

## UNIQUENESS OF ORIENTATION PRESERVING PL INVOLUTIONS OF 3-SPACE

BY KYUNG WHAN KWUN<sup>1</sup> AND JEFFREY L. TOLLEFSON

Communicated by Morton L. Curtis, December 21, 1972

**1. Introduction.** Waldhausen [2] has proven that every PL involution of  $S^3$  with 1-dimensional fixed point set is PL equivalent to the one which rotates  $S^3$  around an unknotted simple closed curve. In this note we show how the corresponding result for  $R^3$  (which has been heretofore unknown) follows from a technique used by the second author in his recent paper [1]. Specifically, we prove

**THEOREM 1.** *Every orientation preserving PL involution of  $R^3$  is PL equivalent to the one which rotates  $R^3$  around the z-axis.*

Since such an involution must have 1-dimensional fixed point set, the above theorem is a consequence of the following theorem if one considers the one-point compactification of  $R^3$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $h$  be an involution of a closed 3-manifold  $M$  with 1-dimensional fixed point set  $F$ . If, for some  $x \in F$ , there exists a triangulation of  $M - \{x\}$  making  $h|M - \{x\}$  piecewise linear, then there exists a triangulation of  $M$  making  $h$  piecewise linear.*

Theorem 2 will be proved by literally imitating the reduction method [2, proof of Lemma 2] of Tollefson.

### 2. Proof of Theorem 2.

**LEMMA.** *Let  $M$ ,  $F$ ,  $x$  and  $h$  be as in Theorem 2. Then, for any neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$ , there exists in  $U$  an invariant 3-cell  $D$  containing  $x$  in its interior such that  $\partial D \cap F \neq \emptyset$  and  $\partial D$  is a PL subspace of  $M - \{x\}$ .*

**PROOF.** We indicate how to modify the proof of Lemma 2 of [1] to produce the desired invariant 3-cell  $D$ . We may assume that  $F$  is not contained in  $U$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be the set of all PL 2-spheres in  $M - \{x\}$  that bound 3-cell neighborhoods of  $x$  in  $U$  and are in  $h$ -general position modulo  $F$  (in the sense of [1]). The lemma follows from the proof of Lemma 2 of [1] if the phrase "2-spheres not bounding 3-cells" is replaced by "PL 2-spheres in  $M - \{x\}$  bounding 3-cell neighborhoods of  $x$  in  $U$ ."

In order to prove Theorem 2, consider a sequence of invariant 3-cells

---

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 57A10; Secondary 55A10, 57C15, 57E25.

<sup>1</sup>Supported in part by NSF grants GP-29515X and 30808.

(as in the lemma)  $D_1, D_2, \dots$  such that  $D_{i+1} \subset \text{Int}(D_i)$  and  $\bigcap D_i = x$ . Observe that  $F$  meets each 2-sphere  $\partial D_i$  in two points. By applying the above-mentioned result of Waldhausen to  $h|D_i - \text{Int}(D_{i+1})$  (for each  $i$ ), we find that  $h|D_1$  is topologically equivalent to the cone of  $h|\partial D_1$ . Now extend the triangulation of  $M - \text{Int}(D_1)$  to  $M$  by triangulating  $D_1$  as the cone over  $\partial D_1$ . This proves Theorem 2.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. L. Tollefson, *Involutions on  $S^1 \times S^2$  and other 3-manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
2. F. Waldhausen, *Über Involutionsen der 3-Sphäre*, Topology **8** (1969), 81–91. MR **38** # 5209.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MICHIGAN 48823

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A & M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS 77843