

BERGMAN OPERATORS FOR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS IN THREE INDEPENDENT VARIABLES

BY DAVID COLTON

Communicated by Fred Brauer, March 25, 1971

Introduction. S. Bergman [1] and I. N. Vekua [7] have both constructed integral operators which map analytic functions of one complex variable onto solutions of the elliptic equation

$$(1) \quad u_{xx} + u_{yy} + a(x, y)u_x + b(x, y)u_y + c(x, y)u = 0.$$

We wish to announce in this note the extension of these results to the three-variable case, i.e. the equation

$$(2) \quad u_{xx} + u_{yy} + u_{zz} + a(x, y, z)u_x + b(x, y, z)u_y \\
 + c(x, y, z)u_z + d(x, y, z)u = 0$$

where a, b, c, d are real valued entire functions of the (complex) variables x, y, z . (With minor modifications we could have assumed only that a, b, c, d are analytic in some ball containing the origin.) Partial results on integral operators for equation (2) (in the special case when $a=b=c=0$) have been obtained by Bergman [1], Tjong [6], Colton and Gilbert [4], and Gilbert and Lo [5].

Main results. Let $X=x, Z=\frac{1}{2}(y+iz), Z^*=\frac{1}{2}(-y+iz)$. Then equation (2) becomes

$$(3) \quad U_{XX} - U_{ZZ^*} + A(X, Z, Z^*)U_X + B(X, Z, Z^*)U_Z \\
 + C(X, Z, Z^*)U_{Z^*} + D(X, Z, Z^*)U = 0$$

where

$$(4) \quad U(X, Z, Z^*) = u(x, y, z), \\
 A(X, Z, Z^*) = a(x, y, z), \\
 B(X, Z, Z^*) = \frac{1}{2}(b(x, y, z) + ic(x, y, z)) \\
 C(X, Z, Z^*) = \frac{1}{2}(-b(x, y, z) + ic(x, y, z)) \\
 D(X, Z, Z^*) = d(x, y, z).$$

The substitution

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 35A20, 35C15; Secondary 35J15.

Key words and phrases. Integral operators, elliptic equations, analytic functions, complete families.

$$(5) \quad V(X, Z, Z^*) = U(X, Z, Z^*) \exp \left[- \int_0^Z C(X, Z', Z^*) dZ' \right]$$

yields the following equation for $V(X, Z, Z^*)$,

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} V_{XX} - V_{ZZ^*} + \bar{A}(X, Z, Z^*)V_X + \bar{B}(X, Z, Z^*)V_Z \\ + \bar{D}(X, Z, Z^*)V = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{A}, \bar{B}, \bar{D}$ are expressible in terms of the coefficients A, B, C, D . Let $U_0(X, Z, Z^*)$ be the real valued, entire solution of equation (3) which satisfies the Goursat data $U_0(X, 0, Z^*) = U_0(X, Z, 0) = 1$. Note that in the special case when $D=0$ we can choose $U_0 \equiv 1$. In the general case when $D \neq 0$, U_0 can be constructed via the recursive scheme

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} U_0 &= 1 + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W_n, \\ W_{n+1} &= \int_0^Z \int_0^{Z^*} \left(\frac{\partial^2 W_n}{\partial X^2} + A \frac{\partial W_n}{\partial X} + B \frac{\partial W_n}{\partial Z} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + C \frac{\partial W_n}{\partial Z^*} + DW_n - D \right) dZ' dZ^{*'}, \\ W_0 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By introducing the variables

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \xi_1 &= 2\zeta Z, \\ \xi_2 &= X + 2\zeta Z, \\ \xi_3 &= X + 2\zeta^{-1}Z^*, \\ \mu &= \frac{1}{2}(\xi_2 + \xi_3) = X + \zeta Z + \zeta^{-1}Z^*, \end{aligned}$$

where ζ is a complex variable such that $1 - \epsilon < |\zeta| < 1 + \epsilon, 0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, we can now state the following theorem. In the theorems which follow "Re" denotes "take the real part" and "Im" denotes "take the imaginary part."

THEOREM 1. *Let*

$$(9) \quad E^*(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \zeta, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^{2n} \mu^n p^{(n)}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \zeta)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 & p_1^{(n+1)} - \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{A}^* + \tilde{B}^*\zeta)p^{(n+1)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2n+1} \{ p_{22}^{(n)} + p_{33}^{(n)} - 4p_{13}^{(n)} - 2p_{23}^{(n)} + (\tilde{A}^* + 2\tilde{B}^*\zeta)p_2^{(n)} \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad + \tilde{A}^*p_3^{(n)} + 2\tilde{B}^*\zeta p_1^{(n)} + \tilde{D}^*p^{(n)} \},
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{10}$$

$$p^{(1)}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \zeta) = \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\xi_1} (\tilde{A}^* + \tilde{B}^*\zeta) d\xi_1' \right],$$

$$p^{(n+1)}(0, \xi_2, \xi_3, \zeta) = 0, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$p_i^{(n)} = \partial p^{(n)} / \partial \xi_i, \quad p_{ij}^{(n)} = \partial^2 p^{(n)} / \partial \xi_i \partial \xi_j,$$

with $\tilde{A}^*(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \zeta) = \tilde{A}(X, Z, Z^*)$, $\tilde{B}^*(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \zeta) = \tilde{B}(X, Z, Z^*)$, $\tilde{D}^*(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \zeta) = \tilde{D}(X, Z, Z^*)$. Then the following is true:

(1) $E^*(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \zeta, t) = E(X, Z, Z^*, \zeta, t)$ is regular in $G_R \times B \times T$ where $G_R = \{(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) : |\xi_i| < R, i = 1, 2, 3\}$, $B = \{\zeta : 1 - \epsilon < |\zeta| < 1 + \epsilon\}$, $T = \{t : |t| \leq 1\}$, and R is an arbitrarily large positive number.

(2) If $U(X, Z, Z^*)$ is a real valued (for (x, y, z) real) solution of equation (3) which is regular in some neighborhood of the origin, then there exists an analytic function $f(\mu, \zeta)$ which is regular for μ in some neighborhood of the origin and $|\zeta| < 1 + \epsilon$, such that locally

$$(11) \quad U(X, Z, Z^*) = U(0, 0, 0)U_0(X, Z, Z^*) + \text{Re } C_3\{f\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_3\{f\} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta|=1} \int_{-1}^{+1} \exp \left[\int_0^z C(X, Z', Z^*) dZ' \right] \\
 & \cdot E(X, Z, Z^*, \zeta, t) f(\mu(1 - t^2), \zeta) \frac{dt}{(1 - t^2)^{1/2}} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{12}$$

(3) If

$$(13) \quad U(X, 0, Z^*) - U(0, 0, 0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0; n+m \neq 0}^{\infty} \gamma_{nm} X^n Z^{*m},$$

$$(14) \quad \overline{C}(X, Z, Z^*) = \overline{C}(X, -Z^*, -Z), \quad x, y, z \text{ real},$$

then

$$(15) \quad f(\mu, \zeta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{n+1} a_{nm} \frac{\Gamma(n+2)}{\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} \mu^n \zeta^m,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{n-1,0} &= \gamma_{n0}, \quad n \geq 1, \\
 a_{n+m-1,m} &= \frac{2n!m!}{(n+m)!} \gamma_{nm} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{n!}{(n+m)!k!} \delta_{km} \gamma_{n-k,0}, \quad n \geq 0, m > 0, \\
 \delta_{km} &= \left(\frac{\partial^{k+m}}{\partial X^k \partial Z^{*m}} \exp \left[\int_0^{-Z^*} \bar{C}(X, Z', 0) dZ' \right] \right)_{X=Z^*=0}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{16}$$

(The finite series in equation (16) is omitted when $n = 0$.)

The fact that every real valued twice continuously differentiable solution of equation (2) (i.e., a regular solution of equation (3)) can be represented in the form of equation (11) now leads to the following theorem:

THEOREM 2. *Let G be a bounded, simply connected domain in Euclidean three space, and, for x, y, z real, define*

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_0(x, y, z) &= U_0(X, Z, Z^*) \\
 u_{2n,m}(x, y, z) &= \operatorname{Re} \mathbf{C}_3 \{ \mu^n \zeta^m \}, \quad 0 \leq n < \infty, m = 0, 1, \dots, n+1, \\
 u_{2n+1,m}(x, y, z) &= \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{C}_3 \{ \mu^n \zeta^m \}, \quad 0 \leq n < \infty, m = 0, 1, \dots, n+1.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{17}$$

Then the set $\{u_0\} \cup \{u_{nm}\}$ is a complete family of solutions for equation (2) in the space of real valued solutions of equation (2) defined in G .

Special cases. (a) $A = B = C = 0$.

THEOREM 3. *Assume $A = B = C = 0$, and let*

$$\tilde{E}^*(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \zeta, t) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^{2n} \mu^n p^{(n+1)}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \zeta)
 \tag{18}$$

where the $p^{(n)}$ are defined by equation (10) with $\bar{A} = \bar{B} = 0$. Then

- (1) $\tilde{E}^*(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \zeta, t) = \tilde{E}(X, Z, Z^*, \zeta, t)$ is regular in $G_R \times B \times T$.
- (2) Every real valued solution $U(X, Z, Z^*)$ of equation (3) which is regular in some neighborhood of the origin can be represented locally in the form

$$U(X, Z, Z^*) = \operatorname{Re} P_3 \{ f \}
 \tag{19}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_3 \{ f \} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\xi|=1} \int_{-1}^{+1} \tilde{E}(X, Z, Z^*, \zeta, t) f(\mu(1-t^2), \zeta) \frac{dt}{(1-t^2)^{1/2}} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta},
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{20}$$

and

$$(21) \quad f(\mu, \zeta) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma'} g(\mu(1-t^2), \zeta) \frac{dt}{t^2}$$

$$(22) \quad g(\mu, \zeta) = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left[\mu \int_0^1 U(t\mu, 0, (1-t)\mu\zeta) dt \right] - U(\mu, 0, 0).$$

In equation (21) γ' is a rectifiable arc joining the points $t = -1$ and $t = +1$ and not passing through the origin.

(b) $A = B = C = D = 0$.

In the special case when $A = B = C = D = 0$, the operator P_3 reduces to the well-known Bergman-Whittaker operator B_3 [1] and equation (22) gives a new inversion formula for the operator $\text{Re } B_3$.

Complete proofs of the results stated in this announcement will appear in [2] and [3].

REFERENCES

1. S. Bergman, *Integral operators in the theory of linear partial differential equations*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, N.F., Heft 23, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1961. MR 25 #5277.
2. D. Colton, *Integral operators for elliptic equations in three independent variables*. I, *Applicable Analysis* (to appear).
3. ———, *Integral operators for elliptic equations in three independent variables*. II, *Applicable Analysis* (to appear).
4. D. Colton and R. P. Gilbert, *An integral operator approach to Cauchy's problem for $\Delta_{p+1}u(\mathbf{x}) + F(\mathbf{x})u(\mathbf{x}) = 0$* , *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 2 (1971), 113–132.
5. R. P. Gilbert and C. Y. Lo, *On the approximation of solutions of elliptic partial differential equations in two and three dimensions*, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 2 (1971), 17–30.
6. B. L. Tjong, *Operators generating solutions of $\Delta_3\psi(x, y, z) + F(x, y, z)\psi(x, y, z) = 0$ and their properties*, *Analytic Methods in Math. Phys.*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1970.
7. I. N. Vekua, *New methods for solving elliptic equations*, OGIZ, Moscow, 1948; English transl., *Series in Appl. Math.*, vol. 1, North-Holland, Amsterdam; Interscience, New York, 1967. MR 11, 598; MR 35 #3243.

INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, INDIANA 47401