

NONLINEAR EIGENVALUE PROBLEMS AND GALERKIN APPROXIMATIONS

BY FELIX E. BROWDER

Communicated December 21, 1967

Let X be a reflexive Banach space, T and S two mappings of X into its conjugate space X^* . We denote the pairing between w in X^* and u in X by (w, u) , and weak convergence (in either X or X^*) by \rightarrow , strong convergence (in either X or X^*) by \rightarrow .

By an eigenvalue problem for the pair (T, S) , we mean the problem of finding an element u in X and a real number λ such that

$$(1) \quad T(u) = \lambda S(u),$$

with u possibly satisfying additional normalization conditions. It is our purpose in the present note to describe a way of applying a method of Galerkin type to such problems which works in particular for nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems of variational type. We obtain from it a general theorem on the existence of normalized eigenfunctions for the latter problem, and in the case of T and S odd operators, we obtain also an extremely general form of a theory of Lusternik-Schnirelman type guaranteeing the existence of infinitely many distinct normalized eigenfunctions.

We consider first some restrictions that may be placed on the nonlinear operator T .

DEFINITION 1. T is said to satisfy condition (S) if for any sequence $\{u_j\}$ in X with $u_j \rightarrow u$ in X and $(T(u_j) - T(u), u_j - u) \rightarrow 0$, we have $u_j \rightarrow u$ in X .

DEFINITION 2. T is said to satisfy condition $(S)_0$ if for each sequence $\{u_j\}$ in X with $u_j \rightarrow u$ in X , $T(u_j) \rightarrow z$ in X^* , and $(T(u_j), u_j) \rightarrow (z, u)$, we have $u_j \rightarrow u$ in X .

LEMMA 1. (a) If T satisfies condition (S) , it satisfies condition $(S)_0$.

(b) If T is continuous and satisfies condition $(S)_0$, and if K is any compact set of X^* , B any bounded closed set of X , then $T^{-1}(K) \cap B$ is compact.

(c) If T is continuous and satisfies condition $(S)_0$, then the image under T of any bounded closed set B of X is closed in X^* .

PROOF OF LEMMA 1. **PROOF OF (a).** Suppose $u_j \rightarrow u$, $T(u_j) \rightarrow z$, and $(T(u_j), u_j) \rightarrow (z, u)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (T(u_j) - T(u), u_j - u) &= (T(u_j), u_j) - (T(u_j), u) - (T(u), u_j - u) \\ &\rightarrow (z, u) - (z, u) - 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by the condition (S) , $u_j \rightarrow u$. Q.E.D.

PROOF OF (b). Let $\{u_j\}$ be a sequence in $T^{-1}(K) \cap B$. By passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $u_j \rightarrow u$ in X , $T(u_j) \rightarrow z$ in K . Hence $(T(u_j), u_j) \rightarrow (z, u)$ and, by condition $(S)_0$, $u_j \rightarrow u$. Hence $u \in B$, and by the continuity of T , $T(u) = z$, i.e. $u \in T^{-1}(K) \cap B$. Q.E.D.

PROOF OF (c). The conclusion of (b) implies that T is a proper continuous map of B into X^* . Hence it is a closed map of B into X^* and $T(B)$ is closed in X^* . Q.E.D.

We now give our principal methodological result.

THEOREM 1. *Let X be a separable reflexive Banach space, T and S two continuous bounded mappings of X into X^* with T satisfying condition $(S)_0$ and S a compact map of X into X^* . Let $\{X_n\}$ be an increasing sequence of finite dimensional subspaces of X whose union is dense in X , B a closed bounded subset of X . Suppose that for each n , there exists an element u_n of $B \cap X_n$ with the property that*

$$j_n^* T(u_n) = \lambda_n j_n^* S(u_n),$$

where j_n is the injection mapping of X_n into X , and j_n^* is the dual projection of X^* onto X_n^* . Suppose that $|\lambda_n|$ is uniformly bounded.

Then there exists an eigenfunction u of the pair (T, S) in B , i.e. $T(u) = \lambda S(u)$, and for any weakly convergent subsequence $u_{n(k)} \rightarrow u$ of the sequence $\{u_n\}$, u is such an eigenfunction and $u_{n(k)} \rightarrow u$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Since B is bounded and X is reflexive, the sequence $\{u_n\}$ has a weakly convergent subsequence. We may replace the original sequence by this subsequence and assume that $u_n \rightarrow u$. It suffices to show that $\{u_n\}$ has a strongly convergent subsequence and that u is an eigenfunction of the pair (T, S) . Since $|\lambda_n|$ is uniformly bounded, we may assume for our original sequence (again by passing to an infinite subsequence) that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$, and since S is compact, that $S(u_n) \rightarrow w$ in X^* .

Let v be any element of V_m for some m , and consider $n \geq m$. Then,

$$(Tu_n, v) = (Tu_n, j_n v) = (j_n^* T(u_n), v) = \lambda_n (j_n^* S(u_n), v) = \lambda_n (S(u_n), v).$$

Hence

$$(T(u_n), v) \rightarrow \lambda(w, v), (n \rightarrow + \infty).$$

Since this is true for each v in the dense union of the spaces V_m and since the sequence $\{T(u_n)\}$ is bounded, it follows that $T(u_n) \rightarrow \lambda w$.

On the other hand, by the same argument,

$$(T(u_n), u_n) = \lambda_n(S(u_n), w) \rightarrow \lambda(w, v).$$

Applying the condition $(S)_0$ for T , we see that $u_n \rightarrow u$. Since T and S are continuous, $T(u_n) \rightarrow T(u)$, $S(u_n) \rightarrow w$. Hence

$$T(u) = \lim_n T(u_n) = \lambda w = -S(u). \qquad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

The special interest of the conditions (S) and $(S)_0$ is that they are satisfied by quasi-linear elliptic differential operators in generalized divergence form under extremely weak hypotheses on the operators.

THEOREM 2. *Let Ω be a bounded open set in R^n for which the Sobolev Imbedding Theorem is valid, A and B two differential operators on Ω of the form*

$$A(u) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha A_\alpha(x, u, Du, \dots, D^m u),$$

$$B(u) = \sum_{|\beta| \leq m-1} (-1)^{|\beta|} D^\beta B_\beta(x, u, \dots, D^m u).$$

For each α and β , let $A_\alpha(x, \xi)$ and $B_\beta(x, \xi)$ be continuous in x and Lebesgue measurable in ξ . Suppose that for a given exponent p with $1 < p < +\infty$, V is a closed subspace of the Sobolev space $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ and for u and v in V , we set

$$a(u, v) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (A_\alpha(x, u, Du, \dots, D^m u), D^\alpha v),$$

$$b(u, v) = \sum_{|\beta| \leq m-1} (B_\beta(x, u, Du, \dots, D^m u), D^\beta v),$$

(with $(w, v) = \int_\Omega wv$). Suppose that the following three conditions are satisfied:

(1) There exists a constant c_0 and functions c_α in $L^{p'}(\Omega)$ such that

$$|A_\alpha(x, \xi)| \leq c_\alpha(x) + c_0 \sum_{|\phi|=m} |\xi_\phi|^{p-1} + \sum_{|\phi| \leq m-1} |\xi_\phi|^{q_\alpha},$$

$$|B_\beta(x, \xi)| \leq c_\beta(x) + c_0 \sum_{|\phi|=m} |\xi_\phi|^{q_{\beta\phi}},$$

where

$$q_{\alpha\phi} < p_\phi q_\alpha^{-1}, \quad q_\alpha = \max(1, np(np - n + p(m - |\alpha|))^{-1}),$$

$$p_\phi^{-1} = \max(0, np(n - p(m - |\phi|))^{-1}).$$

(2) For $\psi = \{\psi_\beta: |\beta| \leq m-1\}$, $\zeta = \{\zeta_\alpha: |\alpha| = m\}$, set $A_\alpha(x, \psi, \zeta)$

$= A(x, \xi)$ where $\xi = [\psi, \zeta]$. Then for every x in Ω , ψ, ζ and ζ' with $\zeta \neq \zeta'$,

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=m} [A_\alpha(x, \psi, \zeta) - A_\alpha(x, \psi, \zeta')](\zeta_\alpha - \zeta'_\alpha) > 0.$$

(3) There exist positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} A_\alpha(x, \xi) \xi_\alpha \geq c_1 |\xi|^p - c_2.$$

Then: (a) The form $a(u, v)$ is well defined for all u and v in V and there exists an unique element $T(u)$ in V^* such that $a(u, v) = (T(u), v)$ for all v in V and a given element u in V . Similarly, $b(u, v)$ is well defined for u and v and $b(u, v) = (S(u), v)$ for all v in V and a given u in V , when $S(u) \in V^*$.

(b) T is a bounded continuous mapping of V into V^* which satisfies condition (S).

(c) S is a compact mapping of V into V^* .

The proof of Theorem 2 and the details of further applications of these arguments will be given in another paper.

Let us consider, however, the application of Theorems 1 and 2 to the "self-adjoint" case, i.e. when A and B are the Euler-Lagrange operators of multiple integral variational problems.

THEOREM 3. Let T and S be the derivatives of two C_1 functions f and g on V , respectively, where T is bounded and satisfies condition (S)₀ and S is compact. Let c be a constant such that on the level set $M_c = \{u | f(u) = c\}$, $(T(u), u) > 0$, while M_c is bounded. Suppose that $g(u) > 0$ for u in M_c , that $(S(u), u) > 0$ on M_c , and that for each set B on M_c for which $g(u) > \epsilon > 0$, $(S(u), u) > d(\epsilon) > 0$.

Then g assumes its maximum at a point u_0 of M_c , and $T(u_0) = \lambda S(u_0)$ for some $\lambda > 0$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. V is assumed as in Theorem 1 to be a separable reflexive Banach space. We choose an increasing sequence V_n of finite dimensional subspaces whose union is dense in V and with $M_c \cap V_n$ having their union dense in M_c . Let f_n and g_n be the restrictions of f and g to V_n . Then $M_c \cap V_n$ is the c -level set of f_n and $f'_n = j_n^* T$, $g'_n = j_n^* S$. Since $(f'_n(u), u) = (T(u), u) > 0$ on $M_c \cap V_n$, $M_c \cap V_n$ is a manifold. The function g is C^1 on this compact manifold and assumes its maximum m_n on $M_c \cap V_n$ at a point u_n which satisfies the condition $T(u_n) = \lambda_n S(u_n)$. Since $g(u_n) = m_n \rightarrow m = \sup_{u \in M_c} g(u)$, $(S(u_n), u_n) \geq d_0 > 0$ for all n . Hence, since

$$\lambda_n = (T(u_n), u_n) / (S(u_n), u_n),$$

λ_n is uniformly bounded. If we apply Theorem 1, we obtain the conclusion that for an infinite subsequence, $u_{n(k)} \rightarrow u$, where u is an eigenfunction $T(u) = \lambda S(u)$. Since g is continuous, $g(u_{n(k)}) \rightarrow g(u) = m$. Since M_c is closed, $u \in M_c$. Q.E.D.

THEOREM 4. *Let V be a separable reflexive Banach space, T and S two continuous mappings of V into V^* with T bounded and satisfying condition $(S)_0$, S compact. Suppose that T and S are the derivatives of two C^1 functions f and g on V , and suppose that on the level set $M_c = \{u \mid f(u) = c\}$, $(T(u), u) > 0$. Suppose that M_c is invariant under the involution $\pi(u) = -u$, and that $g(-u) = g(u)$ on M_c . Suppose further that M_c is intersected exactly once by each ray through the origin, that $g(u) > 0$ for u in M_c , that $(S(u), u) > 0$ on M_c and that $g(u)$ and $(S(u), u)$ go to zero together on M_c . Suppose finally that for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a finite dimensional subspace V_ϵ of V such that outside the ϵ -neighborhood of V_ϵ , $g(u) < \epsilon$. For each j , let*

$$h_j = \sup_{P\text{-cat}(K, M_c) \geq j} \min_{u \in K} g(u),$$

where the supremum is taken over compact subsets K of M_c whose image in M_c/π has Lusternik-Schnirelman category $\geq j$.

Then:

- (a) For each j , h_j is well defined and there exists u_j in M_c with

$$T(u_j) = \lambda_j S(u_j), \quad (\lambda_j > 0), \quad f(u_j) = c, \quad g(u_j) = h_j,$$

while $\lambda_j \rightarrow +\infty$, $h_j \rightarrow 0$.

- (b) Suppose that $\dim(V_n) \geq j$. Then we can define

$$h_{j,n} = \sup_{P\text{-cat}(K, M_c) \geq j, K \subset V_n} \min_{u \in K} g(u),$$

and for each $j \leq n$, there exists $u_{j,n}$ in V_n such that

$$j_n^* T(u_{j,n}) = j_n^* S(u_{j,n}), \quad f(u_{j,n}) = c, \quad g(u_{j,n}) = h_{j,n}.$$

- (c) For any fixed j and any infinite subsequence $u_{j,n(k)} \rightarrow u_j$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, u_j is an eigenfunction satisfying the condition of part (a) and $u_{j,n(k)} \rightarrow u_j$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 4. Since $f'_n = j_n^* T$, so that $(f'_n(u), u) > 0$ on $M_c \cap V_n$, the latter is a manifold for each n , and $(M_c \cap V_n)/\pi$ is homeomorphic to P^{n-1} , which has Lusternik-Schnirelman category n . The conclusions of (b) then follow from the classical Lusternik-Schnirelman theory on finite dimensional manifolds (Lusternik [7], Vainberg [8]). The conclusion of (a) will follow from that of part (c) so that it suffices to prove (c).

PROOF OF (c). We may assume without loss of generality that $u_{j,n} \rightarrow u_j$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $g(u_{j,n}) = h_{j,n} \rightarrow h_j$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$ where $h_j > 0$ for each j , it follows that $(S(u_{j,n}), u_{j,n}) \geq d_0 > 0$ for all n . Hence $\lambda_{j,n} = (T(u_{j,n}), u_{j,n})(S(u_{j,n}), u_{j,n})^{-1}$ is uniformly bounded. Applying Theorem 1, we find that $u_{j,n} \rightarrow u_j$. Hence $f(u_j) = \lim_n f(u_{j,n}) = c$. Since $g(u_j) = \lim_n g(u_{j,n}) = h_j$, and since by Theorem 1, u_j is an eigenfunction of the pair (T, S) , our conclusion follows. Q.E.D.

REMARKS. (1) The result of Theorem 4 combined with Theorem 2 generalizes the writer's results in [4] under weaker regularity and boundedness hypotheses on the A_α and makes no explicit use of the theory of infinite dimensional manifolds.

(2) An earlier attempt to weaken the regularity hypotheses of [4] was made by M. Berger [1] using an infinite dimensional argument. His argument in [1] contains a number of serious errors and gaps which make it doubtful that the argument can be carried through (cf. the review by C. W. Clark in Math. Reviews).

(3) A recent paper with a similar title by S. Hildebrandt [6] has no intersection with the present paper since it concerns linear operators depending nonlinearly on λ , not nonlinear operators depending linearly on λ . However, the methods of the present paper can be used to combine Hildebrandt's results with those given here and extend them to nonlinear operators.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. M. Berger, *A Sturm-Liouville Theorem for nonlinear elliptic partial differential equations*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **20** (1966), 543-582.
2. F. E. Browder, *Nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1963), 862-874.
3. ———, *Variational methods for nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **71** (1965), 176-183.
4. ———, *Infinite dimensional manifolds and nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problems*, Ann. of Math. **82** (1965), 459-477.
5. ———, *Problèmes nonlinéaires*, University of Montreal Press, Montreal, 1966.
6. S. Hildebrandt, *Über die Lösung nichtlinearer Eigenwertaufgaben mit der Güterkinverfahren*, Math. Z. **101** (1967), 255-264.
7. L. A. Lusternik, *The topology of the calculus of variations in the large*, Transl. Math. Monographs, vol. **16**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1966.
8. M. M. Vainberg, *Variational methods for the study of nonlinear operators*, Holden-Day, San Francisco, 1964.

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO