

Classification of N -(Super)-Extended Poincaré Algebras and Bilinear Invariants of the Spinor Representation of $Spin(p, q)$

D.V. Alekseevsky^{1,*}, V. Cortés^{2,**}

¹ Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Gottfried-Claren-Str. 26, D-53225 Bonn, Germany

² Mathematical Sciences Research Institute, 1000 Centennial Drive, Berkeley, CA 94720-5070, USA

Received: 4 December 1995 / Accepted: 16 May 1996

Abstract: We classify extended Poincaré Lie super algebras and Lie algebras of any signature (p, q) , that is Lie super algebras (resp. \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Lie algebras) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1$, where $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(V) + V$ is the (generalized) Poincaré Lie algebra of the pseudo-Euclidean vector space $V = \mathbb{R}^{p,q}$ of signature (p, q) and $\mathfrak{g}_1 = S$ is the spinor $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -module extended to a \mathfrak{g}_0 -module with kernel V . The remaining super commutators $\{\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_1\}$ (respectively, commutators $[\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_1]$) are defined by an $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -equivariant linear mapping

$$\vee^2 \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow V \quad (\text{respectively, } \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow V).$$

Denote by $\mathcal{P}^+(n, s)$ (respectively, $\mathcal{P}^-(n, s)$) the vector space of all such Lie super algebras (respectively, Lie algebras), where $n = p + q = \dim V$ and $s = p - q$ is the classical signature. The description of $\mathcal{P}^\pm(n, s)$ reduces to the construction of all $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -invariant bilinear forms on S and to the calculation of three \mathbb{Z}_2 -valued invariants for some of them.

This calculation is based on a simple explicit model of an irreducible Clifford module S for the Clifford algebra $Cl_{p,q}$ of arbitrary signature (p, q) . As a result of the classification, we obtain the numbers $L^\pm(n, s) = \dim \mathcal{P}^\pm(n, s)$ of independent Lie super algebras and algebras, which take values 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 or 6. Due to Bott periodicity, $L^\pm(n, s)$ may be considered as periodic functions with period 8 in each argument. They are invariant under the group Γ generated by the four reflections with respect to the axes $n = -2$, $n = 2$, $s - 1 = -2$ and $s - 1 = 2$. Moreover, the reflection $(n, s) \rightarrow (-n, s)$ with respect to the axis $n = 0$ interchanges L^+ and L^- :

$$L^+(-n, s) = L^-(n, s).$$

* E-mail: daleksee@mpim-bonn.mpg.de; supported by Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik (Bonn)

** E-mail: vicente@rhein.iam.uni-bonn.de; supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, MSRI (Berkeley) and SFB 256 (Bonn University)

Contents

Introduction 478

1 (Super) Extensions of the Poincaré Algebra $\mathfrak{p}(p, q)$ and $Spin(p, q)$ -Equivariant Embeddings $\mathbb{R}^{p,q} \hookrightarrow S^* \otimes S^*$ 482

1.1 Extending the Poincaré algebra. 482

1.2 Internal symmetries and charges. 483

1.3 Reduction of the classification of N -extended Poincaré algebras to the cases $N = \pm 1, \pm 2$ 485

1.4 Equivariant embeddings $V^* \hookrightarrow S^* \otimes S^*$, modified Clifford multiplications and Dirac operators. 486

1.5 \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded type and Schur algebra C 489

2 Fundamental Invariants τ, σ and ι and Reduction to the Basic Signatures $(m, m), (k, 0)$ and $(0, k)$ 491

2.1 Fundamental invariants. 491

2.2 Reduction to the basic signatures. 492

3 Case of Signature (m, m) and Complex Case 495

3.1 Signature (m, m) 495

3.2 Complex case. 498

4 Case of Signature $(k, 0)$ 499

4.1 Case of even dimension. 499

4.2 Case of odd dimension. 502

5 Case of Signature $(0, k)$ 503

5.1 Case of even dimension. 503

5.2 Case of odd dimension. 506

6 Complete Classification 507

Introduction

General relativity is a gauge theory with the Poincaré group $P(1, 3) = \mathbb{R}^{1,3} \rtimes Lor(1, 3)$ of Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ as gauge group. In N -extended supergravity the N -extended Poincaré supergroup plays the role of (super) gauge group.

The Lie super algebra of this super group for $N = 1$ is defined as follows: $\mathfrak{p}^{(1)}(1, 3) = \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{p}(1, 3) + S$, where $\mathfrak{p}(1, 3) = \mathbb{R}^{1,3} + \mathfrak{so}(1, 3)$ is the Poincaré Lie algebra and $S = \mathbb{C}^2$ is the spinor module of the Lorentz algebra $\mathfrak{so}(1, 3) \cong \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ trivially extended to a $\mathfrak{p}(1, 3)$ -module. The supercommutator $\{ \cdot, \cdot \} : S \otimes S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ is defined as projection onto the unique vector submodule $V \cong \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ in the symmetric square $\vee^2 S$.

We remark that in this case there exists also a unique vector submodule in $\wedge^2 S$, which defines on $\mathfrak{p}(1, 3) + S$ the structure of a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}^{(-1)}(1, 3)$.

Our goal is to classify for any pseudo-Euclidean space $V = \mathbb{R}^{p,q}$ all similar extensions of the (generalized) Poincaré algebra $\mathfrak{p}(V) = \mathfrak{p}(p, q) = \mathbb{R}^{p,q} + \mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ to a super Lie algebra or to a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Lie algebra. The super Lie algebra extensions of the Poincaré algebra $\mathfrak{p}(p, q)$ are the natural gauge algebras for supergravity theories over space times of signature (p, q) . Since the time when the classical (i.e. $(p, q) = (1, 3)$) super Poincaré algebra was discovered [G-L] these (generalized) super Poincaré algebras play a mayor role in many super symmetric field theories, see e.g [O-S and F] for further reference. However, despite the various realizations of particular super Poincaré algebras as infinitesimal symmetries of supergravity theories (for super dimensions and signatures of the space time), a systematic classification, as given in our paper, was missing.

Another motivation to study such extensions is that extended Poincaré Lie algebras are closely related to the full isometry algebra $\mathfrak{isom}(M)$ of homogeneous quaternionic Kähler manifolds M (see [dW-V-VP, A-C1]). In fact, $\mathfrak{isom}(M) = \mathfrak{p} + \mathbb{R}A$, where \mathfrak{p} is an extension of the Poincaré algebra $\mathfrak{p}(3, 3+k)$ of the pseudo-Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{3,3+k}$ of signature $(3, 3+k)$, $k = -1, 0, 1, \dots$, and A is a derivation of \mathfrak{p} defining a natural gradation.

Definition 1. *A super Lie algebra (respectively a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Lie algebra) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1$ is called an N -extended (respectively $-N$ -extended) Poincaré algebra of $V = \mathbb{R}^{p,q}$ if the following conditions hold*

- 1) $\mathfrak{g}_0 \cong \mathfrak{p}(V)$.
- 2) \mathfrak{g}_1 is a sum of N irreducible spinor or semi spinor modules of $\mathfrak{p}(V) = V + \mathfrak{so}(V)$ with trivial action of the vector group V .
- 3) The super bracket $\{S, S\} \subset V$ (respectively Lie bracket $[S, S] \subset V$).

Let S be a $\mathfrak{p}(V)$ -module with trivial action of the vector group V . Then defining on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}(V) + S$ the structure of a super Lie algebra (respectively of a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Lie algebra) such that $\mathfrak{g}_0 \cong \mathfrak{p}(V)$, $\mathfrak{g}_1 = S$ and $\{S, S\} \subset V$ (respectively $[S, S] \subset V$) is equivalent to defining an $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -equivariant mapping $j : V^* \rightarrow \vee^2 S^*$ (respectively $j : V^* \rightarrow \wedge^2 S^*$). The super bracket (respectively the Lie bracket) is given by $j^* : \vee^2 S \rightarrow V$ (respectively $j^* : \wedge^2 S \rightarrow V$). Remark that under these assumptions the Jacobi identities are automatically satisfied since $[[x, y], z] = 0$ for $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}_1$.

We show that the classification of N -extended ($N \in \mathbb{Z}$) Poincaré algebras easily reduces to the classification of equivariant embeddings $V^* \hookrightarrow \vee^2 S^*$ if $N > 0$ and $V^* \hookrightarrow \wedge^2 S^*$ if $N < 0$, where V is the vector module and S the spinor module of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$. In other words, we reduce the classification to the cases $N = \pm 1, \pm 2$.

We prove that the following three vector spaces are isomorphic:

- 1) the space \mathcal{J} of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -equivariant mappings $j : V^* \rightarrow S^* \otimes S^*$,
- 2) the space \mathcal{M} of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -equivariant multiplications $\mu : V^* \otimes S \rightarrow S$, and
- 3) the space \mathcal{B} of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -invariant bilinear forms β on S .

Let $\rho : V^* \otimes S \rightarrow S$ be the (standard) Clifford multiplication, where we have identified $V \cong V^*$ using the scalar product on $V = \mathbb{R}^{p,q}$. Then an isomorphism $j_\rho : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ is given by

$$j_\rho(\beta) : v^* \in V^* \mapsto \beta \circ \rho(v^*) = \beta(\rho(v^*) \cdot, \cdot) \in S^* \otimes S^* .$$

In particular, the classification of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -equivariant mappings $V^* \rightarrow S^* \otimes S^*$ is equivalent to the classification of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -invariant bilinear forms on the spinor module S . The latter amounts to the description of the Schur algebra \mathcal{C} of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -invariant endomorphisms of S . The structure of \mathcal{C} as abstract algebra depends only on the signature $s = p - q$ of $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$ modulo 8; it is a simple real, complex or quaternionic matrix algebra of rank 1 or 2 or a sum of two isomorphic such algebras.

To construct equivariant embeddings of the vector module V^* into the symmetric square $\vee^2 S^*$ (or into the exterior square $\wedge^2 S^*$) we introduce the notion of an admissible bilinear form β on S and also the corresponding notion of an admissible endomorphism of S , which depends on the choice of an admissible bilinear form β .

Definition 2. *An $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -invariant bilinear form β on the spinor module S is called admissible if it has the following properties:*

- 1) Clifford multiplication $\rho(v)$ is either β -symmetric or β -skew symmetric. We define the type τ of β to be $\tau(\beta) = +1$ in the first case and $\tau(\beta) = -1$ in the second.
- 2) β is symmetric or skew symmetric. Accordingly, we define the symmetry σ of β to be $\sigma(\beta) = \pm 1$.
- 3) If the spinor module is reducible, $S = S^+ + S^-$, then S^\pm are either mutually orthogonal or isotropic. We put $\iota(\beta) = +1$ in the first case, $\iota(\beta) = -1$ in the second and call $\iota(\beta)$ the isotropy of β .

Every admissible form β defines an $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -equivariant embedding $j_\rho(\beta) : V^* \rightarrow \vee^2 S^*$ if $\tau(\beta)\sigma(\beta) = +1$ or $j_\rho(\beta) : V^* \rightarrow \wedge^2 S^*$ if $\tau(\beta)\sigma(\beta) = -1$. Moreover, if $S = S^+ + S^-$, then either S^\pm are orthogonal or isotropic for every bilinear form in the image of $j_\rho(\beta)$.

The main part of the paper is the construction of an admissible basis for the space \mathcal{J} of equivariant mappings $V^* \rightarrow S^* \otimes S^*$, i.e. a basis consisting of embeddings $j_\rho(\beta)$, where β are admissible bilinear forms on S .

To describe all admissible forms β we make use of very simple explicit models of the irreducible Clifford modules inspired by Raševskii [R]. We prove that the problem reduces to the three fundamental cases $V = \mathbb{R}^{m,m}, \mathbb{R}^{k,0}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{0,k}$ using the isomorphisms $\mathcal{C}l_{m+k,m} \cong \mathcal{C}l_{m,m} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}l_k$ and $\mathcal{C}l_{m,m+k} \cong \mathcal{C}l_{m,m} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}l_{0,k}$ and the algebraic properties of the fundamental invariants τ, σ and ι with respect to \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded tensor products.

Moreover, we establish that for every pseudo-Euclidean vector space $V = \mathbb{R}^{p,q}$ there is a preferred non-degenerate $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -invariant bilinear form h on the spinor module S . This allows us to define canonically the notion of an admissible endomorphism of S and the invariants τ, σ and ι for such endomorphisms. They are multiplicative with respect to the composition $h \circ A = h(A \cdot, \cdot), A \in \mathcal{C}$ admissible.

Finally, we explicitly construct in all the cases an admissible basis for the Schur algebra \mathcal{C} . This canonically yields admissible bases for the space \mathcal{B} of invariant bilinear forms and the space \mathcal{J} of equivariant mappings.

This gives an explicit description of all extended Poincaré algebras $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}(V) + S$, where S is the spinor module. The super (respectively Lie) brackets $\vee^2 S \rightarrow V$ (respectively $\wedge^2 S \rightarrow V$) are given as linear combinations of mappings j_i^* , where the $j_i : V^* \rightarrow \vee^2 S^*$ (respectively $V^* \rightarrow \wedge^2 S^*$) form an admissible basis for the space of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -equivariant mappings $V^* \rightarrow \vee^2 S^*$ (respectively $V^* \rightarrow \wedge^2 S^*$).

If the spinor module S is an irreducible $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -module, we obtain all $N = \pm 1$ extended Poincaré algebras. If S is reducible, then we obtain all $N = \pm 2$ extended Poincaré algebras and using the invariant ι we can determine all $N = \pm 1$ extended Poincaré algebras. Sometimes there exist only trivial $N = 1$ (or $N = -1$) extended Poincaré algebras, i.e. $\{S, S\} = 0$ (or $[S, S] = 0$).

Given a pseudo-Euclidean vector space $V = \mathbb{R}^{p,q}$, let $|N| = 1$ or 2 denote the number of irreducible summands of the spinor module S of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$. For fixed $N = +|N|$ or $N = -|N|$ we give now the dimension d_N of the vector space of N -extended Poincaré algebra structures on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}(V) + S$.

The function d_N , which depends only on the signature (p, q) , admits a symmetry group Γ generated by reflections. Moreover, there is an additional supersymmetry which relates the dimension $L^+ := d_{+|N|}$ of the space of super algebras to the dimension $L^- := d_{-|N|}$ of the space of Lie algebras.

More precisely: Denote by $n = p + q$ the dimension and by $s = p - q$ the signature of $V = \mathbb{R}^{p,q}$ and let $L^+ = L^+(n, s)$ (respectively $L^-(n, s)$) be the maximal number of linearly independent super algebra structures $\vee^2 S \rightarrow V$ (respectively Lie algebra structures $\wedge^2 S \rightarrow V$) on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}(V) + S$. The functions L^+ and L^- are periodic with

period 8 in each argument, hence we may consider them as functions on $\mathbb{Z}^2 = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. The value of the pair (L^+, L^-) is given in Table 1.

Table 1. The numbers L^+ of super algebras and L^- of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}(V) + S$ are given as functions of the dimension n and signature s of V . A fundamental domain for the reflection group Γ is emphasized in boldface. The supersymmetry axis is given by the equation $n = 0$.

<i>s</i> :	$(L^+(n, s), L^-(n, s))$								
5		1,3		1,3		3,1		3,1	
4	4,4		2,6		4,4		6,2		4,4
3		1,3		1,3		3,1		3,1	
2	4,4		2,6		4,4		6,2		4,4
1		1,3		1,3		3,1		3,1	
0	1,1		0,2		1,1		2,0		1,1
-1		0,1		0,1		1,0		1,0	
-2	1,1		0,2		1,1		2,0		1,1
-3		1,3		1,3		3,1		3,1	
<i>n</i> :	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4

It follows from the inspection of this table, that the function (L^+, L^-) is invariant under the group Γ generated by the reflections with respect to the 4 axes defined by the equations $n = -2, n = 2, s' := s - 1 = -2$ and $s' = 2$. A fundamental domain F for Γ is

$$F = \{(n, s) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid -2 \leq n \leq 2, \quad -2 \leq s' = s - 1 \leq 2\} \cap G,$$

$$G = \{(n, s) \mid \exists (p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : n = p + q, \quad s = p - q\} = \{(n, s) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid n + s \text{ even}\}$$

and consists of 12 points. The values of the pair (L^+, L^-) at these points are typed in boldface in Table 1.

Moreover, the reflection θ with respect to the axis $\{n = 0\}, \theta : (n, s) \mapsto (-n, s)$, is a supersymmetry of the pair (L^+, L^-) , that is it interchanges the number of Lie algebras and Lie super algebras:

$$(L^+(+n, s), L^- (+n, s)) = (L^-(-n, s), L^+(-n, s)).$$

In short:

$$L^\pm = L^\mp \circ \theta$$

A fundamental domain \tilde{F} for the group $\tilde{\Gamma} = \langle \Gamma, \theta \rangle$ is given by

$$\tilde{F} = \{(n, s) = (0, 0), (0, 2), (1, -1), (1, 1), (1, 3), (2, 0), (2, 2)\}.$$

In terms of the coordinates (p, q) a fundamental domain with $p \geq 0$ and $q \geq 0$ is given by

$$\tilde{D} = \{(p, q) = (2, 0), (1, 1), (3, 0), (2, 1), (1, 2), (3, 1), (2, 2)\}.$$

1. (Super) Extensions of the Poincaré Algebra $\mathfrak{p}(p, q)$ and $Spin(p, q)$ -Equivariant Embeddings $\mathbb{R}^{p,q} \hookrightarrow S^* \otimes S^*$

1.1. Extending the Poincaré algebra. Let $V = \mathbb{R}^{p,q}$ be the pseudo-Euclidean space with the metric $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^p x^i y^i - \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} x^j y^j$. We denote by $\mathfrak{so}(V) = \mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ the pseudo-orthogonal Lie algebra and by $\mathfrak{p}(V) = \mathfrak{p}(p, q) = \mathfrak{so}(V) + V$ the semidirect sum of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ and the Abelian ideal V , it is the Lie algebra of the isometry group of $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. We call $\mathfrak{p}(V)$ the **Poincaré algebra** of the space V .

Definition 1.1. A \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Lie algebra (respectively a super algebra) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1$ is called an **extension** (respectively a **super extension**) of $\mathfrak{p}(V)$ if $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{p}(V)$, V is in the kernel of the representation of \mathfrak{g}_0 on \mathfrak{g}_1 and $[\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_1] \subset V$ (respectively $\{\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_1\} \subset V$).

Remark 1. Sometimes, for unification, we will refer to \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Lie algebras and to super algebras as ϵ -algebras, where $\epsilon = -1$ or $+1$ respectively. Correspondingly, we will speak of ϵ -extensions.

Proposition 1.1. There exists a natural one-to-one correspondence between extensions (respectively super extensions) of $\mathfrak{p}(V)$ up to isomorphisms and equivalence classes of pairs (ρ, π) , where

$$\rho : \mathfrak{so}(V) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(W)$$

is a representation and

$$\pi : \wedge^2 W \rightarrow V \quad (\text{resp.} \quad \vee^2 W \rightarrow V)$$

is a $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -equivariant linear map from the space of skew symmetric (respectively symmetric) bilinear forms on W^* to the vector module V . Two pairs (ρ, π) and (ρ', π') ($\rho' : \mathfrak{so}(V) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(W')$) are **equivalent** if there exists an automorphism $\phi : \mathfrak{p}(V) \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}(V)$ and a linear map $\psi : W \rightarrow W'$ such that the following diagrams are commutative (for pairs of skew symmetric type):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{so}(V) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathfrak{gl}(V) & & \wedge^2 W & \xrightarrow{\pi} & V \\ \downarrow \bar{\phi} & & \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \phi|_V \\ \mathfrak{so}(V) & \xrightarrow{\rho'} & \mathfrak{gl}(W') & & \wedge^2 W' & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & V \end{array}$$

where $\bar{\phi}$ is the induced automorphism of $\mathfrak{so}(V) = \mathfrak{p}(V)/V$. For pairs of symmetric type \wedge^2 must be replaced by \vee^2 .

Proof. Given a pair (ρ, π) of skew symmetric type, we define a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1$, $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{p}(V) = \mathfrak{so}(V) + V$, $\mathfrak{g}_1 = W$ by

$$\begin{aligned} [A, w] &= \rho(A)w, \\ [w_1, w_2] &= \pi(w_1 \wedge w_2), \\ [v, w] &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $A \in \mathfrak{so}(V)$, $v \in V$ and $w, w_1, w_2 \in W$. For a pair of symmetric type we define a super algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1$ by the same formulas replacing only the middle equation by

$$\{w_1, w_2\} = \pi(w_1 \vee w_2).$$

The Jacobi identity is satisfied because ρ is a representation, π is equivariant and the (anti)commutator of W with W is contained in V and hence commutes with W . The other statements can be checked easily. \square

Recall that the spinor representation is the representation of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ on an irreducible module S of the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell(V)$. It is either irreducible or a sum of two irreducible semi spinor modules S^\pm .

Definition 1.2. (cf. Def. 1) Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(\rho, \pi)$ be an ϵ -extension of $\mathfrak{p}(V)$ associated with a pair (ρ, π) . We say that \mathfrak{g} is an ϵN -extended Poincaré algebra if ρ is a sum of $N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ irreducible spin 1/2 representations, i.e. irreducible spinor or semi-spinor representations.

The purpose of this paper is to classify all N -extended ($N \in \mathbb{Z}$) Poincaré algebras. Before starting this classification we explain how, given a (super) extension of the Poincaré algebra, we can construct more complicated ϵ -algebras.

1.2. Internal symmetries and charges.

Definition 1.3. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1$ be an ϵ -algebra. An internal symmetry of \mathfrak{g} is an automorphism of \mathfrak{g} which acts trivially on \mathfrak{g}_0 .

Now we give a simple construction which associates with an ϵ -extension $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(\rho, \pi)$ of the Poincaré algebra $\mathfrak{p}(V)$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$ an ϵ -extension $\mathfrak{g}^{(+l)}$ and also a $-\epsilon$ -extension $\mathfrak{g}^{(-2l)}$ which admit $O(l)$, respectively, $Sp(2l, \mathbb{R})$ as internal symmetry groups. We define $\mathfrak{g}^{(+l)} = \mathfrak{g}(\rho^{(+l)}, \pi^{(+l)})$, where

$$\rho^{(+l)} = l\rho : \mathfrak{so}(V) \rightarrow lW = W \otimes \mathbb{R}^l,$$

$$\pi^{(+l)}(w_1 \otimes v_1, w_2 \otimes v_2) = \pi(w_1, w_2) \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle,$$

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the standard Euclidean scalar product on \mathbb{R}^l . Similarly, we define

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(-2l)} = 2l\rho : \mathfrak{so}(V) \rightarrow 2lW = W \otimes \mathbb{R}^{2l},$$

$$\pi^{(-2l)}(w_1 \otimes v_1, w_2 \otimes v_2) = \pi(w_1, w_2)\omega(v_1, v_2),$$

where ω is the standard symplectic form on \mathbb{R}^{2l} . Here we have used the convention that $\pi(w_1, w_2) = \pi(w_1 \vee w_2)$ if $\epsilon = +1$ and $\pi(w_1, w_2) = \pi(w_1 \wedge w_2)$ if $\epsilon = -1$.

Proposition 1.2. If \mathfrak{g} is an ϵ -extension of the Poincaré algebra $\mathfrak{p}(V)$, then $\mathfrak{g}^{(+l)}$ is an ϵ -extension and $\mathfrak{g}^{(-2l)}$ is a $-\epsilon$ -extension. The standard actions of $O(l)$ (respectively $Sp(2l, \mathbb{R})$) on \mathbb{R}^l (respectively \mathbb{R}^{2l}) are naturally extended to actions on $\mathfrak{g}^{(+l)}$ (respectively $\mathfrak{g}^{(-2l)}$) by internal symmetries.

Proof. The first statement follows immediately from Prop. 1.1 and the remark that the bilinear map $\pi^{(+l)}$ (respectively $\pi^{(-2l)}$) has the same (respectively the opposite) symmetry as π . The last statement is immediate. \square

Example 1: Applying this construction to an ϵ -extended (see Def. 1.2) Poincaré algebra, we obtain an ϵl -extended Poincaré algebra and also an $-\epsilon 2l$ -extended Poincaré algebra with internal symmetry groups $O(l)$ and $Sp(2l, \mathbb{R})$ respectively.

Definition 1.4. A \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Lie algebra (respectively a super algebra) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1$ is called a **charged extension** (respectively a **charged super extension**) of the Poincaré algebra $\mathfrak{p}(V)$ if

1) $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{p}(V) + C$ is a trivial extension of $\mathfrak{p}(V)$, i.e. $[C, C] = 0$.

- 2) The action of $V + C$ on the \mathfrak{g}_0 -module $W = \mathfrak{g}_1$ is trivial.
- 3) The Lie (respectively super) bracket $\pi : \Lambda^2 W \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$ (respectively $\vee^2 W \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$) is a sum $\pi = \pi_V + \pi_C$, where $\pi_V : \Lambda^2 W \rightarrow V$ and $\pi_C : \Lambda^2 W \rightarrow C$ (respectively $\pi_V : \vee^2 W \rightarrow V$ and $\pi_C : \vee^2 W \rightarrow C$). In particular, $(\mathfrak{p}(V)+W, \pi_V)$ is an extension (respectively super extension) of $\mathfrak{p}(V)$.

If moreover, $[\mathfrak{so}(V), C] = 0$, and hence $[C, \mathfrak{g}] = 0$, then \mathfrak{g} is called a **central charge extension** (respectively a **central charge super extension**) of $\mathfrak{p}(V)$.

Let an extension (respectively super extension) $\mathfrak{p}(V) + W$ admitting a connected Lie group H of internal symmetries be given. Without restriction of generality we can assume that H is simply connected and we denote the Lie algebra of H by \mathfrak{h} . To construct a charged extension (respectively super extension) $(\mathfrak{p}(V)+C)+W$ preserving the internal symmetry group H it is necessary and sufficient to define an $(\mathfrak{so}(V) + \mathfrak{h})$ -equivariant map π_C from the exterior (respectively symmetric) square of W to an $(\mathfrak{so}(V) + \mathfrak{h})$ -module C .

Example 2. Let $\mathfrak{p}(V)+W$ be an extension of $\mathfrak{p}(V)$. Consider the extension $\mathfrak{g}^{(+) = \mathfrak{p}(V)+W \otimes \mathbb{R}^l$ with internal symmetry group $H = O(l)$ defined above. Let $h \in \vee^2 W^* \otimes \mathbb{R}^r$ be a symmetric $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -invariant (possibly trivial) vector valued bilinear form on W and $\eta \in \Lambda^2 W^* \otimes \mathbb{R}^s$ a skew symmetric such form. Define

$$\pi_C : \Lambda^2(W \otimes \mathbb{R}^l) \rightarrow C = \mathbb{R}^r \otimes \Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^l + \mathbb{R}^s \otimes \vee^2 \mathbb{R}^l,$$

$$\pi_C(w_1 \otimes x_1, w_2 \otimes x_2) = h(w_1, w_2)x_1 \wedge x_2 + \eta(w_1, w_2)x_1 \vee x_2,$$

where $w_1, w_2 \in W$ and $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^l$. Then π_C defines on $(\mathfrak{p}(V) + C) + W \otimes \mathbb{R}^l$ the structure of central charge extension of $\mathfrak{p}(V)$ with symmetry group $O(l)$.

Analogously, we can define on $(\mathfrak{p}(V)+C)+W \otimes \mathbb{R}^{2l}$, $C = \mathbb{R}^r \otimes \vee^2 \mathbb{R}^{2l} + \mathbb{R}^s \otimes \Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^{2l}$, the structure of central charge super extension of $\mathfrak{p}(V)$ with symmetry group $Sp(2l, \mathbb{R})$ by

$$\pi_C : \vee^2(W \otimes \mathbb{R}^{2l}) \rightarrow C,$$

$$\pi_C(w_1 \otimes x_1, w_2 \otimes x_2) = h(w_1, w_2)x_1 \vee x_2 + \eta(w_1, w_2)x_1 \wedge x_2.$$

Example 3. Let $\mathfrak{p}(V) + W$ be a super extension of $\mathfrak{p}(V)$. Consider the super extension $\mathfrak{g}^{(+)} = \mathfrak{p}(V) + W \otimes \mathbb{R}^l$ with internal symmetry group $H = O(l)$ and let h be a symmetric and η a skew symmetric vector valued $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -invariant bilinear form on W , as above. Define

$$\pi_C : \vee^2(W \otimes \mathbb{R}^l) \rightarrow C = \mathbb{R}^r \otimes \vee^2 \mathbb{R}^l + \mathbb{R}^s \otimes \Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^l,$$

$$\pi_C(w_1 \otimes x_1, w_2 \otimes x_2) = h(w_1, w_2)x_1 \vee x_2 + \eta(w_1, w_2)x_1 \wedge x_2.$$

Then π_C defines on $(\mathfrak{p}(V)+C)+W \otimes \mathbb{R}^l$ the structure of central charge super extension of $\mathfrak{p}(V)$ with symmetry group $O(l)$.

Analogously, we can define on $(\mathfrak{p}(V)+C)+W \otimes \mathbb{R}^{2l}$, $C = \mathbb{R}^r \otimes \Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^{2l} + \mathbb{R}^s \otimes \vee^2 \mathbb{R}^{2l}$ the structure of central charge extension of $\mathfrak{p}(V)$ with symmetry group $Sp(2l, \mathbb{R})$ by

$$\pi_C : \Lambda^2(W \otimes \mathbb{R}^{2l}) \rightarrow C,$$

$$\pi_C(w_1 \otimes x_1, w_2 \otimes x_2) = h(w_1, w_2)x_1 \wedge x_2 + \eta(w_1, w_2)x_1 \vee x_2.$$

In the physical literature (see [F]) the expression “central charges” is used for a special case of Example 3.

1.3. *Reduction of the classification of *N*-extended Poincaré algebras to the cases $N = \pm 1, \pm 2$.* Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(\rho, \pi) = \mathfrak{p}(V) + W$ be a $\pm N$ -extended Poincaré algebra, $N = 1, 2, \dots$. Then either the spinor representation $\rho_0 : \mathfrak{so}(V) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(S)$ is irreducible and $\rho = N\rho_0, W = NS = S \otimes \mathbb{R}^N$, or it decomposes into two irreducible subrepresentations $\rho_0 = \rho_+ + \rho_-, S = S^+ + S^-$ and $\rho = N_+\rho_+ + N_-\rho_-, W = N_+S^+ + N_+S^- = S^+ \otimes \mathbb{R}^{N_+} + S^- \otimes \mathbb{R}^{N_-}, N = N_+ + N_-$. The description of all ϵN -extended Poincaré algebras $\mathfrak{g}(\rho, \pi)$ reduces to the description of all $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -equivariant mappings $\pi : \wedge^2 W \rightarrow V$ if $\epsilon = -1$ and $\pi : \vee^2 W \rightarrow V$ if $\epsilon = +1$. If $\pi \neq 0$, the dual mapping defines an $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -equivariant embedding $\pi^* : V^* \hookrightarrow \wedge^2 W^*$ if $\epsilon = -1$ or $\pi^* : V^* \hookrightarrow \vee^2 W^*$ if $\epsilon = +1$. To find all such embeddings it is sufficient to determine all submodules isomorphic to V^* in $\wedge^2 W^*$ and $\vee^2 W^*$ or, equivalently, all vector submodules V in $\wedge^2 W$ and $\vee^2 W$. Tables 2 and 3 reduce this problem to the cases $N = 1$ or 2.

Table 2. Decomposition of the symmetric square of W

$\rho:$	$N\rho_0$	$N_+\rho_+ + N_-\rho_-$
$W:$	$NS = S \otimes \mathbb{R}^N$	$N_+S^+ + N_+S^- = S^+ \otimes \mathbb{R}^{N_+} + S^- \otimes \mathbb{R}^{N_-}$
$\vee^2 W$	$\vee^2 S \otimes \vee^2 \mathbb{R}^N + \wedge^2 S \otimes \wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^N$	$\vee^2 S^+ \otimes \vee^2 \mathbb{R}^{N_+} + \vee^2 S^- \otimes \vee^2 \mathbb{R}^{N_-} + \wedge^2 S^+ \otimes \wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^{N_+} + \wedge^2 S^- \otimes \wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^{N_-} + S^+ \otimes S^- \otimes \mathbb{R}^{N_+N_-}$

Table 3. Decomposition of the exterior square of W

$\rho:$	$N\rho_0$	$N_+\rho_+ + N_-\rho_-$
$W:$	$NS = S \otimes \mathbb{R}^N$	$N_+S^+ + N_+S^- = S^+ \otimes \mathbb{R}^{N_+} + S^- \otimes \mathbb{R}^{N_-}$
$\wedge^2 W$	$\wedge^2 S \otimes \vee^2 \mathbb{R}^N + \vee^2 S \otimes \wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^N$	$\wedge^2 S^+ \otimes \vee^2 \mathbb{R}^{N_+} + \wedge^2 S^- \otimes \vee^2 \mathbb{R}^{N_-} + \vee^2 S^+ \otimes \wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^{N_+} + \vee^2 S^- \otimes \wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^{N_-} + S^+ \otimes S^- \otimes \mathbb{R}^{N_+N_-}$

If ρ_+ and ρ_- are equivalent then $\rho = N_+\rho_+ + N_-\rho_- \cong N\rho_0, \rho_0 \cong \rho_{\pm},$

$$\begin{aligned} \vee^2 W &\cong \vee^2 S_0 \otimes \vee^2 \mathbb{R}^N + \wedge^2 S_0 \otimes \wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \wedge^2 W &\cong \vee^2 S_0 \otimes \wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^N + \wedge^2 S_0 \otimes \vee^2 \mathbb{R}^N, \end{aligned}$$

where $S_0 \cong S^{\pm}$ and $N = N_+ + N_-$. Table 2 shows that the classification of all equivariant embeddings $V \hookrightarrow \vee^2 W$ (case $\epsilon = +1$) reduces to finding all equivariant embeddings $V \hookrightarrow \vee^2 S$ and $V \hookrightarrow \wedge^2 S$ if S is irreducible and equivariant embeddings $V \hookrightarrow \vee^2 S^{\pm}, V \hookrightarrow \wedge^2 S^{\pm}$ and $V \hookrightarrow S^+ \otimes S^-$ if $S = S^+ + S^-$. Table 3 shows that the same reduction applies to the case $\epsilon = -1$, i.e. to the problem of finding all equivariant embeddings $V \hookrightarrow \wedge^2 S$. We see that e.g. the classification of N -extended Poincaré algebras for $N > 0$ (i.e. super algebra extensions) reduces to the classification of $N = \pm 1$ -extended Poincaré algebras in case there is only one irreducible spin 1/2 representation of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$. The same is true for $N < 0$, i.e. for Lie algebra extensions.

To illustrate this reduction we consider the case $\epsilon = +1$ and $\rho = N\rho_0$ in more detail.

Lemma 1.1. *Assume $\epsilon = +1$ and $\rho = N\rho_0$, where ρ_0 is an irreducible spin 1/2 representation on S_0 . Then any $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -equivariant embedding*

$$j : V \hookrightarrow V^2W = V^2S_0 \otimes V^2\mathbb{R}^N + \wedge^2S_0 \otimes \wedge^2\mathbb{R}^N$$

is given by

$$j(v) = \sum_a \phi_a(v) \otimes A_a + \sum_b \psi_b(v) \otimes B_b,$$

where $\phi_a : V \rightarrow V^2S_0$ and $\psi_b : V \rightarrow \wedge^2S_0$ are equivariant embeddings, $A_a \in V^2\mathbb{R}^N$ and $B_b \in \wedge^2\mathbb{R}^N$.

Proof. Choose bases (A_a) and (B_b) of $V^2\mathbb{R}^N$ and $\wedge^2\mathbb{R}^N$ respectively. Then $j(v)$ can be decomposed as above and the coefficients ϕ_a and ψ_b are equivariant embeddings or zero. \square

1.4. Equivariant embeddings $V^* \hookrightarrow S^* \otimes S^*$, modified Clifford multiplications and Dirac operators. We reduced the problem of the classification of N -extended Poincaré algebras to the description of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -equivariant mappings $V^* \rightarrow S^* \otimes S^*$, where S is the spinor module of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$. We will denote by \mathcal{J} the vector space of all such mappings.

Now we will show that this space is closely related to two other vector spaces:

- the space \mathcal{B} of all $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -invariant bilinear forms on S , and
- the space \mathcal{M} of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -equivariant multiplications $\mu : V^* \otimes S \rightarrow S$.

Denote by \mathcal{C} the **Schur algebra** of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -invariant endomorphisms of S . We define two natural anti-representations of \mathcal{C} on \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{J} and also a representation and an anti-representation of \mathcal{C} on \mathcal{M} by:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_A^{\mathcal{B}}\beta &= \beta(A\cdot, \cdot), \\ \eta_A^{\mathcal{B}}\beta &= \beta(\cdot, A\cdot), \\ (\xi_A^{\mathcal{J}}j)(v^*) &= \xi_A^{\mathcal{B}}(j(v^*)), \\ (\eta_A^{\mathcal{J}}j)(v^*) &= \eta_A^{\mathcal{B}}(j(v^*)), \\ (\xi_A^{\mathcal{M}}\mu)(v^*) &= A \circ \mu(v^*), \\ (\eta_A^{\mathcal{M}}\mu)(v^*) &= \mu(v^*) \circ A, \end{aligned}$$

where $A \in \mathcal{C}$, $v^* \in V^*$, $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$, $j \in \mathcal{J}$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M} \subset Hom(V^*, End S)$. Remark that a non zero equivariant mapping $j : V^* \rightarrow S^* \otimes S^*$ is automatically an embedding.

Definition 1.5. An equivariant embedding $j : V^* \rightarrow S^* \otimes S^*$ is called **non-degenerate**, if $j(V^*)S = S^*$ and $j(S) \cong S$, where we consider j as mapping $j : S \rightarrow V \otimes S^*$. An equivariant multiplication $\mu : V^* \otimes S \rightarrow S$ is called **non-degenerate**, if $\mu(V^*)S = S$.

Using the following identifications, we define mappings from two of the spaces \mathcal{B} , \mathcal{J} and \mathcal{M} into the third:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B} &= (S^* \otimes S^*)^{\mathfrak{so}(V)}, \\ \mathcal{J} &= Hom(V^*, S^* \otimes S^*)^{\mathfrak{so}(V)} \stackrel{(*)}{\cong} Hom(S, V^* \otimes S^*)^{\mathfrak{so}(V)}, \\ \mathcal{M} &= Hom(V^* \otimes S, S)^{\mathfrak{so}(V)} \cong Hom(V^*, End S)^{\mathfrak{so}(V)} \\ &\cong Hom(V^* \otimes S^*, S^*)^{\mathfrak{so}(V)}. \end{aligned}$$

At (*) we used the metric identification $V^* \cong V$. The mappings are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{M} &\rightarrow \mathcal{J} \\
 (\beta, \mu) &\mapsto j(\beta, \mu) = \beta \circ \mu \\
 j(\beta, \mu)(v^*) &= \beta(\mu(v^*) \cdot, \cdot), \quad v^* \in V^*; \\
 \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{J} &\rightarrow \mathcal{B} \\
 (\mu, j) &\mapsto \beta(\mu, j) = \mu \circ j, \\
 \beta(\mu, j)(s, t) &= \langle \mu(j(s)), t \rangle, \quad s, t \in S; \\
 \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{J} &\rightarrow \mathcal{M} \\
 (\beta, j) &\mapsto \mu(\beta, j) = \beta \circ j \\
 \mu(\beta, j)(v^*) &= \beta(j(v^*) \cdot, \cdot) \in S \otimes S^* \cong \text{End } S,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the natural duality pairing $S^* \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and for the last mapping we have used that $j(v^*) \in S^* \otimes S^* \cong \text{Hom}(S^*, S)$.

Theorem 1.1. *The choice of a non-degenerate element β_0, j_0 or μ_0 in any of the spaces \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{J} and \mathcal{M} defines vector space isomorphisms between the two others:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 j_{\beta_0} : \mathcal{M} &\rightarrow \mathcal{J} \\
 \mu &\mapsto j(\beta_0, \mu) = \beta_0 \circ \mu, \\
 \mu_{\beta_0} : \mathcal{J} &\rightarrow \mathcal{M} \\
 j &\mapsto \mu(\beta_0, j) = \beta_0 \circ j; \\
 \beta_{j_0} : \mathcal{M} &\rightarrow \mathcal{B} \\
 \mu &\mapsto \beta(\mu, j_0) = \mu \circ j_0, \\
 \mu_{j_0} : \mathcal{B} &\rightarrow \mathcal{M} \\
 \beta &\mapsto \mu(\beta, j_0) = \beta \circ j_0; \\
 j_{\mu_0} : \mathcal{B} &\rightarrow \mathcal{J} \\
 \beta &\mapsto j(\beta, \mu_0) = \beta \circ \mu_0, \\
 \beta_{\mu_0} : \mathcal{J} &\rightarrow \mathcal{B} \\
 j &\mapsto \beta(\mu_0, j) = \mu_0 \circ j.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The statement is trivial for j_{β_0} and μ_{β_0} , because these mappings amount to “raising and lowering” indices of tensors via the non-degenerate form β_0 .

It is clear that μ_{j_0} and j_{μ_0} are injective, since j_0 and μ_0 are non-degenerate. Hence, it is sufficient to prove that β_{j_0} and β_{μ_0} are injective.

Consider first $\beta_{\mu_0}(j) = \mu_0 \circ j$, where $j : S \rightarrow V^* \otimes S^*$ and $\mu_0 : V^* \otimes S^* \rightarrow S^*$. The kernel of β_{μ_0} equals

$$\ker \beta_{\mu_0} = \{j \in \mathcal{J} | j(S) \subset \ker \mu_0\}.$$

If $0 \neq j \in \ker \beta_{\mu_0}$, then $\ker \mu_0$ contains the non-trivial submodule $j(S)$. This is impossible, because $\ker \mu_0$ does not contain spin 1/2 submodules. Indeed, after complexification the $\mathfrak{so}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ -module $(V^*)^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes (S^*)^{\mathbb{C}}$ has the decomposition

$$(V^*)^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes (S^*)^{\mathbb{C}} = \Sigma \oplus (S^*)^{\mathbb{C}} = (\ker \mu_0^{\mathbb{C}}) \oplus (S^*)^{\mathbb{C}},$$

where $\Sigma = \ker \mu_0^{\mathbb{C}}$ contains only spin 3/2 modules, i.e. Kronecker product of the vector module $V^{\mathbb{C}} \cong (V^*)^{\mathbb{C}}$ (spin 1) and an irreducible spin 1/2 module.

Consider now $\beta_{j_0}(\mu) = \mu \circ j_0$, where $j_0 : S \rightarrow V^* \otimes S^*$ and $\mu : V^* \otimes S^* \rightarrow S^*$. As before we have the decomposition $(V^*)^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes (S^*)^{\mathbb{C}} = \Sigma \oplus (S^*)^{\mathbb{C}}$, where Σ has no submodules isomorphic to submodules of $(S^*)^{\mathbb{C}}$. If $\mu \neq 0$, $\ker \mu^{\mathbb{C}} = \Sigma \oplus S_1^{\mathbb{C}}$, where $S_1^{\mathbb{C}} \neq (S^*)^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a proper submodule of $(S^*)^{\mathbb{C}}$. Since j_0 is non-degenerate $j_0(S) \cong S$ cannot be contained in $\ker \mu$. \square

Lemma 1.2. *Let S be the spinor module of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$. There always exists a non-degenerate $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -invariant bilinear form β on S .*

Proof. The existence of β is equivalent to the self duality of S , i.e. to the condition $S^* \cong S$ as $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -modules.

The self duality of the complex $\mathfrak{so}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ spinor module \mathbb{S} follows from the criterion of self duality given in [O-V], p. 195.

Now we discuss the real case. Assume first $S^{\mathbb{C}}$ has the same number of irreducible summands as S . Then the self duality of S follows from that of $S^{\mathbb{C}}$, see [O-V], p. 291. In the opposite case S admits an invariant complex structure J and $(S, J) \cong \mathbb{S}$ (complex spinor module of $\mathfrak{so}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$). Then the real part of a non-degenerate complex $\mathfrak{so}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ -invariant bilinear form on $S = \mathbb{S}$ gives a real $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -invariant bilinear form on S and it is easy to check that this form is non-degenerate. \square

From Theorem 1.1 and this lemma we now derive an important consequence. Recall that by definition the spinor module S is an irreducible module over the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell(V)$. The restriction of the multiplication mapping $\mathcal{C}\ell(V) \times S \rightarrow S$ to $V \times S$ defines a non-degenerate $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -equivariant multiplication $\rho : V \otimes S \cong V^* \otimes S \rightarrow S$, which is called Clifford multiplication (as above V and V^* are identified using the pseudo-Euclidean scalar product of V). The composition $j(\beta, \rho) = \beta \circ \rho$ with a non-degenerate $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -invariant form β gives a non-degenerate $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -equivariant embedding $V^* \hookrightarrow S^* \otimes S^*$. Using the lemma and this remark, we obtain the following corollary from Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.1. *The spaces \mathcal{B} of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -invariant bilinear forms on S , \mathcal{J} of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -equivariant mappings $V^* \rightarrow S^* \otimes S^*$ and \mathcal{M} of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -equivariant multiplications $V^* \otimes S \rightarrow S$ are isomorphic. In particular, Clifford multiplication ρ defines the isomorphism $j_\rho : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ and hence any $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -equivariant embedding $V^* \hookrightarrow S^* \otimes S^*$ is of the form*

$$j = j_\rho(\beta) : v^* \mapsto \beta(\rho(v^*), \cdot), \quad \beta \in \mathcal{B}, \quad v^* \in V^* .$$

Remark 2. Using an $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -equivariant multiplication $\mu : V^* \otimes S \rightarrow S$ one can define a Dirac type operator D^μ on a pseudo-Riemannian spin manifold M as follows. Let $\mu_x : T_x^* M \otimes S_x \rightarrow S_x$ be a field of equivariant multiplications, where $S(M) = \cup_{x \in M} S_x \rightarrow M$ is the spinor bundle. Then

$$(D^\mu s)_x = \mu_x(\nabla s) = \mu_x\left(\sum_i e^i \otimes \nabla_{e_i} s\right),$$

where (e_i) is a basis of $T_x M$, (e^i) the dual basis of $T_x^* M$ and ∇ is the spinor connection induced by the Levi Civita connection.

1.5. \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded type and Schur algebra \mathcal{C} . It is well known (see [L-M]), that every Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell(V)$, $V = \mathbb{R}^{p,q}$, is isomorphic to $\mathbb{K}(l)$ or to $2\mathbb{K}(l) = \mathbb{K}(l) \oplus \mathbb{K}(l)$, where $\mathbb{K}(l)$ is the full matrix algebra over \mathbb{K} of rank l depending on (p, q) and where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{H} .

Definition 1.6. We say that a Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell(V)$ has type $r\mathbb{K}$, $r = 1$ or 2 , if $\mathcal{C}\ell(V) \cong r\mathbb{K}(l)$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Recall that the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell(V)$ has a natural \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading $\mathcal{C}\ell(V) = \mathcal{C}\ell^0(V) + \mathcal{C}\ell^1(V)$. If $V = \mathbb{R}^{p,q}$ ($\neq 0$), then the even part $\mathcal{C}\ell^0(V)$ is isomorphic to the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell(V')$ of $V' = \mathbb{R}^{p-1,q}$ if $p \geq 1$ and $V' = \mathbb{R}^{q-1}$ if $p = 0$. Remark that $\dim \mathcal{C}\ell^0(V) = \dim \mathcal{C}\ell(V)/2$. By the preceding remarks, the following definition makes sense.

Definition 1.7. The pair $t(\mathcal{C}\ell(V)) = (r_0\mathbb{K}_0, r\mathbb{K}) = (\text{type } \mathcal{C}\ell^0(V), \text{type } \mathcal{C}\ell(V))$ is called the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded type of the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell(V)$.

The following proposition describes the periodicity of the type t of the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Clifford algebras $\mathcal{C}\ell_{p,q} = \mathcal{C}\ell(\mathbb{R}^{p,q})$.

Proposition 1.3. The \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded type $t_{p,q} = t(\mathcal{C}\ell_{p,q})$ depends only on the signature $s = p - q$ modulo 8 and $t(s) = t(p - q) = t_{p,q}$ is given in the table.

s	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$t(s)$	\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}	\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}	$\mathbb{H}, 2\mathbb{H}$	$2\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H}$	\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{C}	\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}	$\mathbb{R}, 2\mathbb{R}$	$2\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}$

Proof. The proof reduces to the investigation of [L-M], Table II. □

Corollary 1.2. The \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded type $t_{p,q} = t(s = p - q)$ is mirror symmetric with respect to the diagonal $\{p + q = 0\}$: $t_{p,q} = t_{-q,-p}$; in other words, $t(\mathcal{C}\ell_{p,q}) = t(\mathcal{C}\ell_{8k-q,8k-p})$, $8k \geq p, q$.

Moreover, the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded type $t_{p,q} = t(s) = (t^0(s), t^1(s))$ is mirror super symmetric with respect to the axis $\{s = p - q = 3.5\}$, i.e.

$$(t^0(7 - s), t^1(7 - s)) = (t^1(s), t^0(s)).$$

The type $r\mathbb{C}$ and \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded type $t_m = (r_0\mathbb{C}, r\mathbb{C})$ of a complex Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell_m = \mathcal{C}\ell(\mathbb{C}^m)$ are defined by putting $V = \mathbb{C}^m$ in Definition 1.6 and 1.7, where \mathbb{C}^m is equipped with a non-degenerate (complex) bilinear form, e.g. the standard one: $\langle z, w \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^m z_j w_j$, $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^m$.

Proposition 1.4. The \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded type $t_m = t(\mathcal{C}\ell_m)$ depends only on the parity of m :

$$t_m = \begin{cases} (2\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ (\mathbb{C}, 2\mathbb{C}) & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Let $S = S_{p,q}$ be an irreducible $\mathcal{C}\ell_{p,q}$ -module. Recall that by definition the Schur algebra $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{p,q}$ of S is the algebra of all its $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -invariant endomorphisms; it is the algebra of endomorphisms which commute with $\mathcal{C}\ell_{p,q}^0$. Analogously, we define the Schur algebra \mathcal{C}_m^c of the complex spinor module \mathbb{S} ; it is the algebra of endomorphism of \mathbb{S} commuting with $\mathcal{C}\ell_m^0$.

Corollary 1.3. *The Schur algebra $C_{p,q} = C(p - q)$ depends only on $s = p - q$ modulo 8 and is given in the table. In particular, it admits the mirror symmetry $(p, q) \mapsto (-q, -p)$.*

s	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$C(s)$	$\mathbb{R}(2)$	$\mathbb{C}(2)$	\mathbb{H}	$\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$	\mathbb{H}	\mathbb{C}	\mathbb{R}	$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$

Proof. Remark that if $t(C\ell_{p,q}) = (r_0\mathbb{K}_0, r\mathbb{K})$, and hence $C\ell_{p,q}^0 \cong r_0\mathbb{K}_0(l_0)$, $C\ell_{p,q} \cong r\mathbb{K}(l)$, then l is completely determined by l_0 and vice versa; $l = l_0$ or $2l_0$. This follows from $\dim C\ell_{p,q} = 2 \dim C\ell_{p,q}^0$.

Using this remark, Proposition 1.3 shows that the pair $(Cl_{p,q}^0, Cl_{p,q})$ is isomorphic to one of the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathbb{K}(l), \mathbb{K}'(l)) & , & S = \mathbb{K}'^l , \\
 (\mathbb{K}(l), 2\mathbb{K}(l)) & , & S = \mathbb{K}^l , \\
 (\mathbb{K}'(l), \mathbb{K}(2l)) & , & S = \mathbb{K}^{2l} , \\
 (2\mathbb{K}(l), \mathbb{K}(2l)) & , & S = \mathbb{K}^{2l} ,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{H} and $\mathbb{R}' = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}' = \mathbb{H}$.

In the first case the $\mathbb{K}(l)$ -module $S = \mathbb{K}'^l$ is a sum of two irreducible equivalent modules $S^\pm \cong \mathbb{K}^l$ and hence the Schur algebra $C \cong \mathbb{K}(2)$.

In the second (respectively third) case $S = \mathbb{K}'^l$ (respectively \mathbb{K}^{2l}) is irreducible as $\mathbb{K}(l)$ - (respectively $\mathbb{K}'(l)$ -) module and hence $C \cong \mathbb{K}$ (respectively \mathbb{K}').

In the last case $C \cong \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K}$, which follows from the next lemma. \square

Lemma 1.3. *Let $S = \mathbb{K}^{2l}$ be the irreducible module of the algebra $\mathbb{K}(2l)$ and $A \cong 2\mathbb{K}(l)$ a subalgebra of $\mathbb{K}(2l)$, then the A -module S is decomposed into a sum of two nonequivalent submodules S^\pm .*

Proof. It is clear that the A -module S is the sum of two irreducible submodules S^+ and S^- . They are not equivalent because $A|S^+$ and $A|S^-$ have different kernels, namely the two ideals $\mathbb{K}(l) \subset A$. \square

Remark that the algebras $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{H}(2)$ do not occur as Schur algebras of the real spinor module S .

Corollary 1.4. *The Schur algebra C_m^c of the complex spinor module \mathbb{S} depends only on the parity of m :*

$$C_m^c = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ \mathbb{C} & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \end{cases} .$$

The proof of Corollary 1.3 shows that the structure of the matrix algebra C contains the following information about the $C\ell^0(V)$ -module S .

Proposition 1.5. *C is a simple \mathbb{K} -matrix algebra (respectively a sum of two isomorphic \mathbb{K} -matrix algebras) if and only if $C\ell^0(V)$ is a simple \mathbb{K} -matrix algebra (respectively a sum of two isomorphic such algebras). S is an irreducible $C\ell^0(V)$ -module if and only if $C \cong \mathbb{K}$ ($= \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{H}). S is decomposed into a sum of two equivalent (respectively inequivalent) $C\ell^0(V)$ -modules if and only if $C \cong \mathbb{K}(2)$ (respectively $C \cong \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K}$).*

The corresponding statement in the complex case is given for the sake of completeness:

Proposition 1.6. *If m is even, then the spinor module $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_m$ is the sum $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}^+ + \mathbb{S}^-$ of two inequivalent irreducible $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{O}_m^0$ -modules. In this case, $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{O}_m^0$ and the Schur algebra \mathbb{C}_m^c are the direct sum of two isomorphic simple (complex) matrix algebras.*

If m is odd, then the spinor module is an irreducible module of the simple matrix algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{O}_m^0$ and its Schur algebra is also simple.

Since, due to Lemma 1.2, S admits a non-degenerate $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ -invariant bilinear form, by Schur’s Lemma the dimension $b_{p,q}$ of the space $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_{p,q}$ of $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ -invariant bilinear forms on S equals

$$b_{p,q} = \dim \mathcal{B}_{p,q} = \dim \mathbb{C}_{p,q}.$$

Hence we have:

Corollary 1.5. *$b_{p,q} = b(p - q)$ is a periodic function of $s = p - q$ with period 8. In particular, it admits the mirror symmetry $(p, q) \mapsto (-q, -p)$. Its values are given in the following table:*

s	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$b(s)$	4	8	4	8	4	2	1	2

Denote by b_m the (complex) dimension of the space of $\mathfrak{so}(m, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant bilinear forms on the complex spinor module \mathbb{S} , then $b_m = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}_m^c$ and we have:

$$b_m = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

2. Fundamental Invariants τ , σ and ι and Reduction to the Basic Signatures (m, m) , $(k, 0)$ and $(0, k)$

2.1. Fundamental invariants. As before let V denote a pseudo-Euclidean vector space and S its spinor module. In Corollary 1.1 we have established that every $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -equivariant embedding $j : V^* \hookrightarrow S^* \otimes S^*$ is of the form

$$j = j_\rho(\beta) : v^* \mapsto \beta(\rho(v^*)\cdot, \cdot), \quad v^* \in V^*,$$

where ρ is Clifford multiplication and $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$. The dimension of the space \mathcal{B} of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -invariant bilinear forms on S was given in Corollary 1.5.

Now we will concentrate on a class of bilinear forms $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ for which $j_\rho(\beta)V^* \subset \sqrt{2}S^*$ or $j_\rho(\beta)V^* \subset \wedge^2 S^*$ and define fundamental invariants τ , σ and ι for this class.

Definition 2.1. *A bilinear form β on the spinor module S is called **admissible** if it has the following properties:*

- 1) *Clifford multiplication $\rho(v)$, $v \in V$, is either β -symmetric or β -skew symmetric. We define the **type** τ of β to be $\tau(\beta) = +1$ in the first case and $\tau(\beta) = -1$ in the second.*
- 2) *The bilinear form β is symmetric or skew symmetric. Accordingly, we define the **symmetry** σ of β to be $\sigma(\beta) = \pm 1$.*

3) If the spinor module is reducible, $S = S^+ + S^-$, then S^\pm are either mutually orthogonal or isotropic. We put $\iota(\beta) = +1$ in the first case, $\iota(\beta) = -1$ in the second and call $\iota(\beta)$ the isotropy of β .

Due to 1) every admissible form β is $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -invariant and hence defines an $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -equivariant embedding $j_\rho(\beta) : V \cong V^* \hookrightarrow S^* \otimes S^*$. In addition, $j_\rho(\beta)V \subset \vee^2 S^*$ if $\tau(\beta)\sigma(\beta) = +1$ and $j_\rho(\beta)V \subset \wedge^2 S^*$ if $\tau(\beta)\sigma(\beta) = -1$. If $S = S^+ + S^-$, then for every bilinear form $\gamma \in j_\rho(\beta)V$ the semi spinor modules S^\pm are either γ -isotropic (if $\iota(\gamma) = -\iota(\beta) = -1$) or mutually γ -orthogonal (if $\iota(\gamma) = -\iota(\beta) = +1$).

Given an admissible form $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ and $A \in \mathcal{C}$, the composition $\beta \circ A = \beta(A \cdot, \cdot) \in \mathcal{B}$ is in general not admissible. However, if A is β -admissible (see Definition 2.2 below) then $\beta \circ A$ is admissible.

Definition 2.2. Let $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ be admissible. An endomorphism A of S is called β -admissible if it has the following properties:

- 1) Clifford multiplication $\rho(v)$, $v \in V$, either commutes or anticommutes with A . We define the **type** τ of A to be $\tau(A) = +1$ in the first case and $\tau(A) = -1$ in the second.
- 2) A is β -symmetric or β -skew symmetric. Accordingly, we define the **β -symmetry** σ of A to be $\sigma_\beta(A) = \pm 1$.
- 3) If the spinor module is reducible, $S = S^+ + S^-$, then either $AS^\pm \subset S^\pm$ or $AS^\pm \subset S^\mp$. We put $\iota(A) = +1$ in the first case, $\iota(A) = -1$ in the second and call $\iota(A)$ the **isotropy** of A .

Due to 1) every β -admissible endomorphism A is $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -invariant and hence $\beta \circ A \in \mathcal{B}$. Moreover, $\beta \circ A$ is admissible and the fundamental invariants are multiplicative:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\beta \circ A) &= \tau(\beta)\tau(A), \\ \sigma(\beta \circ A) &= \sigma(\beta)\sigma(A), \\ \iota(\beta \circ A) &= \iota(\beta)\iota(A). \end{aligned}$$

In Sect. 3.1 (see Definition 3.1), for every pseudo-Euclidean space V , we will construct a canonical non-degenerate $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -invariant bilinear form h on the spinor module S . We will define that an endomorphism A of S is admissible of symmetry $\sigma(A) = \pm 1$, if A is h -admissible and $\sigma_h(A) = \pm 1$.

Remark 3. The complete classification of admissible forms $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$, which we will give in this paper, implies the following. Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{B}$ be non-degenerate and admissible. Then a γ -admissible endomorphism $A \in \mathcal{C}$ is β -admissible for every admissible $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$. In particular, admissibility (i.e. h -admissibility) implies β -admissibility.

2.2. Reduction to the basic signatures. Let V_1 and V_2 be pseudo-Euclidean spaces and $V = V_1 + V_2$ their orthogonal sum. We recall (see [L-M] I. Prop. 1.5) that there is a canonical isomorphism of \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebras

$$\mathcal{C}\ell(V) \cong \mathcal{C}\ell(V_1) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}\ell(V_2),$$

where $\hat{\otimes}$ denotes the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded tensor product of \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebras.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $M_1 = M_1^0 + M_1^1$ be a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded $\mathcal{Cl}(V_1)$ -module and M_2 a (not necessarily \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded) $\mathcal{Cl}(V_2)$ -module. Then $M = M_1 \otimes M_2$ carries a natural structure of $\mathcal{Cl}(V)$ -module, $V = V_1 + V_2$, given by:*

$$(a_1 \otimes a_2)(m_1 \otimes m_2) = (-1)^{\deg(a_2)\deg(m_1)} a_1 m_1 \otimes a_2 m_2,$$

where $a_i \in \mathcal{Cl}(V_i)$, $m_i \in M_i$, $i = 1, 2$. If $M_2 = M_2^0 + M_2^1$ is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded $\mathcal{Cl}(V_2)$ -module, then this formula defines on M the structure of \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded $\mathcal{Cl}(V)$ -module: $M^0 = M_1^0 \otimes M_2^0 + M_1^1 \otimes M_2^1$, $M^1 = M_1^0 \otimes M_2^1 + M_1^1 \otimes M_2^0$.

Corollary 2.1. *Let S_i be an irreducible $\mathcal{Cl}(V_i)$ -module, $i = 1, 2$, and assume that $S_1 = S_1^+ + S_1^-$ is reducible as $\mathcal{Cl}^0(V_1)$ -module. Then $S = S_1 \otimes S_2$ is an irreducible ($\mathcal{Cl}(V) = \mathcal{Cl}(V_1) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{Cl}(V_2)$)-module. The $\mathcal{Cl}^0(V)$ -module S is reducible, $S = S^+ + S^-$, if and only if S_2 is reducible as $\mathcal{Cl}^0(V_2)$ -module, $S_2 = S_2^+ + S_2^-$.*

Proof. Let S_1 be an irreducible $\mathcal{Cl}(V_1)$ -module which is reducible as $\mathcal{Cl}^0(V_1)$ -module and let S_1^+ be an irreducible $\mathcal{Cl}^0(V_1)$ -submodule. Then

$$S_1' := \mathcal{Cl}(V_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{Cl}^0(V_1)} S_1^+$$

is an irreducible $\mathcal{Cl}(V_1)$ -module, hence without restriction of generality $S_1 \cong S_1'$ as $\mathcal{Cl}(V_1)$ -modules. Moreover, S_1' is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded $\mathcal{Cl}(V_1)$ -module (see [L-M] I. Prop. 5.20): $S_1' = S_1'^0 + S_1'^1$, $S_1'^0 = \mathcal{Cl}^0(V_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{Cl}^0(V_1)} S_1^+ \cong S_1^+$ and $S_1'^1 = \mathcal{Cl}^1(V_1) S_1'^0 = \mathcal{Cl}^1(V_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{Cl}^0(V_1)} S_1^+$.

Therefore, we may assume (as usual) that $S_1 = S_1^+ + S_1^-$ is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded $\mathcal{Cl}(V_1)$ -module: $S_1^0 = S_1^+$, $S_1^1 = S_1^- = \mathcal{Cl}^1(V_1) S_1^+$, reducing the first statement to Proposition 2.1. The remaining statements also follow from the structure of \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Clifford module on S_1 and on S_2 (in the reducible case). \square

Now we investigate the algebraic properties of the fundamental invariants with respect to \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded tensor products.

Proposition 2.2. *Under the assumptions of Corollary 2.1 let β_i be admissible bilinear forms on S_i , $i = 1, 2$.*

If $\tau(\beta_1) = \iota(\beta_1)\tau(\beta_2)$, then $\beta = \beta_1 \otimes \beta_2$ is admissible and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\beta) &= \tau(\beta_1) = \iota(\beta_1)\tau(\beta_2), \\ \sigma(\beta) &= \sigma(\beta_1)\sigma(\beta_2), \\ \iota(\beta) &= \iota(\beta_1)\iota(\beta_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\iota(\beta)$ and $\iota(\beta_2)$ are defined if and only if S_2 (and hence S) is reducible as a module of the even part of the corresponding Clifford algebra.

Let A_i be β_i -admissible endomorphisms of S_i , $i = 1, 2$. If $\tau(A_1) = \iota(A_1)\tau(A_2)$, then $A = A_1 \otimes A_2$ is admissible and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(A) &= \tau(A_1) = \iota(A_1)\tau(A_2), \\ \sigma_\beta(A) &= \sigma_{\beta_1}(A_1)\sigma_{\beta_2}(A_2), \\ \iota(A) &= \iota(A_1)\iota(A_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\iota(A)$ and $\iota(A_2)$ are defined if and only if S_2 is reducible as $\mathcal{Cl}^0(V_2)$ -module.

Proof. The only non-trivial statements are the ones concerning the type τ . For $s_i, t_i \in S_i$ and $v_i \in V_i$ we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta((v_1 \otimes 1)(s_1 \otimes s_2), t_1 \otimes t_2) &= \beta(v_1 s_1 \otimes s_2, t_1 \otimes t_2) = \\ \beta_1(v_1 s_1, t_1) \beta_2(s_2, t_2) &= \tau(\beta_1) \beta_1(s_1, v_1 t_1) \beta_2(s_2, t_2) = \\ \tau(\beta_1) \beta(s_1 \otimes s_2, v_1 t_1 \otimes t_2) &= \tau(\beta_1) \beta(s_1 \otimes s_2, (v_1 \otimes 1)(t_1 \otimes t_2)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta((1 \otimes v_2)(s_1 \otimes s_2), t_1 \otimes t_2) &= (-1)^{\deg s_1} \beta(s_1 \otimes v_2 s_2, t_1 \otimes t_2) = \\ (-1)^{\deg s_1} \beta_1(s_1, t_1) \beta_2(v_2 s_2, t_2) &= (-1)^{\deg s_1} \tau(\beta_2) \beta_1(s_1, t_1) \beta_2(s_2, v_2 t_2) = \\ (-1)^{\deg s_1} \tau(\beta_2) \beta(s_1 \otimes s_2, t_1 \otimes v_2 t_2) &= \\ (-1)^{\deg s_1 + \deg t_1} \tau(\beta_2) \beta(s_1 \otimes s_2, (1 \otimes v_2)(t_1 \otimes t_2)). \end{aligned}$$

If $\iota(\beta_1) = (-1)^{\deg s_1 + \deg t_1}$ we obtain

$$\beta((1 \otimes v_2)(s_1 \otimes s_2), t_1 \otimes t_2) = \iota(\beta_1) \tau(\beta_2) \beta(s_1 \otimes s_2, (1 \otimes v_2)(t_1 \otimes t_2)). \quad (1)$$

Otherwise, both sides of (1) vanish. Hence, Eq. (1) is always true.

Similarly we have:

$$(v_1 \otimes 1)((A_1 \otimes A_2)(s_1 \otimes s_2)) = \tau(A_1)(A_1 \otimes A_2)((v_1 \otimes 1)(s_1 \otimes s_2))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \otimes v_2)((A_1 \otimes A_2)(s_1 \otimes s_2)) &= (1 \otimes v_2)(A_1 s_1 \otimes A_2 s_2) = \\ (-1)^{\deg(A_1 s_1)} A_1 s_1 \otimes v_2 A_2 s_2 &= (-1)^{\deg(A_1 s_1)} \tau(A_2) A_1 s_1 \otimes A_2 v_2 s_2 = \\ (-1)^{\deg(A_1 s_1)} \tau(A_2)(A_1 \otimes A_2)(s_1 \otimes v_2 s_2) &= \\ (-1)^{\deg(A_1 s_1) + \deg s_1} \tau(A_2)(A_1 \otimes A_2)((1 \otimes v_2)(s_1 \otimes s_2)) &= \\ \iota(A_1) \tau(A_2)(A_1 \otimes A_2)((1 \otimes v_2)(s_1 \otimes s_2)). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Now we point out that every pseudo-Euclidean space V can be decomposed as the orthogonal sum $V = V_1 + V_2$ such that the assumptions of Corollary 2.1 are satisfied, i.e. such that the spinor $\mathcal{C}l^0(V_1)$ -module S_1 is reducible. In fact, we can decompose V into $V_1 = \mathbb{R}^{m,m}$ and $V_2 = \mathbb{R}^{k,0}$ or $\mathbb{R}^{0,k}$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $V = V_1 + V_2$ be the orthogonal sum of the pseudo Euclidean spaces $V_1 = \mathbb{R}^{m,m}$ and V_2 . Let S_1 be an irreducible $\mathcal{C}l(V_1)$ -module. Then $S_1 = S_1^+ + S_1^-$ is a sum of two inequivalent irreducible $\mathcal{C}l^0(V_1)$ -submodules S_1^\pm and an irreducible $(\mathcal{C}l(V) = \mathcal{C}l(V_1) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}l(V_2))$ -module S is given by $S = S_1 \otimes S_2$, where S_2 is an irreducible $\mathcal{C}l(V_2)$ -module. S is reducible as $\mathcal{C}l^0(V)$ -module if and only if S_2 is reducible as $\mathcal{C}l^0(V_2)$ -module.*

Proof. The first statement follows from the fact that the Schur algebra of S_1 is $\mathcal{C}_{m,m} = \mathcal{C}(s = m - m = 0) = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$. Now all other statements follow immediately from Corollary 2.1. \square

3. Case of Signature (m, m) and Complex Case

3.1. Signature (m, m) . Let U and U^* denote two complementary isotropic subspaces of $V = \mathbb{R}^{m,m}$, so $V = U + U^*$. We denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the scalar product of V and identify U^* with the dual space to U by

$$u^*(u) = 2\langle u, u^* \rangle, \quad u^* \in U^*, u \in U.$$

Proposition 3.1. *The following formulas define an irreducible $\mathcal{Cl}_{m,m}$ -module on $S = \wedge U$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(u)s &= u \wedge s, \\ \rho(u^*)s &= -u^* \lrcorner s, \quad s \in \wedge U, u \in U, u^* \in U^*, \end{aligned}$$

where \lrcorner is the interior multiplication.

Proof. This follows from the obvious identities $\rho(u)^2 = \rho(u^*)^2 = 0$ and $\rho(u)\rho(u^*) + \rho(u^*)\rho(u) = -2\langle u, u^* \rangle Id$. \square

For any $a \in \wedge U$ and $\alpha \in \wedge U^*$ we define nilpotent endomorphisms ϵ_a and ι_α of $S = \wedge U$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_a &= a \wedge s, \\ \iota_\alpha &= \alpha \lrcorner s. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.2. *The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(m, m) \hookrightarrow \text{End } S$ of the spinor group admits the following graded decomposition:*

$$\mathfrak{so}(m, m) = \mathfrak{g}^{-2} + \mathfrak{g}^0 + \mathfrak{g}^2 = \iota_{\wedge^2 U^*} + \mathfrak{sl}(U) + \epsilon_{\wedge^2 U},$$

$\mathfrak{sl}(U) = [\iota_{U^*}, \epsilon_U]$, $[\mathfrak{g}^i, \mathfrak{g}^j] \subset \mathfrak{g}^{i+j}$ ($\mathfrak{g}^{i+j} = 0$ for $|i+j| > 2$). In particular, $\iota_{\wedge^2 U^*}$ and $\epsilon_{\wedge^2 U}$ are Abelian subalgebras.

It is very easy to describe the semi spinor modules S^\pm in our model of the spinor module S .

Lemma 3.1. *$S = \wedge U$ is the sum of the two inequivalent irreducible $\mathfrak{so}(m, m)$ -submodules $S^+ = \wedge^{ev} U$ and $S^- = \wedge^{odd} U$.*

Proof. It is clear that $\wedge^{ev} U$ and $\wedge^{odd} U$ are irreducible $\mathfrak{so}(m, m)$ -submodules and we already know that they are inequivalent, see e.g. Proposition 2.3. \square

Remark 4. The statement that $\wedge^{ev} U$ and $\wedge^{odd} U$ are inequivalent $\mathfrak{so}(m, m)$ -modules follows also from the fact that these are eigenspaces of the volume element $\omega_{m,m} = e_1 \cdots e_{2m} \in \mathcal{Cl}_{m,m}^0$, (e_i) an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{m,m}$.

We can define an $\mathfrak{so}(m, m)$ -invariant endomorphism E of S by

$$E|_{S^\pm} = \pm Id.$$

To construct an admissible bilinear form f on $S = \wedge U$ we fix a volume form $vol \in \wedge^m U$ on U^* and define

$$\begin{aligned} f(\wedge^i U, \wedge^j U) &= 0, \quad \text{if } i + j \neq m, \\ f(s, t)vol &= \epsilon_i s \wedge t, \quad s \in \wedge^i U, t \in \wedge^{m-i} U, \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon_i = (-1)^{i(i+1)/2}$. Remark that $\epsilon_{i+1} = (-1)^{i+1} \epsilon_i$.

Proposition 3.3. *The space \mathfrak{B} of $\mathfrak{so}(m, m)$ -invariant bilinear forms on $S = S_{m,m}$ is spanned by the admissible elements f and $f_E = f(E \cdot, \cdot)$. Their fundamental invariants (τ, σ, ι) depend only on $m \pmod{4}$ and are given in the next table:*

f	---	--+	-+-	+++
f_E	++-	+ - +	+ - -	+++
$m :$	1	2	3	4

An f - and f_E -admissible basis for the Schur algebra $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ is given by the endomorphisms Id and E of S :

$$\tau(E) = -1, \quad \sigma_f(E) = \sigma_{f_E}(E) = (-1)^m, \quad \iota(E) = +1.$$

Proof. We first check that $\rho(v)$, $v \in U + U^*$, is f -skew symmetric. For $v = u \in U$, $s \in \wedge^i U, t \in \wedge^{m-i-1} U$:

$$(f(\rho(u)s, t) + f(s, \rho(u)t))vol = \epsilon_{i+1}(u \wedge s) \wedge t + \epsilon_i s \wedge (u \wedge t) = 0.$$

For $v = u^* \in U^*, s \in \wedge^i U, t \in \wedge^{m-i+1} U$:

$$\begin{aligned} -(f(\rho(u^*)s, t) + f(s, \rho(u^*)t))vol &= \epsilon_{i-1}(u^* \lrcorner s) \wedge t + \epsilon_i s \wedge (u^* \lrcorner t) = \\ &= \epsilon_{i-1}(u^* \lrcorner s) \wedge t + \epsilon_i (-1)^i (u^* \lrcorner (s \wedge t) - (u^* \lrcorner s) \wedge t) = \\ &= (\epsilon_{i-1} - (-1)^i \epsilon_i)(u^* \lrcorner s) \wedge t = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The symmetry properties of f follow from the computation

$$f(t, s)vol = \epsilon_j t \wedge s = \epsilon_j \epsilon_i (-1)^{ij} f(s, t)vol = (-1)^{m(m+1)/2} f(s, t)vol,$$

where $s \in \wedge^i U, t \in \wedge^j U$ and $i + j = m$.

Finally, $f(\wedge^{ev} U, \wedge^{odd} U) = 0$ if m is even and $f(\wedge^{ev} U, \wedge^{ev} U) = f(\wedge^{odd} U, \wedge^{odd} U) = 0$ if m is odd. This proves all the statements about f . It is immediate to see that E is f -admissible with fundamental invariants given above. Since f is admissible and E is f -admissible, f_E is admissible and its fundamental invariants are computed by multiplicativity:

$$\tau(f_E) = \tau(f)\tau(E), \quad \sigma(f_E) = \sigma(f)\sigma_f(E), \quad \iota(f_E) = \iota(f)\iota(E).$$

This proves the proposition. \square

Proposition 3.3 implies the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *Every $\mathfrak{so}(m, m)$ -equivariant embedding $V^* \hookrightarrow S^* \otimes S^*$, where $S = S_{m,m}$ is the spinor $\mathfrak{so}(m, m)$ -module, is a linear combination of the embeddings $j_\rho(f)$ and $j_\rho(f_E)$. Their image is contained in the dual of the subspaces indicated in the table depending on $m \pmod{4}$.*

$j_\rho(f)$	$\vee^2 S^+ + \vee^2 S^-$	$S^+ \vee S^-$	$\wedge^2 S^+ + \wedge^2 S^-$	$S^+ \wedge S^-$
$j_\rho(f_E)$	$\vee^2 S^+ + \vee^2 S^-$	$S^+ \wedge S^-$	$\wedge^2 S^+ + \wedge^2 S^-$	$S^+ \vee S^-$
m	1	2	3	4

Now put $V_1 = \mathbb{R}^{m,m} \neq 0$ and let V_2 be an arbitrary pseudo-Euclidean space. Denote the spinor module of $\mathfrak{so}(V_i)$ by S_i , $i = 1, 2$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let β_2 be an admissible bilinear form on S_2 . Then there is a unique (up to scaling) admissible form β_1 on S_1 such that $\tau(\beta_2) = \iota(\beta_1)\tau(\beta_1)$. In particular, $\beta_1 \otimes \beta_2$ is an admissible bilinear form on the spinor $\mathfrak{so}(V_1 + V_2)$ -module $S_1 \otimes S_2$.*

If moreover, A_2 is a β_2 -admissible endomorphism of S_2 , then there is a unique β_1 -admissible endomorphism A_1 of S_1 such that $\tau(A_2) = \iota(A_1)\tau(A_1)$, in particular, $A_1 \otimes A_2$ is a $\beta_1 \otimes \beta_2$ -admissible endomorphism of $S_1 \otimes S_2$.

The fundamental invariants of $\beta_1 \otimes \beta_2$ and $A_1 \otimes A_2$ are easily computed using the rules given in Proposition 2.2.

Proof. This follows from $\iota(f_E)\tau(f_E) = -\iota(f)\tau(f)$, $\iota(E)\tau(E) = -\iota(Id)\tau(Id)$ and Sect. 2.2. \square

If we assume that V_2 is of definite signature, i.e. $V_2 = \mathbb{R}^{k,0}$ or $\mathbb{R}^{0,k}$, then there is a unique (up to scaling) $Pin(V_2)$ -invariant symmetric bilinear form h_2 on the irreducible module S_2 of the compact group $Pin(V_2)$.

Lemma 3.2. *The $Pin(V_2)$ -invariant scalar product h_2 is admissible: $\tau(h_2) = -1$ if $V_2 = \mathbb{R}^{k,0}$ and $\tau(h_2) = +1$ if $V_2 = \mathbb{R}^{0,k}$; $\sigma(h_2) = +1$ and if S_2 is reducible, $S_2 = S_2^+ + S_2^-$, $S_2^- = \mathcal{C}l^1(V_2)S_2^+$, then $\iota(h_2) = +1$.*

Proof. Let $\rho(v)$ denote Clifford multiplication by a unit vector $v \in V_2$. Then h_2 is $\rho(v)$ -invariant and $\rho(v)^2 = -Id$ if $V_2 = \mathbb{R}^{k,0}$ and $\rho(v)^2 = +Id$ if $V_2 = \mathbb{R}^{0,k}$. This implies $\tau(h_2) = \mp 1$.

To see that $\iota(h_2) = +1$ in the reducible case, consider the scalar product h'_2 on S_2 defined by

$$h'_2(S_2^+, S_2^-) = 0, \quad h'_2|_{S_2^\pm} = h_2|_{S_2^\pm} (\neq 0).$$

It is easy to check that h'_2 is invariant under Clifford multiplication by unit vectors $v \in V_2$ using that $S^- = vS^+$. This implies $h'_2 = h_2$. \square

By Proposition 3.4 for every $V_1 = \mathbb{R}^{m,m} \neq 0$ there is a unique admissible bilinear form h_1 on the spinor module S_1 of $\mathfrak{so}(V_1)$ such that $\tau(h_2) = \iota(h_1)\tau(h_1)$.

Definition 3.1. *The canonical bilinear form on the spinor module $S = S_1 \otimes S_2$ of $\mathfrak{so}(V_1 + V_2)$ is $h = h_1 \otimes h_2$, where h_2 is the canonical bilinear form on the spinor module S_2 of $\mathfrak{so}(V_2) \cong \mathfrak{so}(k)$, i.e. the $Pin(V_2)$ -invariant scalar product. In line with this definition we say that an endomorphism A of S (respectively A_2 of S_2) is **admissible of symmetry** $\sigma(A) = \pm 1$ (respectively $\sigma(A_2) = \pm 1$) if A is h -admissible (respectively h_2 -admissible) and $\sigma_h(A) = \pm 1$ (respectively $\sigma_{h_2}(A_2) = \pm 1$).*

Remark 5. For $V_1 = \mathbb{R}^{m,m}$ we have two (non-degenerate) admissible bilinear forms f and f_E on $S_1 = S_{m,m}$. If we want to choose a *canonical* one, which is not necessary for our purpose, we can consider on S_1 the structure of irreducible $\mathcal{C}l_{m,m+1}$ -module defined in Sect. 3.2. Then only one of the forms remains admissible for the $\mathcal{C}l_{m,m+1}$ -module $S_1 = S_{m,m+1}$, it is in fact the canonical bilinear form on this module. Moreover, its complex bilinear extension is the unique (up to scaling) $\mathfrak{so}(2m + 1, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant complex bilinear form on the irreducible $\mathcal{C}l_{2m+1}$ -module $S_{2m+1} = S_{m,m+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}$, s. Corollary 3.1.

3.2. *Complex case. Case of even dimension.* The following theorem follows immediately from the fact that an irreducible module S_{2m} of \mathcal{O}_{2m} can be obtained as $S_{2m} = S_{m,m} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and that S_{2m} splits as \mathcal{O}_{2m}^0 -module: $S_{2m} = S_{2m}^+ + S_{2m}^-$, where $S_{2m}^\pm = S_{m,m}^\pm \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 3.2. *Every $\mathfrak{so}(2m, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant embedding $\mathbb{C}^{2m} \hookrightarrow S_{2m} \otimes S_{2m}$ is a linear combination of the embeddings $j_\rho(f)^\mathbb{C}$ and $j_\rho(f_E)^\mathbb{C}$. Their image is contained in the dual of the subspaces indicated in the table depending on $m \pmod{4}$, where we have put $S = S_{2m}$.*

$j_\rho(f)^\mathbb{C}$	$\vee^2 S^+ + \vee^2 S^-$	$S^+ \vee S^-$	$\wedge^2 S^+ + \wedge^2 S^-$	$S^+ \wedge S^-$
$j_\rho(f_E)^\mathbb{C}$	$\vee^2 S^+ + \vee^2 S^-$	$S^+ \wedge S^-$	$\wedge^2 S^+ + \wedge^2 S^-$	$S^+ \vee S^-$
m	1	2	3	4

Case of odd dimension. The odd dimensional complex case can be obtained from the real case of signature $(m, m + 1)$ by complexification.

We fix the orthogonal decomposition $(\mathbb{R}^{m,m+1}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) = \mathbb{R}e_0 + \mathbb{R}^{m,m}$, where $\langle e_0, e_0 \rangle = -1$, and denote by ρ the irreducible representation of $\mathcal{O}_{m,m}$ on $S_{m,m}$ constructed in Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 3.5. *An irreducible representation $\tilde{\rho}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{m,m+1}$ on $S_{m,m+1} = S_{m,m}$ is defined by*

$$\tilde{\rho}|_{\mathbb{R}^{m,m}} = \rho|_{\mathbb{R}^{m,m}}, \quad \tilde{\rho}(e_0) = \rho(\omega_{m,m}),$$

where $\omega_{m,m}$ is the volume element of $\mathcal{O}_{m,m}$. The $\mathcal{O}_{m,m+1}^0$ -module $S_{m,m+1}$ is irreducible and has Schur algebra $C_{m,m+1} = \mathbb{R} Id$.

Proof. It is sufficient to check that $\{\tilde{\rho}(e_0), \rho(x)\} = 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m,m}$ and that $\tilde{\rho}(e_0)^2 = Id$. This follows from the next lemma. \square

Lemma 3.3. *The volume element $\omega = \omega_{m,m} = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_{2m}$ (e_i an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{m,m}$) of $\mathcal{O}_{m,m}$ satisfies $\{\omega, x\} = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m,m}$ and $\omega^2 = +1$.*

Proposition 3.6. *If m is even, then every $\mathfrak{so}(m, m + 1)$ -invariant bilinear form on $S = S_{m,m+1}$ is a multiple of the admissible (canonical) form f_E (see Proposition 3.3) and hence every $\mathfrak{so}(m, m + 1)$ -equivariant embedding $\mathbb{R}^{m,m+1} \hookrightarrow (S \otimes S)^*$ is proportional to the embedding $j_{\tilde{\rho}}(f_E)$, which maps $\mathbb{R}^{m,m+1}$ into $\vee^2 S^*$ if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and into $\wedge^2 S^*$ if $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. If m is odd, then every $\mathfrak{so}(m, m + 1)$ -invariant bilinear form on $S = S_{m,m+1}$ is a multiple of the admissible (canonical) form f (see Proposition 3.3) and hence every $\mathfrak{so}(m, m + 1)$ -equivariant embedding $\mathbb{R}^{m,m+1} \hookrightarrow (S \otimes S)^*$ is proportional to the embedding $j_{\tilde{\rho}}(f)$, which maps $\mathbb{R}^{m,m+1}$ into $\vee^2 S^*$ if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and into $\wedge^2 S^*$ if $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof. If m is even, then $\tilde{\rho}(e_0) = \rho(\omega_{m,m})$ is f_E -symmetric and $\tau(f_E) = +1$. If m is odd, then $\tilde{\rho}(e_0)$ is f -skew symmetric and $\tau(f) = -1$. \square

Corollary 3.1. *If m is even, then every $\mathfrak{so}(2m + 1, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant bilinear form on $S = S_{2m+1} = S_{m,m+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is a multiple of the form $f_E^\mathbb{C}$ and every $\mathfrak{so}(2m + 1, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant embedding $\mathbb{C}^{2m+1} \hookrightarrow (S \otimes S)^*$ is proportional to the embedding $j_{\tilde{\rho}}(f_E)^\mathbb{C}$. If m is odd, then every $\mathfrak{so}(2m + 1, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant bilinear form on $S = S_{2m+1} = S_{m,m+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is a multiple of the form $f^\mathbb{C}$ and every $\mathfrak{so}(2m + 1, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant embedding $\mathbb{C}^{2m+1} \hookrightarrow (S \otimes S)^*$ is proportional to the embedding $j_{\tilde{\rho}}(f)^\mathbb{C}$.*

4. Case of Signature $(k, 0)$

4.1. Case of even dimension. We fix the orthogonal decomposition $\mathbb{R}^{2m} = \mathbb{R}^m + \widetilde{\mathbb{R}^m}$, where $\widetilde{\cdot} : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{R}^m}$ is an isometry. Denote by α the involution of \mathcal{Cl}_m (respectively $\mathcal{C}\ell_m$) extending $x \mapsto -x$ on \mathbb{R}^m (respectively \mathbb{C}^m).

Proposition 4.1. *If $m \equiv 0$ or $3 \pmod{4}$ the following formulas define on $S = S_{2m,0} = \mathcal{Cl}_m$ the structure of irreducible \mathcal{Cl}_{2m} -module:*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x)s &= xs, \\ \rho(\tilde{x})s &= \omega sx \text{ if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ \rho(\tilde{x})s &= \omega \alpha(s)x \text{ if } m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{aligned}$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^m, s \in S$ and ω is the volume element of \mathcal{Cl}_m , i.e. $\omega = e_1 \cdots e_m$ for an orthonormal basis (e_i) of \mathbb{R}^m . The $\mathfrak{so}(2m)$ -module S is the sum $S = S^+ + S^-$ of the two inequivalent irreducible modules $S^+ = \mathcal{C}\ell_m^0$ and $S^- = \mathcal{C}\ell_m^1$ if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and is irreducible if $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

If $m \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{4}$ the structure of irreducible \mathcal{Cl}_{2m} -module on $S = S_{2m,0} = S_{2m} = \mathcal{C}\ell_m$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x)s &= xs, \\ \rho(\tilde{x})s &= i\alpha(s)x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad s \in S. \end{aligned}$$

As $\mathfrak{so}(2m)$ -module $S = S^+ + S^-$ is the sum of the two irreducible modules $S^+ = \mathcal{C}\ell_m^0$ and $S^- = \mathcal{C}\ell_m^1$, which are equivalent for $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and inequivalent for $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. It is sufficient to check the identities $\rho(x)^2 = -\langle x, x \rangle Id, \rho(\tilde{x})^2 = -\langle x, x \rangle Id$ and $\{\rho(x), \rho(\tilde{y})\} = 0$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^m$. This is straightforward using the following lemma. \square

Lemma 4.1. *The volume element $\omega = \omega_m = e_1 \cdots e_m$ of \mathcal{Cl}_m satisfies $\{\omega, x\} = 0$ if m is even and $[\omega, x] = 0$ if m is odd, $x \in \mathbb{R}^m \subset \mathcal{Cl}_m$. Moreover,*

$$\omega^2 = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4} \\ -1 & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Now we describe the $Pin(2m)$ -invariant symmetric bilinear form h on S using the canonical identification $\wedge \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{C}\ell_m$ of \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector spaces given by

$$e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k} \mapsto e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_k}$$

with respect to an orthonormal basis $(e_i), i = 1, \dots, m$, of \mathbb{R}^m .

The standard scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\wedge \mathbb{R}^m$ induced by the scalar product on \mathbb{R}^m is invariant under exterior $x \wedge \cdot$ and interior $x \lrcorner \cdot$ multiplication with unit vectors $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Lemma 4.2. *Using the identification $\mathcal{C}\ell_m = \wedge \mathbb{R}^m$, Clifford multiplication of $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{C}\ell_m$ is given by:*

$$\begin{aligned} x\phi &= x \wedge \phi - x \lrcorner \phi, \\ \phi x &= x \wedge \alpha(\phi) + x \lrcorner \alpha(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to [L-M] I. Prop. 3.9. \square

Corollary 4.1. *The standard scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\wedge \mathbb{R}^m = \mathcal{C}\ell_m$ is invariant under left and right multiplications by unit vectors $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$. In particular, if $m \equiv 0$ or $3 \pmod{4}$, $h = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the (admissible) $\text{Pin}(2m)$ -invariant scalar product on the irreducible $\mathcal{C}\ell_{2m}$ -module $S = \mathcal{C}\ell_m$.*

If $m \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{4}$, we extend the standard scalar product on $\wedge \mathbb{R}^m$ to a symmetric complex bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $S = \wedge \mathbb{C}^m$. Using the operator c of complex conjugation, we define a symmetric real bilinear form $h = \text{Re} \langle c \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ on S .

Lemma 4.3. *Let $m \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{4}$. Then $h = \text{Re} \langle c \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the (admissible) $\text{Pin}(2m)$ -invariant scalar product on the irreducible $\mathcal{C}\ell_{2m}$ -module $S = \mathcal{C}\ell_m$.*

Proof. We check that $\rho(x)$ and $\rho(\tilde{x})$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, are $\langle c \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ -skew symmetric and hence h -skew symmetric. By Corollary 4.1 left and right multiplication, L_x and R_x , by $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ are $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ -skew symmetric endomorphisms of $S = \mathcal{C}\ell_m$, in particular, $\rho(x)$ is $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ -skew symmetric. It is easy to see that α and the operator I of multiplication by i are $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ -symmetric endomorphisms. Moreover,

$$[I, R_x] = [I, \alpha] = \{\alpha, R_x\} = 0$$

and hence $\rho(\tilde{x}) = I \circ R_x \circ \alpha$ is $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ -symmetric. From the relations

$$[c, L_x] = [c, R_x] = [c, \alpha] = \{c, I\} = 0$$

we obtain that $[\rho(x), c] = \{\rho(\tilde{x}), c\} = 0$, which implies that $\rho(x)$ and $\rho(\tilde{x})$ are $\langle c \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ -skew symmetric. \square

Now we construct admissible, i.e. h -admissible, bases of the Schur algebra $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{2m,0}$ for all the values of $m \pmod{4}$.

Proposition 4.2. *If $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, an admissible basis of the Schur algebra $\mathcal{C}_{2m,0} \cong \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ is given by the endomorphisms Id and $E = \alpha$ of $S = \mathcal{C}\ell_m$: $\tau(E) = -1$, $\sigma(E) = \sigma_h(E) = +1$, $\iota(E) = +1$.*

If $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, an admissible basis of $\mathcal{C}_{2m,0} \cong \mathbb{C}$ is given by the endomorphisms Id and $J = L_\omega \circ \alpha$ of $S = \mathcal{C}\ell_m$: $\tau(J) = -1$, $\sigma(J) = -1$.

The space \mathcal{B} of $\mathfrak{so}(2m)$ -invariant bilinear forms on S is spanned by admissible elements:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B} &= \text{span} \{h, h_E\} \quad \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ \mathcal{B} &= \text{span} \{h, h_J\} \quad \text{if } m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{aligned}$$

The fundamental invariants (τ, σ, ι) are given by $(\tau, \sigma, \iota)(h) = (-1, +1, +1)$, $(\tau, \sigma, \iota)(h_E) = (+1, +1, +1)$ if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $(\tau, \sigma)(h) = (-1, +1)$, $(\tau, \sigma)(h_J) = (+1, -1)$ if $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. We show that J is admissible and $\tau(J) = \sigma(J) = -1$. All other statements are immediate.

Let $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. From $[L_x, L_\omega] = [R_x, L_\omega] = \{L_x, \alpha\} = \{R_x, \alpha\} = 0$ (see Lemma 4.1) it follows that $\{L_x, J\} = \{R_x, J\} = 0$. Since $\rho(x) = L_x$ and $\rho(\tilde{x}) = R_x \circ J$, we conclude $\{\rho(x), J\} = \{\rho(\tilde{x}), J\} = 0$.

The operator J is skew symmetric as the product of two anticommuting symmetric operators, namely L_ω and α (the scalar product is L_ω -invariant and $L_\omega^2 = +Id$). \square

If $m \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{4}$, we consider the following operators on $S = \mathcal{C}_m$:

$$I : s \mapsto is, \quad J = L_\omega \circ c, \quad K = IJ \quad \text{and} \quad E = \alpha,$$

where $\omega = e_1 \cdots e_m \in \mathcal{C}_m \subset \mathcal{C}_m$ is the volume element.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $m \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{4}$. The Schur algebra $\mathcal{C}_{2m,0}$ ($\cong \mathbb{C}(2)$ if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$) and $\cong \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$ if $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$) is generated by the admissible operators I, J and E satisfying the following (anti) commutator relations:*

$$\begin{aligned} I^2 = J^2 = L_\omega^2 &= -1, & E^2 = c^2 &= +1, \\ \{I, J\} = [I, E] = [I, L_\omega] &= \{I, c\} = 0, \\ [J, L_\omega] = [J, c] = [E, c] &= [L_\omega, c] = 0, \\ \{J, E\} = \{L_\omega, E\} &= 0 \quad \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ [J, E] = [L_\omega, E] &= 0 \quad \text{if } m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{aligned}$$

An admissible basis of the Schur algebra is given by the endomorphisms $Id, I, J, K, E, EI, EJ, EK$. Their fundamental invariants (τ, σ, ι) are given in the next table, where the value of m is modulo 4.

<i>m</i> :	<i>Id</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>J</i>	<i>K</i>	<i>E</i>	<i>EI</i>	<i>EJ</i>	<i>EK</i>
1	+++	+ - +	+ - -	+ - -	- + +	- - +	- + -	- + -
2	+++	+ - +	- - +	- - +	- + +	- - +	+ - +	+ - +

The fundamental invariants of the corresponding admissible basis of \mathcal{B} are also listed for convenience:

<i>m</i> :	<i>h</i>	<i>h_I</i>	<i>h_J</i>	<i>h_K</i>	<i>h_E</i>	<i>h_{EI}</i>	<i>h_{EJ}</i>	<i>h_{EK}</i>
1	- + +	- - +	- - -	- - -	+ + +	+ - +	+ + -	+ + -
2	- + +	- - +	+ - +	+ - +	+ + +	+ - +	- - +	- - +

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 3.3 and 4.2. One uses the multiplication rules for the invariants and also that L_ω is skew symmetric, c is symmetric and they commute. \square

Theorem 4.1. *Every $\mathfrak{so}(2m)$ -equivariant embedding $\mathbb{R}^{2m} \hookrightarrow (S \otimes S)^*$, $S = S_{2m,0}$, is a linear combination of the embeddings*

$$j_\rho(h) : \mathbb{R}^{2m} \hookrightarrow (S^+ \wedge S^-)^* \quad \text{and} \quad j_\rho(h_E) : \mathbb{R}^{2m} \hookrightarrow (S^+ \vee S^-)^*$$

if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and a linear combination of

$$j_\rho(h) : \mathbb{R}^{2m} \hookrightarrow \wedge^2 S^* \quad \text{and} \quad j_\rho(h_J) : \mathbb{R}^{2m} \hookrightarrow \wedge^2 S^*$$

if $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

If $m \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{4}$ every $\mathfrak{so}(2m)$ -equivariant embedding $\mathbb{R}^{2m} \hookrightarrow (S \otimes S)^*$ is a linear combination of the embeddings $j_A = j_\rho(h_A)$, $A \in \mathcal{C}$ admissible, whose image is contained in the dual of the subspaces indicated in Table 4 depending on $m \pmod{4}$.

Table 4. $\mathfrak{SO}(2m)$ -equivariant embeddings $j_A = j_\rho(h_A) : \mathbb{R}^{2m} \hookrightarrow (S \otimes S)^*$

j_{Id}	$S^+ \wedge S^-$	$S^+ \wedge S^-$
j_I	$S^+ \vee S^-$	$S^+ \vee S^-$
j_J	$\vee^2 S^+ + \vee^2 S^-$	$S^+ \wedge S^-$
j_K	$\vee^2 S^+ + \vee^2 S^-$	$S^+ \wedge S^-$
j_E	$S^+ \vee S^-$	$S^+ \vee S^-$
j_{EI}	$S^+ \wedge S^-$	$S^+ \wedge S^-$
j_{EJ}	$\vee^2 S^+ + \vee^2 S^-$	$S^+ \vee S^-$
j_{EK}	$\vee^2 S^+ + \vee^2 S^-$	$S^+ \vee S^-$
$m:$	1	2

4.2. Case of odd dimension. To reduce the odd dimensional case to the even dimensional, we consider the orthogonal decomposition $\mathbb{R}^{2m+1} = \mathbb{R}e_0 + \mathbb{R}^{2m}$, where e_0 is a unit vector. Let ρ denote the irreducible representation of \mathcal{Cl}_{2m} on $S_{2m,0}$ defined in Sect. 4.1. We will extend ρ to an irreducible representation $\tilde{\rho}$ of \mathcal{Cl}_{2m+1} on $S = S_{2m+1,0}$, where $S_{2m+1,0} = S_{2m,0}$ if $m \equiv 1, 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$ and $S_{2m+1,0} = S_{2m,0} \otimes \mathbb{C} = S_{2m}$ if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. If $m \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{4}$, $S_{2m,0} = S_{2m}$ admits the \mathcal{Cl}_{2m} -invariant complex structure I . For $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ multiplication by i is a \mathcal{Cl}_{2m} -invariant complex structure on $S_{2m,0} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and will also be denoted by I .

Proposition 4.4. *The following formulas define an irreducible representation $\tilde{\rho}$ of \mathcal{Cl}_{2m+1} on $S_{2m+1,0}$.*

$$\tilde{\rho}|_{\mathbb{R}^{2m}} = \rho|_{\mathbb{R}^{2m}},$$

$$\tilde{\rho}(e_0) = \begin{cases} \rho(\omega_{2m}) & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4} \\ I \circ \rho(\omega_{2m}) & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

where, in the case $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, ρ has been extended complex linearly to a representation on $S_{2m,0} \otimes \mathbb{C}$, denoted by the same symbol. $S = S_{2m+1,0}$ is irreducible as \mathcal{Cl}_{2m+1}^0 -module if $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and the sum $S = S^+ + S^-$ of the two equivalent irreducible \mathcal{Cl}_{2m+1}^0 -modules $S^+ = S_{2m,0}^+ + iS_{2m,0}^- = \mathcal{Cl}_m^0 + i\mathcal{Cl}_m^1$ and $S^- = iS^+$ if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. It is sufficient to check that $\tilde{\rho}(e_0)^2 = -Id$ and $\{\tilde{\rho}(e_0), \rho(x)\} = 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2m}$, since all other information can be extracted from the Schur algebra, see Corollary 1.3. These identities follow immediately from Lemma 4.1 and the fact that I is a \mathcal{Cl}_{2m} -invariant complex structure. \square

Now we describe the $Pin(2m + 1)$ -invariant scalar product h on $S = S_{2m+1,0}$. Let $h_{2m,0}$ denote the $Pin(2m)$ -invariant scalar product on $S_{2m+1,0} = S_{2m,0}$ if $m \equiv 1, 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$ and by $h_{2m,0}^{\mathbb{C}}$ the complex bilinear extension of the $Pin(2m)$ -invariant scalar product on $S_{2m,0}$ to a $Pin(2m)$ -invariant complex bilinear form on $S_{2m+1,0} = S_{2m} = S_{2m,0} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ if $m \equiv 4 \pmod{4}$.

Lemma 4.4. *The $Pin(2m + 1)$ -invariant scalar product $h = h_{2m+1,0}$ on $S = S_{2m+1,0}$ is given by $h = h_{2m,0}$ if $m \equiv 1, 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$ and by $h = Re h_{2m,0}^{\mathbb{C}}(c \cdot, \cdot)$ if $m \equiv 4 \pmod{4}$, where c is complex conjugation with respect to $S_{2m,0} \subset S_{2m,0} \otimes \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. If $m \not\equiv 4 \pmod{4}$, the statement follows from Schur’s Lemma, since $S_{2m+1,0} = S_{2m,0}$. If $m \equiv 4 \pmod{4}$, the Hermitian form $h_{2m,0}^{\mathbb{C}}(c \cdot, \cdot)$ is I -invariant and hence invariant under $\bar{\rho}(e_0) = I \circ \rho(\omega_{2m})$ and the same is true for $h = \text{Re } h_{2m,0}^{\mathbb{C}}(c \cdot, \cdot)$. \square

If $m \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, we have on $S_{2m+1,0} = \mathcal{C}_m = \mathcal{C}_m + i\mathcal{C}_m$ the operator c of complex conjugation. Hence, we can define an endomorphism J of $S_{2m+1,0} = \mathcal{C}_m$ by the formulas

$$J := \begin{cases} L_\omega \circ c & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4} \\ \alpha \circ c & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

where L_ω is left multiplication by the volume element $\omega = \omega_m$ of \mathcal{C}_m and $\alpha|_{\mathcal{C}_m^0} = +Id$, $\alpha|_{\mathcal{C}_m^1} = -Id$.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $m \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. An admissible basis of the Schur algebra $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{2m+1,0}$ is given by the endomorphisms Id, I, J and $K = IJ$ of $S_{2m+1,0} = \mathcal{C}_m$. If $m \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{4}$, then $I^2 = J^2 = -Id$, $\{I, J\} = 0$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2m+1,0} \cong \mathbb{H}$. If $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then $I^2 = -J^2 = -Id$, $\{I, J\} = 0$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2m+1,0} \cong \mathbb{R}(2)$. The space \mathcal{B} of $\mathfrak{so}(2m+1)$ -invariant bilinear forms on $S_{2m+1,0}$ has the admissible basis (h, h_I, h_J, h_K) . If $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then the Schur algebra $\mathcal{C}_{2m+1,0} = \mathbb{R} Id$ and $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{R} h$.*

Proof. Straightforward, cf. Proposition 4.2. \square

Theorem 4.2. *If $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, every $\mathfrak{so}(2m+1)$ -equivariant embedding $\mathbb{R}^{2m+1} \hookrightarrow S^* \otimes S^*$, $S = S_{2m+1,0}$, is a multiple of $j_\rho(h) : \mathbb{R}^{2m+1} \hookrightarrow \wedge^2 S^*$. If $m \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, every $\mathfrak{so}(2m+1)$ -equivariant embedding $\mathbb{R}^{2m+1} \hookrightarrow (S \otimes S)^*$ is a linear combination of the embeddings $j_A = j_\rho(h_A)$, $A = Id, I, J$ or K , whose image is contained in the dual of the subspaces indicated in Table 5 depending on $m \pmod{4}$.*

Table 5. $\mathfrak{so}(2m+1)$ -equivariant embeddings $j_A : \mathbb{R}^{2m+1} \hookrightarrow (S \otimes S)^*$

$m:$	j_{Id}	j_I	j_J	j_K
1	$\wedge^2 S$	$\vee^2 S$	$\vee^2 S$	$\vee^2 S$
2	$\wedge^2 S$	$\vee^2 S$	$\wedge^2 S$	$\wedge^2 S$
4	$S^+ \wedge S^-$	$\vee^2 S^+ + \vee^2 S^-$	$S^+ \vee S^-$	$\vee^2 S^+ + \vee^2 S^-$

5. Case of Signature $(0, k)$

Now we discuss the case of signature $(0, k)$. The proofs are similar to the proofs in the case of signature $(k, 0)$ and will mostly be omitted.

5.1. Case of even dimension. As in the positively defined case, we fix the orthogonal decomposition $\mathbb{R}^{0,2m} = \mathbb{R}^{0,m} + \widetilde{\mathbb{R}^{0,m}}$, where $\widetilde{\cdot} : \mathbb{R}^{0,m} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{R}^{0,m}}$ is an isometry.

Lemma 5.1. *The volume element $\omega = \omega_{0,m} = e_1 \cdots e_m$ (e_i) an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{0,m}$) of $\mathcal{C}_{0,m}$ satisfies $\{\omega, x\} = 0$ if m is even and $[\omega, x] = 0$ if m is odd, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{0,m} \subset \mathcal{C}_{0,m}$. Moreover,*

$$\omega^2 = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{4} \\ -1 & \text{if } m \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

The next proposition is checked using Lemma 5.1.

Proposition 5.1. *If $m \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{4}$ the following formulas define on $S = S_{0,2m} = \mathcal{Cl}_{0,m}$ the structure of irreducible $\mathcal{Cl}_{0,2m}$ -module:*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x)s &= xs, \\ \rho(\tilde{x})s &= \omega sx \text{ if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ \rho(\tilde{x})s &= \omega\alpha(s)x \text{ if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \end{aligned}$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^{0,m}$, $s \in S$ and ω is the volume element of $\mathcal{Cl}_{0,m}$. The $\mathfrak{so}(0, 2m)$ -module S is the sum $S = S^+ + S^-$ of the two inequivalent irreducible modules $S^+ = \mathcal{Cl}_{0,m}^0$ and $S^- = \mathcal{Cl}_{0,m}^1$ if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and is irreducible if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

If $m \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$ the structure of irreducible $\mathcal{Cl}_{0,2m}$ -module on $S = S_{0,2m} = \mathbb{S}_{2m} = \mathcal{Cl}_m$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x)s &= xs, \\ \rho(\tilde{x})s &= i\alpha(s)x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{0,m} \subset \mathcal{Cl}_m = \mathcal{Cl}_{0,m} \otimes \mathbb{C}, \quad s \in S = \mathcal{Cl}_m. \end{aligned}$$

As $\mathfrak{so}(0, 2m)$ -module $S = S^+ + S^-$ is the sum of the two irreducible submodules $S^+ = \mathcal{Cl}_m^0$ and $S^- = \mathcal{Cl}_m^1$, which are inequivalent for $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and equivalent for $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Recall (see Corollary 4.1) that the standard scalar product on $\wedge \mathbb{R}^m = \mathcal{Cl}_m = \mathcal{Cl}_{m,0}$ is invariant under left and right multiplications by unit vectors $x \in \mathbb{R}^m = \mathbb{R}^{m,0}$. We can consider $\mathbb{R}^{0,m}$ as subspace

$$\mathbb{R}^{0,m} = i\mathbb{R}^m \subset \mathcal{Cl}_m = \mathcal{Cl}_m \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathcal{Cl}_m + i\mathcal{Cl}_m.$$

Then $\mathcal{Cl}_{0,m} = \mathcal{Cl}_{0,m}^0 + \mathcal{Cl}_{0,m}^1 = \mathcal{Cl}_m^0 + i\mathcal{Cl}_m^1$. We define an isomorphism of \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector spaces $\varphi : \mathcal{Cl}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{Cl}_{0,m}$ on elements $a \in \mathcal{Cl}_m$ of pure degree $\text{deg}(a) = 0$ or 1 by:

$$a \mapsto i^{\text{deg}(a)} a.$$

A scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathcal{Cl}_{0,m}$ is defined by the condition that $\varphi : \mathcal{Cl}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{Cl}_{0,m}$ is an isometry for the standard scalar product on $\wedge \mathbb{R}^m = \mathcal{Cl}_m$. The following lemma is true by construction.

Lemma 5.2. *The scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathcal{Cl}_{0,m}$ is invariant under left and right multiplications by unit vectors $x \in \mathbb{R}^{0,m}$. In particular, if $m \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{4}$, $h = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the (admissible) $\text{Pin}(0, 2m)$ -invariant scalar product on the irreducible $\mathcal{Cl}_{0,2m}$ -module $S = S_{0,2m} = \mathcal{Cl}_{0,m}$.*

If $m \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$, we extend the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathcal{Cl}_{0,m}$ to a symmetric complex bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $S = \wedge \mathbb{C}^m$. Using the operator $c = c_{0,m}$ of complex conjugation with respect to the real form $\mathcal{Cl}_{0,m} = \mathcal{Cl}_m^0 + i\mathcal{Cl}_m^1$ of \mathcal{Cl}_m , we define a (real) scalar product $h = \text{Re} \langle c \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ on S .

Lemma 5.3. *Let $m \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$. Then $h = \text{Re} \langle c \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the (admissible) $\text{Pin}(0, 2m)$ -invariant scalar product on the irreducible $\mathcal{Cl}_{0,2m}$ -module $S = \mathcal{Cl}_m$.*

Now we construct (h) -admissible bases of the Schur algebra $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{0,2m}$ for all the values of $m \pmod{4}$.

Proposition 5.2. *If $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, an admissible basis of the Schur algebra $\mathcal{C}_{0,2m} \cong \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ is given by the endomorphisms Id and $E = \alpha$ of $S = \mathcal{C}\ell_{0,m}$: $\tau(E) = -1$, $\sigma(E) = \sigma_h(E) = +1$, $\iota(E) = +1$.*

If $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, an admissible basis of $\mathcal{C}_{0,2m} \cong \mathbb{C}$ is given by the endomorphisms Id and $J = L_\omega \circ \alpha$ of $S = \mathcal{C}\ell_{0,m}$ (where ω is a volume element of $\mathcal{C}\ell_{0,m}$): $\tau(J) = -1$, $\sigma(J) = -1$.

The space \mathcal{B} of $\mathfrak{so}(0, 2m)$ -invariant bilinear forms on S is spanned by the admissible elements h and h_E if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and by h and h_J if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Their fundamental invariants (τ, σ, ι) are $(\tau, \sigma, \iota)(h) = (+1, +1, +1)$, $(\tau, \sigma, \iota)(h_E) = (-1, +1, +1)$ if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $(\tau, \sigma)(h) = (+1, +1)$, $(\tau, \sigma)(h_J) = (-1, -1)$ if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

If $m \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$, we consider the following operators on $S = \mathcal{C}\ell_m$:

$$I : s \mapsto is, \quad J = L_\omega \circ c, \quad K = IJ \text{ and } E = \alpha \quad (\omega = \omega_{0,m}).$$

Proposition 5.3. *Let $m \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$. The Schur algebra $\mathcal{C}_{0,2m} (\cong \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$ if $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $\cong \mathbb{C}(2)$ if $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4})$ is generated by the admissible operators I, J and E , which satisfy the following identities:*

$$\begin{aligned} I^2 &= J^2 = L_\omega^2 = -1, & E^2 &= c^2 = +1, \\ \{I, J\} &= [I, E] = [I, L_\omega] = \{I, c\} = 0, \\ [J, L_\omega] &= [J, c] = [E, c] = [L_\omega, c] = 0, \\ [J, E] &= [L_\omega, E] = 0 \quad \text{if } m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ \{J, E\} &= \{L_\omega, E\} = 0 \quad \text{if } m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{aligned}$$

An admissible basis of the Schur algebra is given by the endomorphisms $Id, I, J, K, E, EI, EJ, EK$. Their fundamental invariants (τ, σ, ι) are given in the next table, where the value of m is modulo 4.

<i>m</i> :	<i>Id</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>J</i>	<i>K</i>	<i>E</i>	<i>EI</i>	<i>EJ</i>	<i>EK</i>
2	+++	+ - +	- - +	- - +	- + +	- - +	+ - +	+ - +
3	+++	+ - +	+ - -	+ - -	- + +	- - +	- + -	- + -

The fundamental invariants of the corresponding admissible basis for the space $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_{0,2m}$ (of $\mathfrak{so}(0, 2m)$ -invariant bilinear forms on $S_{0,2m}$) are as follows:

<i>m</i> :	<i>h</i>	<i>h_I</i>	<i>h_J</i>	<i>h_K</i>	<i>h_E</i>	<i>h_{EI}</i>	<i>h_{EJ}</i>	<i>h_{EK}</i>
2	+++	+ - +	- - +	- - +	- + +	- - +	+ - +	+ - +
3	+++	+ - +	+ - -	+ - -	- + +	- - +	- + -	- + -

Theorem 5.1. *Every $\mathfrak{so}(0, 2m)$ -equivariant embedding $\mathbb{R}^{0,2m} \hookrightarrow (S \otimes S)^*$, $S = S_{0,2m}$, is a linear combination of the embeddings*

$$j_\rho(h) : \mathbb{R}^{0,2m} \hookrightarrow (S^+ \vee S^-)^* \quad \text{and} \quad j_\rho(h_E) : \mathbb{R}^{0,2m} \hookrightarrow (S^+ \wedge S^-)^*$$

if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and a linear combination of

$$j_\rho(h) \text{ and } j_\rho(h_J) : \mathbb{R}^{0,2m} \hookrightarrow \vee^2 S^* \quad \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}.$$

If $m \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$ every $\mathfrak{so}(0, 2m)$ -equivariant embedding $\mathbb{R}^{0,2m} \hookrightarrow (S \otimes S)^$ is a linear combination of the embeddings $j_A = j_\rho(h_A)$, $A \in \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{0,2m}$ admissible, whose image is contained in the dual of the subspaces indicated in Table 6 depending on $m \pmod{4}$.*

Table 6. $\mathfrak{so}(0, 2m)$ -equivariant embeddings $j_A : \mathbb{R}^{0,2m} \hookrightarrow (S \otimes S)^*$

j_{Id}	$S^+ \vee S^-$	$S^+ \vee S^-$
j_I	$S^+ \wedge S^-$	$S^+ \wedge S^-$
j_J	$S^+ \vee S^-$	$\wedge^2 S^+ + \wedge^2 S^-$
j_K	$S^+ \vee S^-$	$\wedge^2 S^+ + \wedge^2 S^-$
j_E	$S^+ \wedge S^-$	$S^+ \wedge S^-$
j_{EI}	$S^+ \vee S^-$	$S^+ \vee S^-$
j_{EJ}	$S^+ \wedge S^-$	$\wedge^2 S^+ + \wedge^2 S^-$
j_{EK}	$S^+ \wedge S^-$	$\wedge^2 S^+ + \wedge^2 S^-$
$m:$	2	3

5.2. Case of odd dimension. Consider the orthogonal decomposition $(\mathbb{R}^{0,2m+1}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) = \mathbb{R}e_0 + \mathbb{R}^{0,2m}$, where $\langle e_0, e_0 \rangle = -1$. Let ρ denote the irreducible representation of $\mathcal{Cl}_{0,2m}$ on $S_{0,2m}$ defined in Sect. 5.1. We will extend ρ to an irreducible representation $\tilde{\rho}$ of $\mathcal{Cl}_{0,2m+1}$ on $S = S_{0,2m+1}$, where $S_{0,2m+1} = S_{0,2m}$ if $m \equiv 0, 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$ and $S_{0,2m+1} = S_{0,2m} \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{S}_{2m}$ if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. If $m \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$, $S_{0,2m} = \mathbb{S}_{2m}$ admits the $\mathcal{Cl}_{0,2m}$ -invariant complex structure I . For $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ multiplication by i is a $\mathcal{Cl}_{0,2m}$ -invariant complex structure on $S_{0,2m} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and will also be denoted by I .

Proposition 5.4. *The following formulas define an irreducible representation $\tilde{\rho}$ of $\mathcal{Cl}_{0,2m+1}$ on $S_{0,2m+1}$.*

$$\tilde{\rho}|_{\mathbb{R}^{0,2m}} = \rho|_{\mathbb{R}^{0,2m}},$$

$$\tilde{\rho}(e_0) = \begin{cases} \rho(\omega_{0,2m}) & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4} \\ I \circ \rho(\omega_{0,2m}) & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

where, in the case $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, ρ has been extended complex linearly to a representation on $S_{0,2m+1} = S_{0,2m} \otimes \mathbb{C}$. $S = S_{0,2m+1}$ is irreducible as a $\mathcal{Cl}_{0,2m+1}^0$ -module if $m \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and the sum $S = S^+ + S^-$ of the two equivalent irreducible $\mathcal{Cl}_{0,2m+1}^0$ -modules $S^+ = S^{\hat{J}}$ and $S^- = iS^{\hat{J}}$ if $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, where $S^{\hat{J}}$ is the fixed point set of a $\mathfrak{so}(0, 2m + 1)$ -invariant real structure \hat{J} on S (the explicit expression for \hat{J} will be given below).

Next we describe the $Pin(0, 2m + 1)$ -invariant scalar product $h = h_{0,2m+1}$ on $S = S_{0,2m+1}$. Let $h_{0,2m}$ denote the $Pin(0, 2m)$ -invariant scalar product on $S_{0,2m+1} = S_{0,2m}$ if $m \equiv 0, 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$ and by $h_{0,2m}^{\mathbb{C}}$ the complex bilinear extension of the $Pin(0, 2m)$ -invariant scalar product on $S_{0,2m}$ to a $Pin(0, 2m)$ -invariant complex bilinear form on $S_{0,2m+1} = \mathbb{S}_{2m} = S_{0,2m} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Lemma 5.4. *The $Pin(0, 2m + 1)$ -invariant scalar product $h = h_{0,2m+1}$ on $S = S_{0,2m+1}$ is given by $h = h_{0,2m}$ if $m \equiv 0, 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$ and by $h = \text{Re } h_{0,2m}^{\mathbb{C}}(c \cdot, \cdot)$ if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, where c is complex conjugation with respect to $S_{0,2m} \subset S_{0,2m} \otimes \mathbb{C}$.*

If $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, we have on $S_{0,2m+1} = \mathcal{C}_m = \mathcal{Cl}_{0,m} + i\mathcal{Cl}_{0,m}$ the operator $c = c_{0,m}$ of complex conjugation. Using it we define an endomorphism \hat{J} of $S_{0,2m+1} = \mathcal{C}_m$ by

$$\hat{J} := L_{\omega} \circ \alpha \circ c,$$

where $\omega = \omega_{0,m}$ is a volume element of $\mathcal{Cl}_{0,m}$ and $\alpha|_{\mathcal{Cl}_m^0} = +Id, \alpha|_{\mathcal{Cl}_m^1} = -Id$.

Proposition 5.5. *Let $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. The Schur algebra $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{0,2m+1}$ is generated by the endomorphisms I and \hat{J} of $S = S_{0,2m+1} = \mathcal{C}l_m$, which satisfy the following relations: $I^2 = -1$, $\{I, \hat{J}\} = 0$. Moreover, $\hat{J}^2 = +Id$ and $\mathcal{C}_{0,2m+1} \cong \mathbb{R}(2)$ if $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $\hat{J}^2 = -Id$ and $\mathcal{C}_{0,2m+1} \cong \mathbb{H}$ if $m \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{4}$. An admissible basis of $\mathcal{C}_{0,2m+1}$ is given by the endomorphisms Id, I, \hat{J} and $\hat{K} = I\hat{J}$. Their fundamental invariants (τ, σ, ι) together with the invariants of the associated admissible basis for the space \mathcal{B} of $\mathfrak{so}(0, 2m + 1)$ -invariant bilinear forms are given in Table 7 (ι is only defined if $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$). If $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $\mathcal{C}_{0,2m+1} = \mathbb{R}Id$.*

Table 7. Fundamental invariants of admissible endomorphisms and bilinear forms of $S_{0,2m+1}$

$m:$	Id	I	\hat{J}	\hat{K}	h	h_I	$h_{\hat{J}}$	$h_{\hat{K}}$
1	++	+-	--	--	++	+-	--	--
2	++	+-	+-	+-	++	+-	+-	+-
3	+++	+--	-++	-+-	+++	+--	-++	-+-

Theorem 5.2. *Every $\mathfrak{so}(0, 2m + 1)$ -equivariant embedding $\mathbb{R}^{0,2m+1} \hookrightarrow (S \otimes S)^*$ is proportional to $j_\rho(h) : \mathbb{R}^{0,2m+} \hookrightarrow \vee^2 S^*$ if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and a linear combination of the embeddings $j_A = j_\rho(h_A)$, $A = Id, I, \hat{J}$ and \hat{K} if $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. The image of the j_A is contained in the dual of the subspaces indicated in Table 8.*

Table 8. $\mathfrak{so}(0, 2m + 1)$ -equivariant embeddings $j_A : \mathbb{R}^{0,2m+1} \hookrightarrow (S \otimes S)^*$

j_{Id}	$\vee^2 S$	$\vee^2 S$	$S^+ \vee S^-$
j_I	$\wedge^2 S$	$\wedge^2 S$	$S^+ \wedge S^-$
$j_{\hat{J}}$	$\vee^2 S$	$\wedge^2 S$	$\wedge^2 S^+ + \wedge^2 S^-$
$j_{\hat{K}}$	$\vee^2 S$	$\wedge^2 S$	$\wedge^2 S^+ + \wedge^2 S^-$
$m:$	1	2	3

6. Complete Classification

Every pseudo-Euclidean space V admits a (unique up to an isometry) orthogonal decomposition $V = V_1 + V_2$, where $V_1 = \mathbb{R}^{m,m}$ and the scalar product of V_2 is positively or negatively defined. Now we consider the case when $V_1 \neq 0$ and $V_2 \neq 0$, the other cases were treated in Sects. 3.1, 4 and 5. We denote by S_i , $i = 1, 2$, the irreducible $\mathcal{C}l(V_i)$ -module constructed in Sects. 3.1 and 4, 5 respectively. Then $S = S_1 \otimes S_2$ carries the structure of irreducible module for the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}l(V) = \mathcal{C}l(V_1) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}l(V_2)$, see Proposition 2.3. By Proposition 3.4, to every admissible bilinear form β_2 (respectively endomorphism A_2) on S_2 we associate an admissible bilinear form $\beta = \beta_1 \otimes \beta_2$ (respectively endomorphism $A_1 \otimes A_2$) on S . In Sects. 4 and 5 we have constructed admissible bases for the space \mathcal{B}_2 of $\mathfrak{so}(V_2)$ -invariant bilinear forms on S_2 and for the Schur algebra \mathcal{C}_2 of S_2 . Therefore, this explicit correspondence defines an injective linear mapping $\phi : \beta_2 \mapsto \beta = \phi(\beta_2)$ (respectively $\psi : A_2 \mapsto A = \psi(A_2)$) from \mathcal{B}_2 into the space \mathcal{B} of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -invariant bilinear forms on S (respectively from \mathcal{C}_2 into the Schur algebra \mathcal{C} of S). Moreover, ϕ and ψ are actually isomorphisms, because the Schur algebras of S and S_2 are isomorphic, due to the fact that V and V_2 have the same signature s , see Corollary 1.3. So we have essentially proved:

Theorem 6.1. *There exist natural isomorphisms $\phi : \mathcal{B}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ of vector spaces and $\psi : \mathcal{C}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ of algebras mapping admissible elements onto admissible elements. Under these maps, the fundamental invariants of admissible elements transform according to the rules given in Proposition 2.2. In particular, if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then ϕ and ψ preserve the fundamental invariants ((4,4)-periodicity).*

Proof. We recall that by Proposition 3.3 the Schur algebra $\mathcal{C}_{m,m}$ of $S_1 = S_{m,m}$ has the admissible basis (Id, E) and $E^2 = +Id$. This implies that the vector space isomorphism ψ is actually an isomorphism of algebras. The (4,4)-periodicity follows from

$$\sigma(f_E) = \iota(f_E) = \sigma_f(E) = \sigma_{f_E}(E) = \iota(E) = +1. \quad \square$$

Recall that $\mathcal{B}_{p,q}$ denotes the space of $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ -invariant bilinear forms on the $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ spinor module $S_{p,q}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{p,q}$ is the Schur algebra of $S_{p,q}$.

Corollary 6.1. ((8,0)- and (0,8)-periodicity) *There exist natural isomorphisms*

$$\phi_{8,0} : \mathcal{B}_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{p+8,q} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{0,8} : \mathcal{B}_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{p,q+8}$$

of vector spaces and

$$\psi_{8,0} : \mathcal{C}_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{p+8,q} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{0,8} : \mathcal{C}_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{p,q+8}$$

of algebras mapping the admissible elements onto admissible elements preserving their fundamental invariants.

Proof. By Theorem 6.1 $\mathcal{B}_{p,q}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{p,q}$ have admissible bases. Now we recall from Sect. 4 and 5 that if $k \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, then $\mathcal{C}_{k,0} \cong \mathcal{C}_{0,k}$ has an admissible basis, which was denoted by (Id, E) , such that $(\tau, \sigma, \iota)(E) = (-1, +1, +1)$ and, of course, $(\tau, \sigma, \iota)(Id) = (+1, +1, +1)$. The existence of the maps $\psi_{8,0}$ and $\psi_{0,8}$ follows from $\tau(Id)\iota(Id) = -\tau(E)\iota(E)$. They preserve the fundamental invariants, because $\sigma(Id) = \iota(Id) = \sigma(E) = \iota(E) = +1$. The existence and properties of $\phi_{8,0}$ and $\phi_{0,8}$ are proved similarly. \square

Corollary 6.2. *Every $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -equivariant mapping $j : V \rightarrow (S \otimes S)^*$ is a linear combination of the embeddings $j_A = j_\rho(h_A)$, where h is the canonical bilinear form on the spinor module S of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ and A are admissible elements of the Schur algebra \mathcal{C} of S .*

To obtain an overview over all possible N -extended Poincaré algebras $\mathfrak{p}(V) + S$, $N = \pm 1, \pm 2$, it is useful to define the invariants σ and ι for embeddings $j : V \hookrightarrow (S \otimes S)^*$ having special properties. More precisely, we put $\sigma(j) = +1$ if $jV \subset \vee^2 S^*$ and $\sigma(j) = -1$ if $jV \subset \wedge^2 S^*$. If $S = S^+ + S^-$, we define $\iota(j) = +1$ if $jV \subset (S^+ \otimes S^+ + S^- \otimes S^-)^*$ and $\iota(j) = -1$ if $jV \subset (S^+ \otimes S^-)^*$.

Note that the fundamental invariants of $j_A = j_\rho(h_A)$, $A \in \mathcal{C}$ admissible, are easily computable:

$$\sigma(j_A) = \tau(h_A)\sigma(h_A) = \tau(h)\tau(A)\sigma(h)\sigma(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \iota(j_A) = -\iota(h_A) = -\iota(h)\iota(A).$$

Recall that \mathcal{J} denotes the space of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ -equivariant mappings $j : V \rightarrow (S \otimes S)^*$. We define the subspaces

$$\mathcal{J}^{\sigma_0} := \{j \in \mathcal{J} \mid \sigma(j) = \sigma_0\} \cup \{0\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathcal{J}^{\sigma_0\iota_0} := \{j \in \mathcal{J}^{\sigma_0} | \iota(j) = \iota_0\} \cup \{0\}$$

and put

$$L^{\sigma_0} := \dim \mathcal{J}^{\sigma_0}, \quad L^{\sigma_0\iota_0} := \dim \mathcal{J}^{\sigma_0\iota_0}.$$

We shall write L^+, L^{+-}, \dots instead of the more cumbersome L^{+1}, L^{+1-1}, \dots .

Remark that $L^+ (= L^{++} + L^{+-}$ if $S = S^+ + S^-$) is the maximal number of linearly independent super algebra structures on $\mathfrak{p}(V) + S$ and that $L^- (= L^{-+} + L^{--})$ is the number of \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Lie algebra structures on $\mathfrak{p}(V) + S$.

Theorem 6.2. *The numbers (L^+, L^-) and $(L^{++}, L^{+-}, L^{-+}, L^{--})$ depend only on the dimension $n = \dim V = p + q$ and the signature $s = p - q$ of $V = \mathbb{R}^{p,q}$ modulo 8. Moreover, they admit the mirror super symmetry $n \mapsto -n$. More precisely,*

$$\begin{aligned} L^+(-n, s) &= L^-(n, s) \quad \text{and} \\ L^{+\iota_0}(-n, s) &= L^{-\iota_0}(n, s), \quad \iota_0 = \pm. \end{aligned}$$

Their values are given in Table 9.

Table 9. Numbers of extended Poincaré algebras $\mathfrak{p}(p, q) + S_{p,q}$ of different types depending on $n = p + q$ and $s = p - q$ modulo 8

<i>s:</i>	$(L^{++}, L^{+-}, L^{-+}, L^{--})(n, s)$ or $(L^+, L^-)(n, s)$							
4		2,0,6,0		0,4,0,4		6,0,2,0		0,4,0,4
3	1,3		1,3		3,1		3,1	
2		0,2,4,2		2,2,2,2		4,2,0,2		2,2,2,2
1	0,1,2,1		0,1,2,1		2,1,0,1		2,1,0,1	
0		0,0,2,0		0,1,0,1		2,0,0,0		0,1,0,1
-1	0,1		0,1		1,0		1,0	
-2		0,2		1,1		2,0		1,1
-3	1,3		1,3		3,1		3,1	
<i>n:</i>	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4

Proof. This follows from Theorem 6.1 and the tables of Sects. 3.1, 4 and 5 by straightforward computation. \square

In the complex case we consider the space \mathcal{J}_c of $\mathfrak{so}(m, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant mappings $\mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}_m \otimes \mathbb{S}_m)^*$ and define the invariants σ, ι and the spaces $\mathcal{J}_c^+, \mathcal{J}_c^{+-}$, etc. as in the real case (ι is only defined if the complex $\mathfrak{so}(m, \mathbb{C})$ spinor module \mathbb{S}_m is reducible $\mathbb{S}_m = \mathbb{S}_m^+ + \mathbb{S}_m^-$). Their dimensions are denoted by L_c^+, L_c^{+-} , etc.

Theorem 6.3. *The numbers (L_c^+, L_c^-) and $(L_c^{++}, L_c^{+-}, L_c^{-+}, L_c^{--})$ depend only on m (mod 8). Moreover, they admit the mirror super symmetry $m \mapsto -m$. More precisely,*

$$\begin{aligned} L_c^+(-m) &= L_c^-(m) \quad \text{and} \\ L_c^{+\iota_0}(-m) &= L_c^{-\iota_0}(m), \quad \iota_0 = \pm. \end{aligned}$$

Their values are given in the next table.

	0, 1	0, 0, 2, 0	0, 1	0, 1, 0, 1	1, 0	2, 0, 0, 0	1, 0	0, 1, 0, 1
<i>m:</i>	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4

Proof. Follows from Sect. 3.2. \square

Acknowledgement. The first author is very grateful to Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik for financial support and hospitality. The second author would like to thank S.-S. Chern and R. Osserman for inviting him to MSRI, where he is now enjoying his stay; he would also like to thank W. Ballmann and U. Hamenstädt for encouragement and support.

References

- [A-C1] Alekseevsky, D.V., Cortés, V.: *Isometry Groups of Homogeneous Quaternionic Kähler Manifolds* (to appear); available as preprint Erwin Schrödinger Institut 230 (1995)
- [dW-V-VP] de Wit, B., Vanderseypen, F., Van Proeyen, A.: *Symmetry structure of special geometries*. Nucl. Phys. **B400** (1993), 463–521
- [F] Freund, P.G.O.: *Introduction to supersymmetry*. New York, Cambridge University Press, 1986
- [G-L] Gol'fand, T.A., Likhthman, E.P.: *Extension of the Algebra of Poincaré Group Generators and Violation of P-Invariance*. JETP Lett. **13**, 323–326 (1971)
- [H] Harvey, F.R.: *Spinors and calibrations*. Boston, Academic Press, 1989
- [K] V. Kac: *Lie Superalgebras*. Adv. Math. **26**, 8–96 (1977)
- [L-M] Lawson, H.B., Michelson, M.L.: *Spin geometry*. Princeton, Princeton University Press, 1989
- [O-S] Ogievetsky, V.I., Sokatchev, E.S.: *Structure of Supergravity Group*. Phys. Lett. **79B**, 222–224 (1978)
- [O-V] Onishchik, A.L., Vinberg, E.L.: *Lie Groups and Algebraic Groups*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 1990
- [R] Raševskii, P.A.: *The Theory of Spinors*. Uspehi Mat. Nauk (N.S.) **10**, no 2 (64), 3–110 (1955); AMS Transl. (2) **6**, 1–110 (1957)

Communicated by S.-T. Yau