

# The Energy Momentum Spectrum of Quantum Fields

RICHARD V. KADISON\*  
University of Pennsylvania  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

Received October 1, 1966

**Abstract.** It is proved, assuming Einstein causality, that the energy-momentum spectrum of a quantum field cannot be bounded. More is known under special assumptions [1, 4]. Our main concern is the method and general applicability of the result.

## I. Introduction

The Haag-Araki formulation of local quantum field theory associates with open regions  $\mathcal{O}$  of Minkowski space-time  $R^4$  von Neumann algebras  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O})$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . The self-adjoint operators in  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O})$  correspond to the bounded observables of the field localized in the region  $\mathcal{O}$  of space-time. The dynamics and relativistic invariance of the field are expressed in terms of a (strongly-continuous) unitary representation  $U$  of the Poincaré group  $G$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  in such a manner that  $U(g)\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O})U(g)^{-1} = \mathcal{R}(g(\mathcal{O}))$ , where  $g(\mathcal{O})$  denotes the transform of the region  $\mathcal{O}$  by the (inhomogeneous) Lorentz transformation  $g$  of space-time. (This is *covariance* of  $U$  and  $\mathcal{R}$ .) Further assumptions are made — among them:

$\{\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}) : \mathcal{O} \text{ open in } R^4\}$  and  $\{\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}_s) : \{\mathcal{O}_s\} \text{ an open covering of } R^4\}$   
both generate the same  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  (the *quasi-local algebra* of  
the system). (1)

$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}_1) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}_2)'$  if  $\mathcal{O}_1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_2$  are space-like separated. (2)

$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}_0) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O})$  if  $\mathcal{O}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ . (3)

According to the theory of unitary representations of locally compact abelian groups (generalization of Stone's theorem) [3: p. 147] the restriction of  $U$  from  $G$  to the 4-translation group (the additive group of  $R^4$ ) gives rise to a projection-valued measure  $E$  on the dual  $\hat{R}^4$  of  $R^4$ , this dual being identified with energy-momentum space, such that  $U(a) = \int \exp(ia \cdot p) dE(p)$ . Stone's theorem tells us that each of the one- $\hat{R}^4$  parameter unitary groups  $t \rightarrow U(ta)$  has an infinitesimal generator  $P_a$  which is a (not necessarily bounded) self-adjoint operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $a$  is space-like  $P_a$  is the momentum observable conjugate to translation in the direction  $a$ . If  $a$  is a vector along the time axis, the generator  $H$  is

---

\* Research supported by ONR and NSF.

identified with the total energy. The projection-valued measure  $E$  on  $\hat{R}^4$  is simply a synthesis of all the spectral resolutions of the momenta and energy observables. To speak of all the momenta and energy as having finite spectrum is to require that  $E$  have support in a bounded region of  $\hat{R}^4$  — equivalently, that  $\|U(a) - I\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $a \rightarrow 0$ .

It is not the unitary operators  $U(a)$  which are physically relevant, but rather the automorphisms  $\alpha(a)$  defined by  $\alpha(a)(A) = U(a)AU(-a)$  which they induce on the quasi-local algebra — so that, from the point of view of physical relevance, we should be concerned with a representation of  $G$  by  $*$  automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . There is, as yet, no theory associating a “spectrum” with such a representation: though it is clear that a restriction such as boundedness of the “spectrum” should be equivalent to:  $\|\alpha(a) - \iota\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $a \rightarrow 0$ , where  $\iota$  is the identity automorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\|\alpha(a) - \iota\|$  is the bound of  $\alpha(a) - \iota$  as an operator on the normed space  $\mathfrak{A}$ . We say that  $\alpha$  is a norm-continuous representation of  $R^4$  by automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , in this case. With this in mind, we make the:

*Definition.* A covariance system is a pair  $\{\mathcal{R}, \alpha\}$  where  $\mathcal{R}$  is a mapping which assigns a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O})$  to each bounded region  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $R^4$  satisfying (1), (2), and (3), and  $\alpha$  is a representation of the additive group of  $R^4$  by  $*$  automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{A}$  satisfying  $\alpha(a)(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O})) = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O} + a)$ . We say that the system has bounded energy-momentum spectrum when  $\alpha$  is norm-continuous.

## II. The Spectrum

If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra acting on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , it is easy to check that  $\{\mathcal{R}, \alpha\}$ , with  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}) = \mathfrak{A}$  for each bounded open  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\alpha(a) = \iota$  for each  $a$  in  $R^4$ , is a covariance system. We say that such a system is *constant*. Conversely, if  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}) = \mathfrak{A}$  for some bounded open  $\mathcal{O}$ , translating far enough in a space-like direction relative to  $\mathcal{O}$ , we see that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is isomorphic with a subalgebra commuting with  $\mathfrak{A}$ . This subalgebra is in the center of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and is abelian so that  $\mathfrak{A}$  (isomorphic to it) is abelian.

**Theorem.** A covariance system with bounded energy-momentum spectrum is constant.

*Proof.* Since  $t \rightarrow \alpha(ta)$  is a norm-continuous, one-parameter group of  $*$  automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , there is a derivation  $\delta$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\alpha(ta) = \exp t\delta = \iota + t\delta + \frac{t^2\delta^2}{2} + \dots$  (convergence in the norm topology on bounded operators on  $\mathfrak{A}$ ) [2: Lemma 2]. Let  $\mathcal{O}_0$  and  $\mathcal{O}$  be the interiors of the spheres with center 0, radii  $r$  and  $2r$ , respectively, in  $R^4$ . For each  $a$  in  $R^4$  and all sufficiently small  $t$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_0 + ta \subseteq \mathcal{O}$  so that  $\alpha(ta)(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}_0)) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O})$ , from (3). With  $B$  in  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O})'$  and  $A$  in  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}_0)$ ,  $B\alpha(ta)(A) - \alpha(ta)(A)B = 0$  for small  $t$ . Thus  $BA - AB + t(B\delta(A) - \delta(A)B) + \frac{1}{2}t^2(B\delta^2(A) - \delta^2(A)B) + \dots = 0$ , for small  $t$ , so that  $B\delta^n(A) -$

—  $\delta^n(A)B = 0$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . Hence  $B\alpha(ta)(A) - \alpha(ta)(A)B = 0$  for all  $t$  and each  $a$  in  $R^4$ . It follows that  $B$  commutes with  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}_0 + a)$  for all  $a$ ; and, from (1),  $B$  commutes with  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Thus, with  $\mathcal{O}_1$  the interior of a sphere space-like separated from  $\mathcal{O}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}_1) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}') \subseteq \mathfrak{A}'$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}_1)$  lies in the center of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . As each  $\alpha(a)$  is an automorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\alpha(a)(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}_1)) (= \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}_1 + a))$  lies in the center of  $\mathfrak{A}$ ; and, from (1),  $\mathfrak{A}$  coincides with its center, that is,  $\mathfrak{A}$  is abelian. From [2: Lemma 2] each  $\alpha(a)$  arises from a unitary operator in  $\mathfrak{A}''$ ; and, since  $\mathfrak{A}''$  is abelian,  $\alpha(a) = \iota$  for each  $a$ . For each open  $\mathcal{O}_2$ ,  $\alpha(a)(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}_2)) = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}_2) = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}_2 + a)$ ; and from (1),  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}_2) = \mathfrak{A}$ . Thus  $\{\mathcal{R}, \alpha\}$  is constant.

Since the assumptions of quantum field theory rule out a commutative quasi-local algebra, we have:

**Corollary.** *No quantum field has a bounded energy-momentum.*

Of course the foregoing applies to covariance systems based on more general groups than  $R^4$  (in particular, on  $R^n$ ).

### References

- [1] BORCHERS, H.: Local rings and the connection of spin with statistics. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **1**, 281—307 (1965).
- [2] KADISON, R., and J. RINGROSE: Derivations of operator algebras. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **4**, 32—63 (1966).
- [3] LOOMIS, L.: An introduction to abstract harmonic analysis. New York: Van Nostrand 1953.
- [4] WIGHTMAN, A.: Trieste lectures (1962).