

2. G. Hermann, *Die Frage der endlich vielen Schritte in der Theorie der Polynomideale*, Math. Ann. 95 (1925), 736-788.
3. E. Noether, *Eliminationstheorie und allgemeine Idealthorie*, Math. Ann. 90 (1923), 229-261.
4. J.-P. Serre, *Corps locaux*, Hermann, Paris, 1962.
5. B. L. Van der Waerden, *Modern algebra*. Vols. I, II, 2nd ed., Ungar, New York, 1937-1940.

INSTITUT DES HAUTES ETUDES SCIENTIFIQUES, FRANCE AND BROWN UNIVERSITY

VARIETIES OF GROUPS AND BURNSIDE'S PROBLEM

BY L. G. KOVÁCS

Communicated by Michio Suzuki, December 15, 1967

If \mathfrak{B} is a variety of groups and d is a positive integer, $\mathfrak{B}^{(d)}$ denotes the variety consisting of the groups whose d -generator subgroups are all in \mathfrak{B} . In a recent paper [3], B. H. Neumann formulated the Extended Burnside Problem:

Problem 7. Let \mathfrak{B} be a locally finite variety and $d \geq 1$ an integer. Is $\mathfrak{B}^{(d)}$ locally finite?

He went on to ask two related questions:

Problem 8. Is there, to each locally finite variety \mathfrak{B} , an integer $d = d(\mathfrak{B})$ such that $\mathfrak{B}^{(d)}$ is locally finite?

Problem 9. Do the locally finite groups in $\mathfrak{B}^{(d)}$, where \mathfrak{B} is a locally finite variety, form a variety?

Neumann called the latter the Restricted Extended Burnside Problem. One might derive from it, like Problem 8 from Problem 7, the following:

Problem N. Is there, to each locally finite variety \mathfrak{B} , an integer $n = n(\mathfrak{B})$ such that the locally finite groups in $\mathfrak{B}^{(n)}$ form a variety?

The purpose of this note is to present reduction theorems for Problem 8 and Problem N, similar to the Hall-Higman reduction theorems [1] for the classical forms of Burnside's Problem.

THEOREM 1. *If \mathfrak{B} is a locally finite and locally soluble variety, \mathfrak{B}_{LN} is the variety consisting of the locally nilpotent groups of \mathfrak{B} , and $\mathfrak{B}_{LN}^{(d)}$ is locally finite for some integer d , then $\mathfrak{B}^{(d^*)}$ is locally finite for some integer d^* .*

This is a direct consequence of (c) of the forthcoming paper [2]. Theorem 2 will be derived from the following part of (b) of [2]:

LEMMA. *If \mathfrak{U} is a locally finite variety which contains only finitely many (isomorphism classes of) finite simple groups, and if \mathfrak{B}_1 is the class of those groups whose nilpotent factors and simple factors all belong to \mathfrak{U} , then \mathfrak{B}_1 is a locally finite variety.*

In order to formulate Theorem 2 in full generality, some additional terminology is required. According to M. B. Powell (cf. Sheila Oates [4]), the complexity of a finite simple group S is 0 if S is abelian, and $k+1$ if S is not abelian and k is the largest complexity which occurs for the proper simple factors of S . In particular, the groups of complexity 1 are precisely the minimal simple groups of J. G. Thompson [5]. Attempts of Oates and Powell (cf. [4]) have raised the hope that the near future may bring a proof of the

CONJECTURE. For each nonnegative integer k , there exists an integer $m(k)$, depending on k , such that:

(k) Every finite simple group of complexity at most k can be generated by $m(k)$ elements.

Obviously, (0) is valid with $m(0) = 1$, and Thompson's classification of the minimal simple groups [5] implies (1) with $m(1) = 2$. It has, of course, been long conjectured that (k) is always true with $m(k) = 2$; but no proof of this stronger claim is within sight, and for the present context it does not matter how generous one is in overestimating $m(k)$.

THEOREM 2. *Let \mathfrak{B} be a locally finite variety such that the locally finite groups in $\mathfrak{B}_{LN}^{(d)}$ form a variety \mathfrak{U}_1 and the finite simple groups in \mathfrak{B} all have complexity less than k . If (k) is valid, then the locally finite groups in $\mathfrak{B}^{(d^*)}$ form a variety where $d^* = \max\{2, d, m(k)\}$. In particular, if \mathfrak{B} is locally soluble (so that k can be chosen as 1), then the locally finite groups in $\mathfrak{B}^{(d^*)}$ form a variety where $d^* = \max\{2, d\}$.*

PROOF. As $\mathfrak{B}_{LN}^{(d^*)}$ is obviously contained in $\mathfrak{B}_{LN}^{(d)}$, the finite nilpotent groups of $\mathfrak{B}^{(d^*)}$ all lie in \mathfrak{U}_1 . Let S be a finite simple group in $\mathfrak{B}^{(d^*)}$. If S can be generated by d^* elements, then it lies in \mathfrak{B} , has complexity less than k , and the order of S is at most that of the \mathfrak{B} -free group of rank d^* . If S cannot be generated by d^* elements, then (k) implies that the complexity of S is greater than k . Thus $\mathfrak{B}^{(d^*)}$ has no groups of complexity precisely k , hence it cannot contain groups of complexity greater than k either, and it follows that $\mathfrak{B}^{(d^*)}$ contains only finitely many (isomorphism classes of) finite simple groups. Let \mathfrak{U} be the variety generated by \mathfrak{U}_1 together with these simple groups: then \mathfrak{U} is locally finite and, as \mathfrak{U}_1 is also locally nilpotent, \mathfrak{U} contains only finitely many finite simple groups. (Use, for instance, (4) of [2].) Let \mathfrak{B}_1 be as in the Lemma. Then the finite groups of $\mathfrak{B}^{(d^*)}$ are all in

\mathfrak{B}_1 , and hence so are all the locally finite groups of $\mathfrak{B}^{(d^*)}$. Thus the class of the locally finite groups of $\mathfrak{B}^{(d^*)}$ is precisely the variety $\mathfrak{B}_1 \cap \mathfrak{B}^{(d^*)}$.

REFERENCES

1. P. Hall and Graham Higman, *On the p -length of p -soluble groups and reduction theorems for Burnside's Problem*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **6** (1956), 1-42.
2. L. G. Kovács, *Varieties and finite groups*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (to appear).
3. B. H. Neumann, *Varieties of groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 603-613.
4. Sheila Oates, *Identical relations in a small number of variables*, Proc. Internat. Conf. Theory of Groups, Austral. Nat. Univ. Canberra, August 1965, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1967, pp. 261-264.
5. John G. Thompson, *Nonsolvable finite groups whose nonidentity solvable subgroups have solvable normalizers* (to appear).

AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, CANBERRA