

Martin-Dynkin Boundaries of Random Fields

M. Miyamoto

Yoshida College, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

Received December 20, 1973

Abstract. Analogous of exit spaces of Dynkin [4] for Markov processes are constructed for random fields introduced by Dobrushin [2].

Let X be a finite set and let T be countable. Let $\Omega = X^T$ and let \mathcal{B}_V be the σ -algebra generated by $\{\omega \in \Omega; \omega(t) = x\}_{t \in V, x \in X}$ for $V \subset T$. The σ -algebra \mathcal{B}_T is denoted simply by \mathcal{B} . Let be given a system of *conditional distributions* $q_{V, \omega}(A)$, which satisfy the following conditions, where V is a finite subset of T , $\omega \in \Omega$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}_V$.

- α) $q_{V, \omega}(\cdot)$ is a probability measure on \mathcal{B}_V .
- β) $q_{V, \omega}(A)$ is a \mathcal{B}_{V^c} -measurable function of ω for $A \in \mathcal{B}_V$.
- γ) If $V_1 \subset V_2$, then for $A \in \mathcal{B}_{V_1}$, $B \in \mathcal{B}_{V_2 \setminus V_1}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$

$$q_{V_2, \omega}(A \cap B) = \int_B q_{V_1, c(V_2; \omega', \omega)}(A) q_{V_2, \omega}(d\omega'),$$

where $c(V_2; \omega', \omega)(t) = \omega'(t)$ for $t \in V_2$, and $= \omega(t)$ for $t \notin V_2$.

A probability measure P on (Ω, \mathcal{B}) is called a *random field* with conditional distribution q , if for $A \in \mathcal{B}_V$

$$P(A | \mathcal{B}_{V^c}) = q_{V, \omega}(A) \quad \text{a.e. } (P).$$

Dobrushin [2] shows that the totality \mathcal{P} of random fields with q is a non-empty, compact and convex set, if

$$\delta) \lim_{W \rightarrow T} \sup_{\omega} |q_{V, \omega'}(A) - q_{V, c(W; \omega', \omega)}(A)| = 0$$

for $A \in \mathcal{B}_V$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, which we assume throughout this note.

Let $V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets V_n of T with $\cup V_n = T$. Let Ω_∞ be the set of ω for which there exists $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{V_n, \omega}(A)$ for every cylindrical A .

Let $Q_\omega(A)$ be the limit. $Q_\omega(\cdot)$ is countably additive on \mathcal{B}_V for every finite subset V . Therefore it is extended to a probability measure on \mathcal{B} , which we denote by the same Q_ω . It is easy to see $Q_\omega \in \mathcal{P}$.

Let $\mathcal{B}_\infty = \bigcap_V \mathcal{B}_{V^c}$, where V runs over the set of all finite subsets of T .

Lemma 1. *If $P \in \mathcal{P}$, then*

$$P(A \cap B) = \int_B Q_\omega(A) P(d\omega) \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and } B \in \mathcal{B}_\infty,$$

i.e.,

$$P(A | \mathcal{B}_\infty) = Q_\omega(A) \quad \text{a.e. } (P).$$

Proof. Taking in mind that $q_{V_n, \omega}(A) = P(A | \mathcal{B}_{V_n})$ is a martingale, we have $P(\Omega_\infty) = 1$ for every random field P by Doob's convergence theorem [3]. If $A \in \mathcal{B}_{V_n}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}_\infty$, then $P(A \cap B) = \int_B q_{V_n, \omega}(A) P(d\omega) = \int_{B \cap \Omega_\infty} q_{V_n, \omega}(A) P(d\omega)$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$P(A \cap B) = \int_{B \cap \Omega_\infty} Q_\omega(A) P(d\omega) = \int_B Q_\omega(A) P(d\omega).$$

The equality holds also for non-cylindrical A .

Let $2_p = \{A; P(A) = 0 \text{ or } 1\}$.

Lemma 2. (Theorem 3.4 in [6].) *P is extremal in \mathcal{P} if and only if $\mathcal{B}_\infty = 2_p \pmod{P}$.*

Proof. i) We assume $\mathcal{B}_\infty \neq 2_p \pmod{P}$, then there exists $\tilde{\Omega} \in \mathcal{B}_\infty$ such that $0 < P(\tilde{\Omega}) < 1$. Let $P_{\tilde{\Omega}}(\cdot) = P(\tilde{\Omega})^{-1} P(\cdot \cap \tilde{\Omega})$. For every $A \in \mathcal{B}_V$ we have $P_{\tilde{\Omega}}(A | \mathcal{B}_{V^c}) = q_{V, \omega}(A)$, i.e., $P_{\tilde{\Omega}} \in \mathcal{P}$, because for every $B \in \mathcal{B}_{V^c}$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\tilde{\Omega}}(A \cap B) &= P(\tilde{\Omega})^{-1} P(A \cap B \cap \tilde{\Omega}) = P(\tilde{\Omega})^{-1} \int_{B \cap \tilde{\Omega}} P(A | \mathcal{B}_{V^c}) P(d\omega) \\ &= \int_B q_{V, \omega}(A) P(\tilde{\Omega})^{-1} P(d\omega \cap \tilde{\Omega}) = \int_B q_{V, \omega}(A) P_{\tilde{\Omega}}(d\omega). \end{aligned}$$

A measure $P_{\tilde{\Omega}^c} \in \mathcal{P}$ is defined analogously. Both $P_{\tilde{\Omega}}$ and $P_{\tilde{\Omega}^c}$ are distinct, since they are mutually singular. Therefore the sum $P = P(\tilde{\Omega})P_{\tilde{\Omega}} + P(\tilde{\Omega}^c)P_{\tilde{\Omega}^c}$ is not extremal.

ii) Let $\mathcal{B}_\infty = 2_p \pmod{P}$ and let $P = \lambda P_1 + (1 - \lambda)P_2$, where $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}$. By Lemma 1, $Q_\omega(A) = P(A)$ a.e. (P) for each $A \in \mathcal{B}$, that is, $P\{\omega : P(A) = Q_\omega(A)\} = 1$, hence $P_i\{\omega : Q_\omega(A) = P(A)\} = 1$ for $i = 1, 2$, because the coefficients λ and $1 - \lambda$ are both positive. Thus we have, by Lemma 1 again, $P_i(A) = \int Q_\omega(A) P_i(d\omega) = P(A)$, that is, $P_i = P$, therefore P is extremal.

Corollary. *P is extremal if and only if*

$$\lim_{V \rightarrow T} \sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{V^c}} |P(A \cap B) - P(A)P(B)| = 0 \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Let $B_\omega = \{\omega' : Q_{\omega'} = Q_\omega\}$, which belongs to \mathcal{B}_∞ , as is easily seen. We have, by Lemma 1, $Q_\omega(B_\omega) = Q_\omega(B_\omega \cap B_\omega) = \int_{B_\omega} Q_{\omega'}(B_\omega) Q_\omega(d\omega')$ $= Q_\omega(B_\omega)^2$, so that $Q_\omega(B_\omega) = 0$ or 1 . We call ω *regular*, if $Q_\omega(B_\omega) = 1$. Let Ω_r be the set of all regular ω .

Theorem 1. *P is extremal in \mathcal{P} if and only if $P = Q_\omega$ for some $\omega \in \Omega_r$. (Cf. Theorem 2.2 in [5].)*

Proof. i) We assume that P is extremal, i.e., $\mathcal{B}_\infty = 2_P \pmod{P}$ by Lemma 2. Since $Q_{\omega'}(A)$ is \mathcal{B}_∞ -measurable, $Q_{\omega'}(A)$ does not depend on ω' a.e. (P), so that there exists Ω_A with $P(\Omega_A) = 1$ for whose elements ω_1 and ω_2 it holds $Q_{\omega_1}(A) = Q_{\omega_2}(A)$. Let $\bar{\Omega} = \bigcap_A \Omega_A$, where A runs over the set

of all cylindrical subsets of Ω . The number of cylindrical subsets of Ω is countable, hence $P(\bar{\Omega}) = 1$. Take an arbitrary element ω of $\bar{\Omega}$, then $Q_{\omega'} = Q_\omega$ for almost all (P) ω' . By Lemma 1 we have $P = \int Q_{\omega'} P(d\omega') = Q_\omega$.

If ω is not regular, then $P(B_\omega) = Q_\omega(B_\omega) = 0$, i.e., $P(B_\omega^c) = P\{\omega'; Q_{\omega'} \neq Q_\omega\} = P\{\omega'; Q_{\omega'} \neq P\} = 1$. Therefore we have $P\{\omega' : Q_{\omega'}(A) \neq P(A)\} > 0$ for some cylindrical A , which implies $P\{\omega' : Q_{\omega'}(A) > P(A)\} > 0$ or $P\{\omega' : Q_{\omega'}(A) < P(A)\} > 0$.

In case when $P\{Q_{\omega'}(A) > P(A)\} > 0$, we have $P\{Q_{\omega'}(A) > P(A)\} = 1$ by our assumption that $\mathcal{B}_\infty = 2_P \pmod{P}$. By Lemma 1, $P(A) = \int Q_{\omega'}(A) \cdot P(d\omega') = \int_{\{Q_{\omega'}(A) > P(A)\}} Q_{\omega'}(A) P(d\omega') > P(A)$, which is absurd. In case when

$P\{Q_{\omega'}(A) < P(A)\} > 0$, we are led to the same contradiction.

ii) Let $P = Q_\omega$ for some $\omega \in \Omega_r$. For $A \in \mathcal{B}_\infty$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(A) &= Q_\omega(A \cap A \cap B_\omega) = \int_{A \cap B_\omega} Q_{\omega'}(A) Q_\omega(d\omega') = \int_A Q_\omega(A) Q_\omega(d\omega') \\ &= Q_\omega(A)^2 = P(A)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $P(A) = 0$ or 1 for $A \in \mathcal{B}_\infty$, i.e., $\mathcal{B}_\infty = 2_P \pmod{P}$.

Corollary 1. *$P(\Omega_r) = 1$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$.*

Proof. The Choquet theorem [1] shows that any $P \in \mathcal{P}$ is represented in the form; $P = \int_{\Omega_r} Q_\omega \mu(d\omega)$. For any regular ω , $Q_\omega(\Omega_r) = 1$, since $B_\omega \subset \Omega_r$. Therefore $P(\Omega_r) = \int_{\Omega_r} Q_\omega(\Omega_r) \mu(d\omega) = 1$.

Corollary 2. *Extremal random fields of \mathcal{P} are mutually singular.*

Let \mathcal{B}_r be the σ -algebra on Ω_r generated by $\{Q_\omega(A); A \in \mathcal{B}\}$.

Lemma 3. *The σ -algebra \mathcal{B}_r coincides with the family of sets in \mathcal{B}_∞ which are representable as a (possibly uncountable) union of sets B_ω for regular ω .*

Proof. If $\cup B_\omega$ belongs to \mathcal{B}_∞ , then $Q_{\omega'}(\cup B_\omega) = \chi_{\cup B_\omega}(\omega')$. Therefore $\cup B_\omega \in \mathcal{B}_r$. On the other hand $\{\omega : Q_\omega(A) < a\} = \bigcup_{\omega; Q_\omega(A) < a} B_\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty$, from which follows our result.

Let $P|_r$ be the restriction of P on \mathcal{B}_r .

Theorem 2. For any $P \in \mathcal{P}$, $P = \int_{\Omega_r} Q_\omega P|_r(d\omega)$. If $P = \int_{\Omega_r} Q_\omega \mu(d\omega)$ with a probability measure μ on $(\Omega_r, \mathcal{B}_r)$, then $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\mu = P|_r$. (Cf. Proposition 3.5 in [6].)

Proof. $P = \int_{\Omega_r} Q_\omega P|_r(d\omega)$ is a direct consequence of Lemma 1 and Corollary 1 to Theorem 1. Let $P = \int_{\Omega_r} Q_{\omega'} \mu(d\omega')$ and let $\cup B_\omega \in \mathcal{B}_r$. We have $P(\cup B_\omega) = \int_{\Omega_r} Q_{\omega'}(\cup B_\omega) \mu(d\omega') = \int_{\Omega_r} \chi_{\cup B_\omega}(\omega') \mu(d\omega') = \mu(\cup B_\omega)$, hence $P = \mu$ on \mathcal{B}_r by Lemma 3.

Let us consider a case where T is the ν -dimensional lattice Z^ν . For $\tau \in T$, let notations be as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau V &= \{\tau + v; v \in V\} \quad \text{for } V \subset T, \\ \tau \omega(t) &= \omega(t - \tau) \quad \text{for } \omega \in \Omega \quad \text{and } t \in T, \\ \tau A &= \{\tau \omega; \omega \in A\} \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{B}. \end{aligned}$$

Let S be a subgroup of T and let conditional distributions $q_{V,\omega}$ be S -invariant, i.e., $q_{\tau V, \tau \omega}(\tau A) = q_{V,\omega}(A)$ for all $\tau \in S$. We slightly modify the definition of Ω_∞ and Q_ω ; let Ω_∞ be the set of ω for which there exists $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{\tau V_n, \omega}(A)$ for every cylindrical A and every $\tau \in S$ and these limits coincide with each other for all $\tau \in S$. The limit is denoted by Q_ω for $\omega \in \Omega_\infty$. The same convergence theorem as in the proof of Lemma 1 assures that $P(\Omega_\infty) = 1$ for each $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Corresponding modifications are made for definitions of B_ω , Ω_r , etc. The same argument as preceding one works for our modified Ω_∞ , Ω_r , B_ω , etc. Obviously, $Q_\omega(\tau A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{V_n, \omega}(\tau A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{\tau^{-1} V_n, \tau^{-1} \omega}(A) = Q_{\tau^{-1} \omega}(A)$ for $\omega \in \Omega_\infty$ and $\tau \in S$. It is easy to see that Ω_r is S -invariant. Finally we remark that $P = \int_{\Omega_r} Q_\omega \mu(d\omega)$ is S -invariant if and only if μ is so.

References

1. Choquet, G.: Ann. Inst. Fourier **10**, 333—344 (1960)
2. Dobruschin, R. L.: Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen **13**, 201—229 (1968) Russian
3. Doob, J. L.: Stochastic Processes 1953
4. Dynkin, E. B.: Actes congrès internat. math., tom 2, 507—512 (1970)
5. Georgii, H. O.: Commun. math. Phys. **32**, 107—118 (1973)
6. Lanford III, O. E., Ruelle, D.: Commun. math. Phys. **13**, 194—215 (1969)

Communicated by G. Gallavotti

M. Miyamoto
Yoshida College
Kyoto University
Kyoto, Japan