 2.7 in these cases. However, in general, there might exist quantizations B more general than these.

The main goal of this paper is to introduce and apply techniques to explicitly compute $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$ in many cases. This in particular provides the better upper bound $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$ on the number of irreducible finite-dimensional representations of quantizations B of $\mathcal{O}_V^G$. These cases include many complex reflection groups, allowing us to replace the bound $|G|^2$ above by this improved bound. For example, by Theorem 5.14 below, applying also Lemma 1.1, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.8. *If $G < \mathrm{GL}_2 < \mathrm{Sp}_4$ is one of the complex reflection groups $G(m,1,2), G(m,m,2), G(4,2,2), G(6,2,2)$, or $G_4, G_5, G_6, G_8, G_9, G_{14}$, or G_{21}, then $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) \cong \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$ has dimension equal to the number of conjugacy classes of elements $g \in G$ such that $g - \mathrm{Id}$ is invertible, i.e., $|\mathrm{Irrep}(G)| - \mathrm{Rank}(G) - 1$, where $\mathrm{Rank}(G)$ equals the number of conjugacy classes of complex reflections of G. Hence, this bounds the number of irreducible finite-dimensional representations of every filtered quantization of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^4}^G$.*

Note that in the case $G(m,1,2)$, this is a special case of [Etingof and Schedler 09, Corollary 1.2.1], which gives this upper bound in the case $G = G(m,1,n)$ for arbitrary m and n (as well as for $G = S_n \ltimes K^n$ for arbitrary $K < \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$). In the other cases, this bound is new. Similarly, the bounds $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$ for the other groups $G < \mathrm{GL}_2 < \mathrm{Sp}_4$ considered in this paper are new.

Remark 2.9. The filtered quantizations of $\mathcal{O}_V^G$ include all the associated noncommutative spherical symplectic reflection algebras (SRAs), defined in [Etingof and Ginzburg 02]. Recall that SRAs are certain deformations of $\mathcal{O}_V \rtimes G$, and spherical SRAs are of the form $B = e\widetilde{B}e$, where $e = \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G} g \in \mathbb{C}[G]$ is the symmetrizer element. Noncommutative spherical SRAs are those associated to those $\widetilde{B}$ obtainable by deforming $\mathcal{D}_X \rtimes G$, where $X \subseteq V$ is a Lagrangian subspace (the SRAs form a universal family of deformations of $\mathcal{D}_X \rtimes G$).

Remark 2.10. Similarly, one can make a statement about the commutative spherical SRAs. Namely, these are filtered commutative algebras B equipped with a Poisson bracket satisfying $\{B_{\leq i}, B_{\leq j}\} \subseteq B_{\leq i+j-d}$ such that $\mathrm{gr}\, B = \mathcal{O}_V^G$ as a Poisson algebra. More generally, if $\mathrm{gr}\, B = A$, where B is a filtered commutative algebra equipped with a Poisson bracket satisfying $\{B_{\leq i}, B_{\leq j}\} \subseteq B_{\leq i+j-d}$ and A is equipped with the associated graded Poisson bracket of degree $-d < 0$, then one obtains a canonical surjection $\mathrm{HP}_0(A) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HP}_0(B)$. Hence $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(B) \leq \dim \mathrm{HP}_0(A)$. In particular, the number of zero-dimensional symplectic leaves (i.e., points whose maximal ideal is a Poisson ideal) of B is dominated by $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(A)$, the same bound as on the number of irreducible finite-dimensional representations of filtered quantizations of A, described in the above results. This is because the zero-dimensional symplectic leaves of B all support linearly independent Poisson traces on B, given by evaluation at that point, and the space of Poisson traces on B is the vector space $\mathrm{HP}_0(B)^*$. Hence the number of zero-dimensional symplectic leaves of commutative spherical symplectic reflection algebras associated to G is dominated by $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$, and hence by the same bounds described above.

3. THE CASE $G < \mathrm{GL}_n < \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$

As in the introduction, suppose X is a Lagrangian subspace of V, and Y a complementary Lagrangian such that $V = X \oplus Y$. In this section we restrict to the case that $G < \mathrm{GL}(X) < \mathrm{Sp}(V)$. As in the introduction, we may equip $\mathcal{O}_V$ with a G-invariant bigrading, in which $|X^*| = (1,0)$ and $|Y^*| = (0,1)$. The total degree is the sum of these degrees. When an element f has bidegree (a,b), we will also say that $\deg_{X^*} f = a$ and $\deg_{Y^*} f = b$. Similarly, we equip $\mathcal{O}_{V^*}$ with the bigrading in which $|X| = (-1,0)$ and $|Y| = (0,-1)$, and when $g \in \mathcal{O}_{V^*}$ has bidegree (a,b), we say that $\deg_X g = a$ and $\deg_Y g = b$. The total degree is again the sum of these degrees.

If we take $v \in X^*$, we can read off $\deg_Y g$ (for bihomogeneous $g \in \mathcal{O}_{V^*}$) from its Taylor expansion at v: it is given by the unique $j \geq 0$ such that there exists F of degree j in Y^* such that

$$F(\partial_{x_1}, \ldots, \partial_{x_n}, \partial_{y_1}, \ldots, \partial_{y_n})(g)(v) \neq 0.$$

Moreover, considering (1–3), we see that J_v is a bihomogeneous ideal. Hence, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}&\dim\{g \in \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)^* \mid \deg_Y(g) = -j\}\\ &\quad \leq \dim\{F \in R_v \mid \deg_{Y^*} F = j\}, \quad \forall v \in X^*, j \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

That is, we get a bound on the Hilbert series of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)^*$ with respect to the Y-grading, in terms of the Y^*-grading on R_v (for $v \in X^*$).

Next, we note that $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ is concentrated in bidegrees (i,i), $i \geq 0$, since it is annihilated by the action of the Hamiltonian vector field of $\sum_i x_i y_i$, i.e., the difference of degrees operator, $\xi_{\sum_i x_i y_i}(g) = (\deg_Y g - \deg_X g)g$ (for bihomogeneous $g \in \mathcal{O}_V$). Hence the total degree of homogeneous elements of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)^*$ is always twice the degree in Y (equivalently, twice the degree in X). We deduce the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *For all $v \in X^*$,*

$$h(\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V); t) \leq h((R_v, \deg_Y); t^2).$$

Thus, the top degree of $(\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ is dominated by twice the top degree of R_v in Y.

Here, $(R_v, \deg_Y)$ denotes the ring R_v equipped with its grading by degree in Y.

For the purpose of computing the top degree only, one can simplify the computation somewhat. Namely, the top degree of R_v in Y is the same as the top degree of $\overline{R_v} := R_v/(X^*)$. This follows because R_v is bihomogeneous. So we obtain

$$\mathrm{topdeg}(\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)) \leq 2 \cdot \mathrm{topdeg}(\overline{R_v}).$$

Explicitly, if $v' \in Y$ is the element dual to $v \in X^*$ via the symplectic pairing, then $\overline{R_v} = \mathcal{O}_Y/(D_{v'} g_i)_{g_i \in \mathcal{O}_Y}$, where $\mathcal{O}_Y \subset \mathcal{O}_V$ are the functions of degree zero in X^*, which we also identify with $\mathcal{O}_V/(X^*)$. That is, we can restrict to those g_i that are only polynomials in the y_i. This has a particular advantage when G is a complex reflection group, since there, $\mathcal{O}_Y^G$ is a polynomial algebra whose structure is well known. We will exploit this below.

3.1. A Bound on Top Degree Using Koszul Complexes

If we combine Theorem 3.1 with (2–1), we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose that $h_1, \ldots, h_{2n} \in J_v$ are bihomogeneous and form a regular sequence, for $v \in X^*$. Then*

$$h(\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V); t) \leq \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{2n}(1 - t^{2\deg_Y(h_i)})}{(1-t^2)^{2n}}.$$

The disadvantage of the above corollary is the need to verify the regular sequence property. Since the condition $v \in X^*$ is not generic, we cannot immediately apply Lemma 2.1. To ameliorate this, we can use an alternative approach, using the polynomial algebra in only the second half of the variables, $\mathcal{O}_Y$. Namely, rather than computing R_v, one can compute $\overline{R_v} = R_v/(X^*)$ mentioned above, at the price of bounding only the top degree. Let us write $\overline{R_v} = \mathcal{O}_Y/\overline{J_v}$, where $\overline{J_v} = J_v/((X^*) \cap J_v)$.

Thus, if $h_1, \ldots, h_n \in \overline{J_v}$ form a regular sequence in $\mathcal{O}_{Y^*}$, then

$$\mathrm{topdeg}(\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)) \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (|h_i| - 1).$$

Applying Lemma 2.1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *If $g_1, \ldots, g_n$ are homogeneous and form a regular sequence in $\mathcal{O}_Y^G$, then*

$$\mathrm{topdeg}(\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)) \leq 2 \sum_i (|g_i| - 2).$$

3.2. Complex Reflection Groups

In the case of complex reflection groups, $\mathcal{O}_Y^G$ is a polynomial algebra generated by homogeneous elements whose degrees are well known [Shephard and Todd 54]; see also [Broué et al. 98, Appendix 2]. Thus, in this case, we can apply Corollary 3.3 to *generators* $g_1, \ldots, g_n$ of $\mathcal{O}_Y^G$. We thus deduce from Corollary 3.3 explicit bounds on the top degree of HP_0:

Corollary 3.4. *The top degrees of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ for complex reflection groups G are at most the following:*

$S_{n+1} : n(n-1)$,
$G(m,p,n), m, n > 1 : n(n-1)m + 2mn/p - 4n$,
$G(m,1,1) : 2(m-2)$, $G_4 : 12$, $G_5 : 28$, $G_6 : 24$,
$G_7 : 40$, $G_8 : 32$, $G_9 : 56$, $G_{10} : 64$, $G_{11} : 88$, $G_{12} : 20$,
$G_{13} : 32$, $G_{14} : 52$, $G_{15} : 64$, $G_{16} 92$, $G_{17} : 152$,
$G_{18} : 172$, $G_{19} : 232$, $G_{20} : 76$, $G_{21} : 136$, $G_{22} : 56$,
$G_{23} : 24$, $G_{24} : 36$, $G_{25} : 42$, $G_{26} : 60$, $G_{27} : 84$,
$G_{28} : 40$, $G_{29} : 72$, $G_{30} : 112$, $G_{31} : 112$, $G_{32} : 152$,
$G_{33} : 80$, $G_{34} : 240$, $G_{35} : 60$, $G_{36} : 112$, $G_{37} : 224$.

Remark 3.5. Since the elements $g_1, \ldots, g_n$ can be extended to a generating set for $\mathcal{O}_V$ by elements in the ideal (X^*), e.g., the corresponding generators of $\mathcal{O}_X^G$, the directional derivatives $D_{v'} g_1, \ldots, D_{v'} g_n$ actually generate $\overline{J_v} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_Y$. Hence, the above bounds coincide with those obtained from R_v itself using Theorem 3.1, and we lose nothing by applying the regular sequence arguments.

This is in stark contrast to the estimate $\dim R_v < |G|^2$ of Corollary 2.4 (or even $\dim R_v \leq \prod_i (|g_i| - 1)^2$), where one can do much better, in general, by computing $\dim R_v$ directly.

In the case S_{n+1}, the above bound is found in [Mathieu 95], up to the equivalence of [Ren and Schedler 12, Theorem 1.5.1]; in the other cases, the bounds are new (except for the rank-1 case, $G(m,1,1)$, where $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \cong \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$ is known to have dimension $2(m-2)$). Using the methods of this paper, we have computed the actual top degree in the cases of rank ≤ 2 (with the possible exception of G_{18}, G_{19}) as well as for certain Coxeter groups of higher rank, which generally differs substantially from the above. See Remark 7.8 for the top degree in the cases $G(m,p,2)$, and Theorem 5.15 for the top degree in some of the exceptional cases $G_4, \ldots, G_{22}$.

4. THE SYSTEM OF INVARIANT HAMILTONIAN VECTOR FIELDS RESTRICTED TO A LINE

Now let $G < \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ and $v \in V^*$ be arbitrary. Although we know that elements in $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)^*$ are determined by their Taylor coefficients by representatives of R_v, in general, the grading on R_v is unrelated to the grading on $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)^*$ (note that R_v is obtained by evaluating at v, which in particular replaces some polynomials on V^* that have nonzero grading by numbers). To fix this problem, we will use R_v to construct a local system on the line $\mathbb{C} \cdot v$ and make use of the Euler vector field, which multiplies by the (correct) degree on $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)^*$.

Let $f_1, \ldots, f_N$ be a homogeneous basis for R_v, and let $F_1, \ldots, F_N \in \mathcal{D}_{V^*}$ be differential operators on V such that $(\mathrm{gr}\, F_i)|_{T_v^* V^*} \equiv f_i \pmod{J_v}$. Here, restricting $\mathrm{gr}\, F_i \in \mathcal{O}_{T^*V^*}$ to $T_v^* V^*$ means evaluating the coefficients of the principal symbol $\mathrm{gr}\, F_i$ of F_i at the point v, obtaining an element of $\mathcal{O}_{T_v^* V^*} \cong \mathbb{C}[\partial_{x_1}, \ldots, \partial_{x_n}, \partial_{y_1}, \ldots, \partial_{y_n}]$. For instance, we can let each F_i be a constant-coefficient differential operator corresponding to a lift of f_i to $\mathbb{C}[\partial_{x_1}, \ldots, \partial_{x_n}, \partial_{y_1}, \ldots, \partial_{y_n}]$.

Claim 4.1. *For every $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{V^*}$, there exists an operator of the form $\psi = \sum_i c_i F_i$ for $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\phi(g)|_{\mathbb{C}\cdot v} = \psi(g)|_{\mathbb{C}\cdot v}$ for all $g \in \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)^*$ (i.e., solutions of* (1–1)*).*

In other words, the derivatives of solutions $g \in \mathcal{O}_{V^*}$ of (1–1), evaluated on the line $\mathbb{C} \cdot v$, depend only on the $F_i(g)$.

Using the claim, for every $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_{V^*}$, there exists an $N \times N$ matrix $C_\xi \in \mathrm{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$(\xi \circ F_1(g), \ldots, \xi \circ F_N(g))|_{\mathbb{C}\cdot v} = C_\xi (F_1(g), \ldots, F_N(g))|_{\mathbb{C}\cdot v}, \forall g \in \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)^*. \tag{4–1}$$

In particular, if ξ is the Euler vector field, i.e., $\xi(g) = \deg(g) \cdot g$, and if the F_i are homogeneous (under the $\mathbb{C}^*$ action on V, i.e., $\deg u = -1$ for all $u \in V$, and $\deg \partial_w = 1$ for all $w \in V^*$) of degrees $d_1, \ldots, d_N \geq 0$, and $g \in \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)^*$ is homogeneous, then

$$C_\xi (F_1(g), \ldots, F_N(g))|_{\mathbb{C}\cdot v} - (d_1 F_1(g), \ldots, d_N F_N(g))|_{\mathbb{C}\cdot v} = \deg(g)(F_1(g), \ldots, F_N(g))|_{\mathbb{C}\cdot v},$$

i.e., $\deg(g)$ is an eigenvalue of the matrix $B_\xi := C_\xi - \mathrm{Diag}(d_1, \ldots, d_N)$, and $(F_1(g), \ldots, F_N(g))|_{\mathbb{C}\cdot v}$ is an eigenvector. Here $\mathrm{Diag}(d_1, \ldots, d_N)$ denotes the diagonal matrix with entries $d_1, \ldots, d_N$.

Now, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and C a square matrix, let $E_\lambda(C)$ denote the λ-eigenspace of C. We obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *For arbitrary $v \in V^*$, degree d_i lifts F_i of generators f_i of R_v to $\mathcal{D}_{V^*}$, and C_ξ satisfying* (4–1) *for ξ the Euler vector field,*

$$h(\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)^*; t) \leq \sum_{i \leq 0} \dim E_i(B_\xi) t^i, \tag{4–2}$$

where $B_\xi := C_\xi - \mathrm{Diag}(d_1, \ldots, d_N)$.

It seems that the theorem has the disadvantage that many choices are involved. In particular, there are many possible choices of the matrix C_ξ. We claim, nonetheless, that up to conjugation, the set of possible B_ξ depends only on the choice of line $\mathbb{C} \cdot v$, and not on the choice of f_i and F_i. Changing the f_i and F_i amounts to a combination of linear changes of basis (which change C_ξ by the corresponding linear changes of basis), adding homogeneous elements to F_i of the same degree as F_i that send $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)^*$ to elements that are zero along $\mathbb{C} \cdot v$ (this does not change C_ξ), or multiplying the F_i by homogeneous polynomials in $\mathcal{O}_{V^*}$ (which does not change B_ξ). Hence, the set of possible matrices B_ξ is independent of these choices up to conjugation, and depends only on the line $\mathbb{C} \cdot v$. Thus, the same is true for the set of possible bounds (i.e., possible polynomials on the right-hand side of (4–2)).

Still, even for fixed v, there are in general several nonconjugate choices of B_ξ. This is because in general, N may exceed $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$, and so the coefficients c_i given by Claim 4.1 are not uniquely determined. In practice, however, using only a single choice of B_ξ, the bound one obtains is often equal to the top degree of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ (or only a few degrees higher), in contrast to the performance of the methods of Section 3.

We will explain in Section 4.1 below how to turn this into a practical algorithm.

Proof of Claim 4.1. Let

$$I_H := \langle \mathcal{D}_{V^*} F_D(\xi_f) \mid f \in \mathcal{O}_V^G \rangle \subset \mathcal{D}_{V^*}$$

be the left ideal generated by the Fourier transforms of Hamiltonian vector fields of invariant functions. Note that the solutions $g \in \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)^* \subset \mathcal{O}_{V^*}$ are exactly the elements annihilated by I_H.

It is evident that if $g \in \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)^*$ and $\beta \in I_H$, then $\beta(g)|_{\mathbb{C}\cdot v} = 0$. Moreover,

$$(\mathrm{gr}\, I_H)|_{T_v^* V^*} \supseteq J_v = (\mathrm{gr}(\xi_f) : f \in \mathcal{O}_V^G)|_{T_v^* V^*}$$

as ideals of

$$\mathcal{O}_{T_v^* V^*} = \mathbb{C}[\partial_{x_1}, \ldots, \partial_{x_n}, \partial_{y_1}, \ldots, \partial_{y_n}].$$

Let $I_v \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{V^*}$ be the ideal of functions vanishing at $v \in V^*$. Then lifts of f_i to elements $F_i \in \mathcal{D}_{V^*}$ span $\mathcal{D}_{V^*}/(I_v \cdot \mathcal{D}_{V^*} + I_H)$, since the latter is filtered and its associated graded vector space is

$$\mathbb{C}[\partial_{x_1}, \ldots, \partial_{x_n}, \partial_{y_1}, \ldots, \partial_{y_n}]/(\mathrm{gr}\, I_H)|_{T_v^* V}.$$

Therefore, for every $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{V^*}$, there exists a linear combination $\psi = \sum_i c_i F_i$ such that $\phi - \psi \in I_v \cdot \mathcal{D}_{V^*} + I_H$, and it follows that $\psi(g)|_{\mathbb{C}\cdot v} = \phi(g)|_{\mathbb{C}\cdot v}$ for all $g \in \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)^*$. $\square$

4.1. Algorithmic Implementation

In [Ren and Schedler 10], the authors algorithmically construct the C_ξ above. The first step is to compute the f_i in a way that remembers additional information. Normally, one computes generators f_i for R_v by computing a Gröbner basis for J_v with respect to some ordering of monomials in $\partial_{x_1}, \ldots, \partial_{x_n}, \partial_{y_1}, \ldots, \partial_{y_n}$, e.g., the graded reverse-lexicographical ordering (grevlex), whose definition is recalled below. (Note that we will use monomials to refer to products of powers of the variables). We will perform this computation, following the Buchberger algorithm, while simultaneously keeping track of lifts of the Gröbner-basis elements to elements of $\mathcal{D}_{V^*}$, as follows.

Recall that the (commutative) Buchberger algorithm works in the following manner. Fix a polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_n]$. Equip the monomials with an ordering, such as the grevlex ordering: $z_1^{a_1} \cdots z_n^{a_n} < z_1^{b_1} \cdots z_n^{b_n}$ if either $a_1 + \cdots + a_n < b_1 + \cdots + b_n$ or $a_1 + \cdots + a_n = b_1 + \cdots + b_n$, and for some $1 \le i \le n$, $a_i < b_i$ and $a_j = b_j$ for all $j > i$. We require that $g < h$ imply $fg < fh$ for monomials f, g, and h, and that $g < h$ when g has lower total degree than h (which are both true for the grevlex ordering).

Next, given an ideal $I = (g_1, \ldots, g_m) \subset \mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_n]$, we compute a Gröbner basis as follows. Assume that the g_i are all monic, i.e., their leading monomials (with respect to the monomial ordering) have coefficient one. Denote the leading monomial of an element g by $LM(g)$.

Then for every pair $i \neq j$, we define the monomial $h := \mathrm{lcm}(LM(g_i), LM(g_j))$, and consider the element g_{ij} obtained by rescaling $\frac{h}{LM(g_i)} \cdot g_i - \frac{h}{LM(g_j)} g_j$ to be monic (unless it is zero, in which case we set $g_{ij} = 0$). If $g_{ij} = 0$, we throw it out. Otherwise, we reduce g_{ij} modulo the $g_1, \ldots, g_m$, i.e., if $LM(g_k) \mid LM(g_{ij})$, we replace g_{ij} with $g_{ij} - \frac{LM(g_{ij})}{LM(g_k)} g_k$.

If the result is zero, we discard it, and otherwise, we rescale it to be monic. We then iterate this until we either obtain zero (which we discard) or a monic polynomial g such that $LM(g_k) \nmid LM(g)$ for all k, which we adjoin to the collection $\{g_1, \ldots, g_m\}$ of generators of I. (Note that we could have skipped the case $\mathrm{lcm}(LM(g_i), LM(g_j)) = g_i g_j$, since then we always obtain zero.) Furthermore, if $LM(g_i) \mid LM(g_j)$, then we discard g_j (this is the case where $(g_i, g_j, g_{ij}) = (g_i, g_{ij})$), and similarly swapping i and j. This process is then repeated until exhaustion, i.e., all pairs of elements in the generating set have been computed (and no new elements remain to be added).

In our algorithm, we perform the Buchberger algorithm for J_v while keeping track, for every generator of J_v, of a differential operator in I_H (the left ideal generated by Hamiltonian vector fields) lifting the given element. Namely, we begin with the lifts ξ_{f_i} of f_i for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. Every time we compute the element

$$\frac{h}{LM(g_i)} \cdot g_i - \frac{h}{LM(g_j)} g_j,$$

for $h = \mathrm{lcm}(LM(g_i), LM(g_j))$, given lifts $\widetilde{g_i}, \widetilde{g_j}$ of $g_i, g_j \in J_v$ to I_H, we also compute

$$\frac{h}{LM(g_i)} \cdot \widetilde{g_i} - \frac{h}{LM(g_j)} \widetilde{g_j},$$

which is a lift to I_H. Here we view

$$\frac{h}{LM(g_i)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{h}{LM(g_j)}$$

as constant-coefficient differential operators. We then rescale and reduce while also keeping track of the lift to I_H.

In the end, we arrive at a Gröbner basis (g_i) for J_v together with (noncanonical) lifts $(\widetilde{g_i})$ of the basis elements to I_H.

Using these lifts, we can reduce $\phi = \xi \circ F_j \in \mathcal{D}_{V^*}$ to a linear combination $\psi = \sum_i c_i F_i$ modulo $I_v \cdot \mathcal{D}_{V^*} + I_H$, as follows: We work in $\mathcal{D}_{V^*}/(I_v \cdot \mathcal{D}_{V^*})$, which identifies with $\mathcal{O}_{T_v^* V^*} \cong \mathbb{C}[\partial_{x_1}, \ldots, \partial_{x_n}, \partial_{y_1}, \ldots, \partial_{y_n}]$ as a vector space.

Define

$$\overline{I_H} := (I_H + I_v \cdot \mathcal{D}_{V^*})/(I_v \cdot \mathcal{D}_{V^*}),$$

which is a vector subspace. Under the above identification, $\overline{I_H}$ is filtered (by order of differential operators), and $\operatorname{gr}\overline{I_H} \supseteq J_v$. Let $\overline{\widetilde{g_i}} \in \overline{I_H}$ be the image of $\widetilde{g_i} \in I_H$ under this quotient. Then $\operatorname{gr}\overline{\widetilde{g_i}} = g_i$. We may now reduce $\overline{\phi} \in \mathcal{D}_{V^*}/(I_v \cdot \mathcal{D}_{V^*})$ modulo $\overline{I_H}$ by iteratively reducing $\operatorname{gr}\overline{\phi}$ modulo J_v such that every time we subtract $g \cdot g_i$ from $\operatorname{gr}\overline{\phi}$ for $g \in \mathbb{C}[\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n}, \partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_n}]$ a constant-coefficient differential operator, we simultaneously subtract $g \cdot \overline{\widetilde{g_i}}$ from $\overline{\phi}$.

5. COMPUTATIONAL RESULTS

We developed computer programs in Magma [Ren and Schedler 10] to compute $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ using the above theory. First, we wrote programs that compute $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ (together with its grading and G-structure) up to a specified degree. Then, we wrote programs that compute the bounds of Theorems 3.1 and 4.2.

It turns out that in practice, the bound produced by Theorem 4.2 (using the matrix B_ξ) is much sharper than that of Theorem 3.1 (which is applicable only to the case $G < \mathrm{GL}(X) < \mathrm{Sp}(V)$). In particular, in most cases we tested, the top integer eigenvalue of $-B_\xi$ (for appropriate $v \in V^*$) was in fact equal to the top degree of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ (recall that the degrees of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ are nonpositive, which is why we have a minus sign in $-B_\xi$). This is good because it can also be applied to arbitrary $G < \mathrm{Sp}(V)$. The downside is that the computation required can be much slower, and sometimes too slow.

In the case of groups $G < \mathrm{GL}(X) < \mathrm{Sp}(V)$, we actually use both techniques: first we apply Section 3 to compute the (generally less sharp) bound $2 \cdot \operatorname{topdeg}(\overline{R_v})$ on the top degree; this is usually very fast, and for complex reflection groups, the result is already in Corollary 3.4. Next, we compute $-B_\xi$ and its eigenvalues, working over a prime field $\mathbb{F}_p$ for p larger than the first bound. This can be effectively computed in some cases in which it is not over a number field. Although in theory, this could produce a less sharp bound than over a number field, in practice, it is quite effective, and one obtains a useful bound (often the actual top degree).

Finally, once we have this bound on degree, we use our programs to explicitly compute $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ up to that top degree, working over a number field (either the field of definition of G, generally a cyclotomic field, or a smaller subfield containing the coefficients of generators of the invariant ring, over which one can therefore define $\mathcal{O}_V^G$; for example, for some of the exceptional Shephard–Todd groups of rank two, one can compute generators of $\mathcal{O}_V^G$ with rational coefficients even though the generators of G do not have rational coefficients). If this is too slow, one could work over a prime field $\mathbb{F}_p$ containing primitive $|G|$th roots of unity, although then the result would technically yield an upper bound only for the (G-graded) Hilbert series of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ (in practice, one will probably get the right answer if the prime p is large). However, if one obtains in this way a group $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathbb{F}_p[V]^G, \mathbb{F}_p[V])$ of dimension

$$|\{g \in G \mid (g - \mathrm{Id}) \text{ is invertible}\}| = \dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$$

(cf. Lemma 1.1), then this must be the correct dimension, since this is a lower bound for $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$, and therefore one may conclude that $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \cong \operatorname{gr}\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$.

5.1. Subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$

In [Alev and Lambre 98], the groups $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$ were computed for $V = \mathbb{C}^2$ and $G < \mathrm{Sp}(V) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ a finite subgroup (for an alternative computation, one can specialize [Etingof and Schedler 09] to the rank-one case). The associated varieties V/G are well known and are called Kleinian (or du Val) singularities. It then follows from Lemma 1.1 (the main result of [Alev et al. 00]) that $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) \cong \operatorname{gr}\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$.

In this subsection, we extend this by computing $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$. Our main result is Theorem 5.3 below, which we expand on in the subsequent sections.

Definition 5.1. Given a graded vector space K, let K_{ev} denote the span of the even-graded homogeneous elements of K.

The following elementary lemma explains our interest in the even-graded subspace. From now on, let $X \subseteq V$ always denote a Lagrangian subspace.

Lemma 5.2. *Let V be an arbitrary finite-dimensional symplectic vector space and $G < \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ finite. Then $\operatorname{gr}\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$ is concentrated in even degrees.*

Proof. First suppose that $-\mathrm{Id} \in G$. Since $-\mathrm{Id}$ is central, it acts trivially on $\mathbb{C}[G]_{\mathrm{ad}}$ and hence on $\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$ by Lemma 1.1. Since the action of $-\mathrm{Id}$ on $\operatorname{gr}\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$ is by $(-1)^{\deg}$, this implies that it is concentrated in even degrees.

In the general case, let $K := \langle G, -\mathrm{Id}\rangle$. Then $\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$ is a quotient of $\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^K, \mathcal{D}_X)$, so this also holds on the level of associated graded vector spaces. Therefore, by the above paragraph, $\operatorname{gr}\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$ is concentrated in even degrees. □

Let $\widetilde{D_m}$ denote the dicyclic subgroup of order $2m$ (for m even), which is the inverse image of the dihedral subgroup D_m of $\mathsf{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})$ under the double cover by $\mathsf{SU}(2,\mathbb{C})$. It is well known (the "McKay correspondence") that all finite subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ are either cyclic, dicyclic, or one of the three exceptional groups $\widetilde{A}_4$, $\widetilde{S}_4$, and $\widetilde{A}_5$, which are the preimages of the tetrahedral, octahedral, and icosahedral rotation subgroups of $\mathsf{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})$ in $\mathsf{SU}(2,\mathbb{C}) < \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ under the double cover $\mathsf{SU}(2,\mathbb{C}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathsf{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})$.

By the McKay correspondence, the cyclic, dicyclic, and exceptional groups correspond to the simply laced extended Dynkin diagrams of types $\widetilde{A}$, $\widetilde{D}$, and $\widetilde{E}$, respectively: the vertices are the irreducible representations of the group, and given an irreducible representation, the decomposition of its tensor product with the defining representation $\mathbb{C}^2$ into irreducibles is given by the vertices adjacent to the one corresponding to the original irreducible representation.

Theorem 5.3. *If $G < \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is finite, then the composition $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)_{\mathrm{ev}} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{gr}\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$ is an isomorphism. The Hilbert series of $h(\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V); t)$ is given by*

$$1 + t^2 + \cdots + t^{2(m-2)}, \quad G \cong \mathbb{Z}/m; \tag{5–1}$$

$$1 + (2t + 3t^2 + 2t^3 + \cdots + 3t^{m-2}) + 2t^m + (t^{m+2} + t^{m+4} + \cdots + t^{2m-4}) + t^{2m}, \quad G \cong \widetilde{D_m}; \tag{5–2}$$

$$1 + 2t + 3t^2 + 4t^3 + 5t^4 + 4t^5 + 4t^6 + 2t^7 + 4t^8 + 3t^{10} + t^{12} + t^{14} + t^{20}, \quad G \cong \widetilde{A_4}; \tag{5–3}$$

$$1 + 2t + 3t^2 + 4t^3 + 5t^4 + 6t^5 + 7t^6 + 6t^7 + 6t^8 + 6t^9 + 6t^{10} + 4t^{11} + 6t^{12} + 2t^{13} + 4t^{14} + 3t^{16} + 3t^{18} + t^{20} + t^{24} + t^{32}, \quad G \cong \widetilde{S_4}; \tag{5–4}$$

$$1 + 2t + 3t^2 + 4t^3 + 5t^4 + 6t^5 + 7t^6 + 8t^7 + 9t^8 + 10t^9 + 11t^{10} + 10t^{11} + 10t^{12} + 10t^{13} + 10t^{14} + 10t^{15} + 10t^{16} + 10t^{17} + 10t^{18} + 8t^{19} + 10t^{20} + 6t^{21} + 6t^{22} + 4t^{23} + 6t^{24} + 2t^{25} + 6t^{26} + 5t^{28} + 3t^{30} + t^{32} + 3t^{34} + t^{36} + t^{44} + t^{56}, \quad G \cong \widetilde{A_5}, \tag{5–5}$$

and $h(\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G); t)$ is given by (5–1) when $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/m$, and

$$(1 + t^4 + \cdots + t^{2m}) + t^m, \quad G \cong \widetilde{D_m}; \tag{5–6}$$

$$1 + t^6 + t^8 + t^{12} + t^{14} + t^{20}, \quad G \cong \widetilde{A_4}; \tag{5–7}$$

$$1 + t^8 + t^{12} + t^{16} + t^{20} + t^{24} + t^{32}, \quad G \cong \widetilde{S_4}; \tag{5–8}$$

$$1 + t^{12} + t^{20} + t^{24} + t^{32} + t^{36} + t^{44} + t^{56}, \quad G \cong \widetilde{A_5}. \tag{5–9}$$

By the lemma, the composition $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)_{\mathrm{ev}} \to \operatorname{gr}\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$ is always a surjection. The fact that it is injective follows from the explicit formulas for Hilbert series above, since this together with Lemma 1.1 shows that the dimensions are equal. Thus, below, we restrict our attention to proving (5–1)–(5–5).

On the other hand, the map $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{gr}\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$ itself is not injective when $G < \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is not abelian, since $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ is not concentrated in even degrees. Nonetheless, by the above formulas (or [Alev and Lambre 98]) together with Lemma 1.1, the restriction to invariants, $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{gr}\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$, is an isomorphism.

Remark 5.4. The above gives examples in which $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ is not concentrated in even degrees, but $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$ is. It is natural to ask for an example in which $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$ itself is not concentrated in even degrees. We construct such examples in Section 8.

Remark 5.5. The fact that $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)_{\mathrm{ev}} \cong \operatorname{gr}\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$ is quite special to the above case. For many groups G (such as many examples discussed below), $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) \ncong \operatorname{gr}\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$, and the former is concentrated in even degrees (in the cases below, $G < \mathrm{GL}(X) < \mathrm{Sp}(V)$, so $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ itself is automatically concentrated in even degrees, by the discussion at the beginning of Section 3). There are also examples in which $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) \cong \operatorname{gr}\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$ but still $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)_{\mathrm{ev}} \ncong \operatorname{gr}\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$. For example, this holds when G is the complex reflection group $G(4,2,2)$ or $G(6,2,2)$ as discussed below.

As already remarked, formulas (5–6)–(5–9) were first computed in [Alev and Lambre 98], but we include them, since they follow directly from the (apparently new) formulas (5–1)–(5–5) of the theorem.[2] Note that when G is

[2] As is well known, (5–6)–(5–9) can be more compactly described as $\sum_i t^{2(m_i-1)}$, where m_i are the Coxeter exponents of the root

abelian (and hence cyclic, since $V = \mathbb{C}^2$), by Lemma 6.1 below, $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) = \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$, so (5–1) also follows from [Alev and Lambre 98]. Thus, we do not need to discuss the cyclic case at all, but we do so anyway, since the computation is short and simple.

Let us write $\mathcal{O}_V = \mathbb{C}[x,y]$ with $\{x,y\} = 1$. Using the symplectic form, we can identify $V \cong \mathrm{Span}(x,y)$, and let us write matrices according to their action on the basis pulled back from (x,y). We will use the following elementary lemma, which holds for arbitrary symplectic V and $G < \mathrm{Sp}(V)$.

Lemma 5.6. *Let (g_i) be a collection of Poisson generators of $\mathcal{O}_V^G$. Then $\{\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V\}$ is the sum of the subspaces $\{g_i, \mathcal{O}_V\}$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that for all $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_V^G$ and all $h \in \mathcal{O}_V$, $\{fg, h\}$ and $\{\{f,g\},h\}$ are subspaces of $\{f, \mathcal{O}_V\} + \{g, \mathcal{O}_V\}$. This follows from the identities

$$\{fg,h\} = \{f,gh\} + \{g,fh\},$$
$$\{\{f,g\},h\} = \{f,\{g,h\}\} - \{g,\{f,h\}\},$$

and the proof of the lemma is complete. □

5.1.1. Cyclic Subgroups.

Suppose $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/m$. We give a short, self-contained proof of the following result.

Theorem 5.7. [Alev and Lambre 98]

$$h(\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V); t) = 1 + t^2 + \cdots + t^{2(m-2)},$$

and G acts trivially. Moreover, a basis is obtained by the images of the elements $x^a y^a$ for $0 \le a \le m-2$.

Proof. Up to conjugation,

$$G = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi i/m} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2\pi i/m} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle.$$

The ring $\mathcal{O}_V^G$ is generated by the elements xy, x^m, and y^m. It is Poisson generated by the first two elements.

Therefore, by Lemma 5.6, we need to compute only $\{xy, \mathcal{O}_V\}$ and $\{x^m, \mathcal{O}_V\}$. The former is spanned by all monomials of unequal degrees in x and y. The latter is spanned by monomials of degree $\ge m-1$ in x. Hence, a basis for $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ is given by $(1, xy, \ldots, x^{m-2}y^{m-2})$. This recovers the theorem. □

system corresponding to the group by the McKay correspondence (type A_{m-1} in the case of $\mathbb{Z}/m$, type $D_{m/2}$ in the dicyclic case, and types E_6, E_7, and E_8 in the exceptional cases).

5.1.2. Dicyclic Subgroups.

By the classification of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ recalled above, the other infinite family of subgroups is that of the dicyclic groups, which are given up to conjugation by

$$G = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi i/m} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2\pi i/m} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$

for m even. Let ρ_0 denote the trivial representation of G, ρ_1 the nontrivial one-dimensional representation that vanishes on the diagonal elements, ρ_3 and ρ_4 the other one-dimensional representations (in either order), τ_1 the standard 2-dimensional representation, and τ_j the irreducible two-dimensional representation in which the diagonal elements act through their jth powers (for $1 \le j \le m/2 - 1$).

The goal of this section is to prove the following result.

Theorem 5.8. *As a graded G-representation, $H := \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ is given by*

$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_0, H); t) = (1 + t^4 + \cdots + t^{2m}) + t^m;$$
$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_1, H); t) = (t^2 + t^6 + \cdots + t^{2m-6}) + t^m;$$
$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_2, H); t) = h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_3, H); t) = t^{m/2};$$
$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\tau_1, H); t) = t;$$
$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\tau_j, H); t) = t^j + t^{m-j}, \quad 2 \le j \le m/2 - 1.$$

Proof. The invariant ring $\mathcal{O}_V^G$ is generated by x^2y^2, $x^m + y^m$, and $xy(x^m - y^m)$. The first two of these are Poisson generators. By Lemma 5.6, we therefore need to compute only $\{x^2y^2, \mathcal{O}_V\}$ and $\{x^m + y^m, \mathcal{O}_V\}$.

First, $\{x^2y^2, \mathcal{O}_V\}$ is spanned by

$$\{x^2y^2, x^a y^b\} = 2(b-a)x^{a+1}y^{b+1}.$$

This is the span of all monomials of unequal positive degrees in x and y.

Next, $\{x^m + y^m, \mathcal{O}_V\}$ is spanned by

$$\{x^m + y^m, x^a y^b\} = bmx^{a+m-1}y^{b-1} - amx^{a-1}y^{b+m-1}.$$

Up to the previous span, this is the same as the span of the monomials $x^a y^b$ with either $a \ge m-1$ or $b \ge m-1$, with the exception of the pairs $(a,b) \in \{(m,0),(0,m),(2m,0),(m,m),(0,2m)\}$, where we obtain the elements

$$x^m - y^m, \quad mx^{2m} - m(m+1)x^m y^m,$$
$$m(m+1)x^m y^m - my^{2m}.$$



FIGURE 1. Labels of the irreducible representations of $\widetilde{A_4}, \widetilde{S_4}, \widetilde{A_5} < \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ in terms of the McKay graphs $\widetilde{E_6}, \widetilde{E_7}, \widetilde{E_8}$, respectively. The defining 2-dimensional representation is the second from the left in all cases; tensoring a representation by this representation yields the direct sum of all adjacent representations.

As a result, the following elements map to a graded basis of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$:

$$(1) \cup (x^a, y^a, x^a y^a)_{1 \le a \le m-2} \cup (x^m + y^m) \\ \cup ((m+1)(x^{2m} + y^{2m}) + x^m y^m).$$

Moreover, the span of these elements is G-invariant, and the theorem follows easily. $\square$

5.1.3. Exceptional Subgroups.

Using computer programs written in MAGMA, we computed for the exceptional subgroups the graded representations $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$. In this case, one can prove that the answer is correct using only the bound on dimension, $\dim R_v$, from the introduction, for a particular choice of v, since for $G < \mathrm{SL}_2$, we have that $\mathrm{gr}(\xi_{h_i}) = (\mathrm{gr}\, \xi_{h_i})$, as h_i ranges over generators of $\mathcal{O}_V^G$. Just to double check, we also employed the programs using the method of Section 4 (since $\dim R_v = \dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ in this case, this yields precisely the correct Hilbert series, i.e., (4–2) is an equality).

Label the representations of $G \in \{\widetilde{A_4}, \widetilde{S_4}, \widetilde{A_5}\}$, corresponding to the McKay graph E_m, by $\rho_0, \ldots, \rho_m$, with ρ_0 the trivial representation, according to Figure 1. Our indexing follows MAGMA (in particular, indices increase with the dimension of the irreducible representation).

Theorem 5.9. *The graded G-structure of $H = \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ is given by:*

$G = \widetilde{A_4}$:

$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_0, H); t) = 1 + t^6 + t^8 + t^{12} + t^{14} + t^{20};$$
$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_1, H); t) = h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_2, H); t) = t^4;$$
$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_3, H); t) = t + t^7;$$
$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_4, H); t) = h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_5, H); t) = t^3 + t^5;$$
$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_6, H); t) = t^2 + t^4 + t^6 + t^8 + t^{10}.$$

$G = \widetilde{S_4}$:

$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_0, H); t) = 1 + t^8 + t^{12} + t^{16} + t^{20} + t^{24} + t^{32};$$
$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_1, H); t) = t^6 + t^{14};$$
$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_2, H); t) = t^4 + t^8 + t^{12} + t^{16};$$
$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_3, H); t) = t + t^9;$$
$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_4, H); t) = t^5 + t^7 + t^{13};$$
$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_5, H); t) = t^4 + t^6 + t^8 + t^{12};$$
$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_6, H); t) = t^2 + t^6 + 2t^{10} + t^{14} + t^{18};$$
$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_7, H); t) = t^3 + t^5 + t^7 + t^9 + t^{11}.$$

$G = \widetilde{A_5}$:

$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_0, H); t) = 1 + t^{12} + t^{20} + t^{24} + t^{32} + t^{36} + t^{44} + t^{56};$$
$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_1, H); t) = t + t^{13} + t^{25};$$
$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_3, H); t) = t^6 + t^{10} + t^{14} + t^{18} + t^{22} + t^{26} + t^{30};$$
$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_2, H); t) = t^7 + t^{13} + t^{19};$$
$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_4, H); t) = t^2 + t^{10} + t^{14} + t^{18} + t^{22} + t^{26} + t^{34};$$
$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_5, H); t) = t^6 + t^8 + t^{12} + t^{14} + t^{18} + t^{20};$$
$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_6, H); t) = t^3 + t^9 + t^{11} + t^{15} + t^{17} + t^{23};$$
$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_7, H); t) = t^4 + t^8 + t^{10} + t^{12} + 2t^{16} + t^{20} + t^{24} + t^{28};$$
$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_8, H); t) = t^5 + t^7 + t^9 + t^{11} + t^{13} + t^{15} + t^{17} + t^{19} + t^{21}.$$

5.2. Coxeter Groups of Rank ≤ 3 and A_4, $B_4 = C_4$, and D_4

Theorem 5.10. *For every Coxeter group $G < \mathrm{GL}(X) < \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ of rank ≤ 3, we have*

$$\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \cong \mathrm{gr}\, \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X).$$

The resulting Hilbert series is

$$A_1 : 1; \quad A_2 : 1 + t^2; \quad A_3 : 1 + 3t^2 + 2t^4;$$
$$B_2 = C_2 : 1 + t^2 + t^4;$$
$$B_3 = C_3 : 1 + 3t^2 + 6t^4 + 4t^6 + t^8;$$
$$H_3 : 1 + 3t^2 + 6t^4 + 10t^6 + 15t^8 + 9t^{10} + t^{12};$$
$$I_2(m) : 1 + t^2 + \cdots + t^{2(m-2)}.$$

Also, for types A_4, $B_4 = C_4$, and D_4, we have that

$$\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \cong \mathrm{gr}\, \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$$

holds. The resulting Hilbert series are

$$A_4 : 1 + 6t^2 + 10t^4 + 6t^6 + t^8;$$
$$D_4 : 1 + 6t^2 + 20t^4 + 16t^6 + 2t^8;$$
$$B_4 = C_4 : 1 + 6t^2 + 20t^4 + 31t^6 + 28t^8 + 15t^{10} + 4t^{12}.$$

The Hilbert series of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) \cong \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$ *in all of these cases are*

$$A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 : 1; \quad D_4 : 1 + t^4 + t^8;$$
$$B_2 = C_2 : 1 + t^4; \quad B_3 = C_3 : 1 + t^4 + t^8;$$
$$B_4 = C_4 : 1 + t^4 + 2t^8 + t^{12}; \quad H_3 : 1 + t^4 + t^8 + t^{12};$$
$$I_2(m) : 1 + t^4 + \cdots + t^{4\lfloor (m-2)/2 \rfloor}.$$

Remark 5.11. Partial computer tests have shown that $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \not\cong \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$ for $G = F_4$, although we do not know whether the identity holds on the level of invariants.

Remark 5.12. The surjection $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$ is not, in general, an isomorphism for Coxeter groups of rank ≥ 5. Via the equivalence of [Ren and Schedler 12, Theorem 1.5.1], [Mathieu 95, 8.6] (see also [Ren and Schedler 12, Example 1.6.1]) shows that

$$\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \not\cong \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$$

when $G \cong S_{n+1}$ is a Weyl group of type A_n for $n \geq 5$ (but $HP_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) \cong \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$ for all types A_n by [Etingof and Schedler 12]). Also, by [Etingof and Schedler 12, Appendix A], $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) \not\cong \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$ when G is a Weyl group of type D_n for $n \geq 7$ (but the isomorphism holds for $n \leq 6$).

Question 5.13. In the cases F_4, H_4, E_6, E_7, and E_8, does $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) \cong \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$ hold? If so, in any case (except F_4), does $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \cong \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$ hold?

5.3. Complex Reflection Groups of Rank Two

Theorem 5.14. *Of the complex reflection groups of rank two, the ones such that* $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \cong \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$ *are exactly* S_3, $G(m,1,2)$, $G(m,m,2)$, G_4, G_6, G_8, *and* G_{14}. *The additional groups such that* $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) \cong \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$ *are* $G(4,2,2)$, $G(6,2,2)$, G_5, G_9, *and* G_{21}.

We also compute the relevant Hilbert series, where HP_0 and HH_0 coincide. For the case S_3, this is given in the previous section, and the $G(m,p,2)$ case is treated in Section 7, where we also prove the above theorem in this case. For the exceptional cases, we used MAGMA programs and the techniques of Sections 3 and 4 to compute $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ for all $G_4, \ldots, G_{22}$ except G_{18} and G_{19}, and computed enough of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$ for the cases G_{18} and G_{19} to prove that $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) \not\cong \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$ (in fact, it seems we computed all of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$, but we could not prove it). We give the results in the cases in which the isomorphism holds:

Theorem 5.15. *The Hilbert series of* $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) \cong \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$ *for the exceptional Shephard–Todd groups* G_4, G_5, G_6, G_8, G_9, G_{14}, *and* G_{21} *where this holds are*

$$G_4 : 1 + t^2 + t^4 + t^8; \quad G_5 : 1 + t^2 + t^4 + 2t^6 + 3t^8 + 2t^{10} + 2t^{12} + 2t^{14} + t^{16} + t^{20};$$
$$G_6 : 1 + t^2 + t^4 + t^6 + 2t^8 + t^{10} + t^{12} + t^{14} + t^{16};$$
$$G_8 : 1 + t^2 + t^4 + t^6 + 2t^8 + t^{10} + 2t^{12} + t^{14} + t^{16} + t^{20};$$
$$G_9 : 1 + t^2 + t^4 + t^6 + 2t^8 + 2t^{10} + 3t^{12} + 2t^{14} + 3t^{16} + 2t^{18} + 3t^{20} + t^{22} + 2t^{24} + t^{26} + t^{28} + t^{32};$$
$$G_{14} : 1 + t^2 + t^4 + t^6 + 2t^8 + t^{10} + 2t^{12} + 2t^{14} + 2t^{16} + t^{18} + 2t^{20} + t^{22} + t^{24} + t^{26} + t^{28};$$
$$G_{21} : 1 + t^2 + t^4 + t^6 + t^8 + t^{10} + 2t^{12} + 2t^{14} + 2t^{16} + 2t^{18} + 3t^{20} + 2t^{22} + 3t^{24} + 3t^{26} + 3t^{28} + 2t^{30} + 3t^{32} + 2t^{34} + 3t^{36} + 2t^{38} + 2t^{40} + t^{42} + 2t^{44} + t^{46} + t^{48} + t^{50} + t^{52} + t^{56}.$$

The Hilbert series of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \cong \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$ *in the cases* G_4, G_6, G_8, *and* G_{14} *in which this holds are*

$$G_4 : 1 + 4t^2 + 6t^{14} + 3t^6 + t^8;$$
$$G_6 : 1 + 4t^2 + 9t^4 + 7t^6 + 5t^8 + 4t^{10} + t^{12} + t^{14} + t^{16};$$
$$G_8 : 1 + 4t^2 + 9t^4 + 16t^6 + 17t^8 + 13t^{10} + 10t^{12} + 5t^{14} + t^{16} + t^{20};$$
$$G_{14} : 1 + 4t^2 + 9t^4 + 16t^6 + 22t^8 + 18t^{10} + 15t^{12} + 11t^{14} + 7t^{16} + 6t^{18} + 2t^{20} + t^{22} + t^{24} + t^{26} + t^{28}.$$

6. ABELIAN SUBGROUPS OF Sp_4

In this section, we describe $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ in the case that $V = \mathbb{C}^4$ and G is an abelian subgroup of Sp_4. By the following elementary lemma, it suffices to assume that $G < (\mathbb{C}^\times)^2 < \mathrm{GL}_2 < \mathrm{Sp}_4$, and moreover, in this case, $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) = \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let* $G < \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$ *be a finite abelian subgroup. Then up to conjugation,* $G < (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n < \mathrm{GL}_n < \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$ *is a subgroup of diagonal matrices. Moreover, G acts trivially on* $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}}^G, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}})$.

Proof. To prove the first statement, we proceed inductively. There must exist a common eigenvector $v_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ for G. Set $V_1 := \mathrm{Span}(v_1)$. Since $G < \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$ and G stabilizes V_1, it also stabilizes $V_1^\perp$. If $\dim V_1^\perp > \dim V_1$, pick another common eigenvector $v_2 \in \dim V_1^\perp$ not in V_1, and set $V_2 := \mathrm{Span}(v_1, v_2)$. Inductively, we form in this way a sequence of isotropic G-invariant subspaces $0 \subseteq V_1 \subseteq V_2 \subseteq \cdots$ such that $\dim V_i = i$, and we terminate at V_n, since only for $i = n$ do we have $\dim V_i^\perp = i$. Then G stabilizes the Lagrangian subspace V_n, and in the eigenbasis obtained from $v_1, \dots, v_n$ together with their duals under the symplectic form, $G < (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n < \mathrm{GL}_n < \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$.

For the last statement, note that if $G < (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$, then in standard symplectic coordinates, the elements $x_i y_i \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}} = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]$ are G-invariant. Since for a monomial f, we have $\{x_i y_i, f\} = \deg_{x_i} f - \deg_{y_i} f$, it follows that $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ is a quotient, as a vector space, of the subalgebra $\mathbb{C}[x_1y_1, x_2y_2, \dots, x_ny_n] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}}$. Since this subalgebra is G-invariant, we deduce the statement of the lemma. □

Theorem 6.2. *$G < \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ has the property $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \cong \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$ if and only if up to conjugation, G is one of the following groups (for $r, m, A, B \geq 1$):*

(i) *The cyclic group generated by* $\begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi i/m} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\pm 2r\pi i/m} \end{pmatrix}$, *where* $\gcd(r, m) = 1$, *and either* $r \mid (m+1)$ *or* $r \mid (m-1)$.

(ii) *The cyclic group generated by* $\begin{pmatrix} e^{\pm 2\pi i/(mA)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2\pi i/m} \end{pmatrix}$ *for some choice of sign* $\pm$.

(iii) *The group generated by* $\begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi i/A} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ *and* $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2\pi i/B} \end{pmatrix}$.

The proof of the theorem yields a complete description of the resulting graded vector space $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \cong \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$. In particular, from Theorem 6.5 and Figures 2 and 3 (for type (1)), Figure 6 (for type (2)), and Figure 5 (for type (3)), we deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 6.3. *In the three cases defined in Theorem 6.2 such that $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \cong \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$, the following hold:*

(1) *Let us assume that $r \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod m$; otherwise, this case is covered in (2) below. Define $p, q \geq 1$ as in Section 6.2.1, namely, $1 < p, q < m/2$, $p \equiv \pm r \pmod m$, and $pq = m \pm 1$. Without loss of generality (up to conjugating G by the nontrivial permutation matrix), we can assume $p \leq q$. Then*

$$h(\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V); t) = \begin{cases} 1 + 2t^2 + 3t^4 + \cdots + pt^{2p-2} + pt^{2p} + \cdots \\ \quad + pt^{2q-2} + (p-1)t^{2q} + \cdots + t^{2p+2q-4}, \\ \quad \text{if } pq + 1 = m; \\ 1 + 2t^2 + 3t^4 + \cdots + pt^{2p-2} + pt^{2p} + \cdots \\ \quad + pt^{2q-2} + (p-1)t^{2q} + \cdots + 3t^{2p+2q-8} \\ \quad + t^{2p+2q-6}, \quad \text{if } pq - 1 = m. \end{cases}$$

(2) *In this case,*

$$h(\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V); t) = \begin{cases} 1 + 2t^2 + \cdots + (m-1)t^{2m-4} \\ \quad + (m-1)t^{2m-2} + \cdots + (m-1)t^{2A-2} \\ \quad + (m-2)t^{2A} + \cdots + t^{2m+2A-6}, \quad \text{if } m \leq A; \\ 1 + 2t^2 + \cdots + At^{2A-2} + At^{2A} + \cdots \\ \quad + At^{2m-4} + (A-1)t^{2m-2} + \cdots + t^{2m+2A-6}, \\ \quad \text{if } m > A. \end{cases}$$

(3) *Without loss of generality, assume that $A \geq B$. Then*

$$h(\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V); t) = 1 + 2t^2 + \cdots + (B-1)t^{2B-4} + (B-1)t^{2B-2} + \cdots + (B-1)t^{2A-4} + (B-2)t^{2A-2} + \cdots + t^{2A+2B-8}.$$

The theorem will follow from a case-by-case analysis of the following general combinatorial description of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ for arbitrary $G < \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times < \mathrm{GL}_2 < \mathrm{Sp}_4$, which is interesting in its own right.

Let V_1 be the minimal set of generators for the semigroup $\{x_1^r x_2^s \mid x_1^r x_2^s \in \mathcal{O}_V^G, (r, s) \neq (0, 0)\}$ and let V_2 be the minimal set of generators for the semigroup $\{x_1^r y_2^s \mid x_1^r y_2^s \in \mathcal{O}_V^G, (r, s) \neq (0, 0)\}$. Note that the elements of V_1 are those $x_1^r x_2^s$ with $r, s \geq 0$ and $(r, s) \neq (0, 0)$ such that for all other $x_1^{r'} x_2^{s'} \in \mathcal{O}_V^G$ with $r', s' \geq 0$ and $(r', s') \neq (0, 0)$, either $r < r'$ or $s < s'$, and similarly for V_2.

Construct a graph Γ as follows. The vertices of Γ are the points (j, k) such that $j, k \geq -1$. For each (r, s) such that $x_1^r x_2^s \in V_1$, we draw an edge between $(a + r, b + s - 1)$ and $(a + r - 1, b + s)$ for every pair of nonnegative integers a, b; we then do the same for every $x_1^r y_2^s \in V_2$.

Definition 6.4. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of connected components C of Γ such that every vertex of C is a pair (a, b) of nonnegative integers and such that every pair of adjacent vertices in C comprises the endpoints of a unique edge of Γ.

Theorem 6.5. *Pick for each $C \in \mathcal{C}$ a vertex $(a_C, b_C) \in C$. Then a basis of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ is obtained as the image of the monomials $\{x_1^{a_C} x_2^{b_C} y_1^{a_C} y_2^{b_C} \mid C \in \mathcal{C}\}$.*

Corollary 6.6. *The Hilbert series of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ is $\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} t^{2a_C + 2b_C}$. Its dimension is $|\mathcal{C}|$.*

Let us describe the connected components of the theorem more explicitly. Let

$$E := \{(r,s) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\} \mid x_1^r x_2^s \in V_1 \text{ or } x_1^r y_2^s \in V_2\}.$$

Then a connected component C of Γ is in $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if it is one of the following:

1. A connected component that is a point (a,b) with $a, b \geq 0$ such that for all $(r,s) \in E$, either $a < r-1$ or $b < s-1$.
2. A connected component that is a chain
$$(a, b+c), \ (a+1, b+c-1), \ \ldots, \ (a+c, b)$$
with $a, b, c \geq 0$ such that there is exactly one edge between any two consecutive points in the chain, or equivalently, such that for any $0 \leq i \leq c-1$, there is exactly one $(r,s) \in E$ such that $a+i \geq r-1$ and $b+c-i \geq s$.

We will refer to connected components of the first type as "points of type (1)" and connected components of the second type as "chains of type (2)." Note that there may exist chains of type (2) consisting of a single point. We will not always make a distinction between connected components consisting of a single point and the point itself.

Note that elements of E of the form $(0,s)$ and $(r,0)$ may generate chains $(a,b+c), (a+1,b+c-1), \ldots, (a+c, b)$ that satisfy all the conditions of type (2) except that either $a < 0$ or $b < 0$; these are not included in $\mathcal{C}$.

In practice, to apply the above theorem, it is more convenient and intuitive to draw a picture called the *staircase*. This is the collection of vertices $(r-1, s-1)$ for $(r,s) \in E$, together with some line segments as follows: Call a vertex $(r-1,s-1)$ a *corner* if $(r,s) \in E$ and for all other $(r', s') \in E$, either $r < r'$ or $s < s'$. Note that the points of type (1) above are exactly those (a,b) such that for every corner $(r-1,s-1)$, either $a < r-1$ or $b < s-1$. Order the corners $(r_1, s_1), (r_2, s_2), \ldots$ such that $r_1 < r_2 < \cdots$. We then draw line segments from (r_i, s_i) to (r_{i+1}, s_i) and from (r_{i+1}, s_i) to (r_{i+1}, s_{i+1}). Let the *staircase* be the region

$$S := \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2 \mid x \leq r_i - 1 \text{ or } y \leq s_i - 1, \forall i\}.$$

In general, this region is shaped like a staircase, which explains our terminology. See Figures 2–6 for examples of the resulting staircases. In all of these figures except Figure 4, the shaded regions consist only of vertices lying in connected components in $\mathcal{C}$ (and every connected component includes at least one vertex in the shaded region, possibly on the boundary). Moreover, again in all figures except Figure 4, the plotted vertices are exactly those appearing in a connected component in $\mathcal{C}$.

Then, the points of type (1) are the lattice points of S that are not incident to any of the aforementioned line segments (this includes all the lattice points in the interior of S). The chains of type (2) are naturally in bijection with a subquotient of the remaining lattice points in S, i.e., those incident to one of the aforementioned line segments.

6.1. Proof of Theorem 6.5

We begin with a series of preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 6.7. *$\mathcal{O}_V^G$ is generated, as an algebra, by $x_1 y_1$, $x_2 y_2$, and the elements of the form $x_1^a x_2^b$, $x_1^a y_2^b$, $y_1^a x_2^b$, and $y_1^a y_2^b$.*

Proof. It is clear that $x_1 y_1$ and $x_2 y_2$ are invariants. Since G is a group of diagonal matrices, $f \in \mathcal{O}_V$ is an invariant if and only if every term of f is an invariant. For each monomial $x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2}$, if $a_1 \geq b_1$ and $a_2 \geq b_2$, then we can write

$$x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2} = (x_1 y_1)^{b_1} (x_2 y_2)^{b_2} \left(x_1^{a_1 - b_1} x_2^{a_2 - b_2} \right).$$

The other cases are similar. □

Lemma 6.8. *If $a_1 \neq b_1$ or $a_2 \neq b_2$, then $x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2} \in \{\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V\}$.*

Proof. This is a special case of the argument of the proof of the final statement of Lemma 6.1. Explicitly, if $a_1 \neq b_1$, then

$$\frac{1}{b_1 - a_1} \left\{ x_1 y_1, x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2} \right\} = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2}.$$

If $a_2 \neq b_2$, then

$$\frac{1}{b_2 - a_2} \left\{ x_2 y_2, x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2} \right\} = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2},$$

and the proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 6.5. By the above lemmas and Lemma 5.6, it suffices to determine, for all $a, b \geq 0$, whether $x_1^a x_2^b y_1^a y_2^b \in \{\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V\}$. By symmetry, $\{y_1^r y_2^s \mid x_1^r x_2^s \in V_1\}$

is a minimal set of generators of the semigroup of invariants of the form $y_1^r y_2^s$, and $\{y_1^r x_2^s \mid x_1^r y_2^s \in V_2\}$ is a minimal set of generators of the semigroup of invariants of the form $y_1^r x_2^s$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}&\{x_1^r x_2^s, \mathcal{O}_V\} \cap \{x_1^a x_2^b y_1^a y_2^b \mid a, b \geq 0\}\\&\quad = \{y_1^r y_2^s, \mathcal{O}_V\} \cap \{x_1^a x_2^b y_1^a y_2^b \mid a, b \geq 0\},\\&\{x_1^r y_2^s, \mathcal{O}_V\} \cap \{x_1^a x_2^b y_1^a y_2^b \mid a, b \geq 0\}\\&\quad = \{y_1^r x_2^s, \mathcal{O}_V\} \cap \{x_1^a x_2^b y_1^a y_2^b \mid a, b \geq 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

So $\{\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V\}$ is spanned by $\{V_1, \mathcal{O}_V\}$ and $\{V_2, \mathcal{O}_V\}$, together with $\{x_1^a y_1^b x_2^c y_2^d \mid (a, b) \neq (c, d)\}$. Next,

$$\begin{aligned}&\{x_1^r x_2^s, x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2}\} = s b_2 x_1^{a_1+r} x_2^{a_2+s-1} y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2-1}\\&\quad + r b_1 x_1^{a_1+r-1} x_2^{a_2+s} y_1^{b_1-1} y_2^{b_2},\\&\{x_1^r y_2^s, x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2}\} = -s a_2 x_1^{a_1+r} x_2^{a_2-1} y_1^{b_1} y_2^{b_2+s-1}\\&\quad + r b_1 x_1^{a_1+r-1} x_2^{a_2} y_1^{b_1-1} y_2^{b_2+s}.\end{aligned}$$

We are interested in the possible right-hand-side expressions whose monomials have the form $x_1^a x_2^b y_1^a y_2^b$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\{x_1^r x_2^s, x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} y_1^{a_1+r} y_2^{a_2+s}\}\\&\quad = s(a_2+s) x_1^{a_1+r} x_2^{a_2+s-1} y_1^{a_1+r} y_2^{a_2+s-1}\\&\qquad + r(a_1+r) x_1^{a_1+r-1} x_2^{a_2+s} y_1^{a_1+r-1} y_2^{a_2+s},\\&\{x_1^r y_2^s, x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2+s} y_1^{a_1+r} y_2^{a_2}\}\\&\quad = -s(a_2+s) x_1^{a_1+r} x_2^{a_2+s-1} y_1^{a_1+r} y_2^{a_2+s-1}\\&\qquad + r(a_1+r) x_1^{a_1+r-1} x_2^{a_2+s} y_1^{a_1+r-1} y_2^{a_2+s}.\end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, set $[f] = f + \{\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V\} \in \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$. Then for every $x_1^r x_2^s \in V_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&s(a_2+s)[x_1^{a_1+r} x_2^{a_2+s-1} y_1^{a_1+r} y_2^{a_2+s-1}]\\&\quad + r(a_1+r)[x_1^{a_1+r-1} x_2^{a_2+s} y_1^{a_1+r-1} y_2^{a_2+s}] = 0. \qquad (6\text{–}1)\end{aligned}$$

For every $x_1^r y_2^s \in V_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}&-s(a_2+s)[x_1^{a_1+r} x_2^{a_2+s-1} y_1^{a_1+r} y_2^{a_2+s-1}]\\&\quad + r(a_1+r)[x_1^{a_1+r-1} x_2^{a_2+s} y_1^{a_1+r-1} y_2^{a_2+s}] = 0, \qquad (6\text{–}2)\end{aligned}$$

if $r, s \geq 1$; in the case that $s = 0$,

$$[x_1^{a_1+r-1} x_2^{a_2} y_1^{a_1+r-1} y_2^{a_2}] = 0, \qquad (6\text{–}3)$$

and in the case that $r = 0$,

$$[x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2+s-1} y_1^{a_1} y_2^{a_2+s-1}] = 0. \qquad (6\text{–}4)$$

Since $V_1 \cup V_2$ forms a set of algebra generators of $\mathcal{O}_V^G$, these span all the relations in $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$, together with the relations $[x_1^a x_2^b y_1^c y_2^d] = 0$ if $a \neq c$ or $b \neq d$. Now, if we represent $[x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} y_1^{a_1} y_2^{a_2}]$ by the point (a_1, a_2) and each relation by an edge, then we get the subgraph of Γ of vertices with nonnegative coordinates, together with the additional relations that $[x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} y_1^{a_1} y_2^{a_2}] = 0$ if (a_1, a_2) is adjacent in Γ to a vertex that does not have nonnegative coordinates.

Let $C_1, C_2, \ldots$ be the connected components of Γ containing at least one vertex with nonnegative coordinates. Let $V(C_i) \subseteq \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ be the (possibly zero) vector space spanned by $\{[x_1^r x_2^s y_1^r y_2^s] \mid (r, s) \in C_i, r, s \geq 0\}$. Then $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) = \bigoplus_i V(C_i)$.

For any $a, b \geq 0$, if for every $(r, s) \in E$, either $a < r-1$ or $b < s-1$, then there is no relation involving $[x_1^a x_2^b y_1^a y_2^b]$. Thus, $\dim V(\{(a, b)\}) = 1$. This accounts for the points of type (1). Next, if $a', b' \geq 0$ and there exists $(r, s) \in E$ such that $a' \geq r-1$ and $b' \geq s-1$, then (a', b') is in a connected component of Γ that is a chain of the form $(a, b+c), (a+1, b+c-1), \ldots, (a+c, b)$. If there is exactly one edge between any two consecutive points $(a+i, b+c-i)$ and $(a+i+1, b+c-i-1)$, and $a, b \geq 0$, then there is exactly one relation of the form (6–1) or (6–2) between the two corresponding terms $[x_1^{a+i} y_1^{b+c-i} x_2^{a+i} y_2^{b+c-i}]$ and $[x_1^{a+i+1} y_1^{b+c-i-1} x_2^{a+i+1} y_2^{b+c-i-1}]$, and no other relations involving these elements. Therefore,

$$\dim V(\{(a, b+c), (a+1, b+c-1), \ldots, (a+c, b)\}) = 1.$$

This accounts for the chains of type (2).

If there are two edges between two consecutive points of a chain, then there are two relations of the form (6–1) or (6–2). The assumption that V_1, V_2 are minimal sets of generators implies that the two relations are irredundant. Therefore,

$$V(\{(a, b+c), (a+1, b+c-1), \ldots, (a+c, b)\}) = 0.$$

Finally, if a connected component C_i contains a point (a, b) with $a = -1$ or $b = -1$, then there is a relation of the form (6–3) or (6–4), which implies that $V(C_i) = 0$. □

6.2. Proof of Theorem 6.2

We prove Theorem 6.2 first in the case that G is cyclic and generated by an element of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi i/m} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2r\pi i/m} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (6\text{–}5)$$

where $\gcd(r, m) = 1$ (Case I), and then we reduce the general case (Case II) to this case.

6.2.1. Case I: G is generated by (6–5).

In this subsection, we prove the most difficult part of the theorem.

Proposition 6.9. *Let G be cyclic and generated by $\begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi i/m} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2r\pi i/m} \end{pmatrix}$, where $\gcd(r,m)=1$. Assume that $|r| \le \frac{m}{2}$. Then G has the property $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \cong \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$ if and only if $r \mid (m+1)$ or $r \mid (m-1)$.*

Since $\gcd(r,m)=1$, it follows from Lemma 1.1, as mentioned at the beginning of the section, that $\dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X) = |G|-1$.

We break the proof into two easy lemmas and one hard one.

Since G is generated by $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} e^{2\pi i/m} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2r\pi i/m} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, it follows in the case $r>0$ that $x_1^r y_2$ is an invariant, and in the case $r<0$, that $x_1^{-r}x_2$ is an invariant. Since also $|r| \le m/2$, it follows that $(|r|-1,0)$ is a corner of the staircase. Next, let t be an integer such that $|t| \le m/2$ and $rt \equiv 1 \pmod m$. Then G is also generated by $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} e^{2t\pi i/m} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2\pi i/m} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. It follows that $(0,|t|-1)$ is a corner of the staircase. For ease of notation, let us set $p := |r|$ and $q := |t|$, so that $(p-1,0)$ and $(0,q-1)$ are corners of the staircase.

Since $rt \equiv 1 \pmod m$, it follows that either $m \mid (pq+1)$ or $m \mid (pq-1)$. It suffices to assume that G is nontrivial, i.e., $m>1$. Let $k \ge 0$ be such that $mk = pq+1$ or $mk = pq-1$. Then the proposition reduces to the following lemmas.

Lemma 6.10. *If $k=0$, then $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) = \dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$.*

Proof. In this case, $p=q=1$. Then $(0,0)$ is a corner of the staircase, as are $(m-1,0)$ and $(0,m-1)$. The statement of the lemma follows easily. □

Lemma 6.11. *If $k=1$, then $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) = \dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$.*

Proof. If $k=1$, then $m=pq+1$ or $pq-1$. It is straightforward to compute $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ from Figures 2 and 3, which depict the corresponding staircases. □

Lemma 6.12. *If $k \ge 2$ and $m>1$, then $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) > \dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$.*

The proof of this final lemma is long and somewhat technical, so we subdivide it into several parts.

Proof. Note that by assumption, $p,q>1$. Write $m = bp+a$ for $0<a<p$ and $m=cq+d$ for $0<d<q$.



FIGURE 2. The case m = pq+1.

Claim 6.13. *$(a-1,b-1)$ and $(c-1,d-1)$ are corners of the staircase: $(a-1,b-1)$ is the rightmost before $(p-1,0)$, and $(c-1,d-1)$ is the leftmost after $(0,q-1)$, as in Figure 4.*

Proof of claim. First, note that $b<q/k$ and $c<p/k$, since $m = \frac{pq\pm1}{k} = bp+a = cq+d$. Next, for all a' such that $a<a'<p$, that $a'+b'p \equiv 0 \pmod m$ implies that $b'p>m$, so that $b'>q/k$. Therefore, $(a'-1,b'-1)$ cannot be a corner of the staircase. It follows that $(a-1,b-1)$ is a corner. Similarly, if $d<d'<q$, then $(c'-1,d'-1)$ cannot be a corner for any c', and hence $(c-1,d-1)$ is a corner. □



FIGURE 3. The case m = pq-1.



FIGURE 4. The staircase for $k \geq 2$.

In particular, it follows that $c \leq a$ and $d \geq b$ (see Figure 4). (A direct proof of this also follows from the argument of Claim 6.13: first one shows $c < p/k$ and $b < q/k$; then if $a < c < p$, it would follow that $d > q/k$, a contradiction.) To summarize, $0 < c \leq a < p$ and $0 < b \leq d < q$.

Note also that $b = \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{q}{k} \rfloor$ and $c = \lfloor \frac{m}{q} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{p}{k} \rfloor$. By our assumptions, $p, q < m/2$, and hence also $b, c \geq 2$.

Claim 6.14. $p + b - 2 \leq m - p$.

Proof of claim. First, note that

$$(m-p)-(p+b-2) = m+2-2p-b \geq m+2-2p-\frac{m}{p}.$$

Now set $f(p) = m + 2 - 2p - \frac{m}{p}$. Since $f(p)$ is convex and $1 < p < \frac{m}{2}$, it suffices to prove that $f(1) \geq 0$ and $f(\frac{m}{2}) \geq 0$. This is clear because they are both 0. □

Therefore, glancing at Figure 4, we see that there are chains beginning at $(p-1,0), \ldots, (p-1, b-2)$ of type (2) (in the language of the beginning of the section) that form connected components in $\mathcal{C}$. Similarly, there are chains of type (2) ending at $(0, q-1), \ldots, (c-2, q-1)$.

Next, again from Figure 4, we see that there are points of type (1) of the form $(c-1, j)$ with $b-1 \leq j < d-1$ and of the form $(i, b-1)$ for $c-1 \leq i < a-1$, and also the chains $(c-1, d-1)$ and $(a-1, b-1)$ of type (2), each a connected component in $\mathcal{C}$ consisting of a single vertex (some of which may be equal). Together with the more obvious points (i,j) of type (1) where either $i < c-1, j < q-1$ or $i < p-1, j < b-1$, we deduce the following.

Claim 6.15. $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \geq p(b-1) + q(c-1) - (b-1)(c-1) + (d-b) + (a-c) + 1$.

Let h denote the difference

$$\begin{aligned}&\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)\\ &\quad - \big(p(b-1) + q(c-1) - (b-1)(c-1) + (d-b)\\ &\qquad + (a-c) + 1\big).\end{aligned}$$

In particular, h is at least the number of chains of type (2) containing vertices (j,k) such that $j + k > \max\{c + d - 2, a + b - 2\}$ and $j < p-1, k < q-1$. (The last condition ensures that these chains are not those beginning with any of the vertices $(p-1,0), \ldots, (p-1, b-2)$ or ending at any of the vertices $(0, q-1), \ldots, (c-2, q-1)$, which we already counted above.)

In view of the claim and the formula for $\dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}&\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) - \dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)\\ &\quad = p(b-1) + q(c-1) - (b-1)(c-1) + (d-b)\\ &\qquad + (a-c) + 1 - (m-1) + h\\ &\quad = m - a - p + m - d - q - bc + b + c - 1 + d - b\\ &\qquad + a - c + 1 - m + 1 + h\\ &\quad = m + 1 - p - q - bc + h.\end{aligned}$$

We will need one more inequality, which gives a lower bound on p, and similarly on q.

Claim 6.16. $p \geq kc + 1$. *Similarly,* $q \geq kb + 1$.

Proof of claim. $pq \geq km - 1 = k(cq + d) - 1 > kcq$. The same argument shows that $q > kb$. □

We now divide the lemma into five cases. In each case, we prove that $m + 1 - p - q - bc + h > 0$. Up to symmetry (swapping r with t), we will assume that $b \geq c$.

Case 1: $k = 2$. Note that since $b, c \geq 2$ as remarked at the beginning of the proof of the lemma, it follows that $p \geq kc + 1 \geq 5$ and similarly $q \geq 5$.

Case 1a: $m = \frac{pq-1}{2}$. In this case, the staircase has three corners with nonnegative coefficients:

$$(p-1, 0), \quad \left(\frac{p-1}{2} - 1, \frac{q-1}{2} - 1\right), \quad (0, q-1).$$

So $a = c = \frac{p-1}{2}$ and $b = d = \frac{q-1}{2}$. Then

$$m + 1 - p - q - bc = \frac{pq-1}{2} + 1 - p - q - \frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{q-1}{2} = \frac{1}{4}(pq - 3p - 3q + 1).$$

In addition, since $p, q \geq 5$, we have at least two additional chains in $\mathcal{C}$ of type (2):

$$\left(\frac{p-3}{2}, \frac{q-1}{2}\right), \quad \left(\frac{p-1}{2}, \frac{q-3}{2}\right)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{p-3}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2}\right), \quad \left(\frac{p-1}{2}, \frac{q-1}{2}\right), \quad \left(\frac{p+1}{2}, \frac{q-3}{2}\right).$$

So $h \geq 2$, and it suffices to prove that $pq - 3p - 3q + 9 = (p-3)(q-3) > 0$, which is obvious.

Case 1b: $m = \frac{pq+1}{2}$. In this case, the staircase has four corners with nonnegative coefficients:

$$(0, q-1), \quad \left(\frac{p-1}{2} - 1, \frac{q+1}{2} - 1\right), \quad \left(\frac{p+1}{2} - 1, \frac{q-1}{2} - 1\right), \quad (0, p-1).$$

So

$$a = \frac{p+1}{2}, \quad b = \frac{q-1}{2}, \quad c = \frac{p-1}{2}, \quad d = \frac{q+1}{2}.$$

Then

$$m + 1 - p - q - bc = \frac{pq+1}{2} + 1 - p - q - \frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{q-1}{2} = \frac{1}{4}(pq - 3p - 3q + 5).$$

Also, since $p, q \geq 5$, there is at least one additional chain of type (2) in $\mathcal{C}$:

$$\left(\frac{p-3}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2}\right), \quad \left(\frac{p-1}{2}, \frac{q-1}{2}\right), \quad \left(\frac{p+1}{2}, \frac{q-3}{2}\right).$$

So $h \geq 1$, and it suffices to prove that $pq - 3p - 3q + 9 > 0$, which we already saw in Case 1a.

Case 2: $k \geq 3$, $b \geq 3$, $c \geq 3$. In this case, $m + 1 - p - q - bc > 0$ follows from the inequalities

$$p < \frac{m}{b} \leq \frac{m}{3}, \quad q < \frac{m}{c} \leq \frac{m}{3},$$
$$bc = \frac{m-a}{p} \cdot \frac{m-d}{q} < \frac{m^2}{pq} \leq \frac{m\frac{pq+1}{k}}{pq} = \frac{m}{k} + \frac{m}{kpq} < \frac{m}{3} + 1.$$

Case 3: $k \geq 3$, $c = 2$, $b \geq 4$. Since $p \geq kc + 1 \geq 7$, it follows that

$$p < \frac{m}{b} \leq \frac{m}{4}, \quad q + \frac{1}{2}b \leq q + \frac{d}{2} = \frac{m}{2}, \quad \frac{3}{2}b < \frac{3m}{2p} \leq \frac{3m}{14}.$$

For the second of these inequalities, see Figure 4 and the discussion after Claim 6.13. We deduce from the three lines that

$$m + 1 - p - q - bc = m + 1 - p - \left(q + \frac{1}{2}b\right) - \left(\frac{3}{2}b\right) > 0.$$

Case 4: $k \geq 3$, $c = 2$, $b = 3$. Note that $d \geq b = 3$ and $a \geq c = 2$. Hence

$$q = \frac{m-d}{c} \leq \frac{m-3}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad p = \frac{m-a}{b} \leq \frac{m-2}{3}.$$

So $m - p - q - 5 \geq \frac{m-17}{6}$. Since $m > bp > bkc \geq 18$, we conclude that $m - p - q - 5 > 0$, as desired.

Case 5: $k \geq 3$, $c = 2$, $b = 2$. Note that

$$m + 1 - p - q - bc = m + 1 - \frac{m-a}{2} - \frac{m-d}{2} - 4 = \frac{a+d-6}{2}.$$

Therefore, it suffices to prove that $2h + a + d > 6$.

Case 5a: $a = d = 2$. In this case, we have at least two additional chains of type (2) in $\mathcal{C}$: $(1,2), (2,1)$ and $(1,3), (2,2), (3,1)$. Therefore, $h \geq 2$, as desired.

Case 5b. If we are not in the case $a = d = 2$, then $(1,1)$ is not a corner of the staircase; in view of Figure 4, this implies $a, d > 2$. It suffices to assume that $a = d = 3$. We claim that this cannot happen. To obtain a contradiction, assume that $a = d = 3$. Then $m = 2p + 3 = 2q + 3$. Since $m = \frac{pq \pm 1}{k}$, $4m$ divides $4(pq \pm 1) = m^2 - 6m + 9 \pm 4$. Therefore, m is odd, so $m \mid m^2 - 6m + 9 \pm 4$, and hence m divides 5 or 13. However, $m = 2p + 3 \geq 2(kc + 1) + 3 \geq 17$, which is a contradiction. □

6.2.2. Case II: The General Case.

In this subsection, we complete the proof of Theorem 6.2 by reducing the general case to Proposition 6.9, which was proved in the previous subsection.

Lemma 6.17. *Let*

$$A = \min\{r > 0 : x_1^r x_2^s \in \mathcal{O}_V^G \text{ or } x_1^r y_2^s \in \mathcal{O}_V^G\}.$$

Then for every invariant of the form $x_1^r x_2^s$ or $x_1^r y_2^s$ in $\mathcal{O}_V^G$, we have $A \mid r$.

Proof. It is enough to prove the result for $r > 0$. Suppose, to obtain a contradiction, that $A \nmid r$ and that $x_1^r x_2^s$ or $x_1^r y_2^s$ is an invariant. We can assume that r is minimal for this property. There must exist $s', s'' \geq 0$ such that $x_1^A x_2^{s'}$ and $x_1^A y_2^{s''}$ are invariants. In the first case, that $x_1^r x_2^s$ is invariant, it follows also that $x_1^{r-A} x_2^{s+s''}$ is invariant; in the latter case, that $x_1^r y_2^s$ is invariant, it follows also that $x_1^{r-A} y_2^{s+s'}$ is invariant. This contradicts our assumption. □

Similarly, let

$$B = \min\left\{s > 0 : x_1^r x_2^s \in \mathcal{O}_V^G \text{ or } x_1^r y_2^s \in \mathcal{O}_V^G\right\}.$$

Then B divides all of the s appearing in the set. We construct a group G' in the following way:

$$G' = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^A & 0 \\ 0 & \xi^B \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & \xi \end{pmatrix} \in G \right\}.$$

Then $x_1^{Ar} x_2^{Bs}$ is an invariant of G if and only if $x_1^r x_2^s$ is an invariant of G', and $x_1^{Ar} y_2^{Bs}$ is an invariant of G if and only if $x_1^r y_2^s$ is an invariant of G'.

Lemma 6.18. $G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & \xi \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^A & 0 \\ 0 & \xi^B \end{pmatrix} \in G' \right\}.$

Proof. It is immediate from the above description that the two groups have the same invariants. This implies that the two groups are the same in a standard way: for example, if $G \leq H$ and $\mathcal{O}_V^G = \mathcal{O}_V^H$, then the quotient fields $\mathbb{C}(V)^G$ and $\mathbb{C}(V)^H$ will also be equal, and by the main theorem of Galois theory, $G = H$. □

Lemma 6.19. *G' is generated by* $\begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi i/m} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2r\pi i/m} \end{pmatrix}$*, for some integers r, m with* $\gcd(r, m) = 1$.

Proof. Let $m \geq 1$ be the positive integer such that the first projection $\{\zeta : \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & \xi \end{pmatrix} \in G'\}$ is the cyclic group generated by $e^{2\pi i/m}$. By the definition of G', there exists $\ell \geq 1$ such that $x_1^\ell x_2 \in \mathcal{O}_V^{G'}$. It follows that the lattice

$$\left(\mathbb{Z}^2\right)^{G'} := \left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid x_1^a x_2^b \in \mathbb{C}(V)^{G'}\right\}$$

is generated by $(m, 0)$ and $(\ell, 1)$. By assumption, $\gcd(\ell, m) = 1$. Thus, we can let $r := -\ell$, and then $(\mathbb{Z}^2)^{G'}$ identifies with the lattice invariant under the element stated in the lemma. This implies that G' is generated by the element. In more detail, if $K \leq G'$ is the subgroup generated by this element, then $|K| = |\mathbb{Z}^2/(\mathbb{Z}^2)^K| = |\mathbb{Z}^2/(\mathbb{Z}^2)^{G'}| = |G'|$. □



FIGURE 5. The staircase for type (3) in Theorem 6.2.

We see that Case I of Theorem 6.2, i.e., Proposition 6.9, is equivalent to the case $A = B = 1$. We divide the remainder of the theorem into two cases:

Case 1: $A > 1$ and $B > 1$. In the case that G' is the trivial group, G is evidently of type (3) in Theorem 6.2, and it is easy to see that for this group, $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) = (A-1)(B-1) = \dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$. See also Figure 5.

Claim 6.20. *If $A > 1$ and $B > 1$, and G' is nontrivial, then* $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) > \dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$.

Proof of claim. Without loss of generality, assume that $A \geq B$. Then $\dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X) = ABm - A - B + 1$. Now we prove that $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \geq AB \dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^{G'}, \mathcal{O}_V) + 2(A-1)(B-1)$ by the following correspondence:

(i) Let (a, b) be a point that forms a connected component of $\Gamma(G')$ of type (1). Then for every $(r, s) \in E(G)$, either $a < r/A - 1$ or $b < s/B - 1$. Hence $(Aa + i, Bb + j)$ forms a connected component of $\Gamma(G)$ of type (1) for each $0 \leq i < A$, $0 \leq j < B$, because $Aa + i < r - 1$ or $bB + j < s - 1$ for all $(r, s) \in E(G)$.

(ii) Let $(a, b+c), (a+1, b+c-1), \ldots, (a+c, b)$ form a connected component of $\Gamma(G')$ of type (2). Then we can verify that $(Aa + i, B(b+c) + j)$ is a connected component of $\Gamma(G)$ of type (1) for each $0 \leq i < A-1$, $0 \leq j < B$, and that the chains starting from

$$(Aa + A - 1, B(b+c) + j), \quad 0 \leq j < B,$$

are connected components of $\Gamma(G)$ of type (2).

(iii) In addition, each point

$$(A(m-1) + i, j) \quad \text{and} \quad (i, B(m-1) + j),$$

$0 \leq i < A-1$, $0 \leq j < B-1$, forms a connected component of $\Gamma(G)$ of type (1).

Thus $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \geq AB \dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^{G'}, \mathcal{O}_V) + 2(A-1)(B-1) \geq AB(m-1) + 2(A-1)(B-1) > ABm - A - B + 1 = \dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$. $\square$

Case 2: $A > 1, B = 1$ or $A = 1, B > 1$. Without loss of generality, assume that $A > 1, B = 1$.

Claim 6.21. *If $A > 1$ and $B = 1$, G is nontrivial, and $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) = \dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$, then G' is generated by $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} e^{2\pi i/m} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\pm 2\pi i/m} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$.*

For G' as in the claim, Lemma 6.18 implies that G is generated by $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} e^{\pm 2\pi i/(mA)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2\pi i/m} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. This accounts for the groups of type (2) in Theorem 6.2; conversely, it is an easy consequence of Theorem 6.5 that all of these groups indeed satisfy $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) = \dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$. See also Figure 6. This finishes the proof of the theorem, and it remains only to prove the claim.

Proof of Claim 6.21. Similarly to (i) and (ii) in Case 1 above,

$$\dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X) = A(m-1) = A \dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^{G'}, \mathcal{D}_X)$$

and

$$\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \geq A \dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^{G'}, \mathcal{O}_V).$$

Assume that

$$\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) = \dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X).$$

Then we must have

$$\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^{G'}, \mathcal{O}_V) = \dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^{G'}, \mathcal{D}_X).$$

Define p, q in the same way as in Case I (note that we must have $k = 0$ or $k = 1$). Then $(0, q-1)$ is the corner of the staircase for G' with x-coordinate equal to zero. This implies that the staircase for G has the corner $(A-1, q-1)$. However, in this case, it would follow, similarly to the argument in Case 1 of this subsection, that $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) > A \dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^{G'}, \mathcal{O}_V)$ unless $q = 1$. In the latter case, G' is as claimed. $\square$

7. COMPLEX REFLECTION GROUPS *G*(*m*, *p*, 2) < GL$_2$ < Sp$_4$

Assume $m \geq 2$ and $p \mid m$. Up to conjugation, the complex reflection group $G = G(m, p, 2) < \mathrm{GL}_2$ has the form

$$G = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi i/m} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2\pi i/m} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi p i/m} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle.$$

Let $K < G$ be the index-two abelian subgroup of diagonal matrices. As before, let $V = \mathbb{C}^4$ and consider



FIGURE 6. The staircase for type (2) in Theorem 6.2.

$K < G < \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ in the standard way. Let $r := m/p$. Then the invariants $\mathcal{O}_V^K$ are spanned by the monomials

$$x_1^a x_2^b y_1^c y_2^d, \quad m \mid \big((a-c) - (b-d)\big), \ r \mid a, b, c, d.$$

The invariants $\mathcal{O}_V^G$ are spanned by the sums $x_1^a x_2^b y_1^c y_2^d + x_1^b x_2^a y_1^d y_2^c$, where a, b, c, d are as above. It follows easily that as an algebra, $\mathcal{O}_V^G$ is generated by

$$x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2, \ x_1 y_1 x_2 y_2, \ x_1^m + x_2^m, \ y_1^m + y_2^m, \ x_1^r x_2^r, \\ y_1^r y_2^r, \ x_1^{jr} y_2^{m-jr} + x_2^{jr} y_1^{m-jr} \quad (1 \leq j < p), \tag{7–1}$$

and

$$x_1^{m+1} y_1 + x_2^{m+1} y_2, \quad y_1^{m+1} x_1 + y_2^{m+1} x_2, \\ x_1^{jr+1} y_1 y_2^{m-jr} + x_2^{jr+1} y_2 y_1^{m-jr} \quad (1 \leq j < p). \tag{7–2}$$

The set (7–2) consists of elements obtainable from those in (7–1) by a linear combination of bracketing with $x_1 y_1 x_2 y_2$ and multiplying by $x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2$, and hence (7–1) Poisson generates $\mathcal{O}_V^G$. Therefore, $\{\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V\}$ is spanned by $\{f, \mathcal{O}_V\}$, where f ranges among the elements listed in (7–1).

In the next subsections we will consider separately the cases $p = 1$, $p = m$, and $1 < p < m$. We first consider $p = 1$, since the computations here will be used in subsequent subsections as well.

Remark 7.1. The techniques used here might also be able to handle the case of somewhat more general finite subgroups of GL_2, namely, those generated by a subgroup of diagonal matrices together with an off-diagonal element with zeros on the diagonal. For such groups, we can use the subgroup $K < G$ of diagonal matrices, which has index two, and for which $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^K, \mathcal{O}_V)$ was computed in the previous section. In more detail, there is a natural map $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^K, \mathcal{O}_V) = \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^K)$ whose image is $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^K)^G$, the part symmetric under swapping indices 1 and 2. The dimension of the latter is roughly $\frac{1}{2}\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^K)$, so estimates using Theorem 6.5, in the spirit of the previous section, should suffice to show that $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) \not\cong \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$ for many of these G.

7.1. The case $p = 1$

Set $G = G(m,1,2)$.

Theorem 7.2. *For $G = G(m,1,2)$, we have $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \cong \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$, and a homogeneous basis for the former is given by the images of the elements*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&x_1^a x_2^b y_1^a y_2^b \quad (a,b \le m-2);\\
&x_1^{m-1} x_2^a y_1^{m-1} y_2^a + x_1^a x_2^{m-1} y_1^a y_2^{m-1} \quad (1 \le a \le m-1);\\
&x_1^{a+b} y_1^a y_2^b, \quad x_2^{a+b} y_1^b y_2^a \quad (a+b \le m-2,\ b \ge 1);\\
&b x_1^{m-1} y_1^{m-1-b} y_2^b - (m-b) x_2^{m-1} y_1^{m-b} y_2^{b-1}\\
&\quad (1 \le b \le m-1).
\end{aligned}
$$

The G-graded structure of $H = \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \cong \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$ follows immediately from this. We will need some notation for the irreducible representations of G. Let χ be the tautological one-dimensional representation of the group of mth roots of unity $\{e^{2\pi k i/m}\}$. For $0 \le a \le m-1$, let $\rho_a := \chi^a \circ \det$, so that ρ_0 is the trivial representation. Let ρ_0^- be the nontrivial one-dimensional representation that restricts to the trivial representation on K, i.e., that is -1 on off-diagonal elements and 1 on diagonal elements. Then let $\rho_a^- := \rho_0^- \otimes \rho_a$. Next, for $a \ne b$, let $\tau_{a,b}$ be the two-dimensional irreducible representation that restricts to $(\chi^a \boxtimes \chi^b) \oplus (\chi^b \boxtimes \chi^a)$ on K. There are $\binom{m}{2}$ distinct such irreducible representations. Note that the corresponding representation in the case $a = b$ is $\rho_a \oplus \rho_a^-$.

Corollary 7.3.

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_0, H); t) &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} \left\lfloor \frac{j+2}{2} \right\rfloor t^{2j}\\
&\quad + \sum_{j=m-1}^{2m-4} \left\lfloor \frac{2m-2-j}{2} \right\rfloor t^{2j} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} t^{2m+2j};\\
h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_0^-, H); t) &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} \left\lfloor \frac{j+1}{2} \right\rfloor t^{2j}\\
&\quad + \sum_{j=m-1}^{2m-4} \left\lfloor \frac{2m-3-j}{2} \right\rfloor t^{2j};
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\tau_{b,-b}, H); t) &= (t^{2b} + t^{2b+2} + \cdots + t^{2(m-b)-2})\\
&\quad + (2t^{2(m-b)} + 2t^{2(m-b)+4} + \cdots + 2t^{2m-4}) + t^{2m-2},\\
&\quad 1 \le b < m/2;
\end{aligned}
$$

If m is odd, then for all other irreducible representations ρ, $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho, H) = 0$. If m is even, then this is true except for $\rho_{m/2}$ and $\rho_{m/2}^-$, for which

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_{m/2}, H); t) &= t^m + t^{m+2} + \cdots + t^{2m-4};\\
h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_{m/2}^-, H); t) &= t^m + t^{m+2} + \cdots + t^{2m-2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

We omit the proof of the corollary, since it follows directly from the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 7.2. We will prove that the given elements map to a basis of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$. From this it is easy to deduce that $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \cong \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$: we have only to compute that the dimensions are equal, since there is always a surjection. By Lemma 1.1, $\dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$ equals the number of elements $g \in G$ such that $g - \mathrm{Id}$ is invertible. There are $(m-1)^2$ diagonal elements without 1 on the diagonal, and $m(m-1)$ off-diagonal matrices of determinant not equal to -1, and these are exactly the elements such that $g - \mathrm{Id}$ is invertible. So it is enough to show that $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) = (m-1)(2m-1)$, and this follows by computing the number of basis elements.

We will compute explicitly the brackets of (7–1) and show that the claimed elements form a basis of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$. Since $p = 1$, only the first four elements of (7–1) are needed. So we compute the brackets with these elements.

First, $\{x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2, \mathcal{O}_V^G\}$ is the span of all monomials $x_1^a x_2^b y_1^c y_2^d$ with $a + b \ne c + d$.

Next, $\{x_1 y_1 x_2 y_2, \mathcal{O}_V^G\}$ is the span of elements

$$(c-a) x_1^{a-1} x_2^b y_1^{c-1} y_2^d + (d-b) x_1^a x_2^{b-1} y_1^c y_2^{d-1}.$$

In the case $a + b = c + d$ (otherwise, the monomial is in the span of the previous paragraph), this reduces to $x_1^{a-1} x_2^b y_1^{c-1} y_2^d - x_1^a x_2^{b-1} y_1^c y_2^{d-1}$. So the quotient by this and the brackets of the previous paragraph is spanned by the images of the monomials

$$
\begin{aligned}
&x_1^a x_2^b y_1^a y_2^b \quad (a,b \ge 0);\\
&x_1^{a+b} y_1^a y_2^b, \quad x_2^{a+b} y_1^b y_2^a \quad (a \ge 0, b > 0).
\end{aligned} \tag{7–3}
$$

It will be useful to remember the equivalences

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_1^{a+b} y_1^a y_2^b &\equiv x_1^{a+b-c} x_2^c y_1^{a-c} y_2^{b+c}, \\
x_2^{a+b} y_1^b y_2^a &\equiv x_1^c x_2^{a+b-c} y_1^{b+c} y_2^{a-c}, \quad c \le a,\ b > 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{7–4}
$$

which we will use for subsequent relations.

Finally, $\{x_1^m + x_2^m, \mathcal{O}_V^G\}$ is spanned by

$$c x_1^{a+m-1} x_2^b y_1^{c-1} y_2^d + d x_1^a x_2^{b+m-1} y_1^c y_2^{d-1},$$

and similarly for $\{y_1^m + y_2^m, \mathcal{O}_V^G\}$. In particular (replacing a by $a-(m-1)$), this includes the elements $x_1^a x_2^b y_1^{a+b}$ and $x_1^b x_2^a y_2^{a+b}$, where $a \geq m-1$ and $b \geq 0$. Together with the spans described in the previous paragraphs, we can first restrict our attention to the case $a+b+m-1 = c+d-1$, i.e., $d = a+b-c+m$. Then we obtain the monomials of the second two forms of (7–3) in the case that $a \geq m-1$, i.e.,

$$x_1^{a+b} y_1^a y_2^b, \quad x_2^{a+b} y_1^b y_2^a \quad (a \geq m-1,\ b > 0). \tag{7–5}$$

Brackets with the remaining elements in (7–1) yield, up to the symmetry of swapping x_1 with x_2 and y_1 with y_2 (and still assuming $d = a+b-c+m$),

$$\begin{aligned} & c x_1^{a+b+m-1} y_1^{b+c-1} y_2^{d-b} + d x_2^{a+b+m-1} y_1^{c-a} y_2^{a+d-1}, \\ & \quad \text{if } a < c, b < d; \\ & x_1^{a+b+m-1} y_1^{b+c-1} y_2^{d-b}, \quad \text{if } a > c; \\ & (b+m) x_1^a x_2^{b+m-1} y_1^a y_2^{b+m-1} + a x_1^{a+b+m-1} y_1^{a+b-1} y_2^m, \\ & \quad \text{if } a = c. \end{aligned} \tag{7–6}$$

The final expression of (7–6) together with (7–5) yields the first monomial of (7–6) when $a+b \geq m$, or equivalently (by changing a and b),

$$x_1^a x_2^b y_1^a y_2^b, \quad a+b \geq 2m-1. \tag{7–7}$$

The expressions in the two lines above (7–6) can be rewritten, by changing a, b, c, d, as

$$\begin{aligned} & c x_1^{a+b} y_1^a y_2^b + (a+b+1-c) x_2^{a+b} y_1^{m-b} y_2^{a+2b-m} \\ & \quad (0 < m-b \leq c \leq a+1,\ b > 0); \\ & x_1^{a+b} y_1^a y_2^b, \quad x_2^{a+b} y_1^b y_2^a \quad (b > m). \end{aligned} \tag{7–8}$$

For fixed a and b, if there is more than one possible value of c in the first equation above, then in fact, both monomials that appear are in the span. So, we can rewrite this as

$$\begin{aligned} & (a+1) x_1^{m-1} y_1^a y_2^{m-a-1} + (m-a-1) x_2^{m-1} y_1^{a+1} y_2^{m-a-2} \\ & \qquad (a < m-1); \end{aligned} \tag{7–9}$$

$$x_1^{a+b} y_1^a y_2^b, \quad x_2^{a+b} y_1^b y_2^a \quad (a+b \geq m,\ 0 < b < m). \tag{7–10}$$

Applying the aforementioned swap of indices 1 and 2 to (7–6), we also obtain

$$(b+m) x_1^{b+m-1} x_2^a y_1^{b+m-1} y_2^a + a x_2^{a+b+m-1} y_1^m y_2^{a+b-1}. \tag{7–11}$$

The overall span (7–5)–(7–11) is now symmetric in swapping indices 1 and 2. It is also almost symmetric in swapping x with y using (7–4), since the latter shows that $x_1^{a+b} y_1^a y_2^b$ is equivalent to $x_1^b x_2^a y_2^{a+b}$ when $b > 0$. However, (7–6) yields, after swapping x with y and applying (7–4),

$$(b+m) x_1^a x_2^{b+m-1} y_1^a y_2^{b+m-1} + a x_2^{a+b+m-1} y_1^m y_2^{a+b-1}.$$

Up to (7–11), this is equivalent to

$$x_1^a x_2^{b+m-1} y_1^a y_2^{b+m-1} - x_1^{b+m-1} x_2^a y_1^{b+m-1} y_2^a. \tag{7–12}$$

We conclude that $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ is presented as the span of monomials (7–3) modulo the span of (7–5)–(7–12). From this, the statement of the theorem easily follows. □

7.2. The case $p = m$, i.e., the Coxeter groups $I_2(m)$

In the case $p = m$, $G(m,m,2)$ is the Coxeter group $I_2(m)$.

Theorem 7.4. *If $p = m$, then*

$$\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \cong \mathrm{gr}\, \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X),$$

and a homogeneous basis of the former is given by the images of the elements

$$x_1^a y_1^a + (-1)^a x_2^a y_2^a, 0 \leq a \leq m-2.$$

We can immediately deduce the graded G-structure. Let ρ_0 be the trivial representation and det the determinant representation. Let $H := \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \cong \mathrm{gr}\, \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$.

Corollary 7.5.

$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho_0, H); t) = 1 + t^4 + \cdots + t^{4\lfloor \frac{m-2}{2} \rfloor}$$

and

$$h(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\det, H); t) = t^2 + t^6 + \cdots + t^{4\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor - 2}.$$

Proof of Theorem 7.4. As in the proof of Theorem 7.2, it is enough to prove that the claimed elements form a basis of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$, since there are $m-1$ basis elements and this equals the number of elements $g \in G$ such that $g - \mathrm{Id}$ is invertible (in this case, they are the nontrivial diagonal elements of G).

To do this, we compute explicitly the remaining brackets of (7–1) needed. In this case, the final element of (7–1) is unnecessary, since it is a scalar multiple of the bracket $\{x_1 x_2, y_1^m + y_2^m\}$. So $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ is the quotient of the span of (7–3) and also the equivalent monomials according to (7–4), modulo (7–5)–(7–12) together with the span of $\{x_1x_2, \mathcal{O}_V\} + \{y_1y_2, \mathcal{O}_V\}$. We now compute these spans.

Note that

$$\{x_1x_2, x_1^a x_2^b y_1^c y_2^d\} = c x_1^a x_2^{b+1} y_1^{c-1} y_2^d + d x_1^{a+1} x_2^b y_1^c y_2^{d-1}. \tag{7–13}$$

In the case $c = 0$ or $d = 0$ but not both, this yields the monomial $x_1^a x_2^{b+1} y_1^{c-1}$ or $x_1^{a+1} x_2^b y_2^{d-1}$. Applying the same reasoning replacing x's with y's, the y_1y_2, cO_V is spanned by monomials

$$x_1^c y_1^a y_2^b, \quad x_2^c y_1^b y_2^a, \quad b \geq 1. \tag{7–14}$$

This already includes all but the first type of monomial in (7–3).

For the remaining type, let us assume $a = c - 1$ and $b + 1 = d$ in (7–13). Then we obtain the element

$$(a+1) x_1^a x_2^{b+1} y_1^a y_2^{b+1} + (b+1) x_1^{a+1} x_2^b y_1^{a+1} y_2^b.$$

By symmetry, this is the end of the new elements of $\{\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V\}$ added in the case $p = m$ to those (7–5)–(7–12) from the previous section. Note that (7–6) and (7–11) together with (7–14) yield

$$x_1^a x_2^b y_1^a y_2^b, \quad a \geq m-1 \text{ or } b \geq m-1. \tag{7–15}$$

Now, putting (7–14)–(7–15) together, applied to the monomials (7–3) modulo (7–4), we can recover all of the elements (7–5)–(7–12), and we easily deduce the statement of the theorem. □

7.3. The Case 1 < *p* < *m*

Theorem 7.6. *If $G = G(m,p,n) < \mathrm{GL}_2 < \mathrm{Sp}_4$ and $1 < p < m$, then a basis of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ is obtained by the images of the elements*

$$x_1^a x_2^b y_1^a y_2^b, \quad a < r-1,\ b < m-1 \text{ or } a < m-1,\ b < r-1; \tag{7–16}$$

$$x_1^a x_2^{r-1} y_1^a y_2^{r-1} + (-1)^{a-r+1} x_1^{r-1} x_2^a y_1^{r-1} y_2^a, \quad r-1 \leq a \leq m-r-1; \tag{7–17}$$

$$x_1 x_2^{m-1} y_1 y_2^{m-1} + x_1^{m-1} x_2 y_1^{m-1} y_2, \quad p = 2; \tag{7–18}$$

$$x_1^{a+b} y_1^a y_2^b, \quad x_2^{a+b} y_1^b y_2^a, \quad b > 0, \tag{7–19}$$

$$(\text{either } a+b < 2r-1 \text{ or } a < r-1), \text{ and } \nexists k \in [b, a+b] \text{ s.t. both } \left\lfloor \tfrac{k+1}{r} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \tfrac{a+2b-k}{r} \right\rfloor \geq p \text{ and } k \neq m/2 - 1;$$

$$x_1^{a+b} y_1^a y_2^b + x_2^{a+b} y_1^b y_2^a, \quad b > 0, \quad (\text{either } a+b < 2r-1 \text{ or } a < r-1), \quad a + 2b \geq m, \text{ and } \left\lfloor \frac{a+b+1}{r} \right\rfloor = p/2;$$

$$x_1^{a+b} y_1^a y_2^b - x_2^{a+b} y_1^b y_2^a, \quad \frac{a+b+1}{r} = \frac{p+1}{2}, \quad \frac{b}{r} > \frac{p-1}{2}.$$

We remark that the condition of (7–19) in particular implies $a + b < m - 1$ (by taking $k = a + b \geq m - 1$), so it is consistent with Theorem 7.2, noting that $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ for $G = G(m,p,2)$ is a quotient of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^H, \mathcal{O}_V)$ for $H = G(m,1,2) > G$.

Also, note that the statement of the theorem actually holds when $p = m > 2$, and reduces to Theorem 7.4, but since the result is then much simpler, we separated the two theorems.

Corollary 7.7. *For $1 < p < m$, $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \ncong \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$. Also, $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) \ncong \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$ unless $p = 2$ and $m \in \{4, 6\}$, in which case one obtains*

$$h(\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G); t) = h(\mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G); t) = 1 + t^2 + 3t^4 + t^8, \quad G = G(4,2,2); \tag{7–20}$$

$$h(\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G); t) = h(\mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G); t) = 1 + t^2 + 2t^4 + 3t^6 + 4t^8 + t^{10} + t^{12}, \quad G = G(6,2,2). \tag{7–21}$$

In general, when $1 < p < m$,

$$\begin{aligned} h(\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G); t) &= \sum_{j=0}^{2r-5} \left\lfloor \frac{j+2}{2} \right\rfloor t^{2j} + \sum_{j=2r-4}^{m-2} (r-1) t^{2j} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=m-1}^{m+r-4} (m+r-3-j) t^{2j} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-2r}{2} \rfloor} t^{4(r+j-1)} + \delta_{p,2} t^{2m} + \delta_{2|p} \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} t^{m+2i}, \end{aligned} \tag{7–22}$$

where $\delta_{2|p} = 1$ if p is even and $\delta_{2|p} = 0$ otherwise.

It is also possible to use Theorem 7.6 to give an explicit description of the graded G-structure of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ similarly to Corollaries 7.3 and 7.5, but we omit this, because it is complicated and less explicit. In computing the Hilbert series of the G-invariants above, the relevant basis elements above greatly simplify.

Remark 7.8. As a consequence of the theorem, we see that for $1 < p < m$, the top degree of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V, \mathcal{O}_V^G)$ is the same as the top degree of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$, which is $2(m + r - 4)$ except in the cases $p = 2$ and $m \in \{4, 6\}$ (exactly the same cases wherein $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) \cong \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$), in which case the top degree is $2m$. In contrast, Theorem 7.2 shows that in the case $p = 1$, the top degree is $4m - 4$, which is also the same as the top degree of G-invariants; Theorem 7.4 shows that in the case $p = m$ (i.e., the Coxeter groups of type $I_2(m)$), the top degree is $2m - 4$, while the top degree of G-invariants is either $2m - 4$ or $2m - 6$, whichever is a multiple of 4. In the case that m is odd, these produce some of the only examples of groups considered in this paper such that the top degree of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ exceeds that of $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$; the other examples are the groups $S_{n+1} < \mathrm{GL}_n < \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$ (i.e., the type-A_n Weyl groups). This does not include groups mentioned for which we did not actually compute $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$, such as the Shephard–Todd groups G_{18} and G_{19} in $\mathrm{GL}_2 < \mathrm{Sp}_4$.

Finally, note that the actual top degrees for $G(m,p,2)$ above differ from the bounds of Corollary 3.4 (assuming $m > 1$). There we have $2m + 4r - 8$, whereas the actual top degree as above is a constant plus $2m + 2r$ (the constant depending on whether $p = 1$, $p = m$, or $1 < p < m$, with the special cases $(m,p) \in \{(4,2),(6,2)\}$). The only cases in which the bound is sharp are $p = m$, $(m,p) = (4,2)$, and $(m,p) = (2,2)$.

7.3.1. Proof of Theorem 7.6.

We need to compute the spans of brackets with the final three elements of (7–1), when summed with the spans already computed from Section 7.1.

First,

$$\{x_1^r x_2^r, x_1^a x_2^b y_1^c y_2^d\} = cr x_1^{a+r-1} x_2^{b+r} y_1^{c-1} y_2^d + dr x_1^{a+r} x_2^{b+r-1} y_1^c y_2^{d-1}.$$

Together with the similar expression for brackets with $y_1^r y_2^r$, and up to (7–4), this yields the span of

$$(a+1) x_1^a x_2^{b+1} y_1^a y_2^{b+1} + (b+1) x_1^{a+1} x_2^b y_1^{a+1} y_2^b, \quad a, b \geq r-1; \tag{7–23}$$

$$x_1^{a+b} y_1^a y_2^b, x_2^{a+b} y_1^b y_2^a, \quad a+b \geq 2r-1,\ a \geq r-1,\ b > 0. \tag{7–24}$$

Together with (7–6), since $m \geq 2r$, this also yields

$$x_1^a x_2^b y_1^a y_2^b, \quad a+b \geq r+m-1. \tag{7–25}$$

It remains to consider the final element of (7–1) (note that $m - jr = (p-j)r$):

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\{x_1^{jr} y_2^{m-jr} + x_2^{jr} y_1^{m-jr}, x_1^a x_2^b y_1^c y_2^d\Big\} &\qquad\qquad (7\text{–}26)\\ = r\Big[\big(jc x_1^{a+jr-1} x_2^b y_1^{c-1} y_2^{d+m-jr} &- (p-j) b x_1^{a+jr} x_2^{b-1} y_1^c y_2^{d+m-jr-1}\big)\\ - \big((p-j) a x_1^{a-1} x_2^{b+jr} y_1^{c+m-jr-1} y_2^d &- jd x_1^a x_2^{b+jr-1} y_1^{c+m-jr} y_2^{d-1}\big)\Big].\end{aligned}$$

We will assume that $(a + jr - 1) + b = c - 1 + (d + m - jr)$, since otherwise, the above is all in the span of $\{x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2, \mathcal{O}_V^G\}$ as noted in Section 7.1.

In the case $a + jr = c$, so that the first two terms on the right-hand side have the form $x_1^{a'} x_2^{b'} y_1^{a'} y_2^{b'}$, we can simplify the above using (7–23). We can restrict our attention to the case that $a + d < r$, since otherwise, all the terms on the right-hand side are already in the span of (7–24) and (7–25), using also the relations (7–4). Then up to the previous spans and rescaling, we obtain

$$p(a+jr) x_1^{a+jr-1} x_2^{d+m-jr} y_1^{a+jr-1} y_2^{d+m-jr} - ((p-j)a - jd) x_2^{a+d+m-1} y_1^m y_2^{a+d-1}. \tag{7–27}$$

In the case $a = d = 0$, the second term vanishes, and we obtain the monomial $x_1^{jr-1} x_2^{m-jr} y_1^{jr-1} y_2^{m-jr}$ in the span. Otherwise, substituting (7–11), this is equivalent to

$$(a+d) p (a+jr) x_1^{a+jr-1} x_2^{d+m-jr} y_1^{a+jr-1} y_2^{d+m-jr} + m((p-j)a - jd) x_1^{a+d} x_2^{m-1} y_1^{a+d} y_2^{m-1}. \tag{7–28}$$

If instead of $a + jr = c$, we have $b + jr = d$, i.e., the second two terms on the right-hand side of (7–26) have the form $x_1^{a'} x_2^{b'} y_1^{a'} y_2^{b'}$ (rather than the first two terms), then up to (7–12) and swapping j with $p - j$, we obtain the same relations.

Let us analyze (7–27) and (7–28) further. Using (7–28) together with (7–23) (and the case $a = d = 0$ of (7–27)), we can replace all monomials of the form $x_1^a x_2^b y_1^a y_2^b$ for $a, b \geq r - 1$ and $a + b \geq m - 1$ by monomials of the form $x_1^{a+b-m+1} x_2^{m-1} y_1^{a+b-m+1} y_2^{m-1}$ as above. It remains to see when two such ways, for fixed a and b, are irredundant, and hence $x_1^{a+b-m+1} x_2^{m-1} y_1^{a+b-m+1} y_2^{m-1}$ is itself in the span. We already saw that the latter is true when $a + b = m - 1$, by (7–6).

In the case that $a = 0$ and $d = 1$ of (7–27), then (7–28) becomes, after dividing by mj,

$$x_1^{jr-1} x_2^{m-jr+1} y_1^{jr-1} y_2^{m-jr+1} - x_1 x_2^{m-1} y_1 x_2^{m-1}. \tag{7–29}$$

In the case that $a = 1$ and $d = 0$ of (7–27), applying also (7–23), we obtain

$$-\frac{jr}{m-jr+1} p(1+jr) x_1^{jr-1} x_2^{m-jr+1} y_1^{jr-1} y_2^{m-jr+1} + m(p-j) x_1 x_2^{m-1} y_1 y_2^{m-1}.$$

Together with (7–29), this yields both monomials above, and in particular, $x_1 x_2^{m-1} y_1 x_2^{m-1}$, unless $jrp(1+jr) = m(p-j)(m-jr+1)$. On substituting $m = pr$, this equality becomes

$$\frac{j}{p-j} = \frac{(p-j)r+1}{jr+1}.$$

This holds if and only if $j = p - j$: if $j \neq p - j$, then 1 is strictly between both sides. Note further that unless $p = 2$, we can always choose j so that $j \neq p - j$, and therefore we obtain the monomial $x_1 x_2^{m-1} y_1 y_2^{m-1}$ in the span.

In the case that $a + d > 1$, then (7–28) can be applied to at least three pairs (a, d) with the same sum, and it is easy to see that the second monomial (which does not change) must be in the span, and hence all the monomials that appear are in the span. To summarize, (7–26) yields, in the case $c = a + jr$,

$$x_1^a x_2^{m-1} y_1^a y_2^{m-1}, \quad a \geq 2 \text{ or } a = 1,\ p > 2. \tag{7–30}$$

In the remaining case of (7–26), where neither $a + jr = c$ nor $b + jr = d$, provided $c, d \geq 1$, using (7–4), then (7–26) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}(jc - (p-j)b)&x_1^{a+jr-1} x_2^b y_1^{c-1} y_2^{d+m-jr} \\ &- ((p-j)a - jd) x_1^a x_2^{b+jr-1} y_1^{c+m-jr} y_2^{d-1}.\end{aligned} \tag{7–31}$$

As before, we assume that the total degree in x_1 and x_2 equals the total degree in y_1 and y_2, i.e., $a + b + jr = c + d + m - jr$. In particular, $a + b \equiv c + d \pmod r$.

If $c = 0$ and/or $d = 0$, then we instead get the same relation as above, except that we must multiply the first term above by $\frac{x_1 y_1}{x_2 y_2}$ and/or the second term by $\frac{x_2 y_2}{x_1 y_1}$, respectively. (Note that if $b = c = 0$, then the first term is zero, and if $a = d = 0$, then the second term is zero.)

The first term above vanishes if and only if $jc = (p - j)b$, and the second term if and only if $jd = (p - j)a$. One way the first equality can hold is if $b = c = 0$, in which case the second monomial appearing above is in the overall span unless $jd = (p-j)a$, in which case we obtain no relations. If $b + c = 1$, then the first term does not vanish, and we obtain a nontrivial relation. If $b + c > 1$ and either $a, c > 0$ or $b, d > 0$, then we can replace (a, b, c, d) by $(a \pm 1, b \mp 1, c \pm 1, d \mp 1)$, and together with (7–4), the new expression (7–31) is irredundant unless $j = p - j$.

The same arguments apply if we swap b and c with a and d. So all the monomials that can occur above are actually in the span, unless we are in one of the cases $b + c = 1 = a + d$, one of a, c and one of b, d are zero, or $j = p - j$ and $b + c, a + d > 0$. Even if we are in one of these cases, by applying also (7–4), we can still obtain the first monomial in the span if $b + c \geq r$, and the second monomial in the span if $a + d \geq r$. We can therefore discard the case $b + c = 1 = a + d$, since this together with $b + c < r$ and $a + d < r$ already implies $j = p - j$.

Next, let us assume that $b + c < r$ and $a + d < r$, in addition to being in one of the two cases (i) one of a, c and one of b, d are zero, (ii) $j = p - j$ and $b + c, a + d > 0$. Then, applying again (7–4), we obtain a single nontrivial relation unless either $a = d = 0$ and $jc = (p - j)b$ are both satisfied or $b = c = 0$ and $jd = (p - j)a$ are both satisfied. Then we are in case (i), so $j = p - j$, and either (1) both $a = d = 0$ and $b = c < r$ are satisfied, or (2) both $a = d < r$ and $b = c = 0$ are satisfied. So in these final two subcases (1) and (2) only, (7–31) yields no relations on the monomials (7–3) modulo (7–4), and otherwise we obtain a single nontrivial relation.

Putting everything together, one may verify that (7–31) adds to the overall span of $\{\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V\}$ exactly the following:

$$\begin{aligned}&x_1^{a+b} y_1^a y_2^b, \quad b > 0, \quad \left\lfloor \frac{a+b+1}{r} \right\rfloor \neq p/2, && (7–32)\\ &\quad \frac{a+b+1}{r} \neq \frac{p+1}{2}, \quad \exists k \in [b, a+b] \text{ s.t.} \\ &\quad \left\lfloor \frac{k+1}{r} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{a+2b-k}{r} \right\rfloor \geq p; \\ &x_1^{a+b} y_1^a y_2^b - x_2^{a+b} y_1^b y_2^a, \quad b > 0, \quad \left\lfloor \frac{a+b+1}{r} \right\rfloor = p/2, \\ &\quad a + 2b \geq m; && (7–33)\\ &x_1^{a+b} y_1^a y_2^b + x_2^{a+b} y_1^b y_2^a, \quad \frac{a+b+1}{r} = \frac{p+1}{2}, \\ &\quad \frac{b}{r} > \frac{p-1}{2}. && (7–34)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V)$ is the quotient of the span of monomials (7–3) up to (7–4) and the relations (7–23)–(7–25), (7–30), and (7–32)–(7–34). From this, we easily obtain the basis claimed in the theorem. A priori, we might also need to include relations from Section 7.1, but it is easy to see that they are all spanned by the present relations, by comparing the basis of the present theorem with that of Theorem 7.2. Alternatively, one can verify directly that the aforementioned relations span also (7–5)–(7–12). This completes the proof of Theorem 7.6.

7.3.2. Proof of Corollary 7.7

First, to prove (7–22), we can use the basis of the theorem: it is easy to see that the dimension of the space of G-invariants in each degree is the number of terms of the form $x_1^a x_2^b y_1^a y_2^b + x_1^b x_2^a y_1^b y_2^a$ and, in the case p is even, also $x_1^{a+m/2} y_1^a y_2^{m/2} + x_2^{a+m/2} y_1^{m/2} y_1^a$, which are in the span of the elements appearing in the theorem. From this, (7–22) easily follows.

Now, (7–22) implies that the left- and right-hand sides of (7–20) are equal by substituting in the given values of m and p, and similarly for (7–21). To deduce from this that $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) \cong \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$ in the cases $p = 2$ and $m \in \{4, 6\}$, and hence the equality with the second term in these two equations, it suffices to show that $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) = \dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$. By Lemma 1.1, $\dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$ and $\dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$ equal the number of elements $g \in G$ such that $g - \mathrm{Id}$ is invertible and the number of conjugacy classes of such elements, respectively. First, there are $(m - r)r + (r - 1)^2$ diagonal matrices in G without 1 on the diagonal; of these, there are $r-1$ or $2r-1$ scalar matrices, depending on whether p is odd or even, respectively. The diagonal matrices with distinct diagonal entries appear in conjugacy classes of size two. Next, the off-diagonal matrices g such that $g - \mathrm{Id}$ is invertible are those of determinant not equal to -1, i.e., equal to a nontrivial rth root of unity. There are $m(r-1)$ of these. Their conjugacy classes are of size either m (in the case p is odd) or $m/2$ (in the case p is even). Putting this together, we conclude that

$$\dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X) = (2r-1)(m-1), \tag{7–35}$$

$$\dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}r(m+1)-1, & p \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{1}{2}r(m+4)-2, & p \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \tag{7–36}$$

We easily deduce from this and (7–20) and (7–21) the fact that $\dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G) = \dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$ in these cases. Moreover, using (7–35) and an explicit calculation from the basis given in the theorem, or using computer programs from Magma, we see that $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) > \dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$ in these cases: for $(m,p) = (4,2)$, we obtain dimensions $12 > 9$, and in the case $(m,p) = (6,2)$, we obtain dimensions $34 > 25$.

It remains to prove that in all other cases (i.e., other than $p = 2$ and $m \in \{4,6\}$), $1 < p < m$ implies that $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) \ncong \mathrm{gr}\, \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$, since this clearly implies $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G, \mathcal{O}_V) \ncong \mathrm{gr}\, \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G, \mathcal{D}_X)$. For this, it suffices to show that $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) > \dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$. From (7–22) we can easily compute $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$ by plugging in $t = 1$; or we can compute it from the theorem itself and the observations of the first paragraph of the proof. The first line becomes the number of elements of the form $x_1^a x_2^b y_1^a y_2^b + x_1^b x_2^a y_1^b y_2^a$ with $a \le b \le m-2$ and $a \le r-2$, which is the area of an obvious trapezoid in the plane: $(r-1)(m-1) - \frac{1}{2}(r-1)(r-2)$. The evaluation of the second line of (7–22) at $t = 1$ is $\delta_{p,2} + \lfloor \frac{m-2r}{2} \rfloor + 1 + (r-1)\delta_{2|p}$. Altogether, we have

$$\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) = (r-1)\left(m - \frac{1}{2}r\right) + \left\lfloor \frac{m-2r}{2} \right\rfloor + (r-1)\delta_{2|p} + 1 + \delta_{p,2}. \tag{7–37}$$

Since the value of the formula in (7–36) for the even case of p exceeds that of the odd case, let us subtract the even-case formula from (7–37) and try to see when the result is positive. We get

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}r - 1\right)(m - r - 5) + \left\lfloor \frac{(p-2)r}{2} \right\rfloor + (r-1)\delta_{2|p} - 2 + \delta_{p,2}. \tag{7–38}$$

All of the terms above except for the first sum to a nonnegative number unless $p = 3$ and $r = 2$. The first term will be positive whenever $r > 2$ and $(p-1)r > 5$; the second condition is satisfied for all pairs (p,r) with $r > 2$ except when $p = 2$ and $r \in \{3,4,5\}$. It remains to check these last cases (along with $r = 2$).

If $r = 2$, then the above sum is positive unless either $p = 2$ or $p = 3$. If $p = 2$ and $r \in \{3,4,5\}$, then the above is clearly positive unless $r = 3$. So this leaves only the cases $(p,r) \in \{(2,2),(2,3),(3,2)\}$. The first two cases are those in which the above is zero and we actually get $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) \cong \mathrm{gr}\, \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$. In the final case, $p = 3, r = 2$, $\dim \mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G) = 7 > 6 = \dim \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{D}_X^G)$ (recall that (7–38) used the formula (7–36) in the case p is even). This completes the proof.

8. APPENDIX: EXAMPLES FOR WHICH $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$ IS NONTRIVIAL IN CUBIC DEGREE

Let G be a group and V_1, V_2, and V_3 three quaternionic irreducible representations. Then $(\mathrm{Sym}^2 V_i)^G = 0$ for all $i \in \{1,2,3\}$. If, furthermore, $(V_i \otimes V_j)^G = 0$ for all $i \ne j$ and $(V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3)^G \ne 0$, then it follows that the lowest-degree invariant element in $\mathcal{O}_{V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3}^G$ is cubic. Equipping $V := V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3$ with a G-invariant symplectic form, $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$ will have a nontrivial cubic component, isomorphic to the cubic part of $\mathcal{O}_V^G$ itself. Our goal is to construct such G, V_1, V_2, and V_3.

To do so, we will employ the field $\mathbb{F}_2$ and the Arf invariant. Let $m \ge 1$ and let E be an $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector space of dimension $2m$. Let Q_E denote the group of quadratic forms on E with values in $\mathbb{F}_2$. Corresponding to each $q \in Q_E$ is a canonical central extension $\widetilde{E}_q$ of E by $\mathbb{F}_2$, since $H^2(E, \mathbb{F}_2) = Q_E$. If q is nondegenerate, then it is well known [Dickson 07, Arf 41] that (E,q) is isomorphic to either U_0^m or $U_0^{m-1} \oplus U_1$, where U_0 and U_1 are defined as $\mathbb{F}_2^2$ with the quadratic forms x_1x_2 and $x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_2^2$, respectively. In the former case, q is said to have Arf invariant 0, and in the latter case, Arf invariant 1; the Arf invariant is the value that q attains on the majority of vectors.

It follows that if q is nondegenerate, then $\widetilde{E}_q$ has a (unique) irreducible representation Y_q of dimension 2^m (note that any such irreducible representation must be unique and of maximal dimension, since $|\widetilde{E}_q| = 2^{2m+1}$ equals the sum of squares of dimensions of the irreducible representations). Namely, if $q = q_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus q_m$, then $\widetilde{E}_{q_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus q_m}$ is a central quotient of $\prod_i \widetilde{E}_{q_i}$, and $Y_q = Y_{q_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes Y_{q_m}$. This reduces to the case $m = 1$, where the central extensions corresponding to U_0 and U_1 are just the dihedral and quaternion groups of order eight, each equipped with a (unique) irreducible 2-dimensional representation. It also follows that Y_q is equipped with a canonical $\widetilde{E}_q$-invariant bilinear form, which is symmetric or skew-symmetric, depending on whether the Arf invariant of q is 0 or 1, respectively (since this is true in the case $m = 1$). That is, Y_q is real or quaternionic, respectively.

Next, there is a canonical group that puts together all the central extensions for varying q. Let Q_E be the $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector space of quadratic forms on E. Then $H^2(E, \mathbb{F}_2) = Q_E$, and so there is a canonical element of $H^2(E, Q_E^*)$ yielding a central extension

$$1 \to Q_E^* \to G \to E \to 1.$$

Then G also acts on Y_q with action factoring through $\widetilde{E}_q$, which is the pushout of the above extension under the evaluation map $q : Q_E^* \to \mathbb{F}_2$. It follows that Y_q is an irreducible representation of G that is real or quaternionic, depending on whether the Arf invariant of q is 0 or 1, respectively. Moreover, for distinct nondegenerate quadratic forms q_1, q_2, we have $Y_{q_1} \not\cong Y_{q_2}$. Furthermore, one may check that if $q_1 + q_2$ is nondegenerate, then $Y_{q_1} \otimes Y_{q_2} \cong Y_{q_1+q_2}^{2^m}$.

Now suppose that we are given quadratic forms q_1 and q_2 of Arf invariant 1 such that $q_1 + q_2$ is nondegenerate and also has Arf invariant 1. Then, setting $q_3 := q_1 + q_2$, we deduce that $(\mathrm{Sym}^2 Y_{q_i})^G = 0$ and $(Y_{q_i} \otimes Y_{q_j})^G = 0$ for all $i \neq j$, but since $q_1 + q_2 = q_3$, it follows that $(Y_{q_1} \otimes Y_{q_2} \otimes Y_{q_3})^G \neq 0$. Thus, G, Y_{q_1}, Y_{q_2}, and $Y_{q_1+q_2}$ provide an example of the desired form. In fact, in this case, setting $V := Y_{q_1} \oplus Y_{q_2} \oplus Y_{q_3}$, the cubic part of $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$ and hence $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$ is isomorphic to $(Y_{q_1} \otimes Y_{q_2} \otimes Y_{q_3})^G$, which is 2^m-dimensional.

It is not hard to find such examples. Using Magma, we found several with $m = 2$ (the minimum possible value), such as $q_1 = x_1x_2 + x_3^2 + x_3x_4 + x_4^2$ and $q_2 = x_1^2 + x_1x_4 + x_2^2 + x_2x_3 + x_3x_4$. In this case, setting $V := Y_{q_1} \oplus Y_{q_2} \oplus Y_{q_1+q_2}$, the space $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_V^G)$ is nonzero in cubic degree (where it has dimension 4), and $\dim V = 12$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work grew out of several projects supported by MIT's Undergraduate Research Opportunities Program. The first author's work was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1000113. The last author is a five-year fellow of the American Institute of Mathematics, and was partially supported by the ARRA-funded NSF grant DMS-0900233.

REFERENCES

[Alev and Lambre 98] J. Alev and T. Lambre, "Comparaison de l'homologie de Hochschild et de l'homologie de Poisson pour une déformation des surfaces de Klein." In *Algebra and Operator Theory (Tashkent, 1997)*, pp. 25–38. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 1998.

[Alev et al. 00] J. Alev, M. A. Farinati, T. Lambre, and A. L. Solotar. "Homologie des invariants d'une algèbre de Weyl sous l'action d'un groupe fini." *J. Algebra* 232 (2000), 564–577.

[Arf 41] C. Arf. "Untersuchungen über quadratischen formen in Körpern der charakteristik 2, I." *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 183 (1941), 148–167.

[Berest et al. 04] Y. Berest, P. Etingof, and V. Ginzburg. "Morita Equivalence of Cherednik Algebras." *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 568 (2004), 81–98.

[Broué et al. 98] M. Broué, G. Malle, and R. Rouquier. "Complex Reflection Groups, Braid Groups, Hecke Algebras." *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 500 (1998), 127–190.

[Butin 09] F. Butin. "Poisson Homology in Degree 0 for Some Rings of Symplectic Invariants." *J. Algebra* 322:10 (2009), 3580–3613.

[Dickson 07] L. E. Dickson. *Linear Groups with an Exposition of the Galois Field Theory*, reprint of 1901 original. New York: Cosimo Classics, 2007.

[Eisenbud 95] D. Eisenbud. *Commutative Algebra with a View towards Algebraic Geometry*, GTM 150. New York: Springer, 1995.

[Etingof and Ginzburg 02] P. Etingof and V. Ginzburg. "Symplectic Reflection Algebras, Calogero–Moser Space, and Deformed Harish–Chandra Homomorphism." *Invent. Math.* 147:2 (2002), 243–348.

[Etingof and Ginzburg 10] Pavel Etingof and Victor Ginzburg. "Noncommutative del Pezzo Surfaces and Calabi–Yau Algebras." *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 12:6 (2010), 1371–1416.

[Etingof and Schedler 09] P. Etingof and T. Schedler. "Zeroth Poisson Homology of Symmetric Powers of Quasihomogeneous Isolated Surface Singularities." arXiv:0907.1715, 2009.

[Etingof and Schedler 10] P. Etingof and T. Schedler. "Poisson Traces and $\mathcal{D}$-Modules on Poisson Varieties." *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 20 (2010), 958–987.

[Etingof and Schedler 12] P. Etingof and T. Schedler. "Poisson Traces for Symmetric Powers of Symplectic Varieties." arXiv: 1109.4712, 2012.

[Mathieu 95] O. Mathieu. "The symplectic Operad." In *Functional Analysis on the Eve of the 21st Century*, vol. 1, Progr. Math. 131, pp. 223–243. Boston: Birkhäuser, 1995.

[Ren and Schedler 12] Q. Ren and T. Schedler. "On the asymptotic-structure of invariant differential operators on symplectic manifolds", *Journal of Algebra*, 356(2012), 39–89.

[Ren and Schedler 10] Q. Ren and T. Schedler. "Some MAGMA Programs Computing the Zeroth Poisson Homology of Invariant Functions on Symplectic Vector Spaces." Available online (http://math.mit.edu/~trasched/hp0-progs/), 2010.

[Shephard and Todd 54] G. C. Shephard and J. A. Todd. "Finite Unitary Reflection Groups." *Canadian J. Math.* 6 (1954), 274–304.

Pavel Etingof, MIT, 77 Massachusetts Ave, Rm 2-176, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA (etingof@math.mit.edu)

Sherry Gong, Cambridge University, 140 URB Caguas Real, Caguas PR 00725 (sgong@post.harvard.edu)

Aldo Pacchiano, MIT, 220 Western Avenue, Cambridge MA, 02139, USA (pacchian@mit.edu)

Qingchun Ren, UC Berkeley, 970 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA (qingchun.ren@gmail.com)

Travis Schedler, MIT; 77 Massachusetts Ave, Rm 2-172, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA (trasched@gmail.com)

Received January 26, 2011; accepted June 6, 2011