

NOWHERE SOLVABLE HOMOGENEOUS PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS¹

BY HOWARD JACOBOWITZ AND FRANÇOIS TREVES

Nirenberg showed in [4] that the Lewy operator $L_0 = \partial/\partial x + i\partial/\partial y - 2i(x + iy)\partial/\partial u$ may be perturbed to obtain an operator L_1 such that if $L_1 h = 0$ in a connected neighborhood of the origin then h is a constant in the neighborhood. In this note we eliminate the restriction to neighborhoods of a distinguished point and show that such operators are dense in the natural topology. We then announce a similar result for certain systems.

We consider operators defined on some open set $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ where perhaps Ω is all of \mathbf{R}^3 . Let $S = \{L = \sum_{j=1}^3 \alpha_j(x)\partial/\partial x_j; \alpha_j \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbf{C})\}$. As the topology on S we take the one induced by the usual topology on $C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbf{C})$, namely uniform convergence of all derivatives on compact subsets. Note that this topology is metrizable and thus any countable intersection of open dense sets is dense.

DEFINITION. An operator $L \in S$ is aberrant if every C^λ function h , with $\lambda > 1$, satisfying $Lh = 0$ on some open connected subset of Ω is constant on that subset.

THEOREM. *The aberrant operators are dense in S .*

REMARKS. 1. The proof which follows is surprisingly simple and avoids the complicated construction in [4]. However Nirenberg's result only required that $h \in C^1$.

2. In our previous paper [1] we showed that any operator in S with L, \bar{L} , and $[L, \bar{L}]$ linearly independent may be perturbed to an operator L_1 such that if $L_1 h = 0$ near the origin then $dh = 0$ at the origin. As we shall see, a simple Baire category argument allows us both to conclude that h is a constant and to eliminate the distinguished point.

3. The denseness holds in a finer topology since we work with only compactly supported perturbations. In particular we may find an aberrant operator L for which L, \bar{L} , and $[L, \bar{L}]$ are linearly independent at each point of \mathbf{R}^3 . Thus there exists a strictly pseudo-convex CR structure on \mathbf{R}^3 with only the constants as local CR functions.

We start the proof by defining a subspace of S . For each $P \in \Omega$ let $S_P = \{L \in S; \text{if } v \in C^1(\Omega) \text{ and } Lv = 0 \text{ in a neighborhood of } P, \text{ then } dv(P) = 0\}$.

Here $dv(P)$ represents the differential of v acting on $T_P \mathbf{R}^3$.

LEMMA. *For each $P \in \Omega$, S_P is dense in S .*

Received by the editors September 29, 1982 and, in revised form, January 18, 1983.
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 35F05.

¹This work partially supported by NSF Grants MCS-8003048 and MCS-8102435.

PROOF. Since this is an approximation result we may assume that L is real analytic in some neighborhood of P and that L, \bar{L} and $[L, \bar{L}]$ are linearly independent there. We now follow the procedure used in [1]. Since L is real analytic there exist solutions $Lh_1 = 0$ and $Lh_2 = 0$ with $dh_1 \wedge dh_2 \neq 0$ near P . If $F(z, w)$ is a holomorphic function then $h(x) = F(h_1(x), h_2(x))$ also satisfies $Lh = 0$. Using this observation plus the fact that L, \bar{L} and $[L, \bar{L}]$ are linearly independent we may introduce coordinates (x, y, u) on Ω near P such that $z = x + iy$ and $w = u + iG(x, y, u)$ are solutions of $Lh = 0$ and G has the form $G = |z|^2 + \alpha zu + \bar{\alpha} \bar{z}u + bu^2 + \mathcal{O}(|z| + |u|)^3$. In these coordinates $L = \phi(x)((1 + iG_u)\partial/\partial\bar{z} - iG_{\bar{z}}\partial/\partial u)$ where $\phi(P) \neq 0$.

We consider the operator R defined by $L = \phi R$. It suffices to find some R_1 , defined in a neighborhood of the origin and uniformly close, along with its derivatives up to some large order, to R in that neighborhood, with the property that if v is C^1 and $R_1v = 0$ near the origin, then $dv(0) = 0$. For then we transform back to the original coordinates and extend R_1 appropriately to obtain our operator L_1 .

Let $\Gamma(\lambda) = \{(z, u); w(z, \bar{z}, u) = \lambda\}$. Since $w = u + iG(x, y, u)$, with G having the form given above, one can find some curve $\text{Im } \lambda = \nu(\text{Re } \lambda)$ passing through the origin in the λ -plane such that $\Gamma(\lambda)$ is a simple closed curve for $\text{Im } \lambda > \nu(\text{Re } \lambda)$, a point for $\text{Im } \lambda = \nu(\text{Re } \lambda)$, and empty for $\text{Im } \lambda < \nu(\text{Re } \lambda)$. Let $\bar{\Omega}$ be a neighborhood of the origin symmetric with respect to the curves $\Gamma(\lambda)$ in the sense that whenever $\Gamma(\lambda) \cap \bar{\Omega}$ is nonempty, then $\Gamma(\lambda) \subset \bar{\Omega}$. Next let $\{C_i\}$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint closed discs in the λ -plane, lying above the curve $\text{Im } \lambda = \nu(\text{Re } \lambda)$ and collapsing down to the origin. Let $T_i = \{(z, u); w(z, \bar{z}, u) \in C_i\}$ be the corresponding closed topological solid torus. Now consider a function h with $Rh = 0$ in $\bar{\Omega} - \bigcup T_i$. One may show that $\int_{\Gamma(\lambda)} h dz$ is a holomorphic function of λ as long as $\Gamma(\lambda) \subset \bar{\Omega} - \bigcup T_i$. This holomorphic function becomes zero on the curve $\text{Im } \lambda = \nu(\text{Re } \lambda)$ and so is identically zero. It follows that $\int \int_{\partial T_i} h dz \wedge dw = 0$. But for any set with a smooth boundary

$$\iint_{\partial T} h dz \wedge dw = \iiint_T dh \wedge dz \wedge dw = 2i \iiint_T Rh dx dy du.$$

Now let $f_1(z, \bar{z}, u)$ be a C^∞ function which is positive in each T_{2i+1} and zero everywhere else; similarly for f_2 and T_{2i} . If $Rh = f_1h_u + f_2h_z$ then

$$\iiint_{T_{2i+1}} f_1h_u dx dy du = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \iiint_{T_{2i}} f_2h_z dx dy du = 0.$$

It follows that $h_u(0) = h_z(0) = 0$. Since $Rh = 0$ at the origin, one also has that $h_{\bar{z}}(0) = 0$. Thus we take $R_1 = R - f_1\partial/\partial u - f_2\partial/\partial z$ and use it, in the manner given above, to establish the lemma.

Our Baire category argument is a modification of that of Lewy [3]. Let $\{P_j\}$ be a countable dense set of points in Ω , N_j the open ball of radius j^{-1} centered at P_j , $\|h\|_{\lambda, j}$ the Hölder norm for $C^\lambda(N_j)$ and $|\omega(P_j)|$ any norm on the three dimensional vector space of co-vectors at P_j .

We let $E_{j, m, n}$ be the set of all those vector fields $L \in \mathcal{S}$ for which there exists a function $h \in C^{1+1/n}(N_j)$ such that

1. $Lh = 0$ in N_j ,
2. $\|h\|_{1+1/n, j} \leq m$,
3. $|dh(P_j)| \geq 1/m$.

If $L \in \text{closure}(E_{j, m, n})$ then there exists some $h \in C^1(N_j)$ such that $Lh = 0$ in N_j and $|dh(P_j)| \geq 1/m$. (In fact more is true: $h \in C^{1+1/n}$ and so $E_{j, m, n}$ is closed.) Thus $L \notin S_{P_j}$. Now the fact that S_{P_j} is dense implies that $E_{j, m, n}$ is nowhere dense. By the Baire theorem there exists a dense set of vector fields none of which belongs to any $E_{j, m, n}$. Any one of these vector fields has the property asserted in the theorem.

To present a result for higher dimensions we consider complex vector fields L_1, \dots, L_n on $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{2n+1}$ with the following properties:

1. $L_1, \dots, L_n, \bar{L}_1, \dots, \bar{L}_n$ are linearly independent.
2. $[L_j, L_k] = 0 \pmod{\{L_1, \dots, L_n\}}$.
3. The Levi form has $n-1$ eigenvalues of one sign and one of the opposite sign.

Such vector fields form a space τ which we topologize using $C^\infty(\Omega, C)$. (It is of no concern that τ is not a linear space.)

It is a well-known result of Hörmander that under condition 3 (for $n > 1$) any distribution which satisfies the system $L_j h = 0$ is necessarily C^∞ . So we now say that the system of operators L_1, \dots, L_n is *aberrant* if every distribution solution to $L_j h = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ on an open, connected subset of Ω is a constant function on that subset.

THEOREM. *The aberrant operators are dense in τ .*

See [2] for the proof. Here we only note that the perturbation process in [1] for $n > 1$ did not yield $dh = 0$ and so a slightly more complicated procedure must be used. The difficulty, of course, is that one must only work with perturbations which preserve condition 2 (formal integrability).

REFERENCES

1. H. Jacobowitz and F. Trèves, *Non-realizable CR structures*, Invent. Math. **66** (1982), 231–249.
2. ———, *Aberrant CR structures* (volume in honor of T. Shirota, 1983), Hokkaido J. Math. (to appear).
3. H. Lewy, *An example of a smooth linear partial differential equation without solution*, Ann. of Math. (2) **66** (1957), 155–158.
4. L. Nirenberg, *On a question of Hans Lewy*, Russian Math. Surveys **29** (1974), 251–262.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY,
CAMDEN, NEW JERSEY 08102

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, NEW BRUNSWICK,
NEW JERSEY 08903

