

UNITS AND CLASS-GROUPS IN THE
 ARITHMETIC THEORY OF FUNCTION FIELDS

BY DAVID GOSS

ABSTRACT. In the arithmetic theory of function fields, there are *two* distinct analogs of classical Bernoulli numbers. It has been known for a while that one analog was intimately connected with class number formulae. Due to recent progress, one can now begin to understand the arithmetic meaning of the other.

Let $k = \mathbf{F}_r(T)$, $r = p^m$, and let $A = \mathbf{F}_r[T]$. Let $\mathcal{P} \in \text{Spec}(A)$ be associated to a prime of degree d . As in [2] (the notation of [2] will be followed throughout), one can associate to \mathcal{P} the abelian extension $k(\mathcal{P})$ of k with Galois group isomorphic to A/\mathcal{P}^* . This extension is very closely analogous to $Q(\zeta_p)/Q$; ζ_p a primitive p th root of unity. We will be interested here in the "totally real" subfield $k(\mathcal{P})_+$ of $k(\mathcal{P})$ obtained as the fixed field of $\mathbf{F}_r^* \subseteq A/\mathcal{P}^*$. In particular, our results are concerned with the p -primary class group $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{P})_+^{(p)}$ of $k(\mathcal{P})_+$ and with the class group $\widehat{\text{Cl}}(\mathcal{P})_+^{(p)}$ of the ring of A -integers $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P})_+$ of $k(\mathcal{P})_+$. One knows that the natural projection of $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{P})_+^{(p)}$ to $\widehat{\text{Cl}}(\mathcal{P})_+^{(p)}$ is surjective (see [2, 6.1.2]).

Associated to A one has the elements $\beta(i)$, $i \in \mathbf{N}^+$, and B_i , $i \in (r-1)\mathbf{N}^+$. These elements of k are similar to classical Bernoulli numbers in that they are special values of certain zeta-functions ([2]; the $\beta(i)$ occur at negative integers, the B_i occur at positive integers $\equiv 0 \pmod{r-1}$) and together possess the known properties of Bernoulli numbers; e.g., the $\beta(i)$ satisfy \mathcal{P} -adic congruences, etc. Let $i \in (r-1)\mathbf{N}^+$ with $i < r^d - 1$ and let $\omega_{\mathcal{P}}$ be the Teichmüller character of A/\mathcal{P}^* . Note that by Carlitz's von Staudt result [2, 5.2.4] B_i is \mathcal{P} -integral in this range.

In [3] the following result is established.

THEOREM 1. $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{P})_+^{(p)}(\omega_{\mathcal{P}}^i) \neq \{0\}$ if and only if $\mathcal{P} | \beta(r^d - 1 - i)$.

Now let $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P})_+^*$ be the group of cyclotomic units. From the result of Galovich-Rosen [2, 7.2.1] one knows that $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P})_+^*/\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{P})$ is a finite group of order equal to the class number of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P})_+$. In fact, in [3] the following p -adic refinement of this result is established.

THEOREM 2. The p -groups $(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P})_+^*/\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{P}))^{(p)}(\omega_{\mathcal{P}}^i)$ and $\widehat{\text{Cl}}(\mathcal{P})_+^{(p)}(\omega_{\mathcal{P}}^i)$ have the same order.

In [4], S. Okada has introduced a version of the classical Kummer homomorphism for $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P})_+^*$ and has established the following.

Received by the editors October 25, 1984 and, in revised form, April 30, 1985.
 1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 12A90.

©1985 American Mathematical Society
 0273-0979/85 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

THEOREM 3. $(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P})_+^*/\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{P}))^{(p)}(\omega_{\mathcal{P}}^i) \neq \{0\}$ implies that $\mathcal{P}|B_i$.

Thus, from Theorem 2 we obtain the following result.

THEOREM 4. $\widetilde{\text{Cl}}(\mathcal{P})_+^{(p)}(\omega_{\mathcal{P}}^i) \neq \{0\}$ implies $\mathcal{P}|B_i$.

It is most interesting that the converse to Theorem 4 is *false*. Indeed, if it were true, Theorem 1 would then imply that \mathcal{P} must divide $\beta(r^d - 1 - i)$. But for $r = 3$, we find $(T^3 - T + 1)|B_{10}$ but does *not* divide $\beta(16)$.

It can also happen that $\mathcal{P}|\beta(r^d - 1 - i)$ but does not divide B_i (e.g., $r = 3$, $(T^3 - T + 1)|\beta(8)$ but does not divide B_{18}). In this case, we find by Theorem 1 that the i th component of $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{P})_+^{(p)}$ is nonzero and by [2, 6.1.2] is concentrated on the infinite primes. Thus, it can be *canonically* and exactly described by Stickelberger elements. This result forms a version of the *Spiegelungssatz* for function fields.

The remaining case ($\mathcal{P}|B_i$ and $\mathcal{P}|\beta(r^d - 1 - i)$) has yet to be fully explored. However, there *are* examples where $\widetilde{\text{Cl}}(\mathcal{P})_+^{(p)}(\omega_{\mathcal{P}}^i) \neq \{0\}$.

Finally, the \mathcal{P} -divisibility properties of the $\beta(i)$ and B_i are given by the following result. It is intriguing that $\beta(i)$ appears to be more divisible than B_i .

PROPOSITION 5. (a) Let $i \in (r - 1)\mathbb{N}^+$ with $i < (r^d - 1)/p$. Then, $\mathcal{P}|B_i$ if and only if $\mathcal{P}|B_{pi}$.

(b) Let $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Then $\mathcal{P}|\beta(i)$ if and only if $\mathcal{P}|\beta(pi)$.

(c) Let $i_1, i_2 \in \mathbb{N}^+$ with $i_1 \equiv i_2 \pmod{r^d - 1}$. Then $\mathcal{P}|\beta(i_1)$ if and only if $\mathcal{P}|\beta(i_2)$.

(d) Let $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$ be of the form $p^j(r^h - 1)$. If $i < r^d - 1$ then $\mathcal{P} \nmid B_i$.

(a) and (b) follow from the fact that $\zeta(pi) = \zeta(i)^p$ and the properties of the Γ_i . Part (c) follows from the congruences satisfied by the $\beta(i)$. Part (d) follows from an explicit calculation of B_i for such i by Carlitz. One should note that the numbers i of the form $r^h - 1$ are precisely those that are congruent to 0 $\pmod{r - 1}$ and for which one has numerical evidence linking Γ_i and $\beta(i)$ [2].

REFERENCES

1. L. Carlitz, *An analogue of the von Staudt-Clausen theorem*, Duke Math. J. **3** (1937), 503–517.
2. D. Goss, *The arithmetic of function fields 2: The ‘cyclotomic’ theory*, J. Algebra **81** (1983), 107–149.
3. D. Goss and W. Sinnott, *Class-groups of function fields*, Duke Math. J. **52** (1985), 507–516.
4. S. Okada, *Kummer’s theory for function fields* (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OHIO 43210-1174