

QUASI-RADIAL OPERATORS ON THE WEIGHTED BERGMAN SPACE OVER THE UNIT BALL

ALMA GARCÍA *

Department of Mathematics

CINVESTAV

Mexico City, MEXICO

NIKOLAI VASILEVSKI †

Department of Mathematics

CINVESTAV

Mexico City, MEXICO

(Communicated by Vladimir Rabinovich)

Abstract

We study the so-called *quasi-radial* operators, i.e., the operators that are invariant under the subgroup of the unitary group $\mathfrak{U}(n)$ formed by the block-diagonal matrices with unitary blocks of fixed dimensions. The quasi-radial Toeplitz operators appear naturally and play a crucial role under the study of the commutative Banach (not C^*) algebras of Toeplitz operators [1, 8]. They form an intermediate class of operators between the Toeplitz operators with radial $a = a(r)$, $r = \sqrt{|z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2}$, and separately-radial $a = a(|z_1|, \dots, |z_n|)$ symbols.

AMS Subject Classification: 47B35

Keywords: Banach algebra, Berezin transform, Toeplitz operator, quasi-radial operator, quasi-radialization.

1 Introduction

In this note we consider the Toeplitz operators acting on the standard weighted Bergman space over the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n . It is a well established fact that the invariance of symbols under a certain subgroup of biholomorphisms of the unit ball determines many of the properties of the corresponding Toeplitz operators. In particular, the invariance under the maximal compact subgroup $\mathfrak{U}(n)$, that consists of all unitary $n \times n$ matrices, leads to the *radial*

*E-mail address: garciamaitzel@gmail.com

†E-mail address: nvasilev@duke.math.cinvestav.mx

symbols $a = a(r)$, $r = \sqrt{|z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2}$. The corresponding Toeplitz operators generate a commutative C^* -algebra, they are diagonal with respect to the standard monomial basis with the eigenvalue sequences that depend only on the length of multi-indices. At the same time the maximal commutative C^* -algebra, that contains Toeplitz operators with radial symbols, is generated by Toeplitz operators with *separately radial* symbols $a = a(|z_1|, \dots, |z_n|)$, those that are invariant under the action of the torus \mathbb{T}^n , the diagonal subgroup of $\mathfrak{U}(n)$. These operator are diagonal with respect to the standard monomial basis with the eigenvalue sequences that depend on the basis multi-indices.

In Section 2 we study an intermediate class of operators, the so-called *quasi-radial* operators, that are invariant under the subgroup of $\mathfrak{U}(n)$ formed by the block-diagonal matrices with unitary blocks of fixed dimensions. The corresponding Toeplitz operators appear naturally and play a crucial role under the study of the commutative Banach (not C^*) algebras of Toeplitz operators [1, 8].

In Section 3 we give explicit formulas for (p, λ) -Berezin and Berezin transforms for quasi-radial operators. These transforms prove to be useful tools in approximation of bounded operators via Toeplitz operators [4, 5, 6, 7].

2 Quasi-radial operators

Let \mathbb{B}^n be the open unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let dv denote the standard volume form on \mathbb{B}^n . For $\lambda > -1$, we introduce the one-parameter family of the weighted measures

$$dv_\lambda(z) = \frac{\Gamma(n + \lambda + 1)}{\pi^n \Gamma(\lambda + 1)} (1 - |z|^2)^\lambda dv(z).$$

The weighted Bergman space $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$ is the closed subspace of $L_2(\mathbb{B}^n, dv_\lambda)$ that consists of all functions analytic in \mathbb{B}^n . Given a function $a \in L_\infty(\mathbb{B}^n)$, the Toeplitz operator T_a with symbol a and acting on $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$ is defined by

$$T_a \phi = B_\lambda(a\phi), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n),$$

where

$$(B_\lambda \phi)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \frac{\phi(\zeta) dv_\lambda(\zeta)}{(1 - \langle z, \zeta \rangle)^{n+\lambda+1}}$$

is the orthogonal Bergman projection of $L_2(\mathbb{B}^n, dv_\lambda)$ onto $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$.

Recall that the reproducing kernel of $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$ is defined by

$$K_z^\lambda(w) = \frac{1}{(1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{n+\lambda+1}} = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(n + |\alpha| + \lambda + 1)}{\alpha! \Gamma(n + \lambda + 1)} \bar{z}^\alpha w^\alpha.$$

Let $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_m)$ be a tuple such that $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_m = n$. Given such tuple \mathbf{k} we rearrange the n coordinates of $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ in m groups, each of which has k_j entries ($j = 1, \dots, m$), and introduce the notation:

$$z_{(1)} = (z_{1,1}, \dots, z_{1,k_1}), \quad z_{(2)} = (z_{2,1}, \dots, z_{2,k_2}), \quad \dots, \quad z_{(m)} = (z_{m,1}, \dots, z_{m,k_m}).$$

We assume that $k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_m$ and that

$$z_{1,1} = z_1, \quad z_{1,2} = z_2, \quad \dots, \quad z_{1,k_1} = z_{k_1}, \quad z_{2,1} = z_{k_1+1}, \quad \dots, \quad z_{m,k_m} = z_n,$$

That is

$$z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) = (z_{(1)}, \dots, z_{(m)}), \quad \text{with } z_{(j)} \in \mathbb{C}^{k_j}.$$

In the same way for any tuple $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ we let

$$\alpha_{(1)} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k_1}), \quad \alpha_{(2)} = (\alpha_{k_1+1}, \dots, \alpha_{k_1+k_2}), \quad \dots, \quad \alpha_{(m)} = (\alpha_{n-k_m+1}, \dots, \alpha_n),$$

and $z^\alpha = z_{(1)}^{\alpha_1} \dots z_{(m)}^{\alpha_m}$.

Denote by $\mathfrak{U}(l)$ the compact group of all $l \times l$ complex unitary matrices U equipped with the Haar measure. We introduce the compact subgroup $\mathfrak{U}'(\mathbf{k}) \subset \mathfrak{U}(n)$ that consists of all $n \times n$ complex block diagonal unitary matrices

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} A_{k_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & A_{k_2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & A_{k_m} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } A_{k_j} \in \mathfrak{U}(k_j), \quad j = 1, \dots, m;$$

equipped with the measure dU being the product of the Haar measures of $\mathfrak{U}(k_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. Note that

$$\mathfrak{U}'(\mathbf{k}) \simeq \mathfrak{U}(k_1) \times \mathfrak{U}(k_2) \times \dots \times \mathfrak{U}(k_m).$$

For each $U \in \mathfrak{U}'(\mathbf{k})$, consider the unitary operator $V_U f(w)$ on $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$ defined by

$$V_U f(w) = f(Uw). \quad (2.1)$$

An operator $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n))$ is called \mathbf{k} -quasi-radial if

$$S V_U = V_U S$$

for all $U \in \mathfrak{U}'(\mathbf{k})$. The \mathbf{k} -quasi-radialization of S is defined by

$$Q\text{-Rad}(S) := \int_{\mathfrak{U}'(\mathbf{k})} V_U^* S V_U dU,$$

where the integral is taken in the weak sense.

Obviously, the operator $Q\text{-Rad}(S)$ is \mathbf{k} -quasi-radial, and if S is a \mathbf{k} -quasi-radial operator, then $Q\text{-Rad}(S) = S$.

For $a = a(z_{(1)}, \dots, z_{(m)}) \in L_\infty(\mathbb{B}^n)$, the \mathbf{k} -quasi-radialization of a is defined by

$$q\text{-rad}(a)(z) := \int_{\mathfrak{U}'(\mathbf{k})} a(Uz) dU.$$

Note that $q\text{-rad}(a)$ is a \mathbf{k} -quasi-radial function, and for Toeplitz operators we have that

$$Q\text{-Rad}(T_a) = T_{q\text{-rad}(a)}.$$

Recall that the standard basis $\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n\}$ of $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$ is given by

$$e_\alpha(z) := \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(n + |\alpha| + \lambda + 1)}{\alpha! \Gamma(n + \lambda + 1)}} z^\alpha;$$

i.e.,

$$e_{(\alpha_{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{(m)})}(z_{(1)}, \dots, z_{(m)}) = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(n + |\alpha_{(1)}| + \dots + |\alpha_{(m)}| + \lambda + 1)}{\alpha_{(1)}! \cdots \alpha_{(m)}! \Gamma(n + \lambda + 1)}} z_{(1)}^{\alpha_{(1)}} \cdots z_{(m)}^{\alpha_{(m)}}.$$

For the next result we need the following lemma (see [2, 3] for the proof).

Lemma 2.1. *The set of Toeplitz operators with bounded measurable symbols is dense in the algebra of all bounded operators on $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$ with respect to strong operator topology.*

An important characterization of the \mathbf{k} -quasi-radial operators gives

Proposition 2.2. *An operator $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n))$ is \mathbf{k} -quasi-radial if and only if it is diagonal with respect to the standard basis $\{e_\alpha\}$ and its eigenvalue sequence $\gamma(k_1, \dots, k_m) = \{\gamma(\alpha_{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{(m)}) : \alpha_{(j)} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{k_j}\}$ has the form $\gamma(\alpha_{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{(m)}) = \tilde{\gamma}(|\alpha_{(1)}|, \dots, |\alpha_{(m)}|)$ for some bounded sequence $\tilde{\gamma} \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{Z}_+^m)$, that is*

$$S e_\alpha = \tilde{\gamma}(|\alpha_{(1)}|, \dots, |\alpha_{(m)}|) e_{(\alpha_{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{(m)})}.$$

Proof. Let $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n))$ be a diagonal operator with

$$S e_\alpha = \tilde{\gamma}(|\alpha_{(1)}|, \dots, |\alpha_{(m)}|) e_{(\alpha_{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{(m)})}.$$

For each $(t_1, \dots, t_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$ consider the finite dimensional subspace $H_{(t_1, \dots, t_m)}$ of $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$ defined by

$$H_{(t_1, \dots, t_m)} = \text{span}\{e_{(\alpha_{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{(m)})} : |\alpha_{(j)}| = t_j, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}\},$$

then for all $f \in H_{(t_1, \dots, t_m)}$ we have

$$S f = \tilde{\gamma}_t f = \tilde{\gamma}(t_1, \dots, t_m) f.$$

Furthermore each subspace $H_{(t_1, \dots, t_m)}$ is invariant under the operators V_U with $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{k})$ as $V_U f(z) = f(Uz) \in H_{(t_1, \dots, t_m)}$ for each $f \in H_{(t_1, \dots, t_m)}$.

Thus

$$(S V_U f)(z) = S(f(Uz)) = \tilde{\gamma}_t f(Uz) = V_U(\tilde{\gamma}_t f)(z) = (V_U S f)(z),$$

for all $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{k})$, $f \in H_{(t_1, \dots, t_m)}$, and $t = (t_1, \dots, t_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$, and thus S is \mathbf{k} -quasi-radial.

Conversely, suppose that S is a \mathbf{k} -quasi-radial operator. Using Lemma 2.1 we select a sequence $\{a_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \subset \mathcal{L}_\infty(\mathbb{B}^n)$ such that

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} T_{a_\ell} = S$$

in SOT.

By Banach-Steinhaus theorem we know that there is $C < \infty$ such that $\|T_a\| < C$ for all Toeplitz operator T_a with bounded symbol. By this fact and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{k})} V_U^* T_{a_\ell} V_U dU = \int_{\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{k})} V_U^* S V_U dU,$$

and therefore

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} T_{q\text{-rad}(a_\ell)} = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} Q\text{-Rad}(T_{a_\ell}) = Q\text{-Rad}(S) = S.$$

Assume that each a_ℓ is a \mathbf{k} -quasi-radial function and therefore T_{a_ℓ} is a diagonal operator with $T_{a_\ell} e_{(\alpha_{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{(m)})} = \tilde{\gamma}(|\alpha_{(1)}|, \dots, |\alpha_{(m)}|)^{(\ell)} e_{(\alpha_{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{(m)})}$ for all $\alpha_{(j)} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{k_j}$, where $\tilde{\gamma}(|\alpha_{(1)}|, \dots, |\alpha_{(m)}|)^{(\ell)}$ is the eigenvalue sequence of T_{a_ℓ} . Thus,

$$S e_{(\alpha_{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{(m)})} = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} T_{a_\ell} e_{(\alpha_{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{(m)})} = \tilde{\gamma}(|\alpha_{(1)}|, \dots, |\alpha_{(m)}|) e_{(\alpha_{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{(m)})},$$

with $\tilde{\gamma}(|\alpha_{(1)}|, \dots, |\alpha_{(m)}|) = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\gamma}(|\alpha_{(1)}|, \dots, |\alpha_{(m)}|)^{(\ell)}$.

That is the eigenvalue sequence of the operator S depends only on $|\alpha_{(1)}|, \dots, |\alpha_{(m)}|$. \square

Corollary 2.3. *The set of all bounded \mathbf{k} -quasi-radial operators acting on $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$ is a C^* -algebra which is isometrically isomorphic to $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{Z}_+^m)$. The isomorphism is given by the following mapping*

$$S \mapsto \tilde{\gamma}(S),$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}(S)$ is the eigenvalue sequence of the operator S of the last proposition.

Apart of the classical Toeplitz operators, an important class of \mathbf{k} -quasi-radial operators is provided by Toeplitz operators whose symbols are complex finite regular \mathbf{k} -quasi-radial measures.

Via polar coordinates in each \mathbb{C}^{k_j} the punctured ball $\mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{0\}$ can be represented as $\tau(\mathbb{B}^m) \times (S^{2k_1-1} \times S^{2k_2-1} \times \dots \times S^{2k_m-1})$, where $\tau(\mathbb{B}^m) = \{r = (r_1, \dots, r_m) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m : 0 \leq |r| \leq 1\}$ is the base of the unit ball \mathbb{B}^m and $S^{2k_j-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{k_j}$ denotes the (real) $(2k_j - 1)$ -dimensional unit sphere for each $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. The measure ν is said to be \mathbf{k} -quasi-radial if it has the form

$$\nu = \mu \otimes (\sigma_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma_m),$$

where μ is a complex finite regular Borel measure on $\tau(\mathbb{B}^m)$ and each σ_j is the standard $O(2k_j)$ -invariant positive probabilistic measure on S^{2k_j-1} .

A description of the eigenvalue sequence of a Toeplitz operator with symbol being a \mathbf{k} -quasi-radial measure is given in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. *Let T_ν be a Toeplitz operator with symbol $\nu = \mu \otimes (\sigma_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma_m)$ being a \mathbf{k} -quasi-radial measure. Then T_ν is diagonal respect to the standard basis $\{e_\alpha\}$ and its eigenvalue sequence $\gamma_{\nu, \lambda} = \{\gamma_{\nu, \lambda}(\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n}$ has the form*

$$\gamma_{\nu, \lambda, \mathbf{k}}(\alpha_{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{(m)}) = \frac{\Gamma(n + |\alpha| + \lambda + 1) \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(k_j)}{\Gamma(n + \lambda + 1) \prod_{j=1}^m (k_j - 1 + |\alpha_{(j)}|)!} \int_{\tau(\mathbb{B}^m)} \prod_{j=1}^m r_j^{2|\alpha_{(j)}|} d\mu(r). \quad (2.2)$$

In particular, $\gamma_{\nu, \lambda, \mathbf{k}}(\alpha_{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{(m)})$ depends only on $|\alpha_{(1)}|, \dots, |\alpha_{(m)}|$, and thus, according to Proposition 1.1, T_ν is a \mathbf{k} -quasi-radial operator.

Proof. Let $w = (w_{(1)}, \dots, w_{(m)}) \in \mathbb{B}^n$, where each $w_{(j)} = r_j \zeta_j$ with $r_j \in [0, 1)$ and $\zeta \in S^{2k_j-1}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_\nu z^\alpha &= \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \frac{w^\alpha d\nu(w)}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+\lambda+1}} = \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \sum_{|\beta|=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+|\beta|+\lambda+1)}{\beta! \Gamma(n+\lambda+1)} z^\beta \bar{w}^\beta w^\alpha d\nu(w) \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(n+|\alpha|+\lambda+1)}{\alpha! \Gamma(n+\lambda+1)} z^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \bar{w}_{(1)}^{-\alpha_{(1)}} w_{(1)}^{\alpha_{(1)}} \cdots \bar{w}_{(m)}^{-\alpha_{(m)}} w_{(m)}^{\alpha_{(m)}} d\nu(w) \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(n+|\alpha|+\lambda+1)}{\alpha! \Gamma(n+\lambda+1)} z^\alpha \int_{\tau(\mathbb{B}^m)} \prod_{j=1}^m r_j^{2|\alpha_{(j)}|} d\mu(r_1, \dots, r_m) \prod_{j=1}^m \int_{S^{2k_j-1}} \zeta_j^{\alpha_{(j)}} \bar{\zeta}_j^{-\alpha_{(j)}} d\sigma_j(\zeta_j) \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(n+|\alpha|+\lambda+1)}{\alpha! \Gamma(n+\lambda+1)} z^\alpha \int_{\tau(\mathbb{B}^m)} \prod_{j=1}^m r_j^{2|\alpha_{(j)}|} d\mu(r) \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{(k_j-1)! \alpha_{(j)}!}{(k_j-1+|\alpha_{(j)}|)!} \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(n+|\alpha|+\lambda+1) \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(k_j)}{\Gamma(n+\lambda+1) \prod_{j=1}^m (k_j-1+|\alpha_{(j)}|)!} \int_{\tau(\mathbb{B}^m)} \prod_{j=1}^m r_j^{2|\alpha_{(j)}|} d\mu(r) \cdot z^\alpha.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\gamma_{\nu, \lambda, \mathbf{k}}(\alpha_{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{(m)}) = \frac{\Gamma(n+|\alpha|+\lambda+1) \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(k_j)}{\Gamma(n+\lambda+1) \prod_{j=1}^m (k_j-1+|\alpha_{(j)}|)!} \int_{\tau(\mathbb{B}^m)} \prod_{j=1}^m r_j^{2|\alpha_{(j)}|} d\mu(r). \quad \square$$

Any Toeplitz operator T_a with symbol being a bounded measurable \mathbf{k} -quasi-radial function $a = a(r_1, \dots, r_m)$ can be considered as a Toeplitz operator $T_{\nu_{a, \lambda, \mathbf{k}}}$ whose symbol is the following \mathbf{k} -quasi-radial measure

$$\begin{aligned}
 d\nu_{a, \lambda, \mathbf{k}} &= d\mu \otimes d(\sigma_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma_m) \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(n+\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(\lambda+1) \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(k_j)} 2^m a(r_1, \dots, r_m) (1-|r|^2)^\lambda \prod_{j=1}^m r_j^{2k_j-1} dr \otimes d(\sigma_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma_m). \quad (2.3)
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that substitution of this measure into (2.2) returns the known result for the eigenvalues of T_a :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma_{a, \lambda, \mathbf{k}}^{(n)}(|\alpha_{(1)}|, \dots, |\alpha_{(m)}|) &= \frac{\Gamma(n+|\alpha|+\lambda+1) \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(k_j)}{\Gamma(n+\lambda+1) \prod_{j=1}^m (k_j-1+|\alpha_{(j)}|)!} \int_{\tau(\mathbb{B}^m)} \prod_{j=1}^m r_j^{2|\alpha_{(j)}|} d\mu(r) \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(n+|\alpha|+\lambda+1) \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(k_j)}{\Gamma(n+\lambda+1) \prod_{j=1}^m (k_j-1+|\alpha_{(j)}|)!} \\
 &\times \int_{\tau(\mathbb{B}^m)} \prod_{j=1}^m r_j^{2|\alpha_{(j)}|} \frac{\Gamma(n+\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(\lambda+1) \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(k_j)} 2^m a(r_1, \dots, r_m) (1-|r|^2)^\lambda \prod_{j=1}^m r_j^{2k_j-1} dr \\
 &= \frac{2^m \Gamma(n+|\alpha|+\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(\lambda+1) \prod_{j=1}^m (k_j-1+|\alpha_{(j)}|)!} \int_{\tau(\mathbb{B}^m)} a(r_1, \dots, r_m) (1-|r|^2)^\lambda \prod_{j=1}^m r_j^{2|\alpha_{(j)}|+2k_j-1} dr.
 \end{aligned}$$

3 (p, λ) -Berezin transform of k -quasi-radial operators

Recall [4] that the (p, λ) -Berezin transform of an operator S , acting on $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$, is defined by

$$(B_{p,\lambda}S)(z) = \frac{c_{\lambda+p}}{c_\lambda} \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^p C_{p,\alpha} \langle S_z w^\alpha, w^\alpha \rangle_\lambda;$$

where $C_{p,\lambda} := \binom{p}{|\alpha|} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \frac{|\alpha|!}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n!}$, such that $\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^p C_{p,\alpha} z^\alpha \bar{w}^\alpha = (1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^p$, $S_z := \mathcal{U}_z S \mathcal{U}_z$, \mathcal{U}_z is a self-adjoint and unitary operator defined by

$$(\mathcal{U}_z f)(w) = \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{n+\lambda+1}{2}}}{(1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{n+\lambda+1}} (f \circ \phi_z)(w),$$

and ϕ_z denotes the standard biholomorphism of \mathbb{B}^n that interchanges the points 0 and z .

Let $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n))$, we have

$$q\text{-rad} \circ B_{p,\lambda} S(z) = \frac{c_{\lambda+p}}{c_\lambda} \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^p C_{p,\alpha} \int_{\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{k})} \langle S_{Uz} w^\alpha, w^\alpha \rangle_\lambda dU, \quad (3.1)$$

where $S_{Uz} = \mathcal{U}_{Uz} S \mathcal{U}_{Uz}$ for all $U \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{k})$ and for $f \in L_2(\mathbb{B}^n, dv_\lambda)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{U}_{Uz} f)(w) &= \frac{(1 - |Uz|^2)^{\frac{n+\lambda+1}{2}}}{(1 - \langle w, Uz \rangle)^{n+\lambda+1}} f \circ \phi_{Uz}(w) \\ &= \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{n+\lambda+1}{2}}}{(1 - \langle U^* w, z \rangle)^{n+\lambda+1}} f \circ U \circ \phi_z \circ U^*(w) \\ &= (V_{U^*} \circ \mathcal{U}_z \circ V_U f)(w), \end{aligned}$$

where the operator V_U is defined by (2.1).

Therefore, $S_{Uz} = V_{U^*} \circ \mathcal{U}_z \circ V_U \circ S \circ V_{U^*} \circ \mathcal{U}_z \circ V_U$, and from (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} q\text{-rad} \circ B_{p,\lambda}(S)(z) &= \frac{c_{\lambda+p}}{c_\lambda} \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^p C_{p,\alpha} \int_{\mathfrak{U}(k_1) \times \dots \times \mathfrak{U}(k_m)} \langle S_{Uz} w^\alpha, w^\alpha \rangle_\lambda dU \\ &= \frac{c_{\lambda+p}}{c_\lambda} \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^p C_{p,\alpha} \int_{\mathfrak{U}(k_1) \times \dots \times \mathfrak{U}(k_m)} \langle V_{U^*} \circ \mathcal{U}_z \circ V_U \circ S \circ V_{U^*} \circ \mathcal{U}_z \circ V_U(w^\alpha), w^\alpha \rangle_\lambda dU \\ &= \frac{c_{\lambda+p}}{c_\lambda} \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^p C_{p,\alpha} \int_{\mathfrak{U}(k_1) \times \dots \times \mathfrak{U}(k_m)} \langle (V_U \circ S \circ V_{U^*})_z V_U(w^\alpha), V_U(w^\alpha) \rangle_\lambda dU \\ &= \frac{c_{\lambda+p}}{c_\lambda} \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^p C_{p,\alpha} \int_{\mathfrak{U}(k_1) \times \dots \times \mathfrak{U}(k_m)} \langle (V_U \circ S \circ V_{U^*})_z (Uw)^\alpha, (Uw)^\alpha \rangle_\lambda dU \\ &= \frac{c_{\lambda+p}}{c_\lambda} \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^p C_{p,\alpha} \int_{\mathfrak{U}(k_1) \times \dots \times \mathfrak{U}(k_m)} \langle (V_U \circ S \circ V_{U^*})_z w^\alpha, w^\alpha \rangle_\lambda dU \\ &= B_{p,\lambda} \circ Q\text{-Rad}(S)(z). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1. *The \mathbf{k} -quasi-radialization “commutes” with the (p, λ) -Berezin transform for all $\lambda > -1$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$; i.e.,*

$$q\text{-rad} \circ B_{p,\lambda}(S) = B_{p,\lambda} \circ Q\text{-Rad}(S),$$

for $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n))$. In particular, S is a \mathbf{k} -quasi-radial operator if and only if $B_{p,\lambda}(S)$ is a \mathbf{k} -quasi-radial function.

Proof. If S is a \mathbf{k} -quasi-radial operator, then

$$q\text{-rad} \circ B_{p,\lambda}(S) = B_{p,\lambda} \circ Q\text{-Rad}(S) = B_{p,\lambda}(S).$$

So, $B_{p,\lambda}(S)$ is a \mathbf{k} -quasi-radial function.

On the other hand, if $B_{p,\lambda}(S)$ is a \mathbf{k} -quasi-radial function

$$B_{p,\lambda} \circ Q\text{-Rad}(S) = q\text{-rad} \circ B_{p,\lambda}(S) = B_{p,\lambda}(S);$$

since $B_{p,\lambda}$ is one-to-one on bounded operators we can conclude that $Q\text{-Rad}(S) = S$. □

For the next proposition we need the following formula.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, then*

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=j} \frac{(\alpha + \beta)!}{\alpha! \beta!} = \binom{n + j + |\beta| - 1}{j}.$$

Proof. Lemma 3.10 in [3]. □

The next proposition provides the expression of the (p, λ) -Berezin transform of a \mathbf{k} -quasi-radial operator in terms of its eigenvalue sequence.

Proposition 3.3. *Let S be a \mathbf{k} -quasi-radial operator with the eigenvalue sequence $\{\gamma(|\alpha_{(1)}|, \dots, |\alpha_{(m)}|) : (\alpha_{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{(m)}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{k_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_+^{k_m}\}$. Then its (p, λ) -Berezin transform is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} (B_{p,\lambda} S)(z) &= 2^m \frac{c^{\lambda+p}}{c_\lambda} (1 - |z|^2)^{p+\lambda+n+1} \sum_{\substack{p \\ \sum_{j=1}^m t_j=0}}^{p} (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^m t_j} \frac{p!}{(p - \sum_{j=1}^m t_j)!} \\ &\times \sum_{\substack{\infty \\ \sum_{j=1}^m q_j=0}} \left[\frac{\Gamma(n + \sum_{j=1}^m q_j + p + \lambda + 1)}{\Gamma(n + p + \lambda + 1)} \right]^2 \left[\frac{\Gamma(n + \lambda + 1)}{\Gamma(\lambda + 1) \prod_{j=1}^m (k_j - 1 + t_j + q_j)!} \right] \tag{3.2} \\ &\times \int_{\tau(\mathbb{B}^m)} \prod_{j=1}^m r_j^{2(t_j+q_j)+2k_j-1} (1 - r^2)^\lambda dr \binom{n + \sum_{j=1}^m t_j + \sum_{j=1}^m q_j - 1}{\sum_{j=1}^m t_j} \gamma(t_1 + q_1, \dots, t_m + q_m) \frac{|z|^{2 \sum_{j=1}^m q_j}}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^m q_j\right)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle S(w^\alpha K_z^{p+\lambda}), w^\alpha K_z^{p+\lambda} \rangle_\lambda = \sum_{|\beta|=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\Gamma(n+|\beta|+p+\lambda+1)}{\beta! \Gamma(n+p+\lambda+1)} \right]^2 |z^\beta|^2 \langle S w^{\alpha+\beta}, w^{\alpha+\beta} \rangle_\lambda \\
&= \sum_{|\beta_{(1)}|+\dots+|\beta_{(m)}|=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\Gamma(n+|\beta|+p+\lambda+1)}{\beta! \Gamma(n+p+\lambda+1)} \right]^2 |z^\beta|^2 \gamma(|\alpha_{(1)}|+|\beta_{(1)}|, \dots, |\alpha_{(m)}|+|\beta_{(m)}|) \\
&\times \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} w^{\alpha+\beta} \bar{w}^{\alpha+\beta} dv_\lambda(w) \\
&= \sum_{|\beta_{(1)}|+\dots+|\beta_{(m)}|=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\Gamma(n+|\beta|+p+\lambda+1)}{\beta! \Gamma(n+p+\lambda+1)} \right]^2 |z^\beta|^2 \\
&\times \gamma(|\alpha_{(1)}|+|\beta_{(1)}|, \dots, |\alpha_{(m)}|+|\beta_{(m)}|) c_\lambda \prod_{j=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{S}^{k_j}} \zeta^{\alpha_{(j)}+\beta_{(j)}} \bar{\zeta}^{\alpha_{(j)}+\beta_{(j)}} d\zeta \\
&\times \int_{\tau(\mathbb{B}^m)} \prod_{j=1}^m r_j^{2(|\alpha_{(j)}|+|\beta_{(j)}|)+2k_j-1} (1-r^2)^\lambda dr \\
&= \sum_{|\beta_{(1)}|+\dots+|\beta_{(m)}|=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\Gamma(n+|\beta|+p+\lambda+1)}{\beta! \Gamma(n+p+\lambda+1)} \right]^2 \frac{2^m(\alpha+\beta)!}{\prod_{j=1}^m (k_j-1+|\alpha_{(j)}|+|\beta_{(j)}|)} \\
&\times \frac{\Gamma(n+\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(\lambda+1)} \gamma(|\alpha_{(1)}|+|\beta_{(1)}|, \dots, |\alpha_{(m)}|+|\beta_{(m)}|) \\
&\times \int_{\tau(\mathbb{B}^m)} \prod_{j=1}^m r_j^{2(|\alpha_{(j)}|+|\beta_{(j)}|)+2k_j-1} (1-r^2)^\lambda dr |z^\beta|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(B_{p,\lambda} S)(z) &= \frac{c_{\lambda+p}}{c_\lambda} (1-|z|^2)^{p+\lambda+n+1} \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^p C_{p,\alpha} \langle S(w^\alpha K_z^{p+\lambda}), w^\alpha K_z^{p+\lambda} \rangle_\lambda \\
&= \frac{c_{\lambda+p}}{c_\lambda} (1-|z|^2)^{p+\lambda+n+1} \sum_{|\alpha_{(1)}|+\dots+|\alpha_{(m)}|=0}^p \binom{p}{|\alpha|} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \frac{|\alpha|!}{\alpha!} \\
&\times \sum_{|\beta_{(1)}|+\dots+|\beta_{(m)}|=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\Gamma(n+|\beta|+p+\lambda+1)}{\beta! \Gamma(n+p+\lambda+1)} \right]^2 \frac{2^m(\alpha+\beta)!}{\prod_{j=1}^m (k_j-1+|\alpha_{(j)}|+|\beta_{(j)}|)} \\
&\times \frac{\Gamma(n+\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(\lambda+1)} \gamma(|\alpha_{(1)}|+|\beta_{(1)}|, \dots, |\alpha_{(m)}|+|\beta_{(m)}|) \\
&\times \int_{\tau(\mathbb{B}^m)} \prod_{j=1}^m r_j^{2(|\alpha_{(j)}|+|\beta_{(j)}|)+2k_j-1} (1-r^2)^\lambda dr |z^\beta|^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 2^m \frac{c_{\lambda+p}}{c_\lambda} (1-|z|^2)^{p+\lambda+n+1} \sum_{|\alpha_{(1)}|+\dots+|\alpha_{(m)}|=0}^p \binom{p}{|\alpha|} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \frac{|\alpha|!}{\alpha!} \\
 &\times \sum_{|\beta_{(1)}|+\dots+|\beta_{(m)}|=0}^\infty \left[\frac{\Gamma(n+|\beta|+p+\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(n+p+\lambda+1)} \right]^2 \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^m (k_j-1+|\alpha_{(j)}|+|\beta_{(j)}|)} \\
 &\times \frac{\Gamma(n+\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(\lambda+1)} \gamma(|\alpha_{(1)}|+|\beta_{(1)}|, \dots, |\alpha_{(m)}|+|\beta_{(m)}|) \\
 &\times \int_{\tau(\mathbb{B}^m)} \prod_{j=1}^m r_j^{2(|\alpha_{(j)}|+|\beta_{(j)}|)+2k_j-1} (1-r^2)^\lambda dr \frac{(\alpha+\beta)!}{\beta!^2} |z^\beta|^2 dr \\
 &= 2^m \frac{c_{\lambda+p}}{c_\lambda} (1-|z|^2)^{p+\lambda+n+1} \sum_{t_1+\dots+t_m=0}^p \binom{p}{t_1+\dots+t_m} (-1)^{t_1+\dots+t_m} \\
 &\times (t_1+\dots+t_m)! \sum_{q_1+\dots+q_m=0}^\infty \left[\frac{\Gamma(n+\sum q_j+p+\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(n+p+\lambda+1)} \right]^2 \\
 &\times \frac{\Gamma(n+\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(\lambda+1) \prod_{j=1}^m (k_j-1+t_j+q_j)} \frac{\gamma(|\alpha_{(1)}|+|\beta_{(1)}|, \dots, |\alpha_{(m)}|+|\beta_{(m)}|)}{(q_1+\dots+q_m)!} \\
 &\times \sum_{|\beta|=q_1+\dots+q_m} \frac{(q_1+\dots+q_m)!}{|\beta|!} |z^\beta|^2 \sum_{|\alpha|=t_1+\dots+t_m} \frac{(\alpha+\beta)!}{\alpha! \beta!} \\
 &\times \int_{\tau(\mathbb{B}^m)} \prod_{j=1}^m r_j^{2(|\alpha_{(j)}|+|\beta_{(j)}|)+2k_j-1} (1-r^2)^\lambda dr.
 \end{aligned}$$

By the multinomial theorem and the last lemma we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (B_{p,\lambda} S)(z) &= 2^m \frac{c_{\lambda+p}}{c_\lambda} (1-|z|^2)^{p+\lambda+n+1} \sum_{\sum t_j=0}^p (-1)^{\sum t_j} \frac{p!}{(p-\sum t_j)!} \\
 &\times \sum_{\sum q_j=0}^\infty \left[\frac{\Gamma(n+\sum q_j+p+\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(n+p+\lambda+1)} \right]^2 \frac{\Gamma(n+\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(\lambda+1) \prod_{j=1}^m (k_j-1+t_j+q_j)} \\
 &\times \int_{\tau(\mathbb{B}^m)} \prod_{j=1}^m r_j^{2(|\alpha_{(j)}|+|\beta_{(j)}|)+2k_j-1} (1-r^2)^\lambda dr \binom{n+\sum_{j=1}^m t_j+\sum_{j=1}^m q_j-1}{\sum_{j=1}^m t_j} \\
 &\times \gamma(t_1+q_1, \dots, t_m+q_m) \frac{|z|^{2\sum_{j=1}^m q_j}}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^m q_j\right)!}.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.4. Let S be a \mathbf{k} -quasi-radial operator with the eigenvalue sequence

$$\{\gamma(|\alpha_{(1)}|, \dots, |\alpha_{(m)}|)\}_{(\alpha_{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{(m)}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m}.$$

Then its Berezin transform $B_\lambda(S) := B_{0,\lambda}(S)$ is a quasi-radial function and is given by

$$(B_\lambda S)(z) = 2^m (1 - |z|^2)^{\lambda+n+1} \sum_{\sum q_j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n + \sum q_j + \lambda + 1)^2}{\Gamma(\lambda + 1)\Gamma(n + \lambda + 1) \prod_{j=1}^m (k_j - 1 + q_j)!} \\ \times \int_{\tau(\mathbb{B}^m)} \prod_{j=1}^m r_j^{2q_j+2k_j-1} (1 - r^2)^\lambda \gamma(q_1, \dots, q_m) \frac{|z|^{2\sum q_j}}{(\sum q_j)!} dr.$$

Acknowledgments

The work was partially supported by PROMEP (Mexico) via “Proyecto de Redes” and by CONACYT Project 180049.

References

- [1] W. Bauer, N. Vasilevski, On the structure of commutative Banach algebras generated by Toeplitz operators on unit ball. Quasi-elliptic case. I: Generating subalgebras. *J. Functional Analysis*, **265** (2013), pp 2956-2990.
- [2] M. Engliš, Density of algebras generated by Toeplitz operators on Bergman spaces, *Ark. Mat.*, **30** no. 2 (1992), pp 227-243.
- [3] W. Bauer, C. Herrera, and N. Vasilevski, Eigenvalue characterization of radial operators on weighted Bergman spaces over the unit ball. *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory*, **78**, no. 2 (2014), pp 271-300.
- [4] W. Bauer, C. Herrera, and N. Vasilevski, (m, λ) -Berezin transform and approximation of operators on weighted Bergman spaces over the unit ball. In: *Operator Theory in Harmonic and Non-commutative Analysis*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications **240** (2014), pp 45-68.
- [5] M. Mitkovski, D. Suárez, and B. D. Wick, The essential norm of operators on $A_a^p(\mathbb{B}_n)$. *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory*, **75**, no. 2 (2013), pp 197-233.
- [6] K. Nam, D. Zheng, and C. Zhong, m -Berezin transform and compact operators. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, **22**(3) (2000), pp 867-892.
- [7] D. Suárez, Approximation and symbolic calculus for Toeplitz algebras on the Bergman space. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, **20**(2) (2004), pp 563-610.
- [8] N. Vasilevski, Quasi-Radial Quasi-Homogeneous Symbols and Commutative Banach Algebras of Toeplitz Operators. *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory*, **66**, no. 1 (2010), pp 141-152.
- [9] K. Zhu, *Spaces of holomorphic functions in the unit ball*. Springer Verlag 2005.