

The Quantum Inverse Scattering Method Approach to Correlation Functions

A. G. Izergin and V. E. Korepin

Leningrad Department of V. A. Steklov Mathematical Institute, Fontanka 27, SU-191011, Leningrad, USSR and Leningrad University, SU-199164, Leningrad, USSR

Abstract. The inverse scattering method approach is developed for calculation of correlation functions in completely integrable quantum models with the R -matrix of XXX-type. These models include the one-dimensional Bose-gas and the Heisenberg XXX-model. The algebraic questions of the problem are considered.

1. Introduction

The quantum inverse scattering method (QISM) [1] is extremely useful for analysis of completely integrable systems. In this paper we formulate the problem of calculation of correlation functions for these models in the frame of QISM. Our approach is essentially different from the one based on the quantum Gelfand–Levitan equation [2, 3]. We use results of papers [4, 5] where the generalized integrable model was introduced. This model depends on an arbitrary functional parameter. Concrete models such as one-dimensional Bose-gas and the Heisenberg XXX-model can be obtained as special cases at particular values of this parameter. The crucial point is a simple dependence of the generalized model on this functional parameter. We call this generalized model the “one-site” model. By means of this model the simple formula for norms of Bethe wave functions was proved.

In this paper we introduce the “two-site” generalized model which permits us to give a natural formulation of a problem of calculation of correlation functions. This approach can be applied to any model with the R -matrix of XXX or XXZ models. Here we restrict ourselves to the XXX-case only.

We deal in this paper with algebraic aspects of the problem, but to clarify the statement of the problem turn now to the one-dimensional Bose-gas with repulsion which is described by the quantum nonlinear Schrödinger equation (so we call this

model the NS-model). The Hamiltonian of this model is equal to

$$\mathbf{H} = \int_0^L dx (\partial_x \psi^+ \partial_x \psi + c \psi^+ \psi^+ \psi \psi) - h \int_0^L dx \psi^+ \psi. \quad (1.1)$$

Here $c > 0$ is a coupling constant, $h > 0$ —a chemical potential, L —a length of a box, $\psi(x)$ —a canonical Bose-field: $[\psi(x), \psi^+(y)] = \delta(x - y)$, $[\psi(x), \psi(y)] = [\psi^+(x), \psi^+(y)] = 0$. The “bare” vacuum $|0\rangle$ is defined by $\psi(x)|0\rangle = 0$, $\langle 0|0\rangle = 1$. Eigenstates of H were constructed in [6–8] by means of the coordinate Bethe’s Ansatz:

$$|\Psi_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \int_0^L d^N z \chi_N(z_1 \dots z_N | \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N) \psi^+(z_1) \dots \psi^+(z_N) |0\rangle, \quad (1.2)$$

where eigenfunction χ is

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_N(z_1 \dots z_N | \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N) &= \left\{ N! \prod_{j>k} (\lambda_{jk}^2 + c^2) \right\}^{-1/2} \\ &\times \sum_P (-1)^{|P|} \prod_{j>k} (\lambda_{P_j P_k} - ic \varepsilon(z_j - z_k)) \exp \left\{ i \sum_{n=1}^N z_n \lambda_{P_n} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

Here $\lambda_{jk} = \lambda_j - \lambda_k$; the sum is taken over all the permutations P of $1, 2, \dots, N$; $[P]$ denotes a parity of P . All the momenta λ_j are real, different [9] and satisfy the system of “transcendental” equations (s.t.e.) which expresses the periodicity of wave functions:

$$\exp\{i\lambda_j L\} = \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^N [(\lambda_{jk} + ic)/(\lambda_{jk} - ic)]; j = 1, \dots, N. \quad (1.4)$$

This system can also be rewritten in the form $\varphi_j = 0 \pmod{2\pi}$, where

$$\varphi_j = \lambda_j L + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^N \Phi(\lambda_{jk}). \quad (1.5)$$

Here $\Phi(\lambda) = i \ln [(\lambda + ic)/(\lambda - ic)]$ is a scattering phase of bare particles. The eigenvalue of H for wavefunction (1.3) is $\sum_{j=1}^N (\lambda_j^2 - h)$. The norm of the wave function is equal to [4, 10]

$$\langle \Psi_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N) | \Psi_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N) \rangle = \int_0^L d^N z |\chi_N|^2 = \det_N(\varphi'). \quad (1.6)$$

Here the $N \times N$ -matrix φ' is defined as $\varphi'_{jk} = \partial \varphi_j / \partial \lambda_k$.

Consider the current operator $\mathcal{J}(x) = \psi^+(x) \psi(x)$. We want to study the N -particle mean value $\langle \Psi_N | \mathcal{J}(x_1) \mathcal{J}(x_2) | \Psi_N \rangle$, which is a real positive function of $|x_1 - x_2|$. For $|\Psi_N\rangle = |\Omega\rangle$ it is a correlation function. The physical vacuum $|\Omega\rangle$ is the

state with the minimal energy; its construction in the thermodynamical limit ($N \rightarrow \infty$; $L \rightarrow \infty$; $N/L = \text{Const}$) see, for example, in [9]. The operator of number of particles for the interval $[x_1, x_2]$ ($L \geq x_2 > x_1 \geq 0$) is defined as $\mathbf{Q}_{x_2 x_1} = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \hat{j}(z) dz$. It is easy to see that

$$\langle \Psi_N | \hat{j}(x_1) \hat{j}(x_2) | \Psi_N \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} \langle \Psi_N | \mathbf{Q}_{x_2 x_1}^2 | \Psi_N \rangle. \quad (1.7)$$

Due to the translation invariance, one can put $\bar{x}_1 = 0$:

$$\langle \Psi_N | \hat{j}(x) \hat{j}(0) | \Psi_N \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \langle \Psi_N | \mathbf{Q}_1^2 | \Psi_N \rangle, \quad (1.8)$$

where

$$\mathbf{Q}_1 \equiv \mathbf{Q}_{x0} = \int_0^x \hat{j}(z) dz, \quad x > 0. \quad (1.9)$$

So the calculation of $\langle \Psi_N | \hat{j}(x) \hat{j}(0) | \Psi_N \rangle$ is reduced to the calculation of $\langle \Psi_N | \mathbf{Q}_1^2 | \Psi_N \rangle$. In terms of Bethe's wave functions (1.3), $\langle \Psi_N | \mathbf{Q}_1^2 | \Psi_N \rangle$ is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi_N | \mathbf{Q}_1^2 | \Psi_N \rangle &= N(N-1) \int_0^x d^2 y \int_0^L d^{N-2} z |\chi_N(y_1 y_2 z_3 \dots z_N)|^2 \\ &+ \langle \Psi_N | \mathbf{Q}_1 | \Psi_N \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

Here the mean value of operator \mathbf{Q}_1 is equal to

$$\langle \Psi_N | \mathbf{Q}_1 | \Psi_N \rangle = \langle \Psi_N | \Psi_N \rangle \frac{xN}{L} = \frac{xN}{L} \det_N(\varphi). \quad (1.11)$$

The mean value $\langle \Psi_N | \mathbf{Q}_1^2 | \Psi_N \rangle$ for small N is also easy to calculate: $\langle \Psi_0 | \mathbf{Q}_1^2 | \Psi_0 \rangle = 0$; $\langle \Psi_1 | \mathbf{Q}_1^2 | \Psi_1 \rangle = x$;

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi_2 | \mathbf{Q}_1^2 | \Psi_2 \rangle &= 4x^2 + 2xy + \frac{16c}{\lambda_{12}^2 + c^2} x \\ &+ \frac{2}{\lambda_{12}^2} \left(\frac{\lambda_{12} + ic}{\lambda_{12} - ic} \right) (1 - e^{-ix\lambda_{12}}) + \frac{2}{\lambda_{12}^2} \left(\frac{\lambda_{21} + ic}{\lambda_{21} - ic} \right) (1 - e^{-ix\lambda_{21}}). \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

Here we denote $y \equiv L - x$. One can see that $\langle \Psi_2 | \mathbf{Q}_1^2 | \Psi_2 \rangle$ depends on the distance in two essentially different ways: in a polynomial way and in an exponential one. The "exponential" part we call the irreducible part $I_2 (I_0 = I_1 \equiv 0)$:

$$I_2 = \frac{2}{\lambda_{12}^2} \left(\frac{\lambda_{12} + ic}{\lambda_{12} - ic} \right) (1 - e^{-ix\lambda_{12}}) + \frac{2}{\lambda_{12}^2} \left(\frac{\lambda_{21} + ic}{\lambda_{21} - ic} \right) (1 - e^{-ix\lambda_{21}}). \quad (1.13)$$

The notion of irreducible part will be extremely useful. Let us give the corresponding definition for arbitrary N . Below we'll show that $\langle \Psi_N | \mathbf{Q}_1^2 | \Psi_N \rangle$ can

be uniquely represented in the form

$$\langle \Psi_N | \mathbf{Q}_1^2 | \Psi_N \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} J_{m,n}^{(N)} x^n y^m. \quad (1.14)$$

Coefficients $J_{m,n}^{(N)}$ here are rational functions of λ_j and of $\exp\{ix\lambda_j\}$ ($j = 1, \dots, N$). The N -particle irreducible part I_N is defined as follows:

$$I_N \equiv J_{00}^{(N)}. \quad (1.15)$$

The irreducible part depends on x through $\exp\{\pm ix\lambda_j\}$ only, and this dependence can be separated in the following form

$$I_N = \sum_{\{\lambda\} = \{\lambda^+\} \cup \{\lambda^-\} \cup \{\lambda^0\}} e^{-ix \sum_1^n (\lambda_j^+ - \lambda_j^-)} \mathcal{A}_N^n(\{\lambda^+\}, \{\lambda^-\}, \{\lambda^0\}). \quad (1.16)$$

The sum here is taken over all the partitions of the set $\{\lambda_j\}$, $j = 1, \dots, N$ into three disjoint subsets $\{\lambda^+\}$, $\{\lambda^-\}$, $\{\lambda^0\}$, the number of elements in the subsets being $\text{card}\{\lambda^+\} = \text{card}\{\lambda^-\} = n$; $\text{card}\{\lambda^0\} = N - 2n$ ($n \leq [N/2]$). The coefficients \mathcal{A}_N^n are rational functions of momenta λ_j and do not depend on x . They will be called the Fourier coefficients of irreducible part I_N . We shall see that all the coefficients $J_{m,n}^{(N)}$ in (1.14) can be expressed in terms of irreducible parts I_k , $2 \leq k \leq N$. That is the reason why irreducible parts are important.

In this paper we prove also the following properties of irreducible parts. I_N is a symmetric function of all the λ_j ($j = 1, \dots, N$). It is real and bounded when λ_j are real. It is a function of the coupling constant c and is small at $c \rightarrow 0$ or $c \rightarrow \infty$:

$$I_N \sim c^{N-2} \quad \text{at } c \rightarrow 0; \quad I_N \sim c^{2-N} \quad \text{at } c \rightarrow \infty \quad (N \geq 2). \quad (1.17)$$

If $x = 0$, then $I_N = 0$. Fourier coefficients have similar properties:

$$\mathcal{A}_N^k \sim c^{N-2} \quad \text{at } c \rightarrow 0; \quad \mathcal{A}_N^k \sim c^{2-N} \quad \text{at } c \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1.18)$$

and if all the λ_j are real,

$$\mathcal{A}_N^{k*}(\{\lambda^+\}, \{\lambda^-\}, \{\lambda^0\}) = \mathcal{A}_N^k(\{\lambda^-\}, \{\lambda^+\}, \{\lambda^0\}). \quad (1.19)$$

The Fourier coefficients are symmetric functions in all λ_j^+ , λ_j^- and λ_j^0 (separately).

By means of (1.10), (1.14), (1.15) it is easy to calculate I_N for small N (see, for example, I_3 in (8.13), (8.14)), but it is impossible to study the general properties of I_N . To prove all the properties of I_N presented here we introduce the two-site generalized model in Sect. 2. The important formulae concerning ‘‘scalar products’’ in the generalized model are given in Sect. 3. Matrix elements of operators $\exp\{\alpha \mathbf{Q}_1\}$ and \mathbf{Q}_1 are studied in Sects. 4–6. The definition of irreducible parts and method of their calculation in terms of these quantities are given in Sect. 7. Main results concerning the mean value $\langle \Psi_N | \mathbf{Q}_1^2 | \Psi_N \rangle$ are given in Sect. 8.

The properties of irreducible parts established here will be used by one of us (V.E.K.) in the next publication [11] for calculation of the correlation function of currents in the NS-model. The answer appears to be a series, the n^{th} term of the series being generated by irreducible part I_n .

2. QISM and the Two-site Generalized Model

Here we introduce the two-site generalized model, the NS-model (as well as other models with the same R -matrix) being the particular case of it. Properties of correlation functions are easy to investigate in the frame of this model.

The main object in QISM (see, for example, [1]) is the monodromy matrix $T(\lambda)$ of the auxiliary linear problem. In our case it is a 2×2 matrix depending on complex spectral parameter λ :

$$T(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} A(\lambda) & B(\lambda) \\ C(\lambda) & D(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.1)$$

The matrix elements of $T(\lambda)$ do not commute—they are “quantum operators.” Their commutation relations are given by

$$R(\lambda, \mu)T(\lambda) \otimes T(\mu) = T(\mu) \otimes T(\lambda)R(\lambda, \mu), \quad (2.2)$$

where $R(\lambda, \mu)$ is the XXX-model R -matrix:

$$R(\lambda, \mu) = \begin{pmatrix} f(\mu, \lambda) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g(\mu, \lambda) & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & g(\lambda, \mu) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & f(\lambda, \mu) \end{pmatrix}; \quad (2.3)$$

$$f(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{\lambda - \mu + ic}{\lambda - \mu}; \quad g(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{ic}{\lambda - \mu}. \quad (2.4)$$

Let us introduce the two-site generalized model. It is a model with a monodromy matrix $T(\lambda)$ which is a matrix product of two monodromy matrices

$$T(\lambda) = T_2(\lambda)T_1(\lambda); \quad (2.5)$$

$$T_i(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} A_i(\lambda) & B_i(\lambda) \\ C_i(\lambda) & D_i(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (2.6)$$

The matrix $T_1(\lambda)$ can be associated with the first site and $T_2(\lambda)$ with the second site of a lattice with two sites. Matrix elements of $T_i(\lambda)$ are quantum operators which commute at different sites of the lattice. Operators at the same site commute according to the rule (2.2). The monodromy matrix $T_i(\lambda)$ ($i = 1, 2$) has the vacuum $|0\rangle_i$ —the state in quantum space with the following properties:

$$C_i(\lambda)|0\rangle_i = 0; \quad A_i(\lambda)|0\rangle_i = a_i(\lambda)|0\rangle_i; \quad (2.7)$$

$$D_i(\lambda)|0\rangle_i = d_i(\lambda)|0\rangle_i, B_i(\lambda)|0\rangle_i \neq 0.$$

The state $|0\rangle = |0\rangle_2 \otimes |0\rangle_1$ is the vacuum for $T(\lambda)$ (2.5):

$$C(\lambda)|0\rangle = 0; \quad A(\lambda)|0\rangle = a(\lambda)|0\rangle; \quad D(\lambda)|0\rangle = d(\lambda)|0\rangle,$$

where

$$a(\lambda) = a_1(\lambda)a_2(\lambda); \quad d(\lambda) = d_1(\lambda)d_2(\lambda). \quad (2.8)$$

Here $a_i(\lambda)$, $d_i(\lambda)$ are c -number functions which are defined by the choice of concrete models. The crucial point is that there exist monodromy matrices $T_i(\lambda)$ for arbitrary functions $a_i(\lambda)$, $d_i(\lambda)$ [5]. It should be noted that in the XXX-case all such monodromy matrices can be generated by the L -operator of the lattice nonlinear Schrödinger model [12, 13]. It is convenient to use the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned}\ell(\lambda) &= a_1(\lambda)/d_1(\lambda); \quad m(\lambda) = a_2(\lambda)/d_2(\lambda); \\ r(\lambda) &= a(\lambda)/d(\lambda) = \ell(\lambda)m(\lambda).\end{aligned}\tag{2.9}$$

Different functions $\ell(\lambda)$ and $m(\lambda)$ correspond to essentially different models. Function $\ell(\lambda)$ will be the main free functional parameter in the two-site model. It occurs that the dependence of correlation functions on $\ell(\lambda)$ is rather simple and can be explicitly evaluated.

The trace of the monodromy matrix $\tau(\lambda) = A(\lambda) + D(\lambda)$ generates the Hamiltonians of completely integrable systems. Eigenfunctions of $\tau(\lambda)$ are of the form

$$|\psi_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N)\rangle = \prod_{j=1}^N \mathbb{B}(\lambda_j)|0\rangle,\tag{2.10}$$

where

$$\mathbb{B}(\lambda) \equiv B(\lambda)/d(\lambda).\tag{2.11}$$

Here all the λ_j are different [14] and satisfy the system of transcendental equations (s.t.e.)

$$r_j \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^N (f_{jk}/f_{kj}) = 1; \quad j = 1, \dots, N.\tag{2.12}$$

Here $f_{jk} \equiv f(\lambda_j, \lambda_k)$ and $r_j \equiv r(\lambda_j)$. The s.t.e. may be put into the form $\varphi_j = 0 \pmod{2\pi}$, where

$$\varphi_j = i \ln r_j + i \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^N \ln(f_{jk}/f_{kj}).\tag{2.13}$$

The corresponding eigenvalue of $\tau(\lambda)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\lambda)|\psi_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N)\rangle &= t_N(\lambda; \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N)|\psi_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N)\rangle; \\ t_N &= a(\lambda) \prod_{j=1}^N f(\lambda, \lambda_j) + d(\lambda) \prod_{j=1}^N f(\lambda_j, \lambda).\end{aligned}\tag{2.14}$$

The dual vacuum $\langle 0| = {}_2\langle 0| \otimes {}_1\langle 0|$ satisfies relations $\langle 0|B(\lambda) = 0$; $\langle 0|A(\lambda) = a(\lambda)\langle 0|$; $\langle 0|D(\lambda) = d(\lambda)\langle 0|$. We put also ${}_i\langle 0|0\rangle_i = \langle 0|0\rangle = 1$. The dual state

$$\langle \psi_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N)| = \langle 0| \prod_{j=1}^N C(\lambda_j); \quad C(\lambda) \equiv C(\lambda)/d(\lambda)\tag{2.15}$$

is an eigenstate of $\tau(\lambda)$, $\langle \psi_N|\tau(\lambda) = t_N\langle \psi_N|$, with the same eigenvalue (2.14) if the s.t.e.

(2.12) is valid. The “norm” is equal to [4]:

$$\langle \psi_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N) | \psi_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N) \rangle = c^N \left(\prod_{j \neq k} f_{jk} \right) \det_N(\varphi'), \quad (2.16)$$

where the $N \times N$ -matrix φ' is defined as $\varphi'_{jk} = \partial \varphi_j / \partial \lambda_k$. Notice that eigenfunctions corresponding to different sets of λ_j are orthogonal due to different eigenvalues (2.14).

The operators of number of particles will play an important role. Operator \mathbf{Q}_i of number of particles at the i^{th} site of the lattice ($i = 1, 2$) is defined as follows:

$$[\mathbf{Q}_i, T_j(\lambda)] = \frac{1}{2} [\sigma_3, T_i(\lambda)] \delta_{ij}; \quad \mathbf{Q}_i |0\rangle = 0. \quad (2.17)$$

A quantum commutator is at the left-hand side here and a matrix commutator of $T_i(\lambda)$ with the Pauli matrix σ_3 is at the right-hand side. Operator \mathbf{Q} of complete number of particles is $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}_1 + \mathbf{Q}_2$. Eigenvectors of operator \mathbf{Q}_i are

$$\mathbf{Q}_i \prod_{k=1}^n \mathbb{B}_i(\lambda_k) |0\rangle = n \prod_{k=1}^n \mathbb{B}_i(\lambda_k) |0\rangle \quad (i = 1, 2); \quad (2.18)$$

$$\langle 0 | \prod_{k=1}^n \mathbb{C}_i(\lambda_k) \mathbf{Q}_i = n \langle 0 | \prod_{k=1}^n \mathbb{C}_i(\lambda_k) \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Notice that λ_j here are arbitrary and are not supposed to satisfy s.t.e. (2.12). The definition of arbitrary function of operator \mathbf{Q}_i is quite obvious. Operator \mathbf{Q}_1^2 is of special interest for us. It is however more convenient to consider a generating function $\exp\{\alpha \mathbf{Q}_1\}$. As it is shown in Appendix A its matrix elements can be represented in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle 0 | \prod_{j=1}^N \mathbb{C}(\lambda_j^C) \exp\{\alpha \mathbf{Q}_1\} \prod_{k=1}^N \mathbb{B}(\lambda_k^B) |0\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\{\lambda^B\} = \{\lambda_I^B\} \cup \{\lambda_{II}^B\}} \sum_{\{\lambda^C\} = \{\lambda_I^C\} \cup \{\lambda_{II}^C\}} \exp\{\alpha n_1\} \\ & \times \langle 0 | \prod_I \mathbb{C}_1(\lambda_I^C) \prod_I \mathbb{B}_1(\lambda_I^B) |0\rangle \langle 0 | \prod_{II} \mathbb{C}_2(\lambda_{II}^C) \prod_{II} \mathbb{B}_2(\lambda_{II}^B) |0\rangle \\ & \times \left(\prod_I m(\lambda_I^B) \right) \left(\prod_{II} \ell(\lambda_{II}^C) \right) \left(\prod_{I, II} f(\lambda_I^B, \lambda_{II}^B) \right) \left(\prod_{I, II} f(\lambda_{II}^C, \lambda_I^C) \right). \quad (2.19) \end{aligned}$$

Here the sum is taken over all the partitions of the set $\{\lambda_j^B; j = 1, \dots, N\}$ into two disjoint subsets $\{\lambda_I^B\}$ and $\{\lambda_{II}^B\}$, and over similar partitions of the set $\{\lambda^C\}$. These partitions are independent except that $\text{card}\{\lambda_I^B\} = \text{card}\{\lambda_I^C\} = n_1$; $\text{card}\{\lambda_{II}^B\} = \text{card}\{\lambda_{II}^C\} = n_2 = N - n_1$. Product \prod_I denotes the product over all the $\lambda \in \{\lambda_I\}$, and thus contains n_1 factors. Product $\prod_{I, II}$ denotes double product over all $\lambda \in \{\lambda_I\}$ and over all $\lambda \in \{\lambda_{II}\}$ and contains $n_1 n_2$ factors. Notice that values of λ^C, λ^B in (2.19) are quite arbitrary. This equation is a basic one for investigation in the two-site model

the mean value

$$\langle \psi_N | \mathbf{Q}_1^2 | \psi_N \rangle = \langle \psi_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N) | \mathbf{Q}_1^2 | \psi_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N) \rangle, \quad (2.20)$$

in terms of which correlation functions can be expressed (as it will be seen later).

Let us discuss the connection of the two-site model with the NS-model. The NS-model was imbedded in QISM in papers [1, 15, 16]. The monodromy matrix $T_{\text{NS}}(\lambda)$ of this model is constructed in a standard way by means of local L -operators. Vacuum eigenvalues of $A(\lambda)$ and $D(\lambda)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\text{NS}}(\lambda) &= \exp\{-i\lambda L/2\}; & d_{\text{NS}}(\lambda) &= \exp\{i\lambda L/2\}; \\ r_{\text{NS}}(\lambda) &= \exp\{-i\lambda L\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

The Hamiltonian (1.1) can be expressed in terms of $\tau(\lambda)$ by means of trace identities [17]. Equation (2.5) has the following meaning in the NS-model: $T_1(\lambda)$ is the monodromy matrix of the NS-model for the interval $[0, x]$, $T_2(\lambda)$ is the monodromy matrix for the interval $[x, L]$. So we have the correspondence:

$$\ell_{\text{NS}}(\lambda) = \exp\{-i\lambda x\}; \quad m_{\text{NS}}(\lambda) = \exp\{i\lambda(x - L)\}. \quad (2.22)$$

Operator \mathbf{Q}_1 introduced in the two-site model by Eq. (2.17) turns into operator \mathbf{Q}_1 (1.9). So the calculation of the mean value (1.7) in the NS-model which is necessary to calculate the correlation function is reduced to the calculation of the mean value (2.20) in the generalized model. This reduction is very useful due to the arbitrary functional parameter $\ell(\lambda)$ (2.9) existing in the generalized model.

Below we'll study the mean value (2.20) in the two-site model. By means of Eq. (2.19) this object is expressed in terms of "scalar products" $\langle 0 | \prod \mathbb{C}(\lambda) \prod \mathbb{B}(\lambda) | 0 \rangle$. The next section is devoted to the description of properties of these scalar products.

3. Scalar Products

We call "scalar product" a quantity

$$\langle 0 | \prod_{j=1}^N \mathbb{C}(\lambda_j^C) \prod_{k=1}^N \mathbb{B}(\lambda_k^B) | 0 \rangle, \quad (3.1)$$

which is a symmetric function of all λ_j^C and a symmetric function of all λ_k^B . Here all $2N$ momenta λ^C, λ^B are different and arbitrary (the s.t.e. (2.12) is not in general supposed to be fulfilled). Note that the number of operators \mathbb{B} in (3.1) is equal to the number of operators \mathbb{C} ; otherwise the scalar product is equal to zero. Scalar products can be calculated by means of the commutation relations (2.2). For instance, $\langle 0 | \mathbb{C}(\lambda^C) \mathbb{B}(\lambda^B) | 0 \rangle = g(\lambda^C, \lambda^B) [r(\lambda^C) - r(\lambda^B)]$. For N arbitrary the dependence of scalar products on vacuum eigenvalues $r(\lambda)$ can be explicitly extracted [4]:

$$\langle 0 | \prod_{j=1}^N \mathbb{C}(\lambda_j^C) \prod_{k=1}^N \mathbb{B}(\lambda_k^B) | 0 \rangle = \sum_{\text{part}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^N r(\lambda_j^{(pp)}) \right) \mathbb{K}_N(\text{part}) \quad (3.2)$$

The sum here is taken over all the partitions of the set $\{\lambda^C\}_N \cup \{\lambda^B\}_N$ into two disjoint subsets $\{\lambda^{(pp)}\}_N$ and $\{\lambda^{(ab)}\}_N$ (subindex N in $\{\lambda\}_N$ means the number of elements in this set). Coefficients \mathbb{K}_N do not depend on $r(\lambda)$ being rational functions of $2N$ variables λ^B, λ^C decreasing in each λ as $1/\lambda$ at $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ and other λ 's fixed.

Return now to the scalar product (3.2). It depends on values of an arbitrary function $r(\lambda)$ at $2N$ points λ_j^C, λ_k^B . Due to the arbitrariness of function $r(\lambda)$ the values $r(\lambda^{B,C})$ can be considered as $2N$ independent complex variables:

$$r_j^C \equiv r(\lambda_j^C); \quad r_k^B \equiv r(\lambda_k^B) \quad (j, k = 1, \dots, N). \quad (3.3)$$

So scalar product (3.2) is a function of $4N$ independent variables: $\{\lambda^C\}_N, \{\lambda^B\}_N, \{r^C\}_N, \{r^B\}_N$.

Properties of scalar products can be restored from paper [4]. The most important property is that scalar product (3.2) has a simple pole when $\lambda_j^C \rightarrow \lambda_k^B (j, k = 1, \dots, N)$, the residue being also some scalar product. For example, at $\lambda_N^C \rightarrow \lambda_N^B \rightarrow \lambda_N$ one has (the general case is obvious due to the symmetry):

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle 0 | \prod_{j=1}^N \mathbb{C}(\lambda_j^C) \prod_{k=1}^N \mathbb{B}(\lambda_k^B) | 0 \rangle \Big|_{\lambda_N^C \rightarrow \lambda_N^B} \\ & \rightarrow \frac{ic}{\lambda_N^C - \lambda_N^B} (r_N^C - r_N^B) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} f_{Nj}^B f_{Nj}^C \right) \langle 0 | \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \mathbb{C}(\lambda_j^C) \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \mathbb{B}(\lambda_k^B) | 0 \rangle^{\text{mod}}; \quad (3.4) \\ & f_{Nj}^B \equiv f(\lambda_N, \lambda_j^B); \quad f_{Nj}^C \equiv f(\lambda_N, \lambda_j^C). \end{aligned}$$

The scalar product at the right hand side must be calculated with the modified vacuum values $\tilde{a}(\lambda) = a(\lambda) f(\lambda, \lambda_N)$; $\tilde{d}(\lambda) = d(\lambda) f(\lambda_N, \lambda)$. Due to this modification one has:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle 0 | \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \mathbb{C}(\lambda_j^C) \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \mathbb{B}(\lambda_k^B) | 0 \rangle^{\text{mod}} \\ & = \sum_{\text{part}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \tilde{r}(\lambda_j^{(pr)}) \right) \mathbb{K}_{N-1}(\text{part}); \quad \text{card} \{ \lambda^{(pr)} \}_{N-1} = N-1, \quad (3.5) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{r}(\lambda) = r(\lambda) [f(\lambda, \lambda_N) / f(\lambda_N, \lambda)]. \quad (3.6)$$

It is essential that coefficients \mathbb{K}_{N-1} are not modified: they are just the same as in Eq. (3.2) at $N \rightarrow N-1$. Notice that modified scalar product in Eq. (3.4) does not contain $r_N^{B,C}$, and λ_N is included in $\tilde{r}(\lambda)$ (see (3.5), (3.6)).

In physical cases variables r_j are the values of smooth function $r(\lambda)$ at different points (see Eq. (3.3)). In this special case the residue in Eq. (3.4) becomes zero; the corresponding limit is finite. At $\lambda_N^C \rightarrow \lambda_N^B \rightarrow \lambda_N$ the dependence of the scalar product on the vacuum eigenvalue at point λ_N is represented naturally in terms of two variables: $r_N = r(\lambda_N)$ and $z_N = i\partial[\ln r(\lambda)] / \partial \lambda |_{\lambda=\lambda_N}$. The dependence on z_N is linear, the coefficient at z_N being essentially the residue in Eq. (3.4):

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z_N} \langle 0 | \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \mathbb{C}(\lambda_j^C) \right) \mathbb{C}(\lambda_N) \mathbb{B}(\lambda_N) \left(\prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \mathbb{B}(\lambda_k^B) \right) | 0 \rangle \\ & = cr_N \left(\prod_{k=1}^{N-1} f_{Nk}^B f_{Nk}^C \right) \langle 0 | \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \mathbb{C}(\lambda_j^C) \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \mathbb{B}(\lambda_k^B) | 0 \rangle^{\text{mod}}. \quad (3.7) \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider now the scalar product at the limit $\lambda_j^C \rightarrow \lambda_j^B \rightarrow \lambda_j (j = 1, \dots, N)$; all the λ_j are different. In this case the scalar product depends on $3N$ complex variables $\{\lambda\}_N, \{z\}_N, \{r\}_N$. Here

$$z_j = i\partial[\ln r(\lambda)]/\partial\lambda|_{\lambda=\lambda_j}. \quad (3.8)$$

Equation (3.7) is valid also in this case; in the scalar product at the right-hand side not only $r(\lambda)$ is modified according to (3.6) but also z_j according to the rule:

$$\tilde{z}_j = z_j + K_{jN}; \quad K_{jN} = K(\lambda_j, \lambda_N) = \frac{2c}{(\lambda_j - \lambda_N)^2 + c^2}; \quad j = 1, \dots, N-1. \quad (3.9)$$

Discuss now the case where only the part of $\{\lambda^C\}$ coincides with the part of $\{\lambda^B\}$. Then the scalar product (3.1) depends on r_j and z_j of coinciding λ 's and on $r_j^{B,C}$ of remaining λ 's. Equation (3.7) is also valid in this case.

Finally consider the situation when $\lambda_j^C = \lambda_j^B = \lambda_j (j = 1, \dots, N)$, all λ_j are different and satisfy s.t.e. (2.12). In this case r_j are expressed by s.t.e. as explicit rational functions of λ 's, the scalar product depends only on $\{\lambda\}_N$ and $\{z\}_N$ and is called the "norm" of the wave function (the explicit expression is given in (2.16)). Equation (3.7) remains valid. It should be noted that in this case the scalar product at the right-hand side of (3.7) can be also considered as the norm corresponding to the modified s.t.e.

$$\tilde{r}_j \prod_{k \neq j}^N (f_{jk}/f_{kj}) = 1; \quad \tilde{r}_j = r_j(f_{jN}/f_{Nj}); \quad j = 1, \dots, N-1,$$

which is valid due to (2.12).

4. Properties of Operator $\exp\{\alpha \mathbf{Q}_1\}$ in the Generalized Model

In the previous section we considered properties of scalar products which are valid also in the two-site model. This model, however, permits us to consider operators \mathbf{Q}_i (2.17). Properties of their matrix elements are investigated in the same way as properties of scalar products. Consider the matrix element $\langle 0 | \prod \mathbb{C}(\lambda^C) \times \exp\{\alpha \mathbf{Q}_1\} \prod \mathbb{B}(\lambda^B) | 0 \rangle$ with all λ^B and λ^C arbitrary. Equation (2.19) shows that this matrix element depends on $6N$ complex variables: on $2N$ momenta λ_j^C, λ_k^B and on $4N$ variables $\ell_j^C, \ell_k^B, m_j^C, m_k^B$ (2.9), being a rational function of all these variables. So we denote:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle 0 | \prod_{j=1}^N \mathbb{C}(\lambda_j^C) \exp\{\alpha \mathbf{Q}_1\} \prod_{k=1}^N \mathbb{B}(\lambda_k^B) | 0 \rangle \\ & \equiv \mathbb{M}_N^\alpha(\{\lambda^C\}_N, \{\lambda^B\}_N, \{\ell^C\}_N, \{\ell^B\}_N, \{m^C\}_N, \{m^B\}_N). \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Due to commutation relations (2.2): $[\mathbb{C}(\lambda), \mathbb{C}(\mu)] = [\mathbb{B}(\lambda), \mathbb{B}(\mu)] = 0$. Hence \mathbb{M}_N^α is a symmetric function with respect to replacement of triples $(\lambda_j^C, \ell_j^C, m_j^C) \leftrightarrow (\lambda_k^C, \ell_k^C, m_k^C)$ and with respect to $(\lambda_i^B, \ell_i^B, m_i^B) \leftrightarrow (\lambda_n^B, \ell_n^B, m_n^B)$. The main property of \mathbb{M}_N^α is that it has first-order poles at $\lambda_j^C \rightarrow \lambda_k^B$, the residue being expressed in terms of

\mathbb{M}_{N-1}^α . One has for (4.1) if $\lambda_N^C \rightarrow \lambda_N^B \rightarrow \lambda_N$ (other possibilities are easily restored from the symmetry):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{M}_{N-1}^\alpha|_{\lambda_N^C \rightarrow \lambda_N^B} &= \frac{ic}{\lambda_N^C - \lambda_N^B} [\ell_N^C m_N^B - r_N^B] e^\alpha \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} f_{Nj}^C f_{Nj}^B \right) \\ &\times \mathbb{M}_{N-1}^\alpha(\{\lambda_j^C\}_{N-1}, \{\lambda_j^B\}_{N-1}, \{\tilde{\lambda}_j^C\}_{N-1}, \{\tilde{\lambda}_j^B\}_{N-1}, \{m_j^C\}_{N-1}, \{m_j^B\}_{N-1}) \\ &+ \frac{ic}{\lambda_N^C - \lambda_N^B} [r_N^C - \ell_N^C m_N^B] \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} f_{Nj}^C f_{Nj}^B \right) \\ &\times \mathbb{M}_{N-1}^\alpha(\{\ell_j^C\}_{N-1}, \{\lambda_j^B\}_{N-1}, \{\ell_j^C\}_{N-1}, \{\ell_j^B\}_{N-1}, \{\tilde{m}_j^C\}_{N-1}, \{\tilde{m}_j^B\}_{N-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Here $f_{Nj}^{C,B} \equiv f(\lambda_N, \lambda_j^{C,B})$ and

$$\tilde{\lambda}_j^{C,B} = \ell_j^{C,B} (f_{jN}^{C,B} / f_{Nj}^{C,B}); \quad \tilde{m}_j^{C,B} = m_j^{C,B} (f_{jN}^{C,B} / f_{Nj}^{C,B}). \quad (4.3)$$

(Compare with (3.5), (3.6). Equation (4.2) can be obtained by substitution of Eq. (3.4) into Eq. (2.19). Notice that \mathbb{M}_{N-1}^α here are modified according to the rule (4.3) (compare with (3.6).) It is essential that \mathbb{M}_{N-1}^α does not depend on ℓ_N, m_N . The variable λ_N enters only into the modified $\tilde{\lambda}_j$ and \tilde{m}_j in \mathbb{M}_{N-1}^α .

Formula (4.2) is a basic one for the investigation of the matrix element \mathbb{M} . It can be considered in two different ways depending on the smoothness of functions $\ell(\lambda)$, $m(\lambda)$.

(1) In physical cases variables ℓ_j and m_j are the values of smooth functions $\ell(\lambda)$ and $m(\lambda)$:

$$\ell_j^{B,C} = \ell(\lambda_j^{B,C}); \quad m_j^{B,C} = m(\lambda_j^{B,C}) \quad (4.4)$$

(for the NS-model $\ell(\lambda) = \exp\{-ix\lambda\}$; $m(\lambda) = \exp\{i(x-L)\lambda\}$). In this case the residue in (4.2) becomes zero; the corresponding limit is finite. At $\lambda_N^C \rightarrow \lambda_N^B \rightarrow \lambda_N$ the dependence of the scalar product on vacuum eigenvalues at point λ_N is represented in terms of four variables:

$$\ell_N = \ell(\lambda_N); \quad m_N = m(\lambda_N)$$

and

$$x_N = i\partial[\ln \ell(\lambda)]/\partial\lambda|_{\lambda=\lambda_N}; \quad y_N = i\partial[\ln m(\lambda)]/\partial\lambda|_{\lambda=\lambda_N}.$$

The dependence on x_N and y_N is linear, the coefficients at x_N and y_N being essentially the residue in Eq. (4.2):

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \mathbb{M}_{N-1}^\alpha / \partial x_N &= cr(\lambda_N) \exp\{\alpha\} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} f_{Nj}^C f_{Nj}^B \right) \\ &\times \mathbb{M}_{N-1}^\alpha(\{\lambda_j^C\}_{N-1}, \{\lambda_j^B\}_{N-1}, \{\tilde{\lambda}_j^C\}_{N-1}, \{\tilde{\lambda}_j^B\}_{N-1}, \{m_j^C\}_{N-1}, \{m_j^B\}_{N-1}); \quad (4.5) \\ \partial \mathbb{M}_{N-1}^\alpha / \partial y_N &= cr(\lambda_N) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} f_{Nj}^C f_{Nj}^B \right) \\ &\times \mathbb{M}_{N-1}^\alpha(\{\lambda_j^C\}_{N-1}, \{\lambda_j^B\}_{N-1}, \{\ell_j^C\}_{N-1}, \{\ell_j^B\}_{N-1}, \{\tilde{m}_j^C\}_{N-1}, \{\tilde{m}_j^B\}_{N-1}). \quad (4.6) \end{aligned}$$

The modification here is to be done using the same rule (4.3).

Let us consider now the matrix element at the limit $\lambda_j^C \rightarrow \lambda_j^B \rightarrow \lambda_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, N$), all

the λ_j being different. In this case \mathbb{M}_N depends on $5N$ complex variables (compare with (3.8)):

$$\mathbb{M}_N^\alpha \equiv M_N^\alpha(\{\lambda\}_N, \{x\}_N, \{y\}_N, \{\ell\}_N, \{m\}_N), \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$x_k = i\partial[\ln\ell(\lambda)]/\partial\lambda|_{\lambda=\lambda_k}; \quad y_k = i\partial[\ln m(\lambda)]/\partial\lambda|_{\lambda=\lambda_k}. \quad (4.8)$$

Notice that for the NS-model $x_j = x$; $y_j = L - x$. The linearity in each x_j, y_j is preserved and Eqs. (4.5), (4.6) are valid also for M_N (4.7):

$$\begin{aligned} \partial M_N^\alpha / \partial x_N &= cr(\lambda_N) \exp\{\alpha\} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} f_{Nj} f_{Nj} \right) \\ &\times M_{N-1}^\alpha(\{\lambda_j\}_{N-1}, \{\tilde{x}_j\}_{N-1}, \{y_j\}_{N-1}, \{\tilde{\ell}_j\}_{N-1}, \{m_j\}_{N-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial M_N^\alpha / \partial y_N &= cr(\lambda_N) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} f_{Nj} f_{Nj} \right) \\ &\times M_{N-1}^\alpha(\{\lambda_j\}_{N-1}, \{x_j\}_{N-1}, \{\tilde{y}_j\}_{N-1}, \{\ell_j\}_{N-1}, \{\tilde{m}_j\}_{N-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Here $f_{Nj} \equiv f(\lambda_N, \lambda_j)$; the modification of ℓ and m is done according to (4.3) and

$$\tilde{x}_j = x_j + K_{jN}; \quad \tilde{y}_j = y_j + K_{jN} \quad (j = 1, \dots, N-1) \quad (4.11)$$

with K_{jN} defined in (3.9).

Consider now the case where not only $\lambda_j^B = \lambda_j^C = \lambda_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, N$) but also s.t.e. (2.12) for λ_j is valid. The matrix element in this case is the mean value with respect to eigenfunctions ψ_N (2.10), (2.15):

$$\begin{aligned} M_N^\alpha &= \langle \psi_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N) | \exp\{\alpha \mathbf{Q}_1\} | \psi_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N) \rangle \\ &\equiv \mathcal{M}_N^\alpha(\{\lambda\}_N, \{x\}_N, \{y\}_N, \{\ell\}_N). \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Here we have written down explicitly all $4N$ independent variables. The matter is that variables m_j in this case can be expressed in terms of remaining variables due to s.t.e. (2.12):

$$m_j = \ell_j^{-1} \prod_{k \neq j} (f_{kj} / f_{jk}). \quad (4.13)$$

Equations (4.9), (4.10) are rewritten in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \mathcal{M}_N^\alpha / \partial x_N &= c \exp\{\alpha\} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} f_{Nj} f_{jN} \right) \\ &\times \mathcal{M}_{N-1}^\alpha(\{\lambda_j\}_{N-1}, \{\tilde{x}_j\}_{N-1}, \{y_j\}_{N-1}, \{\tilde{\ell}_j\}_{N-1}); \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \mathcal{M}_N^\alpha / \partial y_N &= c \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} f_{Nj} f_{jN} \right) \\ &\times \mathcal{M}_{N-1}^\alpha(\{\lambda_j\}_{N-1}, \{x_j\}_{N-1}, \{\tilde{y}_j\}_{N-1}, \{\ell_j\}_{N-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Modification here is made according to rules (4.3), (4.11). Formulae (4.14), (4.15) are very important because they give an opportunity to restore all the coefficients $J_{m,n}$ in

Eq. (1.14) in terms of irreducible parts (1.15). This restoration is done in [11].

(2) Return now to Eqs. (4.1), (4.2) and consider another situation. Suppose that $\{\lambda^C\}$ as well as $\{\lambda^B\}$ satisfy s.t.e. (2.12) but these sets do not coincide. In this case “bra” and “ket” in (4.1) are different eigenfunctions (2.10), (2.15). The corresponding matrix element we call “form factor”:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{M}_N^\alpha &= \langle \psi_N(\lambda_1^C \dots \lambda_N^C) | \exp\{\alpha \mathbf{Q}_1\} | \psi_N(\lambda_1^B \dots \lambda_N^B) \rangle \\ &\equiv F_N^\alpha(\{\lambda^C\}, \{\lambda^B\}, \{\ell^C\}, \{\ell^B\}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

The variables $m_j^{B,C}$ presented in (4.1) are here expressed by means of the s.t.e. It is easy to obtain from formula (4.2) that:

$$\begin{aligned} F_N^\alpha |_{\lambda_N^C \rightarrow \lambda_N^B \rightarrow \lambda_N} &= \frac{ic}{\lambda_N^C - \lambda_N^B} [\ell_N^C(\ell_N^B)^{-1} - 1] \exp\{\alpha\} \\ &\times \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} f_{Nj}^C f_{jN}^B \right) F_{N-1}^\alpha(\{\lambda^C\}_{N-1}, \{\lambda^B\}_{N-1}, \{\ell^C\}_{N-1}, \{\ell^B\}_{N-1}) \\ &+ \frac{ic}{\lambda_N^C - \lambda_N^B} \left[\left(\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} f_{jN}^C f_{Nj}^B \right) - \ell_N^C(\ell_N^B)^{-1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} f_{Nj}^C f_{jN}^B \right) \right] \\ &\times F_{N-1}^\alpha(\{\lambda^C\}_{N-1}, \{\lambda^B\}_{N-1}, \{\ell^C\}_{N-1}, \{\ell^B\}_{N-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

The modification of ℓ here is defined in (4.3). These properties are used in the next Section to obtain a representation for F_N^α which permits to study irreducible parts.

5. Representation of the Form Factor of Operator $\exp\{\alpha \mathbf{Q}_1\}$

Here we consider form factor F_N^α (4.16). Our aim is to obtain a representation for it which is similar to the one for scalar products given in [4].

Discuss at first the dependence of F_N^α on variables $\ell_j^{C,B}$. It is more convenient here to use notations (4.4) denoting $\ell(\lambda_j^{C,B}) \equiv \ell_j^{C,B}$ (due to the arbitrariness of function $\ell(\lambda)$, its values $\ell(\lambda_j^{C,B})$ can be considered as independent variables). The dependence of F on these variables can be explicitly separated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F_N^\alpha(\{\lambda^C\}_N, \{\lambda^B\}_N, \{\ell^C\}_N, \{\ell^B\}_N) \\ = \sum_{\text{part}} \left(\prod_{pr} \ell(\lambda_{pr}^C) \right) \left(\prod_{pr} \ell^{-1}(\lambda_{pr}^B) \right) \mathbb{R}_N(\text{part}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Here the sum is taken over all the partitions of set $\{\lambda^C\}_N$ into two disjoint subsets $\{\lambda_{pr}^C\}_n$ and $\{\lambda_{ab}^C\}_{N-n}$ and over partitions of set $\{\lambda^B\}_N$ into two disjoint subsets $\{\lambda_{pr}^B\}_n$ and $\{\lambda_{ab}^B\}_{N-n}$. These partitions are independent except that $\text{card}\{\lambda_{pr}^C\}_n = \text{card}\{\lambda_{pr}^B\}_n$

$= n$; $\text{card}\{\lambda_{ab}^C\}_{N-n} = \text{card}\{\lambda_{ab}^B\}_{N-n} = N - n$. Product $\prod_{pr} \ell(\lambda_{pr}^C)$ denotes the product of n factors $\ell(\lambda_j^C)$; $\lambda_j^C \in \{\lambda_{pr}^C\}_n$. Product $\prod_{pr} \ell^{-1}(\lambda_{pr}^B)$ denotes the product on n factors $\ell^{-1}(\lambda_j^B)$; $\lambda_j^B \in \{\lambda_{pr}^B\}_n$. So form factor F_N^α is a linear function of each $\ell(\lambda_j^C)$ and a linear function of each $\ell^{-1}(\lambda_j^B)$. Coefficient $\mathbb{R}_N(\text{part})$ does not depend on ℓ and is a rational

function of all λ 's:

$$\mathbb{R}_N(\text{part}) = \mathbb{R}_N \left(\begin{array}{c} \{\lambda_{pr}^C\}_n; \quad \{\lambda_{ab}^C\}_{N-n} \\ \{\lambda_{pr}^B\}_n; \quad \{\lambda_{ab}^B\}_{N-n} \end{array} \right). \quad (5.2)$$

The proof of (5.1) is straightforward but rather tiresome. It is given in Appendix B.

Our next step is to study the properties of coefficients \mathbb{R}_N . It is proved in Appendix B that they are represented in the following “factorized” form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}_N \left(\begin{array}{c} \{\lambda_{pr}^C\}_n; \quad \{\lambda_{ab}^C\}_{N-n} \\ \{\lambda_{pr}^B\}_n; \quad \{\lambda_{ab}^B\}_{N-n} \end{array} \right) &= \sigma_n^\alpha(\{\lambda_{pr}^C\}_n, \{\lambda_{pr}^B\}_n) \\ &\times \sigma_{N-n}^\alpha(\{\lambda_{ab}^B\}_{N-n}, \{\lambda_{ab}^C\}_{N-n}) \left\{ \prod_{pr} \prod_{ab} f(\lambda_{pr}^C, \lambda_{ab}^C) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{pr} \prod_{ab} f(\lambda_{ab}^B, \lambda_{pr}^B) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

Product $\prod_{pr} \prod_{ab}$ denotes the independent products over all $\lambda \in \{\lambda_{pr}\}$ and all $\lambda \in \{\lambda_{ab}\}$; this product contains $n(N-n)$ factors. Rational functions $\sigma_n^\alpha(n=0,1,2,\dots)$ are uniquely defined by the following five properties:

(1) σ_n^α is a rational function of $2n$ momenta:

$$\sigma_n^\alpha = \sigma_n^\alpha(\{\lambda^C\}_n, \{\lambda^B\}_n). \quad (5.4)$$

(2) It is a symmetrical function of $\lambda_j^C (j=1, \dots, n)$ and a symmetrical function of $\lambda_k^B (k=1, \dots, n)$.

(3) For $n \geq 1$ it decreases as $1/\lambda_j^B$ at $\lambda_j^B \rightarrow \infty$ and all other λ^B and λ^C fixed. It also decreases as $1/\lambda_j^C$ at $\lambda_j^C \rightarrow \infty$ and all other λ^C and λ^B fixed.

(4) The only singularities of functions σ_n^α are first-order poles at $\lambda_j^C \rightarrow \lambda_k^B (j, k=1, \dots, n)$. The residue at the pole is expressed in terms of σ_{n-1}^α ; as $\lambda_n^C \rightarrow \lambda_n^B \rightarrow \lambda_n$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_n^\alpha(\{\lambda^C\}_n, \{\lambda^B\}_n) \Big|_{\lambda_n^C \rightarrow \lambda_n^B} \\ &= \frac{iC}{\lambda_n^C - \lambda_n^B} \left\{ e^\alpha \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} f_{jn}^C f_{nj}^B - \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} f_{nj}^C f_{jn}^B \right\} \sigma_{n-1}^\alpha(\{\lambda^C\}_{n-1}, \{\lambda^B\}_{n-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Here as usual $f_{jn}^{C,B} \equiv f(\lambda_j^{C,B} - \lambda_n)$. There are no $\lambda_n^{C,B}$ at σ_{n-1}^α at the right-hand side here. The residues of σ_n^α at $\lambda_j^C \rightarrow \lambda_k^B$ for other j, k can be easily restored from (5.5) due to symmetry property (2).

Notice that due to properties (1)–(4) function σ_n^α can be represented in the form

$$\sigma_n^\alpha(\{\lambda^C\}_n, \{\lambda^B\}_n) = \frac{\pi_n^\alpha(\{\lambda^C\}_n, \{\lambda^B\}_n)}{\prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{k=1}^n (\lambda_j^B - \lambda_k^C)}. \quad (5.6)$$

Here π_n^α is a polynomial in each of λ ; the degree of the polynomial in given λ being $n-1$ at all the other λ fixed.

(5) By definition $\sigma_0^\alpha \equiv 1$.

Functions σ_n^α thus defined exist and are defined uniquely. It is proved in Appendix B. These functions can be calculated by recursion using these properties;

the first function, for example, is $\sigma_1^\alpha(\lambda^C; \lambda^B) = g(\lambda^C, \lambda^B)[\exp(\alpha) - 1]$. The following property will be of further importance

$$\sigma_n^\alpha(\{\lambda^B\}_n, \{\lambda^C\}_n) = \exp\{n\alpha\} \sigma_n^{-\alpha}(\{\lambda^C\}_n, \{\lambda^B\}_n). \quad (5.7)$$

Formulae (5.1)–(5.3) give the representation for form factor F_N^α which appears to be very useful in investigating properties of irreducible parts.

6. Form Factor of Operator Q_1

Our aim is to investigate the irreducible part of the mean value of operator Q_1^2 . To do this one has to study the form factor F'_N of operator Q_1 :

$$F'_N(\{\lambda^C\}_N, \{\lambda^B\}_N, \{\ell^C\}_N, \{\ell^B\}_N) \equiv \langle \psi_N(\lambda_1^C \dots \lambda_N^C) | Q_1 | \psi_N(\lambda_1^B \dots \lambda_N^B) \rangle. \quad (6.1)$$

Here ψ_N are eigenfunctions (2.10), (2.15). Form factor F'_N is easily expressed in terms of F_N^α (4.16):

$$F'_N = \partial F_N^\alpha / \partial \alpha |_{\alpha=0}. \quad (6.2)$$

The orthogonality of eigenfunctions for different sets of λ leads to the property: $F_N^\alpha |_{\alpha=0} = \delta_{N0}$. Considering representation (5.1)–(5.3) one concludes:

$$\sigma_n^\alpha |_{\alpha=0} = \delta_{n0}. \quad (6.3)$$

Differentiating representation (5.1)–(5.3) with respect to α at $\alpha = 0$, one then obtains:

$$F'_N = \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^N \ell(\lambda_j^C) \prod_{j=1}^N \ell^{-1}(\lambda_j^B) - 1 \right\} \sigma'_N(\{\lambda^C\}_N, \{\lambda^B\}_N), \quad (6.4)$$

where

$$\sigma'_N(\{\lambda^C\}_N, \{\lambda^B\}_N) \equiv \partial \sigma_N^\alpha(\{\lambda^C\}_N, \{\lambda^B\}_N) / \partial \alpha |_{\alpha=0} \quad (6.5)$$

(see (5.3)). Here we use the property $\sigma'_N(\{\lambda^C\}, \{\lambda^B\}) = -\sigma'_N(\{\lambda^B\}, \{\lambda^C\})$ which follows from (5.7).

Properties of functions σ'_n is restored from properties of functions σ_n^α discussed in the previous section:

- (1) σ'_n is a rational function of $2n$ variables $\{\lambda^C\}_n, \{\lambda^B\}_n$.
- (2) It is a symmetrical function of λ_j^C and of λ_k^B (separately).
- (3) It decreases as $1/\lambda$ in given λ when all the other λ 's are fixed.
- (4) The only singularities of functions σ'_n are first-order poles at $\lambda_j^C \rightarrow \lambda_k^B (j, k = 1, \dots, n)$. If $\lambda_n^C \rightarrow \lambda_n^B \rightarrow \lambda_n$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma'_n(\{\lambda^C\}_n, \{\lambda^B\}_n) |_{\lambda_n^C \rightarrow \lambda_n^B} \\ &= \frac{ic}{\lambda_n^C - \lambda_n^B} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} f_{jn}^C f_{nj}^B - \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} f_{nj}^C f_{jn}^B \right\} \sigma'_{n-1}(\{\lambda^C\}_{n-1}, \{\lambda^B\}_{n-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

- (5) For small n one has: $\sigma'_0 = 0$; $\sigma'_1(\lambda^C; \lambda^B) = g(\lambda^C, \lambda^B)$;

$$\sigma'_2(\{\lambda_1^C \lambda_2^C\}, \{\lambda_1^B \lambda_2^B\}) = 2ic^3(\lambda_1^B + \lambda_2^B - \lambda_1^C - \lambda_2^C) \prod_{j,k=1}^2 (\lambda_j^C - \lambda_k^B)^{-1}. \quad (6.7)$$

These properties define σ'_n uniquely and can be used as a practical tool for

calculation of $\sigma'_n; \sigma'_2$ was calculated in this way. The asymptotics in the coupling constant at λ fixed are especially easy to obtain. At $c \rightarrow \infty$ one has

$$\sigma'_N(\{\lambda^C\}_N, \{\lambda^B\}_N)|_{c \rightarrow \infty} = - \frac{2^{N-1}(ic)^{N(N-1)+1} \left(\sum \lambda_j^B - \sum \lambda_j^C \right)^{N-1}}{N \prod_{j,k=1}^N (\lambda_j^B - \lambda_k^C)} \sim c^{N(N-1)+1}; \quad (6.8)$$

at $c \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma'_N(\{\lambda^C\}_N, \{\lambda^B\}_N)|_{c \rightarrow 0} \\ &= \frac{i}{Nc} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j^B - \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j^C \right) \sum_P \prod_{Q_n=1}^N g(\lambda_{P_n}^B, \lambda_{Q_n}^C) g(\lambda_{P_{n+1}}^B, \lambda_{Q_n}^C) \sim c^{2N-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

Here the sum is over two independent permutations P and Q of n numbers.

7. Irreducible Parts in the Generalized Model

Consider the “normalized” mean value of operator $\exp\{\alpha \mathbf{Q}_1\}$ with respect to the eigenfunction (see (4.12)):

$$\langle \exp\{\alpha \mathbf{Q}_1\} \rangle_N \equiv c^{-N} \left(\prod_{j \neq k}^N f_{jk} \right)^{-1} \mathcal{M}_N^\alpha(\{\lambda\}_N, \{x\}_N, \{y\}_N, \{\ell\}_N). \quad (7.1)$$

Let us write down explicitly the independent variables

$$\langle \exp\{\alpha \mathbf{Q}_1\} \rangle_N = \langle \exp\{\alpha \mathbf{Q}_1\} \rangle_N(\{\lambda\}_N, \{x\}_N, \{y\}_N, \{\ell\}_N). \quad (7.2)$$

The value of (7.2) at $x_j = y_j = 0$ we call the irreducible part I_N^α of $\langle \exp\{\alpha \mathbf{Q}_1\} \rangle_N$:

$$I_N^\alpha = I_N^\alpha(\{\lambda\}_N, \{l\}_N) = \langle \exp\{\alpha \mathbf{Q}_1\} \rangle_N|_{x_j=y_j=0 (j=1, \dots, N)}. \quad (7.3)$$

“Normalized” mean values of operators $\mathbf{Q}_1^m (m=0, 1, 2, \dots)$ are defined in terms of generating mean value (7.1) as

$$\langle \mathbf{Q}_1^m \rangle_N = \partial^m \langle \exp\{\alpha \mathbf{Q}_1\} \rangle_N / \partial \alpha^m |_{\alpha=0}. \quad (7.4)$$

The irreducible part of $\langle \mathbf{Q}_1^m \rangle_N$ is generated by I_N^α (7.3):

$$I_N^{(m)} \equiv \partial^m I_N^\alpha / \partial \alpha^m |_{\alpha=0}. \quad (7.5)$$

The mean value $\langle 1 \rangle_N$ of the unit operator is already calculated (2.16): $\langle 1 \rangle_N = \det_N(\varphi)$. The corresponding irreducible part $I_N^{(0)}$ is equal to

$$I_N^{(0)} = \delta_{N0}. \quad (7.6)$$

Irreducible parts $I_N^{(m)} (m \geq 1)$ are rather difficult to calculate starting directly from their definition. So we give a method of calculation in terms of form factor (4.16), (6.1):

$$I_N^\alpha(\{\lambda_j\}_N, \{\ell_j\}_N) = c^{-N} \left(\prod_{j \neq k} f_{jk} \right)^{-1} \\ \times \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F_N^\alpha(\{\lambda_j^C = \lambda_j\}_N, \{\lambda_j^B = \lambda_j + \varepsilon\}_N, \{\ell_j^C = \ell_j\}_N, \{\ell_j^B = \ell_j\}_N). \quad (7.7)$$

This formula explains why we studied in detail the form factor in previous sections and is proved in Appendix C. Equation (7.7) is applied below to investigate and calculate irreducible parts. For example it is quite obvious from (6.4) and (7.7) that

$$I_N^{(1)} = 0 \quad (N = 0, 1, 2, \dots). \quad (7.8)$$

Of most interest for us is the irreducible part $I_N^{(2)}$ which is much more complicated. Further we denote it simply $I_N^{(2)} \equiv I_N$, suppressing the superscript. It is obvious that for the NS-model definitions (7.3), (7.5) for $m = 2$ lead to (1.15). The irreducible part I_N of $\langle \mathbf{Q}_1^2 \rangle_N$ does not vanish for $N \geq 2$. To investigate it let us study the form factor of operator \mathbf{Q}_1^2 :

$$F_N''(\{\lambda^C\}, \{\lambda^B\}, \{\ell^C\}, \{\ell^B\}) \equiv \langle \psi_N(\lambda_1^C \dots \lambda_N^C) | \mathbf{Q}_1^2 | \psi_N(\lambda_1^B \dots \lambda_N^B) \rangle \\ = \partial^2 F_N^\alpha / \partial \alpha^2 |_{\alpha=0}. \quad (7.9)$$

Using representation (5.1)–(5.3) for F_N^α and Eq. (6.3), one obtains:

$$F_N'' = \sigma_N''(\{\lambda^B\}_N, \{\lambda^C\}_N) + \left(\prod_{j=1}^N \ell(\lambda_j^C) \ell^{-1}(\lambda_j^B) \right) \sigma_N''(\{\lambda^C\}_N, \{\lambda^B\}_N) \\ + 2 \sum_{\text{part}}^{N-1 \geq n \geq 1} \sigma_n'(\{\lambda_{pr}^C\}_n, \{\lambda_{pr}^B\}_n) \sigma_{N-n}'(\{\lambda_{ab}^B\}_{N-n}, \{\lambda_{ab}^C\}_{N-n}) \\ \times \left\{ \prod_{pr} \ell(\lambda_{pr}^C) \ell^{-1}(\lambda_{pr}^B) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{pr} \prod_{ab} f(\lambda_{pr}^C, \lambda_{ab}^C) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{pr} \prod_{ab} f(\lambda_{ab}^B, \lambda_{pr}^B) \right\}. \quad (7.10)$$

The sum here is taken as is explained after (5.1) but we have written down explicitly the two terms corresponding to partition $\{\lambda_{pr}^C\} = \emptyset$; $\{\lambda_{pr}^B\} = \emptyset$ and to partition $\{\lambda_{pr}^C\} = \{\lambda^C\}_N$; $\{\lambda_{pr}^B\} = \{\lambda^B\}_N$. We denote $\text{card}\{\lambda_{pr}^C\}_n = \text{card}\{\lambda_{pr}^B\}_n = n$ and $\sigma_n'' \equiv \partial^2 \sigma_N^\alpha / \partial \alpha^2 |_{\alpha=0}$.

Now we can investigate the irreducible part I_N using Eq. (7.7). One can see that I_N can be represented in the form:

$$I_N(\{\lambda\}_N, \{\ell\}_N) = \sum_{\{\lambda\} = \{\lambda_+\} \cup \{\lambda_-\} \cup \{\lambda_0\}}^{0 \leq n \leq [N/2]} \prod_{(+)}^n \ell(\lambda_+) \prod_{(-)}^n \ell^{-1}(\lambda_-) \\ \times \mathcal{A}_N^n(\{\lambda_+\}_n, \{\lambda_-\}_n, \{\lambda_0\}_{N-2n}). \quad (7.11)$$

The sum here is taken over all the partitions of the set $\{\lambda\}_N$ into three disjoint subsets: $\text{card}\{\lambda_+\}_n = \text{card}\{\lambda_-\}_n = n$; $\text{card}\{\lambda_0\}_{N-2n} = N - 2n$; $0 \leq n \leq [N/2]$. Coefficients \mathcal{A}_N^n are the Fourier coefficients of the irreducible part I_N (compare (1.16)). They do not depend on ℓ_j but only on λ_j being a rational functions of λ . Fourier coefficients depend on the R -matrix only and do not depend on the concrete model.

All the dependence on concrete models enters through vacuum values $\ell(\lambda)$ and is written in (7.11) explicitly.

Turning now to Eq. (7.7) one sees that the first two terms in (7.10) contribute only to the term with $n = 0$ in the sum in (7.11). This term with $n = 0$ can be expressed as a linear function of other terms with $n \geq 1$. Indeed, it is shown in Appendix D that $I_N(\{\lambda_j\}_N, \{\ell_j = 1\}_N) = 0$. Hence one can rewrite (7.11) as follows:

$$I_N(\{\lambda_j\}_N, \{\ell_j\}_N) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq [N/2] \\ \{\lambda\} = \{\lambda_+\} \cup \{\lambda_-\} \cup \{\lambda_0\}}} \left\{ \prod_{(+)} \ell(\lambda_+) \prod_{(-)} \ell^{-1}(\lambda_-) - 1 \right\} \times \mathcal{A}_N^n(\{\lambda_+\}_n, \{\lambda_-\}_n, \{\lambda_0\}_{N-2n}), \quad (7.12)$$

where the sum does not contain coefficient \mathcal{A}_N^0 . Coefficients $\mathcal{A}_N^n (n \geq 1)$ are expressed through functions σ'_k only. So we do not need functions σ''_k to calculate I_N . The functions σ'_n defined in the previous section can be calculated rather simply by recurrence. Formulae (7.7) and (7.10) then permit us to calculate the irreducible part I_N . Irreducible parts $I_0 = I_1 = 0$. Irreducible parts I_2 and I_3 are given in the next section. The computation of $I_N (N \geq 4)$ also is quite straightforward. We could not obtain the simple formula for general I_N . However one can easily establish the behavior of I_N in coupling constant c for $c \rightarrow \infty$ and $c \rightarrow 0$, using formulae (6.8), (6.9), (7.7), (7.10). One obtains

$$I_N \sim c^{2-N} \quad \text{at} \quad c \rightarrow \infty \quad (N \geq 2), \quad (7.13)$$

$$I_N \sim c^{N-2} \quad \text{at} \quad c \rightarrow 0 \quad (N \geq 2). \quad (7.14)$$

This remarkable behavior means that I_N is small in coupling constant in the weak as well as the strong coupling limit. This is one of the main results of the paper which permits us to construct an effective perturbation theory for correlation functions [11].

Our results are summarized in the next section.

8. Main Properties of the Mean Value of Operator \mathbf{Q}_1^2

We begin with the mean value of the identity operator with respect to eigenfunctions (2.10) (see (2.16)):

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 1 \rangle_N &\equiv c^{-N} \left(\prod_{j \neq k} f_{jk} \right)^{-1} \langle \psi_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N) | \psi_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N) \rangle \\ &= c^{-N} \left(\prod_{j=1}^N a(\lambda_j) d(\lambda_j) \prod_{k \neq \ell} f_{k\ell} \right)^{-1} \langle 0 | \prod_{j=1}^N C(\lambda_j) \prod_{k=1}^N B(\lambda_k) | 0 \rangle \\ &= \det_N(\varphi'). \end{aligned} \quad (8.1)$$

Here $\lambda_j (j = 1, \dots, N)$ satisfy s.t.e. (2.12). The irreducible part of the identity operator $I_N^0 = \delta_{N0}$ (see (7.5), (7.6)).

We now remind the reader of the main properties of the mean value $\langle \mathbf{Q}_1 \rangle_N$ with

respect to eigenfunctions:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{Q}_1 \rangle_N &\equiv c^{-N} \left(\prod_{j \neq k} f_{jk} \right)^{-1} \langle \psi_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N) | \mathbf{Q}_1 | \psi_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N) \rangle \\ &= c^{-N} \left(\prod_{j=1}^N a(\lambda_j) d(\lambda_j) \prod_{k \neq \ell} f_{k\ell} \right)^{-1} \langle 0 | \prod_{j=1}^N C(\lambda_j) \mathbf{Q}_1 \prod_{k=1}^N B(\lambda_k) | 0 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (8.2)$$

It depends on $4N$ variables: $\langle \mathbf{Q}_1 \rangle_N = \langle \mathbf{Q}_1 \rangle_N(\{\lambda\}_N, \{x\}_N, \{\ell\}_N)$ and possesses the following properties as a function of these variables:

(1) It is invariant under replacement

$$(\lambda_k, x_k, y_k, \ell_k) \leftrightarrow (\lambda_j, x_j, y_j, \ell_j); \quad k, j = 1, \dots, N.$$

(2) It is a linear function of x_N and of y_N .

(3) The coefficient at y_N is equal to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_N} \langle \mathbf{Q}_1 \rangle_N = \langle \mathbf{Q}_1 \rangle_{N-1}(\{\lambda_j\}_{N-1}, \{x_j\}_{N-1}, \{y_j + K_{jN}\}_{N-1}, \{\ell_j\}_{N-1}), \quad (8.3)$$

and the coefficient at x_N is equal to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_N} \langle \mathbf{Q}_1 \rangle_N = \langle \mathbf{Q}_1 + \mathbf{1} \rangle_{N-1}(\{\lambda_j\}_{N-1}, \{x_j + K_{jN}\}_{N-1}, \{y_j\}_{N-1}, \{\tilde{\ell}_j\}_{N-1}),$$

where K_{jN} and $\tilde{\ell}_j$ are defined in (3.9) and (4.3) as (8.4)

$$K_{jN} = 2c/[(\lambda_j - \lambda_N)^2 + c^2]; \quad \tilde{\ell}_j = \ell_j(f_{jN}/f_{Nj}).$$

Here we use the notation $\langle \mathbf{Q}_1 + \mathbf{1} \rangle_N \equiv \langle \mathbf{Q}_1 \rangle_N + \langle \mathbf{1} \rangle_N$. It should be noted that variables x_N, y_N, ℓ_N are absent at the right-hand side of (8.3), (8.4) and λ_N enters only in K_{jN} and in factors f_{jN} and f_{Nj} modifying ℓ to $\tilde{\ell}$. These three properties can be easily obtained from (4.12)–(4.15).

(4) The mean value $\langle \mathbf{Q}_1 \rangle_N$ is equal to zero at $x_j = y_j = 0 (j = 1, \dots, N)$ and λ_k, ℓ_k fixed: $\langle \mathbf{Q}_1 \rangle_N(\{\lambda\}_N, \{0\}_N, \{0\}_N, \{\ell\}_N) = 0; N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. This means that the irreducible part of $\langle \mathbf{Q}_1 \rangle_N$ is equal to zero (see (7.8)):

$$I_N^{(1)} = 0 \quad (N = 0, 1, 2, \dots). \quad (8.5)$$

(5) It can be easily seen that in the one-particle sector $\langle \mathbf{Q}_1 \rangle_1 = x_1$.

Now let us turn to the mean value of operator \mathbf{Q}_1^2 :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{Q}_1^2 \rangle_N &\equiv c^{-N} \left(\prod_{j \neq k} f_{jk} \right)^{-1} \langle \psi_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N) | \mathbf{Q}_1^2 | \psi_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N) \rangle \\ &= c^{-N} \left(\prod_{j=1}^N a(\lambda_j) d(\lambda_j) \prod_{k \neq \ell} f_{k\ell} \right)^{-1} \langle 0 | \prod_{j=1}^N C(\lambda_j) \mathbf{Q}_1^2 \prod_{k=1}^N B(\lambda_k) | 0 \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (8.6)$$

which depends on the same $4N$ variables

$$\langle \mathbf{Q}_1^2 \rangle_N = \langle \mathbf{Q}_1^2 \rangle_N(\{\lambda\}_N, \{x\}_N, \{y\}_N, \{\ell\}_N). \quad (8.7)$$

Its properties are as follows.

(1) It is invariant under replacement of

$$(\lambda_k, x_k, y_k, \ell_k) \leftrightarrow (\lambda_j, x_j, y_j, \ell_j); \quad k, j = 1, \dots, N.$$

(2) It is a linear function of x_N and y_N .

(3) The coefficients at y_N and x_N are

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_N} \langle \mathbf{Q}_1^2 \rangle_N = \langle \mathbf{Q}_1^2 \rangle_{N-1}(\{\lambda_j\}_{N-1}, \{x_j\}_{N-1}, \{y_j + \mathbf{K}_{jN}\}_{N-1}, \{\ell_j\}_{N-1}); \quad (8.8)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_N} \langle \mathbf{Q}_1^2 \rangle_N = \langle (\mathbf{Q}_1 + \mathbf{1})^2 \rangle_N(\{\lambda_j\}_{N-1}, \{x_j + \mathbf{K}_{jN}\}_{N-1}, \{y_j\}_{N-1}, \{\tilde{\ell}_j\}_{N-1}), \quad (8.9)$$

with the same \mathbf{K}_{jN} and $\tilde{\ell}_j$ as in (8.3), (8.4). Here we put $\langle (\mathbf{Q}_1 + \mathbf{1})^2 \rangle_N = \langle \mathbf{Q}_1^2 \rangle_N + 2\langle \mathbf{Q}_1 \rangle_N + \langle \mathbf{1} \rangle_N$. On the right-hand side of (8.8), (8.9) x_N, y_N, ℓ_N are absent and λ_N enters only in \mathbf{K}_{jN} and f_{jN}, f_{Nj} modifying $\ell_j (j = 1, \dots, N-1)$ to $\tilde{\ell}_j$. These properties (1)–(3) can be easily obtained from (4.12)–(4.15).

(4) The mean value at $x_j = y_j = 0$ is equal to the irreducible part $I_N \equiv I_N^{(2)}$ (see (7.5)):

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{Q}_1^2 \rangle_N(\{\lambda_j\}_N, \{x_j = 0\}_N, \{y_j = 0\}_N, \{\ell_j\}_N) \\ = I_N(\{\lambda_j\}_N, \{\ell_j\}_N), \end{aligned} \quad (8.10)$$

which was studied in Sect. 7.

(5) In the one-particle sector $\langle \mathbf{Q}_1^2 \rangle_1 = x_1$.

Remember now properties of the irreducible part I_N . It was shown in Sect. 7 that it can be represented in the form (7.11), (7.12). The function I_N is symmetric under replacement of pairs $(\lambda_k, \ell_k) \rightarrow (\lambda_j, \ell_j)$. If $\ell^*(\lambda^*) = \ell^{-1}(\lambda)$ (as for the NS-model), then I_N is real at λ_j real ($j = 1, \dots, N$). In Sect. 7 important properties (7.13), (7.14) concerning the asymptotics of the irreducible parts in the coupling constant were proved. The methods of calculation of I_N were discussed in Sect. 1 and in Sect. 7, which is especially simple. By means of these methods I_N can be easily calculated for small N ; for example, $I_0 = I_1 = 0$;

$$I_2(\{\lambda_1 \lambda_2\}, \{\ell_1 \ell_2\}) = \mathcal{A}_2^1(\lambda_1 \lambda_2)(\ell_1 \ell_2^{-1} - 1) + \mathcal{A}_2^1(\lambda_2 \lambda_1)(\ell_2 \ell_1^{-1} - 1); \quad (8.11)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_2^1(\lambda_1 \lambda_2) = -\frac{2}{\lambda_{12}^2} \left(\frac{\lambda_{12} + ic}{\lambda_{12} - ic} \right). \quad (8.12)$$

The irreducible part I_3 is equal to

$$I_3(\{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3\}, \{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3\}) = \sum_P \mathcal{A}_3^1(\lambda_{P_1} \lambda_{P_2} \lambda_{P_3}) [\ell(\lambda_{P_1}) \ell^{-1}(\lambda_{P_2}) - 1]. \quad (8.13)$$

The sum here is taken over all the permutations of $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$. The Fourier coefficient \mathcal{A}_3^1 is equal to

$$\mathcal{A}_3^1(\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3) = \frac{8c}{\lambda_{12}^2} \left(\frac{\lambda_{12} + ic}{\lambda_{12} - ic} \right) \left[\frac{\lambda_{32}}{\lambda_{31}} + \frac{\lambda_{31}}{\lambda_{32}} \right] \frac{1}{(\lambda_{31} + ic)(\lambda_{23} + ic)}. \quad (8.14)$$

It should be noted that the properties presented in this section permit us to restore the mean value $\langle \mathbf{Q}_1^2 \rangle_N$ in terms of the irreducible parts $I_k; k \leq N$ [11]. Let us remind the reader that for the NS-model $r(\lambda) = \exp\{-i\lambda L\}$; $\ell(\lambda) = \exp\{-i\lambda x\}$; $m(\lambda) = \exp\{-i\lambda y\}$; $z(\lambda) = L$; $x(\lambda) = x$; $y(\lambda) = y = L - x$.

9. Conclusion

So we have demonstrated that the two-site generalized model permits a formulation of the problem of calculation of correlation functions of currents in the frame of QISM. It should be emphasized that our approach can be applied to the calculation of any correlation function. To do this one has to use the generalized model with more than two sites. For example, one can calculate the field correlator $\langle \psi(x)\psi^+(y) \rangle$ in the NS-model by means of a 4-site generalized model, representing the monodromy matrix in the form $T(\lambda) = T_2(\lambda)L_x(\lambda)T_1(\lambda)L_y(\lambda)$ (compare with (2.5)). Here $L(\lambda)$ is the local L -operator for the NS-model [1]. The correlation function of currents is special in two aspects. It is connected with the simplest two-site model, and its irreducible parts are small in the strong coupling limit (7.13) (for the field correlator this is not the case).

In our paper we considered the XXX case only. It should be mentioned that the generalization to the XXZ-case is quite obvious. So this approach gives the opportunity to calculate the correlation function for the XXZ Heisenberg model and for the sine-Gordon model.

Appendix A

Let us consider the state

$$\prod_{j=1}^N \mathbb{B}(\lambda_j)|0\rangle. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Here all λ_j are independent and s.t.e. (2.12) is not supposed to be satisfied. By means of the formula

$$\mathbb{B}(\lambda) = [A_2(\lambda)/d_2(\lambda)]\mathbb{B}_1(\lambda) + [D_1(\lambda)/d_1(\lambda)]\mathbb{B}_2(\lambda), \quad (\text{A.2})$$

we present this state in terms of states $\prod \mathbb{B}_1(\lambda)|0\rangle$ and $\prod \mathbb{B}_2(\lambda)|0\rangle$. The generalization of standard arguments of QISM [1, 18] shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=1}^N \mathbb{B}(\lambda_j)|0\rangle &= \sum_{\{\lambda\} = \{\lambda_I\} \cup \{\lambda_{II}\}}^{n_1 + n_2 = N} \left(\prod_I \prod_{II} m(\lambda_I) f(\lambda_I, \lambda_{II}) \right) \\ &\times \left(\prod_{II} \mathbb{B}_2(\lambda_{II}) \right) \left(\prod_I \mathbb{B}_1(\lambda_I) \right) |0\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Here the sum is taken over all the partitions of the set $\{\lambda\}_N$ into two disjoint subsets $\{\lambda_I\}_{n_1}$ and $\{\lambda_{II}\}_{n_2}$; $\text{card}\{\lambda_I\}_{n_1} = n_1$; $\text{card}\{\lambda_{II}\}_{n_2} = n_2$ and $n_1 + n_2 = \text{card}\{\lambda\}_N = N$.

Product $\prod_I \prod_{II}$ is an independent product over all $\lambda \in \{\lambda_I\}$ and $\lambda \in \{\lambda_{II}\}$, and thus contains $n_1 n_2$ factors.

Formula (A.3) permits us to calculate the action of operator $\exp\{\alpha \mathbf{Q}_1\}$ on state (A.1):

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\{\alpha \mathbf{Q}_1\} \prod_{j=1}^N \mathbb{B}(\lambda_j) |0\rangle &= \sum_{\{\lambda\} = \{\lambda_I\} \cup \{\lambda_{II}\}}^{n_1 + n_2 = N} \exp\{\alpha n_1\} \\ &\times \left(\prod_I \prod_{II} m(\lambda_I) f(\lambda_I, \lambda_{II}) \right) \left(\prod_{II} \mathbb{B}_2(\lambda_{II}) \right) \left(\prod_I \mathbb{B}_1(\lambda_I) \right) |0\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Similar representation can be obtained for the state

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \prod_{j=1}^N \mathbb{C}(\lambda_j) : \\ \langle 0 | \prod_{j=1}^N \mathbb{C}(\lambda_j) &= \sum_{\{\lambda\} = \{\lambda_I\} \cup \{\lambda_{II}\}}^{n_1 + n_2 = N} \langle 0 | \left(\prod_I \mathbb{C}_1(\lambda_I) \right) \left(\prod_{II} \mathbb{C}_2(\lambda_{II}) \right) \\ &\times \left(\prod_I \prod_{II} f(\lambda_{II}, \lambda_I) \ell(\lambda_{II}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Combining these two formulae one gets representation (2.19).

It is remarkable that representation (A.3) permits us to find eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the translation operator \mathbf{O} which is defined for the two-site model as follows (see (2.5), (2.1)):

$$\mathbf{O} T_2(\lambda) T_1(\lambda) \mathbf{O}^{-1} = T_1(\lambda) T_2(\lambda) \equiv \tilde{T}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A}(\lambda); & \tilde{B}(\lambda) \\ \tilde{C}(\lambda); & \tilde{D}(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Notice that \mathbf{O} is a scalar quantum operator and $\mathbf{O}|0\rangle = |0\rangle$. Vacuum eigenvalues of matrix $\tilde{T}(\lambda)$ are the same $a(\lambda)$ and $d(\lambda)$ as the ones of matrix $T(\lambda)$ (2.8). Let us consider the state

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{O} \prod_{j=1}^N \mathbb{B}(\lambda_j) |0\rangle &= \prod_{j=1}^N \tilde{\mathbb{B}}(\lambda_j) |0\rangle \equiv |\tilde{\psi}_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N)\rangle \\ &= \mathbf{O} |\psi_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N)\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

If momenta λ_j satisfy s.t.e. (2.12) it is the eigenstate $|\tilde{\psi}_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N)\rangle$ of the trace $\tilde{\tau}(\lambda) = \tilde{A}(\lambda) + \tilde{D}(\lambda)$ of matrix $\tilde{T}(\lambda)$ with the same eigenvalue (2.14) as the state $|\psi_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N)\rangle$ (2.10) is an eigenstate of $\tau(\lambda) = A(\lambda) + D(\lambda)$. The state $|\tilde{\psi}_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N)\rangle$ also can be represented in the form (A.3). By means of s.t.e. (2.12) one can see that the states (2.10) and (A.7) are proportional

$$|\tilde{\psi}_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N)\rangle = k_N |\psi_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N)\rangle; \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$k_N = \prod_{j=1}^N \ell(\lambda_j). \quad (\text{A.9})$$

One can easily show also that if $\prod_{j=1}^N \tilde{\mathbb{B}}(\lambda_j) |0\rangle = \text{Const} \prod_{j=1}^N \mathbb{B}(\lambda_j) |0\rangle$, then the s.t.e. (2.12) is valid and Const is given by (A.9). It is also true that

$$\langle \tilde{\psi}_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N) | = k_N^{-1} \langle \psi_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N) |, \quad (\text{A.10})$$

with just the same k_N . The generalization for an N -site model is also quite obvious.

Appendix B

Consider first the proof of structure in ℓ (5.1). The main points of the proof are as follows:

(i) It is obvious from (3.2), (2.19) that the dependence of F on each individual $\ell(\lambda_j)$ is of the form $F_N^\alpha = \mathcal{A}_j \ell(\lambda_j) + \mathcal{B}_j + \mathcal{C}_j \ell^{-1}(\lambda_j)$, where $\mathcal{A}_j, \mathcal{B}_j, \mathcal{C}_j$ do not depend on $\ell(\lambda_j)$. Hence one can write

$$F_N^\alpha = \sum_{\text{part}(+)} \prod \ell(\lambda_+) \prod_{(-)} \ell^{-1}(\lambda_-) \mathbb{R}_N(\text{part}), \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where the sum is over all the partitions of set $\{\lambda^B\}_N \cup \{\lambda^C\}_N$ into disjoint sets $\{\lambda_+\}_{n_+}$; $\{\lambda_-\}_{n_-}$ and $\{\lambda_0\}_{n_0}$; $n_+ + n_- + n_0 = 2N$.

(ii) Using the fact that R -matrix (2.3) commutes with matrix $\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon$, where

$$\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

one can show that $F_N^\alpha(\{\lambda_j^C\}, \{\lambda_j^B\}, \{\varepsilon^{-2} \ell_j^C\}, \{\varepsilon^{-2} \ell_j^B\}) = F_N^\alpha(\{\lambda_j^C\}, \{\lambda_j^B\}, \{\ell_j^C\}, \{\ell_j^B\})$. Noting that $\ell_j^{B,C}$ are independent variables, one then obtains that in (B.1) $\text{card}\{\lambda_+\} = \text{card}\{\lambda_-\}$, i.e. $n_+ = n_-$.

(iii) Considering monodromy matrix $\tilde{T}(\lambda)$ (A.6) and using formulae (A.8), (A.10) for eigenfunctions one comes to the relation

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \psi_N(\lambda_1^C \dots \lambda_N^C) | \exp\{\alpha \mathbf{Q}_1\} | \psi_N(\lambda_1^B \dots \lambda_N^B) \rangle \\ &= \langle \tilde{\psi}_N(\lambda_1^C \dots \lambda_N^C) | \exp\{\alpha \mathbf{Q}_1\} | \tilde{\psi}_N(\lambda_1^B \dots \lambda_N^B) \rangle \prod_{j=1}^N \ell(\lambda_j^C) \ell^{-1}(\lambda_j^B). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Based on this relation it is easy to prove that in (B.1) set $\{\lambda_+\}$ contains only λ^C 's and set $\{\lambda_-\}$ contains only λ^B 's. Thus representation (5.1) for F_N^α is proved.

Turn now to the proof of (5.3) which is straightforward but rather lengthy. So we mention only the main points. The idea is to prove (5.3) using induction in N .

(i) For $N = 1$ the validity of (5.3) is established by direct calculation.

(ii) It is easy to prove that rational function \mathbb{R}_N (5.2) is a symmetrical function of all λ entering set $\{\lambda_{pr}^C\}$ as well as $\{\lambda_{pr}^B\}, \{\lambda_{ab}^C\}, \{\lambda_{ab}^B\}$ (separately). So the symmetry properties of the left-hand side of (5.3) under replacement of λ 's are the same as of the right hand side.

(iii) Equation (2.19) and properties of scalar products discussed in detail in [4] permit us to establish the structure of singularities of rational function \mathbb{R}_N (5.2). One can easily see that the only possible singularities of this function are first order poles of the following two kinds. (a) Poles at $\lambda_j - \lambda_k = 0$ where λ_j, λ_k is any pairs of λ belonging to the set $\{\lambda^B\}_N \cup \{\lambda^C\}_N$. (b) Poles at $f(\lambda_j^B, \lambda_k^B) = 0$ or $f(\lambda_j^C, \lambda_k^C) = 0$ which could occur due to (4.13). However, not all these poles really do occur. Residues at first order poles corresponding to:

$$\lambda_{ab,j}^C = \lambda_{ab,k}^C; \quad \lambda_{pr,j}^C = \lambda_{pr,k}^C; \quad \lambda_{ab,j}^B = \lambda_{ab,k}^B; \quad \lambda_{pr,j}^B = \lambda_{pr,k}^B$$

are equal to zero due to the symmetry property (ii). Residues at all the poles of the kind (b) also appear to be zero which can be shown using Eqs. (A.7), (A.8) of paper [4].

So one comes to the statement that the only singularities of the left-hand side of (5.3) are the first-order poles at $\lambda_{pr,j}^C = \lambda_{ab,k}^C; \lambda_{pr,j}^B = \lambda_{ab,k}^B; \lambda_{pr,j}^C = \lambda_{pr,k}^B; \lambda_{ab,j}^C = \lambda_{ab,k}^B$. Thus the singularities of the left-hand side of (5.3) are the same as of the right-hand side.

(iv) The most subtle point is to prove that \mathbb{R}_N (5.2) has zeros at $f(\lambda_{pr}^C, \lambda_{ab}^C) = 0$ and $f(\lambda_{ab}^B, \lambda_{pr}^B) = 0$. It can be done using formula (B.2). The form factor at the right-hand side is easily seen to be equal to $\langle \tilde{\psi}_N^C | \exp\{\alpha \mathbf{Q}_1\} | \tilde{\psi}_N^B \rangle = \exp\{\alpha N\} \times \langle \tilde{\psi}_N^C | \exp\{-\alpha \mathbf{Q}_2\} | \tilde{\psi}_N^B \rangle = \exp\{\alpha N\} F_N^{-\alpha}(\{\lambda^C\}, \{\lambda^B\}, \{m^C\}, \{m^B\})$. Here \mathbf{Q}_2 is a number of particle operator at the second site of the lattice (2.17) and $m(\lambda)$ is defined in (2.9). The representation of the kind (5.1) for $F_N^{-\alpha}$ is valid. It should be noted that m 's and not ℓ 's enter this representation. Using s.t.e. (4.13) one can, however, return to ℓ 's. Comparing now both sides of (B.2) one can see that \mathbb{R} indeed has the required zeros and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}_N \left(\begin{array}{l} \{\lambda_{pr}^C\}_n; \quad \{\lambda_{ab}^C\}_{N-n} \\ \{\lambda_{pr}^B\}_n; \quad \{\lambda_{ab}^B\}_{N-n} \end{array} \right) \\ = \left(\prod_{pr} \prod_{ab} f(\lambda_{pr}^C, \lambda_{ab}^C) f(\lambda_{ab}^B, \lambda_{pr}^B) \right) \mathcal{R}_N \left(\begin{array}{l} \{\lambda_{pr}^C\}_n; \quad \{\lambda_{ab}^C\}_{N-n} \\ \{\lambda_{pr}^B\}_n; \quad \{\lambda_{ab}^B\}_{N-n} \end{array} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The only singularities of rational function \mathcal{R}_N are first order poles at $\lambda_{pr,j}^B = \lambda_{pr,k}^C$ and $\lambda_{ab,j}^B = \lambda_{ab,k}^C$. It also decreases as $1/\lambda$ in each λ at other λ 's fixed.

(v) Now the proof of (5.3) can be done by induction in N , assuming that it is valid for $N \leq M$. The proof of its validity for $N = M + 1$ may be done by comparison of the residues of both sides at $\lambda_{pr,j}^B = \lambda_{pr,k}^C$ and $\lambda_{ab,j}^B = \lambda_{ab,k}^C$ using (4.17), (5.5). The residues appear to be equal which is sufficient for the proof of (5.3).

Formula (5.3) shows that

$$\sigma_n^\alpha(\{\lambda_{pr}^C\}_n; \{\lambda_{pr}^B\}_n) = \mathcal{R}_n \left(\begin{array}{l} \{\lambda_{pr}^C\}_n; \quad \{\emptyset\} \\ \{\lambda_{pr}^B\}_n; \quad \{\emptyset\} \end{array} \right)$$

(i.e. $\{\lambda_{ab}^C\} = \{\lambda_{ab}^B\} = \emptyset$, where \emptyset is an empty set). This is the best way to introduce the function σ_n^α and to prove all its properties.

Appendix C

Let us prove Eq. (7.7). Consider matrix element \mathbb{M}_N^α (4.1) in special case $\ell_j^C = \ell_j^B = \ell_j$ and $m_j^C = m_j^B = m_j$. It can be represented in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{M}_N^\alpha(\{\lambda^C\}, \{\lambda^B\}, \{\ell_j^C = \ell_j\}, \{\ell_j^B = \ell_j\}, \{m_j^C = m_j\}, \{m_j^B = m_j\}) \\ = \sum_{\text{part}} \left(\prod_{pr} m_{(pr)} \right) \left(\prod_{pr} \ell_{(pr)} \right) \mathcal{K}(\text{part}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.1})$$

Coefficient \mathcal{K} here depends on a partition and it is a rational function of λ_j^C , λ_j^B ($j = 1, \dots, N$). According to the definition (7.3)

$$I_N = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{M}_N^\alpha(\{\lambda_j\}, \{\lambda_j + \varepsilon\}, \{\ell_j\}, \{\ell_j\}, \{m_j\}, \{m_j\}) c^{-N} \left(\prod_{j \neq k} f_{jk} \right), \quad (\text{C.2})$$

where one has to put $m_j = \ell_j^{-1} \prod_{k \neq j} (f_{kj}/f_{jk})$ due to (2.12). On the other hand, form

factor (4.16) is expressed in terms of \mathbb{M}_N^α (4.1) as follows:

$$F_N^\alpha = \mathbb{M}_N^\alpha(\{\lambda^C\}, \{\lambda^B\}, \{\ell^C\}, \{\ell^B\}, \{m^C\}, \{m^B\}), \quad (\text{C.3})$$

where one has to put $m_j^C = (\ell_j^C)^{-1} \prod_{k \neq j} (f_{kj}^C / f_{jk}^C)$ and $m_j^B = (\ell_j^B)^{-1} \prod_{k \neq j} (f_{kj}^B / f_{jk}^B)$. The limit (7.7) is easily seen to lead exactly to expression (C.2).

Appendix D

Let us consider the two-site model in the trivial situation where

$$T_1(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{D.1})$$

which corresponds to $\ell(\lambda) = 1$. Such $T_1(\lambda)$ satisfies all the requirements to be a monodromy matrix. In this case $\mathbb{B}_1(\lambda) = 0$, which means that $\mathbf{Q}_1 \prod \mathbb{B}(\lambda_j) |0\rangle = 0$, and hence $\langle \psi_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N) | \mathbf{Q}_1^2 | \psi_N(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N) \rangle = 0$ and $I_N = 0$. In our case $\ell_j = 1$, but all λ_j are some values satisfying s.t.e. (2.12). Hence $I_N(\{\lambda_j\}, \{\ell_j = 1\}) = 0$.

Acknowledgement. We thank L. D. Faddeev for discussions.

References

1. Faddeev, L. D.: Quantum completely integrable models of field theory. LOMI-preprint P-2-79, Leningrad, 1979 and Sov. Sci. Rev., Math. Phys. **C1**, 107–160 (1981)
2. Creamer, D. B., Thacker, H. B., Wilkinson, D.: Gelfand–Levitan method for operator fields. Phys. Rev. **D21**, 1523–1528 (1980)
3. Smirnov, F. A.: Gelfand–Levitan equations for quantum nonlinear Schrödinger equation with attraction. Dokl. AN SSSR **262**, 78–83 (1982)
4. Korepin, V. E.: Claculation for norms of Bethe wave functions. Commun. Math. Phys. **86**, 391–418 (1982)
5. Korepin, V. E.: Analysis of the bilinear relation of the six-vertex model. Dokl. AN SSSR **265**, 1361–1364 (1982)
6. Berezin, F. A., Pokhil, C. P., Finkelberg, V. M.: The Schrödinger equation for the system of one-dimensional particles with point interaction. Vest. Mosk. Gos. Univ. Ser. **1.1**, 21–28 (1964)
7. McGuire, J. B.: Study of exactly soluble one-dimensional N-body problems. J. Math. Phys. **5**, 622–636 (1964)
8. Brézin, E., Zinn–Justin, J.: Un problème à N corps soluble, C.R. Acad. Sci. (Paris) **263**, 670–673 (1966)
9. Mathematical physics in one dimension, Lieb, E. H. Mattis, D. C. (eds). New York, London: Academic Press 1966
10. Gaudin, M.: La fonction d'onde de Bethe pour les modèles exacts de la mécanique statistique. Paris, Commissariat à l'énergie atomique 1983
11. Korepin, V. E.: Correlation functions of the one-dimensional Bose gas in the repulsive case. Commun. Math. Phys. **93**, (1984)
12. Izergin, A. G., Korepin, V. E.: Lattice versions of quantum field theory models in two dimensions. Nucl. Phys. **B205** [FS5], 401–413 (1982)
13. Izergin, A. G., Korepin, V. E.: L-operator generating all the monodromy matrices with the R-matrix of the XXX-model. Zapiski Nauchn. Seminarov LOMI **131**, 80–87 (1983)
14. Izergin, A. G., Korepin, V. E.: Pauli principle for one-dimensional bosons and the algebraic Bethe Ansatz. Lett. Math. Phys. **6**, 283–288 (1982)
15. Faddeev, L. D., Sklyanin, E. K.: Quantum mechanical approach to completely integrable systems in field theory. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **243**, 1430–1433 (1978)

16. Sklyanin, E. K.: The inverse scattering method and the quantum nonlinear Schrödinger equation. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **244**, 1337–1341 (1978)
17. Izergin, A. G., Korepin V. E., Smirnov, F. A.: Trace identities for the quantum nonlinear Schrödinger equation. Teor. Mat. Fiz. **48**, 319–323 (1981)
18. Faddeev, L. D., Takhtajan, L. A.: Quantum inverse scattering method and the XYZ Heisenberg model. Usp. Mat. Nauk. **34**, 13–63 (1979)

Communicated by A. Jaffe

Received August 31, 1983; in revised form December 8, 1983