

## APPROXIMATION BY POLYNOMIALS IN TWO DIFFEOMORPHISMS

BY A. G. O'FARRELL AND K. J. PRESKENIS

We denote by  $\mathbb{C}$  the complex plane. If  $f$  and  $g$  are complex-valued functions on a set  $S$ , then  $\mathbb{C}[f, g]$  denotes the algebra of polynomials in  $f$  and  $g$ , with complex coefficients, regarded as functions on  $S$ .

**THEOREM.** *Let  $1 \leq k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and let  $f$  and  $g$  be  $C^k$  diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ , having opposite degrees. Then  $\mathbb{C}[f, g]$  is dense in the Fréchet space  $C^k(\mathbb{C})$ , i.e., given  $h \in C^k(\mathbb{C})$ , and  $X \subset \mathbb{C}$  compact, there is a sequence  $h_n \in \mathbb{C}[f, g]$  such that  $h_n$  and its derivatives up to order  $k$  tend to  $h$  and its derivatives, uniformly on  $X$ .*

In case  $f(z) = z$  and  $g(z) = \bar{z}$ , the Theorem reduces to a result of Weierstrass.

Since each diffeomorphism of the closed unit disc  $D$  into  $\mathbb{C}$  extends to a diffeomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ , we deduce the following.

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be  $C^1$  diffeomorphisms of  $D$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ , having opposite degrees. Then  $\mathbb{C}[f, g]$  is dense in  $C(D)$ .*

This settles an old chestnut in the field of uniform algebras. It remains open whether the Corollary works for  $k = 0$ , i.e., for all pairs of homeomorphisms of opposite degrees.

**PROOF OF THEOREM.** Without loss of generality, we may take  $g = z$ , because the chain rule for  $D^j(h \circ g)$  is linear in  $h$  and involves only  $D^i h$  and  $D^i g$  for  $0 \leq i \leq j$ .

Since  $f$  has degree  $-1$ , we deduce that  $|f_{\bar{z}}| > |f_z|$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ . In particular,  $f_{\bar{z}} \neq 0$ , so the graph  $G = \{(z, f(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : z \in \mathbb{C}\}$ , which is a  $C^k$  submanifold of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , has no complex tangents. By the Range-Siu theorem [2],  $C^k(G)$  is the closure of the space  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  of all functions holomorphic in a neighbourhood of  $G$ . If we can show that  $G$  has an exhaustion by polynomially-convex compact sets, then by the functional calculus [4, Chapter 8], it will follow that  $\mathbb{C}[z, w]$  is dense in  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ , and hence in  $C^k(G)$ ; since  $z \mapsto (z, f)$  is a  $C^k$  diffeomorphism of  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow G$ , this will imply that  $\mathbb{C}[z, f]$  is dense in  $C^k(\mathbb{C})$ . Thus it suffices to show that  $X = \{(z, f(z)) : z \in K\}$  is polynomially-convex whenever  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a closed disc.

Fix a closed disc  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ . By modifying  $f$  off  $K$ , if need be, we may assume  $f$  maps  $\mathbb{C}$  onto  $\mathbb{C}$ , that  $Df$  and  $Df^{-1}$  are bounded and uniformly continuous, and that  $|f_{\bar{z}}|$  and  $1 - |f_z/f_{\bar{z}}|$  are bounded away from zero. We need two lemmas, which are essentially classical results of Wermer.

---

Received by the editors August 10, 1983.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46J10; Secondary 30E10, 32E30.

© 1984 American Mathematical Society  
0273-0979/84 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

LEMMA 1. *There exists a constant  $\lambda_1 > 0$  such that*

$$(z - a)(f(z) - f(a)) + \lambda f_{\bar{z}}(a)$$

*is nonzero whenever  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$ ,  $a \in \mathbf{C}$ , and  $z \in \mathbf{C}$ .*

PROOF. Pick  $\delta > 0$  such that the modulus of continuity  $\omega(\delta)$  of  $Df$  at  $\delta$  is less than half  $(\inf |f_{\bar{z}}|)(1 - \sup_{\mathbf{C}} |f_z/f_{\bar{z}}|)$ . Applying the mean value theorem to the real and imaginary parts of  $f$  we deduce that for  $0 < |z - a| < \delta$ , the value  $f(z) - f(a)$  differs from  $f_{\bar{z}}(a)(z - a) + f_z(a)(z - a)$  by less than  $2\omega(\delta)|z - a|$ . Thus

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{(z - a)(f(z) - f(a))}{f_{\bar{z}}(a)} \geq 0$$

whenever  $|z - a| < \delta$ . But for  $|z - a| \geq \delta$ ,

$$\left| \frac{(z - a)(f(z) - f(a))}{f_{\bar{z}}(a)} \right| \geq \frac{\delta^2 (\sup |Df^{-1}|)^{-1}}{\inf |f_{\bar{z}}|}.$$

Denoting the right-hand side by  $\lambda_1$ , we see that  $(z - a)(f(z) - f(a))/f_{\bar{z}}(a)$  omits  $\{-\lambda : 0 < \lambda < \lambda_1\}$ , for all  $a$  and  $z$ , so the lemma is proved.

Let us denote the uniform closure of  $\mathbf{C}[z, f]$  in  $C(K)$  by  $A$ .

LEMMA 2. *Suppose that for each  $a \in K$ , there exists a sequence  $\lambda_n \downarrow 0$  such that  $(z - a)(f(z) - f(a)) + \lambda_n f_{\bar{z}}(a)$  is invertible in  $A$ . Then  $A = C(K)$ .*

PROOF. Briefly, let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $K$ , annihilating  $A$ . It suffices to show that the Cauchy transform  $\hat{\mu}(a) = \int d\mu(\zeta)/\zeta - a$  vanishes at every point  $a \in K$  at which the Newtonian potential  $\int d|\mu|(\zeta)/|\zeta - a|$  is finite. But the hypothesis, together with Lemma 1, yields a sequence  $f_n \in A$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow (z - a)^{-1}$ , pointwise on  $K \sim \{a\}$ , and  $|f_n(z)| \leq \text{const } |z - a|^{-1}$ . Thus the dominated convergence theorem yields the desired result.

We remark that the hypothesis of Lemma 2 can be weakened to "almost all  $a \in K$ ".

CONCLUSION OF PROOF OF THEOREM. Suppose  $X$  is not polynomially-convex. Then  $A \neq C(K)$ , so by Lemma 2, there exists  $a \in K$  and  $\lambda_2 > 0$  such that for every  $\lambda$  with  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_2$ , the polynomial  $(z - a)(w - f(a)) + \lambda f_{\bar{z}}(a)$  has a zero somewhere on the polynomially-convex hull of  $X$ . Fix  $\lambda$ , with  $0 < \lambda < \min\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$ . Then the family of algebraic curves

$$(z - a - t)(w - f(a + t)) + \lambda f_{\bar{z}}(a + t) = 0 \quad (0 \leq t < \infty)$$

is a curve of algebraic hypersurfaces which meets the hull of  $X$ , does not meet  $X$  (by Lemma 1), and goes to the hyperplane at infinity (since  $f$  maps onto  $\mathbf{C}$ , and  $f_{\bar{z}}$  is bounded). This contradicts Oka's characterization of polynomial hulls, as given in [3, (1.2), p. 263]. Thus  $X$  is polynomially-convex, and we are done.

We remark that minor modifications to the foregoing proof permit us to strengthen the Corollary, as follows:

Let  $f$  be an orientation-reversing homeomorphism of  $\mathbf{C}$  into  $\mathbf{C}$ , which is locally  $C^1$  and noncritical off a closed set  $E$ , having area zero and not separating the plane. Then  $\mathbf{C}[z, f]$  is dense in  $C(\mathbf{C})$ .

Also, for any compact set  $X$  in  $\mathbf{C}$  and for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , suppose  $\text{Lip}(\alpha, X)$  denotes the space of bounded functions  $g$  of  $X$  into  $\mathbf{C}$  such that for some  $K > 0$ ,  $|g(z) - g(w)| \leq K|z - w|^\alpha$  for all  $z, w \in X$  with norm  $\sup |g| + \text{Least } K$  and suppose  $\text{lip}(\alpha, X)$  denotes those functions  $g \in \text{Lip}(\alpha, X)$  such that, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|g(z) - g(w)| \leq \epsilon|z - w|^\alpha$  whenever  $z$  and  $w$  satisfy  $|z - w| < \delta$ . In view of the results given in [1, p. 227], the conclusion of the above remark implies  $\mathbf{C}[z, f]$  is dense in  $\text{lip}(\alpha, X)$  for any compact set  $X$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ .

Finally, we remark that the Theorem of this paper is sharp in the sense that one critical point destroys it.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. G. O'Farrell and K. J. Preskenis, *Approximation by polynomials in two complex variables*, Math. Ann. **246** (1980), 225-232.
2. M. Range and Y.-T. Siu,  *$C^k$  approximation by holomorphic functions and  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed forms on  $C^k$  submanifolds of a complex manifold*, Math. Ann. **210** (1974), 105-122.
3. G. Stolzenberg, *Polynomially and rationally convex sets*, Acta Math. **109** (1963), 259-289.
4. J. Wermer, *Banach algebras and several complex variables*, Springer, 1976.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MAYNOOTH COLLEGE, CO. KILDARE, IRELAND

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FRAMINGHAM STATE COLLEGE, FRAMINGHAM,  
MASSACHUSETTS 01701

