Jointly Periodic Points in Cellular Automata: Computer Explorations and Conjectures

Mike Boyle and Bryant Lee

CONTENTS

2000 AMS Subject Classification: Primary: 37B15; Secondary: 37B10

Keywords: Cellular automata, shift of finite type, periodic points, random

We develop a rather elaborate computer program to investigate the jointly periodic points of one-dimensional cellular automata. The experimental results and mathematical context lead to questions, conjectures, and a contextual theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION AND CONJECTURES

In this paper we consider the action of a surjective one-dimensional cellular automaton f on jointly periodic points. Detailed definitions are recalled below.

This paper is primarily an experimental mathematics paper, based on data from a program written by the second-named author to explore such actions. The experimental results and mathematical context lead us to questions and a conjecture on the growth rate of the jointly periodic points.

We approach our topic from the perspective of symbolic dynamics, which provides some relevant tools and results. However, almost all of this paper—in particular the questions and conjectures—can be well understood without symbolic dynamics. We do spend time on context, and even prove a theorem (Theorem 3.2), for two reasons. First, we believe that experimental mathematics should not be too segregated from motivating and constraining mathematics. Second, workers on cellular automata have diverse backgrounds, not necessarily including symbolic dynamics. (Similarly, perhaps a technique or example unfamiliar to us could resolve one of our questions.)

To express our questions and conjectures clearly, we must suffer some definitions. We let Σ_N denote the set of doubly infinite sequences $x = \ldots x_{-1}x_0x_1\ldots$ such that each x_i lies in a finite "alphabet" $\mathcal{A}$ of N symbols; usually $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1, \ldots, N-1\}$. A one-dimensional cellular automaton (CA) is a function $f : \Sigma_N \to \Sigma_N$ for which there are integers $a \le b$ and a function $F : \mathcal{A}^{b-a+1} \to \mathcal{A}$ (F is a "local rule" for f) such that for all i, $(f(x))_i =$

1058-6458/2007 $0.50 per page
Experimental Mathematics **16**:3, page 293

$F(x_{i+a} \cdots x_{i+b})$. The shift map σ on a sequence is defined by $(\sigma x)_i = x_{i+1}$. We let S_N denote the shift map on Σ_N.

For any map S, we let $P_k(S)$ denote the points of (not necessarily least) period k of S, i.e., the points fixed by S^k, and let $\mathrm{Per}(S) = \cup_k P_k(S)$. Thus, $\mathrm{Per}(S_N)$ is the set of "spatially periodic" points for a one-dimensional cellular automaton on N symbols. The *jointly periodic* points of a cellular automaton map f on N symbols are the points in $\mathrm{Per}(S)$ that are also periodic under f, that is, the points that are "temporally periodic" as well as spatially periodic. (In the usual computer screen display, this would mean vertically as well as horizontally periodic.) There is by this time a large amount of work addressing periodic and jointly periodic points for *linear* one-dimensional CA; we refer to [Chin et al. 01, Cordovil et al. 86, Jen 88, Lidman and Thomas 06, Martin et al. 84, Misiurewicz et al. 06, Sutner 01] and their references. Also see [Miles 06] regarding the structure of periodic points for these and more general algebraic maps in the setting of [Kitchens 97, Schmidt 95].

A subset E of Σ_N is *dense* if for every point x in Σ_N and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists y in E such that $x_i = y_i$ whenever $|i| \leq k$. We say that E is m-dense if every word of length m on symbols from the alphabet occurs in a point of E.

We can now state our first conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. *For every surjective one-dimensional cellular automaton, the jointly periodic points are dense.*

Conjecture 1.1 is a known open question [Blanchard 00, Blanchard and Tisseur 00, Boyle and Kitchens 99], justified by its clear relevance to a dynamical systems approach to cellular automata. (Whether points that are temporally but not necessarily spatially periodic for a surjective CA must be dense is likewise unknown [Blanchard 00].) That this question, also open for higher-dimensional CA, has not been answered reflects the difficulty in saying anything of a general nature about CA, for which meaningful questions are often undecidable [Kari 05].

It is known that the jointly periodic points of a one-dimensional cellular automaton map f are dense if f is a closing map [Boyle and Kitchens 99] or if f is surjective with a point of equicontinuity [Blanchard and Tisseur 00]. We justify our escalation of Conjecture 1.1 from question to conjecture by augmenting earlier results with some experimental evidence. In particular: for every span-4 surjective one-dimensional cellular automaton on two symbols, the jointly periodic points are at least 13-dense (Proposition 5.1).

Now we turn to more quantitative questions. Letting for the moment P denote the number of points in $P_k(S_N)$ that are periodic under f as well as S_N (i.e., $P = |\mathrm{Per}(f \mid P_k(S_N))|$), we set $\nu_k(f, S_N) = P^{1/k}$, and then define

$$\nu(f, S_N) = \limsup_k \nu_k(f, S_N).$$

Question 1.2. Is it true for every surjective one-dimensional cellular automaton f on N symbols that $\nu(f, S_N) \geq \sqrt{N}$?

Question 1.3. Is it true for every surjective one-dimensional cellular automaton f on N symbols that $\nu(f, S_N) > 1$?

We cannot answer Question 1.3 even in the case that f is a "closing" map and we know that there is an abundance of jointly periodic points [Boyle and Kitchens 99].

Conjecture 1.4. *There exists $N > 1$ and a surjective cellular automaton f on N symbols such that $\nu(f, S_N) < N$.*

Conjecture 1.4 is a proclamation of ignorance. From the experimental data in our tables, it seems perfectly clear that there will be many surjective CA f with $\nu(f, S_N) < N$. However, we are unable to give a proof for any example. With the additional assumption that the CA is linear, it is known that Conjecture 1.1 is true and the answer to Question 1.2 is yes (Section 3).

The relation of Questions 1.2–1.4 to Conjecture 1.1 is the following: if a CA map f on N symbols does not have dense periodic points, then $\nu(f, S_N) < N$.

Here is the organization of the sequel. In Section 2, we give detailed definitions and background. In Section 3, we establish some mechanisms by which one can prove lower bounds for $\nu(f, S_N)$ for some f. We also prove (Theorem 3.2) that no property of a surjective CA considered abstractly as a quotient map without iteration can establish $\nu(f, S_N) < N$. We also support Question 1.2 with a random-maps heuristic. (The potential analogy of CA and random maps was observed earlier by Martin, Odlyzko, and Wolfram [Martin et al. 84, p. 252] in their study of linear CA.) A list of CA used for the computer explorations is given in Section 4.

Our computer program consists of three related subprograms: FDense, FPeriod, and FProbPeriod. We use these respectively in Sections 5, 6, and 7. FDense probes approximate density of jointly periodic points of a given

shift period. FPeriod provides exact information on jointly periodic points of a given shift period. FProbPeriod provides information on jointly periodic points for a random sample from a given shift period, and thus provides some information at shift periods for which the memory demands of FPeriod are too great for it to succeed.

In Sections 5, 6, and 7, we give more information on the algorithms and discuss the many tables of output data in the appendices. The tables, along with the program itself, are available as an online supplement at the Experimental Mathematics web site (http://www.expmath.org/expmath/volumes/16/16.3/), and also at the web site of the first-named author.

2. DEFINITIONS AND BACKGROUND

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1, \ldots, N-1\}$, a finite set of N symbols, with the discrete topology. Let Σ_N be the product space $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, with the product topology. We view a point x in Σ_N as a doubly infinite sequence of symbols from $\mathcal{A}$, $x = \ldots x_{-1}x_0x_1\ldots$. The space Σ_N is compact and metrizable; one metric compatible with the topology is $\mathrm{dist}(x, y) = 1/(|n| + 1)$, where $|n|$ is the minimum nonnegative integer such that $x_n \neq y_n$. A set E is *dense* in Σ_N in this topology if for every k and every word W in $\mathcal{A}^{2k+1}$ there exists x in E such that $x[-k, k] = W$.

The shift map σ sends a sequence x to the sequence σx defined by $(\sigma x)_i = x_{i+1}$. The shift map defines a homeomorphism S_N on Σ_N. The topological dynamical system (Σ_N, S_N) is called the *full shift on N symbols*, or more briefly, the N-shift.

A map $f : \Sigma_N \to \Sigma_N$ is continuous and shift-commuting ($f\sigma = \sigma f$) if and only f is a *block code*, i.e., there exist integers a, b and a function $F : \mathcal{A}^{b-a+1} \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $(f(x))_i = F(x[i + a, i + b])$ for integers i, for all $x \in \Sigma_N$. Such a map f is called a one-dimensional cellular automaton. There is a well-known dichotomy for such maps f: either (i) f is surjective and for some integer M every point has at most M preimages, or (ii) image points typically have uncountably many preimages, and f is not surjective [Hedlund 69, Kitchens 98, Lind and Marcus 95]. In case (i), almost all points have the same number of preimages; this number is the *degree* of f.

We restrict our attention to surjective maps in this paper because we are interested in periodic points of f, which must be contained in $\cap_{k>0} f^k\Sigma_N$, the eventual image of f. We separate our ignorance about periodic points from additional difficulties involving the passage to the eventual image [Maass 95].

Polynomials can be used to define cellular automata; for example, if we refer to the CA f defined on the N-shift by the polynomial $2x_{-1} + x_0(x_2)^3$, we mean that f is defined by the block code $(fx)_i = 2x_{i-1} + x_i(x_{i+2})^3$, where the arithmetic is interpreted modulo N. The *span* of such a code is 1 plus the maximum difference of coordinates with nonzero coefficients; in this example, it is $1+2-(-1) = 4$. The code is left permutative if for every x, permuting inputs to the leftmost variable, with inputs to other variables fixed, permutes the outputs. Likewise, there is the notion of right permutativity. The previous example is left permutative and it is not right permutative. When the number N of symbols is prime, every CA map f has such a polynomial representation [Hedlund 69]. (For general N, there is a representation by a product of polynomial representations over finite fields [Martin et al. 84].)

A block code on S_N depending on coordinates $[0, j-1]$ can be described by a "lookup code," a word W of length N^j on the alphabet $\{0, \ldots, j-1\}$ defined as follows. List the N^j possible blocks of length j in lexicographic order; then the ith symbol of W is the output symbol under f for the ith input block. For example, for the code $x_0 + x_1x_2$ on S_2, the input words in lexicographic order are 000, 001, 010, 011, 100, 101, 110, 111, and the corresponding word W is 0001 1110.

For the N shift, the number of coding rules of span at most j is N^{N^j}. If $\mathrm{inj}(j, N)$ denotes the number of these that define injective (and thus surjective [Hedlund 69]) codes, then we still [Kim and Roush 90] see a superexponential growth rate in j,

$$\lim_j \frac{1}{j} \log\log(\mathrm{inj}(j, N)) = \log N,$$

even though surjective span-j maps become very sparse in the set of all span-j maps as j increases.

A block code $f : \Sigma_N \to \Sigma_N$ is *right closing* if it never collapses distinct left-asymptotic points. This means that if $f(x) = f(x')$ and for some I it holds that $x_i = x'_i$ for all i in $(-\infty, I]$, then $x = x'$. Any right-permutative map is right closing. The definition of *left closing* is given by replacing $(-\infty, I]$ with $[I, \infty)$. The map f is *closing* if it is either left or right closing. An endomorphism of a full shift S_N is constant-to-one if and only if it is both right and left closing (i.e., it is biclosing). A closing map is surjective. Closing maps are important in the coding theory of symbolic dynamics [Ashley 93, Kitchens 98, Lind and Marcus 95]. They also have a very natural description from the viewpoint of hyperbolic dynamics [Brin and Stuck 02]: right-closing maps are injective on

unstable sets, while left-closing maps are injective on stable sets.

We now discuss some previous work involving periodic points and cellular automata. We let $P_n(S)$ denote the points of period n of S, and $P_n^0(S)$ the points of least period n. These finite sets are mapped into themselves by any CA map f; thus any periodic point of S is at least preperiodic for f. For a preperiodic (possibly periodic) point x, the *preperiod* of x is the least nonnegative integer j such that $f^j(x)$ is periodic, and the *period* of x is its eventual period, the smallest positive integer k such that $f^{m+k}(x) = f^m(x)$ for all large m. A point is jointly periodic if it is periodic under both f and S_N.

In the case that f is linear ($f(x) + f(y) = f(x + y)$), Martin, Odlyzko, and Wolfram [Martin et al. 84] (see also the further work in [Chin et al. 01, Cordovil et al. 86, Jen 88, Lidman and Thomas 06, Misiurewicz et al. 06, Sutner 01] and their references) gave an algebraic analysis of f-periods and preperiods for points of a given shift period, and also provided some numerical data. One key feature for linear f is an easy observation: among the jointly periodic points of shift period k, there will be a point (generally many points) whose least f-period will be an integer multiple of all the least f-periods of the jointly periodic points of shift period k. In contrast, a very special case of a powerful theorem of Ashley [Ashley 93] has the following statement: for any K, N and any shift-commuting map g from $\cup_{1\le k\le K} P_k(S_N)$ to itself, there will exist surjective CA on N symbols whose restriction to $\bigcup_{1\le k\le K} P_k(S_N)$ equals g.

The following remark is another indication of the difficulty of understanding joint periodicity of even injective CA. For a map T, $\mathrm{Fix}(T)$ denotes $P_1(T)$, the set of fixed points of T.

Remark 2.1. Given $N \ge 2$, let S denote S_N, and suppose ϕ is an injective one-dimensional CA on N symbols. Suppose N is prime. Then there will exist some integer m, depending on ϕ, and some $\kappa > 0$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left|\mathrm{Fix}\left((S^a\phi^b)^k\right)\right| = N^{(a+mb)k} = \left|\mathrm{Fix}\left((S^a(S^m)^b)^k\right)\right| = \left|\mathrm{Fix}\left((S^{a+mb})^k\right)\right|$$

whenever $|b/a| < \kappa$ (this follows from [Boyle and Krieger 87, Theorem 2.17]). That is, for the two $\mathbb{Z}^2$ actions generated respectively by S, ϕ and S, S^m, the periodic point counts for actions by individual elements (a, b) of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ are the same for all (a, b) in some open cone around the positive horizontal axis. However, despite the agreement in that open cone, the sequences $(|P_k(\phi)|)$ and $(|P_k(S^m)|)$ can be very different. (For a dramatic example of this sort in the setting of shifts of finite type, see [Nasu 95, Example 10.1].)

Lastly, we note that the invariant ν, defined in the introduction, has an unusual robustness, as follows.

Remark 2.2. Fix N and let $S = S_N$. Suppose $x \in \mathrm{Per}(S_N)$ and f is a CA on Σ_N. Then x is in $\mathrm{Per}(f)$ if and only if for some $i > 0$, $f^i x$ and x are in the same S-orbit. It follows that for all integers i, j, k with k, i positive and j nonnegative, we have $\nu_k(f, S) = \nu_k(f^i S^j, S)$, and thus $\nu(f, S) = \nu(f^i S^j, S)$.

3. SOME MECHANISMS FOR PERIODICITY

Throughout this section f denotes a CA map on N symbols. In this section, we discuss four ways to prove that $\nu(f, S_N)$ is large:

1. Find a large shift fixed by f (or more generally by a power of f).
2. Let f be linear (i.e., a group endomorphism of Σ_N, where addition on the compact group Σ_N is defined coordinatewise mod N).
3. Use the algebra of a polynomial presenting f.
4. Find points of equicontinuity.

After discussing these, we offer a random-maps heuristic and a question.

(1) We will exhibit the first mechanism in some generality. Two CA f, g are isomorphic if there is an invertible CA ϕ such that $f = \phi g \phi^{-1}$ (where, for example, ϕg is the composition, $(\phi g)(x) = \phi(g(x))$). The CA f, g are equivalent as quotient maps if there are invertible CA ϕ, ψ such that $f = \psi g \phi$. We prove Theorem 3.2 below to show that for a CA f, no property defined on equivalence classes of quotient maps can prevent $\nu(f, S_N)$ from being arbitrarily close to N. To avoid a lengthy digression to background, we give a proof assuming some familiarity with symbolic dynamics; however, the statement of Theorem 3.2 is self-contained. Below, $h(T)$ denotes the topological entropy of T.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose (Σ, S) is a mixing shift of finite type (SFT) of positive entropy, $f : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ is a surjective block code, and $\delta > 0$. Then there are an automorphism ϕ of (Σ, S) and a mixing SFT (Σ', S') such that the following*

hold: $\Sigma' \subset \Sigma$; the fixed point set of ϕf contains Σ'; and $h(S') > h(S) - \delta$.

Proof: In this proof, we will consider only SFTs that are restrictions of S to subsets of Σ. For a lighter notation, we will let the set name also denote the SFT that is the restriction of S to the set.

Our first task is to find a mixing SFT X in Σ such that $h(X) > h(\Sigma) - \delta$ and the restriction of f to X is injective. For this, pick a periodic orbit Z in Σ such that $f(Z)$ is an orbit of equal period. (Such Z must exist: otherwise, f would map the periodic points of prime period to fixed points, and this would imply that $f(\Sigma)$ is a single point.) As a surjective endomorphism of a mixing SFT, the map f must be finite-to-one, so $f^{-1}(f(Z))$ is a finite set. Using [Denker et al. 76, Lemma 26.17], find in Σ a mixing SFT Σ_1 disjoint from the subshift $f^{-1}(f(Z)) \setminus Z$ such that $h(\Sigma_1) > h(\Sigma) - \delta$. Then, using [Denker et al. 76, Lemma 26.16], find in Σ a mixing SFT Σ_2 containing $Z \cup \Sigma_1$ but disjoint from $f^{-1}(f(Z)) \setminus Z$. Now $h(\Sigma_2) > h(\Sigma) - \delta$ and the finite-to-one map $f \mid \Sigma_2$ is injective on Z. Thus the restriction of f to Σ_2 has degree 1. Let W be a magic word for this degree-1 map (see [Kitchens 98] or [Lind and Marcus 95, Section 9.1] for background on magic words and degree). Define

$$Y_M = \{x \in f(\Sigma_2) : \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}, W \text{ is a subword of } x[i, i+M]\}.$$

Any point of Y_M will have a unique preimage in Σ_2. As in [Marcus 85], $\lim h(Y_M) = h(f(\Sigma_2))$. Fix M sufficiently large that Y_M is a mixing SFT with entropy close enough to that of $f(\Sigma_2)$ to guarantee $h(Y_M) > h(\Sigma) - \delta$. Let X denote $\Sigma_2 \cap f^{-1}(Y_M)$. Then X is a mixing SFT with $h(X) > h(\Sigma) - \delta$ and $f \mid X$ is injective.

Now fix K such that for every $n \geq K$, Σ has at least two orbits of length n that are not in X. Find a mixing SFT Σ' in X such that Σ' (and consequently also $f(\Sigma')$) has no point of period less than K, and still $h(\Sigma') > h(\Sigma) - \delta$. The point of the passage from X to Σ' is that by [Boyle and Krieger 93, Theorem 1.5], the periodic-point condition on Σ' guarantees that the embedding

$$(f \mid \Sigma')^{-1} : f(\Sigma') \to \Sigma$$

can be extended to an automorphism ϕ of S. Clearly the restriction of ϕf to Σ' is the identity map. □

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose f is a surjective* CA *on N symbols and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there is an invertible* CA *ϕ such that $\nu(\phi f, S_N) > N - \epsilon$.*

Proof: If T is a mixing shift of finite type with $h(T) = \log \lambda$, then $\lim_k |\operatorname{Fix}(T^k)|^{1/k} = \lambda$. If this T is a set of fixed points for a CA ψ on N symbols, then $\nu(\psi, S_N) \geq \lambda$. Now the theorem follows from Lemma 3.1. □

Remark 3.3. The statements of Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 remain true if ϕf is replaced by $f\phi$. One way to see this is to notice that the systems $(f\phi, S)$ and $(\phi(f\phi)\phi^{-1}, \phi S \phi^{-1}) = (\phi f, S)$ are topologically conjugate.

(2) Now we turn to algebra. We observe that Σ_N is a group under coordinatewise addition (mod N), and some CA are group endomorphisms of this group; these are the *linear* cellular automata whose jointly periodic points were studied in [Martin et al. 84] and later in a number of papers (see [Chin et al. 01, Cordovil et al. 86, Jen 88, Lidman and Thomas 06, Misiurewicz et al. 06, Sutner 01] and their references). The algebraic structure allowed a number-theoretic description of the way that f-periods of jointly periodic points of S_N period n vary (irregularly) with n. We show now that when f is a linear CA, it is easy to see that $\nu(f, S_N) = N$.

Proposition 3.4. *Suppose a* CA *map f is a surjective linear map on S_N. Then for all large primes p, $\nu_p(f, S_N) \geq N^{p-1}$. Therefore $\nu(f, S_N) = N$.*

Proof: We use an argument from the proof of a related result, Proposition 3.2 of [Boyle and Kitchens 99]. Let M be the cardinality of the kernel of f. Suppose $p > M$ and p is prime; then f must map orbits of length p to orbits of length p (otherwise, some orbit of length p would be collapsed to an orbit of length dividing p, i.e., to a fixed point, which would contradict the fact that every point has M preimages). Let H denote $P_p(S_N)$, the set of fixed points of $(S_N)^p$; H is a subgroup that is mapped into itself by f. Pick $k > 0$ such that the restriction of f to $f^k H$ is injective; then $f^k H$ is the set of points in H that are f-periodic. The kernel of f^k contains no point in an orbit of length p, so $\ker(f^k \mid H) \subset \operatorname{Fix}(S_N)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_p(f, S_N) = |f^k H| &= |H / \ker(f^k \mid H)| \geq |H / \operatorname{Fix}(S_N)| \\ &= N^p / N = N^{p-1},\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

(3) Algebra can be used in another way. Frank Rhodes [Rhodes 88], using properties of certain families of polynomials presenting CA maps, exhibited a family of non-invertible CA f for which there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

f is injective on $P_{kn}(S_N)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, in this case $\nu(f, S_N) = N$. We will not review that argument.

(4) We now turn to equicontinuity. A point x is equicontinuous for f if for every positive integer M there exists a positive integer K such that for all points y, if $x[-K, K] = y[-K, K]$, then $(f^n x)[-M, M] = (f^n y)[-M, M]$ for all $n > 0$. If the surjective CA f has x as a point of equicontinuity, and M is chosen larger than the span of the block code f, and W is the corresponding word $x[-K, K]$, then the following holds: if z is a point in which W occurs with bounded gaps, then z is f-periodic. Thus $\lim_n (1/n) \log \nu_n(f, S_N) = \log(N)$, and moreover, the convergence is exponentially fast [Blanchard and Tisseur 00]. Points of equicontinuity may occur in natural examples [Blanchard and Maass 96, Kurka 77].

For many (probably "most") surjective CA, the criteria above are not applicable. This leads to the experimental investigations discussed in the next section, and to the possibility raised in Questions 1.2 and 1.3 of a general plenitude of jointly periodic points. Question 1.2 arises because in the experimental data, the restrictions of the CA f to $P_k(S_N)$ are somewhat reminiscent of a random map on a finite set. Since f is a surjective one-dimensional CA map, there is an M such that no point has more than M preimages under f. Suppose, for example, that k is a prime greater than M and let $\mathcal{O}_k(S_N)$ denote the set of S_N orbits of size k. Then f defines an at most M-to-1 map f_k from $\mathcal{O}_k(S_N)$ into itself, and we see a possible heuristic: (1) in the absence of some additional structure, the sequence (f_k) will reflect some properties of random maps, and (2) an "additional structure" such as existence of equicontinuity points for f will tend to produce more rather than fewer periodic points. The beautiful and extensive theory of random maps on finite sets contains precise asymptotic distributions answering various natural questions [Sachkov 97]. Here we simply note that for a random map on a set of K elements, asymptotically on the order of $\sqrt{K}$ of the elements will lie in cycles (whether the map is bounded-to-one [Grusho 72, Theorem 2] or not [Sachkov 97]), and there will be few big cycles.

The maps f_k derived from the surjective CA f are nonrandom not only in being bounded-to-one, but also in that most points have the minimal possible number of preimages [Hedlund 69, Kitchens 98, Lind and Marcus 95]. To the extent it matters, this seems to work in favor of the random-maps heuristic behind Question 1.2. In particular, it seems that the qualification to the random-maps analogy offered in [Martin et al. 84, p. 252], regarding large in-degrees for cellular automata, does not hold for the class of surjective CA.

4. THE MAPS

We examine with our programs several cellular automata on N symbols, having or not having various properties as indicated below. Except for Tables 15 and 16, all CA examined are on $N = 2$ symbols.

The CA A is the addition map $x_0 + x_1 \pmod N$. This CA is linear, bipermutative, and everywhere N-to-one.

The CA B is $x_0 + x_1 x_2$. This CA is left permutative, of degree 1, and not right closing.

The CA C is $B \circ B_{\text{rev}}$, where $B_{\text{rev}} = x_0 x_1 + x_2$. This CA is of degree 1, and it is nonclosing, since it is the composition of a non-left-closing CA and a non-right-closing CA.

The CA D is the map C composed with $(S_2)^{-2}$, i.e., D is the composition of $x_0 + x_1 x_2$ with $x_{-2} x_{-1} + x_0$. All periodic points for the golden-mean shift (the sequences x in which the word 11 does not occur) become fixed points for D (as opposed to being periodic of varying periods for C).

The CA E is the composition A followed by B. This CA on $N = 2$ symbols has degree 2, and is left permutative but not right closing.

The CA J on two symbols is A precomposed with the automorphism U of S_2 that applies the flip to the symbol in the $*$ space of the frame $10*11$. This U is $x_0 + x_{-2}(1 + x_{-1}) x_1 x_2$, which equals $x_0 + x_{-2} x_1 x_2 + x_{-2} x_{-1} x_1 x_2$. The CA J has degree N and is biclosing, but is neither left permutative nor right permutative.

The CA G is $x_{-1} + x_0 x_1 + x_2$. This CA on two symbols is bipermutative, of degree 2, and is not linear.

The CA H is the composition $A \circ A \circ U$. It has the properties of J, except that the degree is now $2^2 = 4$.

The CA K is the composition $B \circ U$. This CA is left closing of degree 1; it is not left permutative and it is not right closing.

In addition, we use a library of surjective span-4 and span-5 CA due to Hedlund, Appel, and Welch, who conducted the early investigation [Hedlund et al. 63] in which they found all surjective CA on two symbols of span at most five. (This was not trivial, especially in 1963, because there are 2^{32} CA on two symbols of span at most five.) Among these onto maps of span four, there are exactly 32 that are not linear in an end variable (i.e., neither left nor right permutative) and that send the point $\ldots 0000 \ldots$ to itself. These 32 are listed in Table 1. Any other span-four onto map that is not linear in

an end variable is one of these 32 maps g precomposed or postcomposed with the flip map $F = x_0 + 1$. Because $gF = F(Fg)F = F^{-1}(Fg)F$, the jointly periodic data for Fg and gF will be the same. Altogether, then, we can handle all surjective span-4 maps not linear in an end variable by examining 64 maps.

According to [Hedlund et al. 63], there are 141,792 surjective CA of span 5. These are arranged in [Hedlund et al. 63] into classes: linear in end variables, compositions of lower-span maps, and the remainder. The remainder class (11,388 maps) is broken down into subclasses by patterns of generation, and a less-regular residual class of 200 maps. These 200 are generated by 26 maps [Hedlund et al. 63, Table XII] and various operations. We list the codes for this irregular class of 26 maps in Table 2, and use it as a modest sample of span-5 maps.

5. FDENSE

The program FDense takes as its input a CA f, an integer $N \geq 2$, a positive integer m, and a finite set $\mathcal{K}$ of positive integers k. (FDense can also handle sets of maps as inputs, producing output for all the maps and suppressing various data.) The input f can be given by a polynomial or a tabular rule. For a given f and each k in $\mathcal{K}$, FDense determines whether the set $\mathrm{Per}(f) \cap P_k(S_N)$ is m-dense (in which case we say that f is m-dense at k). If not, then FDense will separately list all the S_N words of length m that do not appear in any periodic point of f in $P_k(S_N)$, in a lexicographically truncated form potentially useful for seeing patterns. (For example, if m is ten and the word 011 does not occur in the examined points, then FDense would list 011* as excluded rather than listing all words of length ten beginning with 011.)

The underlying algorithm for FDense lists all words of length m and k in tagged form and operates on tags as it moves through the words of length m with f. Memory is the fundamental constraint on FDense. With m considerably smaller than k, the essential demand on memory is the tagged list of N^k words of length k. With $N = 2$, roughly $m = 13$ and $k = 27$ was a practical limit for our machine, and this was also quite slow. We restricted our investigations almost entirely to the case of $N = 2$ symbols for two reasons: with $N = 2$ we can examine longer periods; and we would be astonished to find any relation between the questions at hand and N.

The following proposition follows from the data of Tables 3 and 4.

Proposition 5.1. *For every span-4 surjective cellular automaton on two symbols, the set of jointly periodic points is (at least)* 13*-dense.*

In Tables 5–7, we applied FDense, for $N = 2$ symbols, to check for which $k \leq 24$ various other surjective CA f are 10-dense at k.

Table 5. After postcomposition with the map $A = x_0 + x_1$, the 32 onto span-4 CA of Table 1 remain 10-dense at some $k \leq 24$.

Table 6. The 26 irregular span-5 maps of Table 2 are 10-dense at some $k \leq 24$.

Table 7. For each of the 32 span-4 maps j of Table 1, let $p_j(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ denote its defining polynomial. Construct a CA f_j with defining polynomial $x_0 + p_j(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$. These f_j are demonstrated to be 10-dense at some $k \leq 24$.

For the CA in Tables 5–7, often the least k at which 10-density is achieved lies in the range 19–24. (This is the point of Table 7, since we know already from [Boyle and Kitchens 99] that the jointly periodic points of permutative CA are dense.) This is consistent with the heuristic that apart from possible extra structure, the CA map on points of least period k looks something like a random map. For a random map f from a set of 2^k points into itself, on the order of $\sqrt{2^k}$ points are expected to lie in f-cycles. For $k = 20$, we have $\sqrt{2^k} = 2^{10}$. (Of course, $10 < 24/2$. A point of S_N-period 20 will contain up to 20 distinct words of length 10; the words aren't expected to occur with complete uniformity; specific codes are not random. For the heuristic of randomness, it is perhaps striking to find the rough agreement we do see.)

We also checked 10-denseness for several CA on two symbols with specified properties, described in Section 4.

Example 5.2. (Linear.) The CA $A = x_0 + x_1$ is 10-dense at $k = 11$, 13–24 out of $[10, 24]$.

Example 5.3. (Permutative, not biclosing.) The CA B is 10-dense at $k = 22$–24 out of $[10, 24]$. It is 13-dense at only $k = 25$ out of $[13, 25]$.

Example 5.4. (Not closing.) The CA C (and likewise D) is 10-dense at $k = 17$–24 out of $[1, 24]$, and 13-dense for $k = 23, 24$ out of $[13, 24]$.

Example 5.5. (Degree 2, biclosing, not permutative.) The CA J is 10-dense at $k = 23$–25 out of $[10, 25]$. It is 13-dense at only $k = 25$ out of $[13, 25]$.

In summary, there is reasonable supporting evidence for Conjecture 1.1, and the counts seen seem consistent with the random-maps heuristic.

6. FPERIOD

Recall that $P_k(S_N)$ denotes the set of points fixed by the kth power of the full shift on N symbols. Each such point x is determined by the word $x_0x_1 \dots x_{k-1}$.

The FPeriod program takes as input a CA f, an integer $N \geq 2$, and a finite set of positive integers k. For each k, the program then determines data including the following (included in tables cited below):

- P:= the number of points in $P_k(S_N)$ that are periodic for f.
- L:= the length of the longest f-cycle in $P_k(S_N)$.

The program does much more; for the points in $P_k(S_N)$, it can produce a complete list of f cycle lengths and preperiods with multiplicities, and related data such as ν_k and averages. It can also do this for points in $P_k^0(S_N)$ rather than $P_k(S_N)$ (i.e., for points of least shift period k). The program also has an option for producing truncated and assembled data for a collection of maps.

The basic algorithmic idea of FPeriod is the following. FPeriod takes the given CA f and a given shift-period length k, stores all 2^k words of length 2^k, and then changes various tags on these words as f moves through the corresponding periodic points. The tags in particular are changed to keep track of how long f iterates before returning. When the program returns to a previously visited point, it can deduce the corresponding f period and preperiod. The essential limit of FPeriod is that for large k it becomes a horrendous memory hog. We could conveniently reach period $k = 23$, and with patience we could reach $k = 25$ or 26, before our memory resources were exhausted. In practice, running the program using $N = 2$ and $k = 26$ required 1.8 gigabytes of memory.

In this section we apply FPeriod to various maps from Section 4 with specific properties, and also to many maps of span 4 and 5. The main message is that for nonlinear maps, we generally see $\nu_k(f, S_N)$ compatible with affirmative answers to Questions 1.2 and 1.3, and frequently the data suggest strongly that the limit $\nu(f, S_N)$ is smaller than N. Below, unless otherwise indicated, f is defined on the full shift S_N with $N = 2$, and the symbol set is $\{0, 1\}$.

Table 8 [Linear]. We exhibit results for the CA $A = x_0 + x_1$; here $\nu_k(A, S_2)$ is large, consistent with the fact $\nu(A, S_2) = 2$.

Table 9 [Biclosing]. We exhibit results for the CA J, which is A composed with an invertible CA The composition significantly reduces the numbers ν_k.

Table 10 [Linear composed with degree-1 permutative]. We exhibit results for the CA E.

Table 11 [Bipermutative]. We exhibit results for the CA G.

Table 12 [Permutative, not biclosing]. We exhibit results for the CA B.

Table 13 [Closing, not permutative, not biclosing]. We exhibit results for the CA K.

Table 14 [Not closing]. We exhibit results for the CA C.

Tables 15 and 16. We give our only examples for a CA on more than two symbols (they are CA on three symbols). The pattern is the same, but we are able to investigate only up to shift period 13.

Tables 17 and 18 [Span 5 irregular]. We display data for the 26 irregular maps of span 5 given in Table 2 and discussed in Section 4.

Tables 19 and 21 [Span 4]. We exhibit data for the 32 maps g of Table 1. (This addresses all span-4 surjective CA on two symbols not linear in an end variable, as discussed in Section 4.)

Tables 20 and 22. [Span 4 composed with flip]. We exhibit data for the 32 maps of Table 1 postcomposed with the flip involution $F = x_0 + 1$.

Table 23 [Permutative comparison]. Here ν_k^0 is computed for 16 left-permutative span-5 maps, to make a rough comparison of a sample of maps that are and are not linear in an end variable. We see no particular difference.

Table 24. For $B = x_0 + x_1x_2$, complete data for B-periods with multiplicity are found by FPeriod (not FProbPeriod) for points in $P_k(S_2)$ for $k \leq 22$.

7. FPROBPERIOD

The k for which the program FPeriod can explore f-periodicity of points in $P_k(S_N)$ is limited on account of the memory demands of FPeriod. This begs for a probabilistic approach. For large k, it is generally useless to sample points of shift period k for f-periodicity (commonly, this will be a fraction of the shift-periodic points exponentially small in k). Instead, FProbPeriod randomly samples points of period k and computes for them the length of the f-cycle into which they eventually fall. This extends the range of k that can be investigated, depending on the map; for different maps we have seen practical limits at $k = 33$ to $k = 37$ (typical), to past 50

(for the linear $x_0 + x_1$ on two symbols). In any case, we can search larger k than are accessible to us with FPeriod. The program FProbPeriod again works by listing and tagging, but now needs to keep words in memory only for the points visited along an iteration. As long as the preperiod and period of the forward orbit aren't too large, the program won't crash.

The input data for FProbPeriod then are the CA f, a finite set of periods k, the number N of symbols, and the number m of points to be randomly sampled for each k. The program will, for each k, take m random samples of points from $P_k(S_N)$ and find the corresponding periods and preperiods with multiplicity. Given k, L denotes the largest f-period found in the sample. For any sequence of samples, clearly $\limsup_k L^{1/k} \leq \limsup_k \nu_k(f, S_N) \leq N$, and inequalities must become sharp in some cases (f linear or f of finite order). Still, the data we see seems consistent with positive answers to Question 1.2 and Question 1.3.

The specific maps cited below are described in Section 4.

Table 25. For sample size $m = 10$, for the (degree-one, left-permutative, non-right-closing) map $B = x_0 + x_1x_2$, the (eventual) periods are listed with their multiplicities in the sample, for $1 \leq k \leq 37$.

Table 26. For the map B, periods with multiplicity are probed for $k \leq 30$ for two samples, of size 10 and size 30. The maximum period is the same except for two values of k. By comparison with Table 24, one sees that the size-30 sample in Table 26 found the largest period except at $k = 12$ (where it found period 56 but not the maximum period 60).

Table 31. For the linear CA A, periods with multiplicity are probed for $k \leq 49$ for two sample sizes, 10 and 30. The results are almost identical.

Table 27. For sample size $m = 10$, for $1 \leq k \leq 37$, the numbers $L^{1/k}$ are computed for several CA described in Section 4: A, B, C, E, G, H, J, K. The corresponding preperiod data are displayed in Table 28.

Table 29. For sample size $m = 10$, for $1 \leq k \leq 32$, the sampled periods for the nonclosing CA C are listed with their multiplicities in the sample.

Table 30. For sample size $m = 10$, for $1 \leq k \leq 32$, the sampled periods for the nonclosing CA D are listed with their multiplicities in the sample.

Table 32. This table lists the preperiods found for B by FProbPeriod for the sample size 10 in the range $18 \leq k \leq 35$.

Table 33. This table lists the preperiods found for C by FProbPeriod for the sample size 10 in the range $18 \leq k \leq 35$.

For the CA maps f on $N = 2$ symbols explored by FProbperiod, perhaps the most striking feature observed is the exponential size of $L_k(f)$ (the length of the largest f-cycle found). For example, for the eight maps sampled in Table 27, at $k = 37$, $L_k(f)$ is approximately α^k with $\alpha = 1.49, 1.60, 1.55, 1.46, 1.30, 1.44, 1.45, 1.57$. These long cycles are compatible with the heuristic that we should, for arbitrarily large k, see at least as much periodicity as we would expect from a random map (but not necessarily more). A random map on 2^k points would, for large k, produce a longest cycle of size on the order of $\sqrt{2^k} \approx 1.4^k$.

8. ONLINE TABLES

The tables referred to in the paper are available in the online supplement to this paper at the Experimental Mathematics web site (http://www.expmath.org/expmath/volumes/16/16.3/), and are also at the web site of the first-named author (http://www.math.umd.edu~mmb). The tables are organized into four groups:

- Tables of some span-4 and span-5 CA (Tables 1 and 2);
- FDense Tables (Tables 3–7);
- FPeriod Tables (Tables 8–24);
- FProbPeriod Tables (Tables 25–33);

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the anonymous referees, especially the referee whose many detailed comments have improved the paper. This work was partially supported by NSF Grants 0400493 and NSFD.DMS0240049.

REFERENCES

[Ashley 93] J. Ashley. "An Extension Theorem for Closing Maps of Shifts of Finite Type." *Trans. AMS* 336 (1993), 389–420.

[Blanchard 00] F. Blanchard. "Dense Points in Cellular Automata." Available online (http://iml.univ-mrs.fr/~kolyada/opds/), 2000.

[Blanchard and Maass 96] F. Blanchard and A. Maass. "Dynamical Behaviour of Coven's Aperiodic Cellular Automata." *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 163:1-2 (1996), 291–302.

[Blanchard and Tisseur 00] F. Blanchard and P. Tisseur. "Some Properties of Cellular Automata with Equicontinuity Points." *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* 36:5 (2000) 569–582.

[Boyle and Kitchens 99] M. Boyle and B. Kitchens. "Periodic Points for Onto Cellular Automata." *Indag. Mathem., N.S.* 10:4 (1999), 483–493.

[Boyle and Krieger 87] M. Boyle and W. Krieger. "Periodic Points and Automorphisms of the Shift." *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 302 (1987), 125–149.

[Boyle and Krieger 93] M. Boyle and W. Krieger. "Automorphisms and Subsystems of the Shift." *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 437 (1993), 13–28.

[Brin and Stuck 02] M. Brin and G. Stuck. *Introduction to Dynamical Systems*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2002.

[Chin et al. 01] W. Chin, B. Cortzen, and J. Goldman. "Linear Cellular Automata with Boundary Conditions." *Linear Algebra Appl.* 322:1-3 (2001), 193–206.

[Cordovil et al. 86] R. Cordovil, R. Dilao, and A. Noronha da Costa. "Periodic Orbits for Additive Cellular Automata." Discrete Comput. Geom. 1:3 (1986), 277–288.

[Denker et al. 76] M. Denker, C. Grillenberger and K. Sigmund, *Ergodic Theory on Compact Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. 527. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1976.

[Grusho 72] A. A. Grusho. "Random Mappings with Bounded Multiplicity." *Theory of Probability and Its Applications* 17:3 (1972), 416–425.

[Hedlund 69] G. A. Hedlund. "Endomorphisms and Automorphisms of the Shift Dynamical System." *Math. Systems Th.* 3 (1969), 320–375.

[Hedlund et al. 63] G. A. Hedlund, K. I. Appel, and L. R. Welch. "All Onto Functions of Span Less Than or Equal to Five." IDA-CRD Working Paper, July 1963.

[Jen 88] E. Jen. "Linear Cellular Automata and Recurring Sequences in Finite Fields." *Comm. Math. Phys.* 119:1 (1988), 13–28.

[Kari 05] J. Kari. "Theory of Cellular Automata: A Survey." *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 334 (2005), 3–33.

[Kim and Roush 90] K. H. Kim and F. W. Roush. "On the Automorphism Groups of Subshifts." *Pure Math. Appl. Ser. B* 1:4 (1990), 203–230.

[Kitchens 97] B. Kitchens. "The Dynamics of Group Automorphisms." *Ann. Numer. Math.* 4:1-4 (1997), 369–391.

[Kitchens 98] B. Kitchens. *Symbolic Dynamics. One-Sided, Two-Sided and Countable State Markov Shifts*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1998.

[Kurka 77] P. Kurka. "Languages, Equicontinuity and Attractors in Cellular Automata." *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* 17 (1977), 417–433.

[Lidman and Thomas 06] L. Lidman and D. M. Thomas. "Algebraic Dynamics of a One-Parameter Class of Maps over $\mathbb{Z}_2$." Preprint available online (www.csam.montclair.edu/~thomasd), 2006.

[Lind and Marcus 95] D. Lind and B. Marcus. *An Introduction to Symbolic Dynamics and Coding*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1995.

[Maass 95] A. Maass. "On the Sofic Limit Sets of Cellular Automata." *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 15:4 (1995), 663–684.

[Marcus 85] B. Marcus. "Sofic Systems and Encoding Data." *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 31:3 (1985), 366–377.

[Martin et al. 84] O. Martin, A. Odlyzko, and S. Wolfram. "Algebraic Properties of Cellular Automata." *Comm. Math. Phys.* 93 (1984), 219–258.

[Miles 06] R. Miles. "Periodic Points of Endomorphisms on Solenoids and Related Groups." Preprint available online (www.uea.ac.uk/~h077/), 2006.

[Misiurewicz et al. 06] M. Misiurewicz, J. G. Stevens, and D. M. Thomas. "Iterations of Linear Maps over Finite Fields." *Linear Algebra Appl.* 413:1 (2006), 218–234.

[Nasu 95] M. Nasu. *Textile Systems for Endomorphisms and Automorphisms of the Shift,* Memoirs AMS 546. Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 1995.

[Rhodes 88] F. Rhodes. "Endomorphisms of the Full Shift Which Are Bijective on an Infinity of Periodic Subsets." In *Dynamical Systems (College Park, MD, 1986–87)*, pp. 638–644, Lecture Notes in Math. 1342. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1988.

[Sachkov 97] V. N. Sachkov. *Probabilistic Methods in Combinatorial Analysis*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications 56. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1997.

[Schmidt 95] K. Schmidt. *Dynamical Systems of Algebraic Origin*, Progress in Mathematics, 128. Basel: Birkhäuser, 1995.

[Sutner 01] K. Sutner. "Decomposition of Additive Cellular Automata." *Complex Systems* 13:3 (2001), 245–270.

Mike Boyle, Department of Mathematics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742-4015 (mmb@math.umd.edu)

Bryant Lee, Department of Mathematics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742-4015 (blee3@umd.edu)

Received July 4, 2006; accepted October 26, 2006.