

A Remark on a Paper of J. F. Aarnes

ROBERT R. KALLMAN*

Mass. Institute of Technology, Cambridge

Received April 28, 1969

Abstract. Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra on the separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , and let \mathcal{R} be the von Neumann algebra generated by \mathcal{A} . Let G be a topological group and $a \rightarrow \varphi(a)$ a representation of G into the group of $*$ -automorphisms of \mathcal{A} . Suppose that each $\varphi(a)$ extends to a $*$ -automorphism of \mathcal{R} , and suppose that $a \rightarrow \langle \varphi(a)(T)x, y \rangle$ is continuous for each T in \mathcal{A} and x, y in \mathcal{H} . Then, for a large class of groups G , one has automatically that $a \rightarrow \langle \varphi(a)(T)x, y \rangle$ is continuous for all T in \mathcal{R} and x, y in \mathcal{H} .

Consider the following setup. Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra (with identity) of operators on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , and let \mathcal{R} be the von Neumann algebra generated by \mathcal{A} . Let G be a topological group, and let $a \rightarrow \phi(a)$ be a representation of G into the group of $*$ -automorphisms of \mathcal{A} , denoted by $\text{Aut}^*(\mathcal{A})$. Suppose that $a \rightarrow \langle \phi(a)(T)x, y \rangle$ is continuous on G for all T in \mathcal{A} and x, y in \mathcal{H} , and suppose that each $\phi(a)$ extends to a $*$ -automorphism of \mathcal{R} (which we also denote by $\phi(a)$). One may easily check that the group property $\phi(ab)(T) = \phi(a)(\phi(b)(T))$ still holds. Question: Do we have that $a \rightarrow \langle \phi(a)(T)x, y \rangle$ is continuous on G for all T in \mathcal{R} and x, y in \mathcal{H} ?

This question was elegantly answered in the affirmative by J. F. Aarnes in [1] under the assumption that $(a, T) \rightarrow \phi(a)(T)$ (a in G , T in \mathcal{A}) satisfies a mild joint continuity condition. The purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem, which roughly states that the continuity of the representation of G into $\text{Aut}^*(\mathcal{R})$ is automatic under certain circumstances.

Theorem. Let $G, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}, a \rightarrow \phi(a)$, and \mathcal{H} be as above. Suppose \mathcal{H} is separable and the topology of G is given by a complete metric. Then $a \rightarrow \langle \phi(a)(T)x, y \rangle$ is continuous for all T in \mathcal{R} and all x, y in \mathcal{H} .

We remark that this theorem has wide applicability since the topology of every separable locally compact group is given by a complete metric. See Dixmier [2] for the elementary facts about von Neumann algebras used in the following proof.

Proof of the Theorem. Let T be in the unit ball of \mathcal{R} . Choose a sequence T_n ($n \geq 1$) such that T_n converges strongly to T as $n \uparrow +\infty$. The Kaplansky

* Supported in part by NSF Grant GP-9141.

density theorem implies that such a sequence exists since \mathcal{H} is separable. Let $[x_i | i \geq 1]$ be a dense sequence in the unit ball of \mathcal{H} . Since each $\phi(a)$ is in $\text{Aut}^*(\mathcal{R})$, each $\phi(a)$ is continuous in the weak operator topology on the unit ball of \mathcal{R} . Hence $\langle \phi(a)(T_n) x_i, x_j \rangle \rightarrow \langle \phi(a)(T) x_i, x_j \rangle$ as $n \uparrow + \infty$, for all a in G and $i, j \geq 1$. Now, for each $n \geq 1$, $a \rightarrow \langle \phi(a)(T_n) x_i, x_j \rangle$ is continuous on G . Hence, Osgood's theorem (see Kelley, Namioka, et al., [3], p. 86) implies that $a \rightarrow \langle \phi(a)(T) x_i, x_j \rangle$ is continuous on a set of second category, say S_{ij} . Since the topology of G is given by a complete metric, $S = \bigcap_{i, j \geq 1} S_{ij}$ is also a set of second category. Since the topology of G is given by a complete metric, S is nonempty. Choose an element b of S . Let w and z be in the unit ball \mathcal{H} . Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose x_i and x_j such that $\|w - x_i\| \leq \varepsilon$ and $\|z - x_j\| \leq \varepsilon$. Easy estimates show that $|\langle \phi(a)(T) w, z \rangle - \langle \phi(b)(T) w, z \rangle| \leq 4\varepsilon + |\langle \phi(a)(T) x_i, x_j \rangle - \langle \phi(b)(T) x_i, x_j \rangle|$. Hence, $\langle \phi(a)(T) w, z \rangle \rightarrow \langle \phi(b)(T) w, z \rangle$ as $a \rightarrow b$, for all w, z in \mathcal{H} . Let c be arbitrary in G and let $a \rightarrow c$. Then $bc^{-1}a \rightarrow b$. Hence, $\phi(bc^{-1}a)(T) \rightarrow \phi(b)(T)$ in the weak operator topology. But $\phi(cb^{-1})$ is an element of $\text{Aut}^*(\mathcal{R})$, and any $*$ -automorphism of \mathcal{R} is continuous in the weak operator topology on the unit ball of \mathcal{R} . Hence, $\phi(a)(T) = \phi(cb^{-1})(\phi(bc^{-1}a)(T)) \rightarrow \phi(cb^{-1})(\phi(b)(T)) = \phi(c)(T)$ in the weak operator topology as $a \rightarrow c$.
Q.E.D.

Bibliography

1. Aarnes, J. F.: On the continuity of automorphic representations of groups. *Commun. Math. Phys* 7, 332-336 (1968).
2. Dixmier, J.: *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace Hilbertien*. Paris: Gauthier-Villars 1957.
3. Kelley, J. L., I. Namioka et al.: *Linear topological spaces*. New York: van Nostrand 1963.

Robert. R. Kallman
 Department of Mathematics
 Mass. Institute of Technology
 Cambridge, Mass. 02139, USA