

AXIOM A+NO CYCLES \Rightarrow $\zeta_f(t)$ RATIONAL

BY JOHN GUCKENHEIMER

Communicated by Richard Palais, December 1, 1969

Throughout, $f: M \rightarrow M$ is a smooth diffeomorphism of a compact C^∞ manifold without boundary.

Let N_i denote the number of fixed points of f^i . Then

DEFINITION. $\zeta_f(t) = \exp \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} N_i t^i / i$ (as a formal power series in t). This definition, due to Artin-Mazur [1], is inspired by Weil's zeta function for a variety defined over a finite field [6]. For the connection of Weil's zeta function with the Riemann zeta function, see [3].

Recall the following definitions from *Differentiable dynamical systems* [4].

DEFINITION. $x \in M$ is *nonwandering* if for every neighborhood U of x , there is an $n > 0$ such that $f^n(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset$. $\Omega(f) = \Omega$ is the set of nonwandering points of f . Ω is closed.

DEFINITION. f satisfies Axiom A if $T_\Omega(M)$ has a continuous splitting $T_\Omega(M) = E^s + E^u$, invariant under Tf , such that there exist positive constants $c, \lambda, \lambda < 1$ satisfying the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf^n v\| &\leq c\lambda^n \|v\| & \text{if } n > 0 \text{ and } v \in E^s, \\ \|Tf^n v\| &\geq c\lambda^{-n} \|v\| & \text{if } n > 0 \text{ and } v \in E^u. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, it is assumed that the periodic points of f are dense in Ω .

If f satisfies Axiom A, then $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \dots \cup \Omega_k$ where Ω_i is invariant under f and $f|_{\Omega_i}$ is topologically transitive. Define the relation \cong by $\Omega_i \cong \Omega_j$ if $W^u(\Omega_i) \cap W^s(\Omega_j) \neq \emptyset$. Here $W^u(\Omega_i)$ is the set of points tending toward Ω_i under negative iteration; $W^s(\Omega;)$ is the set of points tending toward Ω_j under iteration.

DEFINITION. If f satisfies Axiom A and the relation \cong defined above is a partial ordering, then f is said to have the *No Cycle Property*.

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following:

THEOREM. *If f satisfies Axiom A and the No Cycle Property, then $\zeta_f(t)$ is rational.*

The basic idea of the proof is due to Williams [7]. As a preliminary,

AMS Subject Classifications. Primary 3465, 5536, 5750.

Key Words and Phrases. Dynamical systems, periodic points, zeta functions for diffeomorphisms.

recall the following well-known lemma about formal power series.

LEMMA. $\exp(\sum N_i t^i / i)$ is a rational function of t if and only if there exist integral matrices A and B such that $N_i = \text{Tr} A^i - \text{Tr} B^i$.

PROOF. See Williams [8].

Also recall the Lefschetz trace formula [2]: if X is a Euclidean neighborhood retract with boundary and $g: X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous map with image in the interior of X , then

$$\sum_{p \in \text{fix } g} L(p) = \sum_i (-1)^i \text{Tr } g_*^i.$$

Here $\text{fix } g$ is the set of fixed points of g , $L(p)$ is the index of g at p , g_*^i is the induced map of $H_i(X; Q)$.

PROPOSITION (SMALE [4]). If $f: M \rightarrow M$ satisfies Axiom A and p is a fixed point of f then $L(p) = (-1)^u \Delta$ where $u = \dim E_p^u$ and $\Delta = +1$ or -1 as $Tf|_{E_p^u}$ preserves or reverses orientation respectively.

The reader is advised to compare our proof of the theorem with Williams' proof that the zeta function of an attractor is rational [7].

PROOF OF THEOREM. Let Ω_0 be one of the closed invariant subsets of Ω on which f is topologically transitive. We show that $f|_{\Omega_0}$ has a rational zeta function. Lemma 4.1 of [5] shows that we can find an arbitrarily small neighbourhood U of Ω_0 and an open set U_0 such that

- (1) U and U_0 have smooth boundaries,
- (2) $f(\overline{U} \cup \overline{U}) \subset U \cup U_0$,
- (3) $f(U_0) \subset U_0$,
- (4) $\overline{U}_0 \cap \Omega_0 = \emptyset$.

In particular we choose U, U_0 as above so that the bundle $E^u|_{\Omega_0}$ has a continuous extension to \overline{U} .

Set $V = \overline{U} - (U_0 \cap U)$. V is a compact neighborhood of Ω_0 and there is a continuous extension of $E^u|_{\Omega_0}$ to V , which we again denote E^u . There is a double cover $\pi: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ such that the bundle \tilde{E}^u induced by π from E^u is orientable over \tilde{V} . (\tilde{V} is constructed so that its fundamental group is the largest subgroup of $\pi_1(V)$ which preserves the orientation of E^u over V . See Williams [7] again.) \tilde{V} is chosen so that the fibers of \tilde{E}^u over the two points in a fiber of $\pi: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ have the opposite orientation.

Next define the quotient space $W = \tilde{V} \cup \overline{U}_0 / \sim$ where \sim identifies x, y and $\pi(x) = \pi(y)$ if x and y lie in the same fiber of \tilde{V} over $\partial U \cap \partial U_0$. Define the map $T: W \rightarrow W$ by $T|_{\overline{U}_0} = \text{identity}$ and $T|_{\tilde{V}}$ is the map which interchanges the two points in a fiber of π . f lifts to a map $\tilde{f}: W \rightarrow W$ defined by

- (1) $\tilde{f}|_{\bar{U}_0} = f|_{\bar{U}_0}$, and
 (2) $\tilde{f}: \tilde{V} \rightarrow \tilde{W}$ is the map covering $f: V \rightarrow W$ which preserves the orientation of \tilde{E}^n .

f also lifts to the map $T\tilde{f}$. Note that if $p \in \Omega_0$ is a fixed point of f^i , then $L(p) = (-1)^u$ for \tilde{f}^i and $L(p) = (-1)^{u+1}$ for $T\tilde{f}^i$ by the proposition stated above. We now apply the Lefschetz trace formula to \tilde{f}^i and $T\tilde{f}^i$:

$$(*) \quad \sum_{p \in \text{fix } \tilde{f}^i} L(p) - \sum_{p \in \text{fix } T\tilde{f}^i} L(p) = \sum_j (-1)^j \text{Tr } f_{*j}^i - \sum_j (-1)^j \text{Tr } T f_{*j}^i.$$

By observing that $(T\tilde{f}^i)_* = T_*(\tilde{f}^i_*)$ we conclude that there are integral matrices A and B such that the right-hand side of (*) is equal to $\text{Tr } A^i - \text{Tr } B^i$ for all i . Again Williams [7] has more detail. On the other hand, since $\tilde{f}^i|_{U_0} = T\tilde{f}^i|_{U_0}$, the left side of (*) is equal to $(-1)^u$ ($\#$ fixed points of $\tilde{f}^i + \#$ fixed points of $T\tilde{f}^i$). Over each fixed point of f^i in Ω_0 , exactly one of \tilde{f}^i and $T\tilde{f}^i$ has two fixed points. Therefore

($\#$ fixed points of $f^i|_{\Omega_0}$) = $(-1/2)^u$ ($\#$ fixed points of $\tilde{f}^i|_{\tilde{V}} + \#$ fixed points of $T\tilde{f}^i|_{\tilde{V}}$). Hence there are integral matrices A and B such that

$$(\# \text{ fixed points of } f^i|_{\Omega_0}) = \text{Tr } A^i - \text{Tr } B^i,$$

proving that the zeta function of $f|_{\Omega_0}$ is rational. Because the spectral decomposition of $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \dots \cup \Omega_k$ into topologically transitive pieces is finite, and because $\zeta_f(t) = \prod_i \zeta_f|_{\Omega_i}(t)$, this suffices to prove the theorem.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. M. Artin and B. Mazur, *On periodic points*, Ann. of Math. (2) **81** (1965), 82–99 MR **31** #754.
2. A. Dold, *Fixed point index and fixed point theorem for Euclidean neighborhood retracts*, Topology **4** (1965), 1–8. MR **33** #1850.
3. J. Serre, *Rationalité des fonctions ζ des variétés algébriques*, Séminaire Bourbaki, (1959/60) fasc. 2, exposés 198, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1960. MR **23** #A2273.
4. S. Smale, *Differentiable dynamical systems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 747–817. MR **37** #3598.
5. ———, *Ω -stability theorem*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **14** (1970), 289–297.
6. A. Weil, *Numbers of solutions of equations in finite fields*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1949), 497–508. MR **10**, 592.
7. R. F. Williams, *The zeta function of an attractor*, Conference on the Topology of Manifolds (Michigan State University, E. Lansing, Mich., 1967) Prindle, Weber & Schmidt, Boston, Mass., 1968, pp. 155–161. MR **38** #3877.
8. ———, *One-dimensional non-wandering sets*, Topology **6** (1967), 473–487. MR **36** #897.