

SOME RESULTS ON LIE p -ALGEBRAS

BY GEORGE B. SELIGMAN¹

Communicated February 14, 1967

Let \mathfrak{L} be a Lie p -algebra ("restricted Lie algebra") over the field \mathfrak{F} of prime characteristic p [3, Chapter V]. Denote by x^p the image of $x \in \mathfrak{L}$ under the p -power operation, by x^{p^k} the image of x under the k th iterate of $x \rightarrow x^p$, with $x^{p^0} = x$. Let $\langle x \rangle$ be the subalgebra of \mathfrak{L} generated by x , i.e., the space of linear combinations of the x^{p^k} , $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Call $x \in \mathfrak{L}$ *separable* if $x \in \langle x^p \rangle$, *nilpotent* if $x^{p^k} = 0$ for some k . Then we have proved the following decomposition theorem, which yields a slightly sharpened form of the Jordan-Chevalley decomposition [2, p. 71] for linear transformations in the case of prime characteristic.

THEOREM 1. *Let $x \in \mathfrak{L}$, a Lie p -algebra of finite dimension over the perfect field \mathfrak{F} . Then there exist elements $s, n \in \langle x \rangle$ with s separable and n nilpotent, such that $x = s + n$. If $y \in \mathfrak{L}$ is separable, $z \in \mathfrak{L}$ nilpotent, $[yz] = 0$, and $x = y + z$, then $y = s$ and $z = n$.*

A subalgebra \mathfrak{T} of the Lie p -algebra \mathfrak{L} is called *toral* if \mathfrak{T} is commutative and if every element of \mathfrak{T} is separable. A subalgebra \mathfrak{N} is called *nil* if every element of \mathfrak{N} is nilpotent. For a Lie p -algebra \mathfrak{L} of endomorphisms of a finite-dimensional vector space over an algebraically closed field, to say that \mathfrak{L} is triangulable is to say that $[\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{L}]$ is nil. In this connection we have the following result.

THEOREM 2. *Let \mathfrak{L} be a Lie p -algebra over the perfect field \mathfrak{F} , and suppose that $[\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{L}]$ is nil. Let \mathfrak{N} be the set of nilpotent elements of \mathfrak{L} , and let \mathfrak{T} be any maximal toral subalgebra of \mathfrak{L} . Then \mathfrak{N} is an ideal in \mathfrak{L} , and $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{T} + \mathfrak{N}$. If, moreover, \mathfrak{L} is nilpotent (as ordinary Lie algebra), then \mathfrak{T} is the set of all separable elements of \mathfrak{L} and \mathfrak{T} is central in \mathfrak{L} .*

As to conjugacy of maximal toral subalgebras under these conditions we have shown the following:

THEOREM 3. *Let \mathfrak{L} be a Lie p -algebra over the field \mathfrak{F} . Suppose that the set \mathfrak{N} of nilpotent elements is an ideal in \mathfrak{L} , and let \mathfrak{T}_1 and \mathfrak{T}_2 be toral subalgebras such that $\mathfrak{T}_i + \mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{L}$. If \mathfrak{N} is commutative, then there is an automorphism σ of the Lie p -algebra \mathfrak{L} such that $x^\sigma = x$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{N}$, with $y^\sigma - y \in \mathfrak{N}$ for all $y \in \mathfrak{L}$, and with $\mathfrak{T}_1^\sigma = \mathfrak{T}_2$. In general, there is no*

¹ Research supported in part by grants NSF-GP-4017 and NSF-GP-6558, and by a Yale University Senior Faculty Fellowship.

automorphism of the Lie p -algebra \mathfrak{L} mapping \mathfrak{X}_1 on \mathfrak{X}_2 , even when \mathfrak{F} is algebraically closed and $[\mathfrak{L}[\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{N}]] = 0$.

Over perfect fields in general, maximal toral subalgebras are related to Cartan subalgebras by the following:

THEOREM 4. *Let \mathfrak{L} be a Lie p -algebra over a perfect field \mathfrak{F} . Let \mathfrak{T} be a maximal toral subalgebra of \mathfrak{L} , $\mathfrak{S} = N(\mathfrak{T})$ the normalizer of \mathfrak{T} . Then \mathfrak{S} is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{L} . Conversely, if \mathfrak{S} is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{L} , then $\mathfrak{S} = N(\mathfrak{T})$, where \mathfrak{T} is the set of separable elements of \mathfrak{S} , and \mathfrak{T} is a maximal toral subalgebra of \mathfrak{L} .*

THEOREM 5. *Let \mathfrak{L} be a Lie p -algebra over a perfect field \mathfrak{F} . Let (x, y) be a nondegenerate symmetric associative (i.e., $([xy], z) = (x, [yz])$) bilinear form on \mathfrak{L} such that $(x, y) = 0$ whenever y is nilpotent and $[xy] = 0$. Then the Cartan subalgebras of \mathfrak{L} are the maximal toral subalgebras.*

From Theorem 4 and the usual proof for infinite fields [3] we see that all Lie p -algebras possess Cartan subalgebras. The conditions of Theorem 5 are satisfied by trace forms of p -representations whenever such forms are nondegenerate, and also by the usual "quotient trace form" [6] on the p by p \mathfrak{F} -matrices of trace zero, modulo scalars.

Our further results concern toral algebras. If \mathfrak{R} is any field of characteristic p then \mathfrak{R} , with its natural p -power, is a one-dimensional toral algebra over \mathfrak{R} , and may be regarded as a Lie p -algebra over any subfield of \mathfrak{R} . In this sense, we call a \mathfrak{R} -valued character of a toral algebra \mathfrak{L} over \mathfrak{F} an \mathfrak{F} -homomorphism of Lie p -algebras of \mathfrak{L} into the extension \mathfrak{R} of \mathfrak{F} . We then can prove

THEOREM 6. *Let \mathfrak{L} be a (finite-dimensional) toral Lie p -algebra over \mathfrak{F} . Let \mathfrak{R} be an extension field of \mathfrak{F} . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *All characters of \mathfrak{L} with values in an extension of \mathfrak{R} are \mathfrak{R} -valued;*
- (2) *$\mathfrak{L}_{\mathfrak{R}}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of \mathfrak{R} .*

Such an extension \mathfrak{R} will be called a *splitting field* for \mathfrak{L} ; by appeal to (1) it is not hard to see that \mathfrak{L} has a finite splitting field, and indeed a unique minimal one within a given algebraic closure of \mathfrak{F} . This field is a galois extension of \mathfrak{F} .

THEOREM 7. *Let \mathfrak{L} be toral over \mathfrak{F} . Consider the properties:*

- (a) *the only \mathfrak{F} -valued character of \mathfrak{L} is zero;*
- (b) *\mathfrak{L} contains no subalgebra isomorphic to \mathfrak{F} .*

If \mathfrak{F} is finite, (a) and (b) are equivalent. On the other hand, there exist fields \mathfrak{F} of all characteristics $p > 2$ and two-dimensional toral algebras over \mathfrak{F} which violate each of the implications (a) \Rightarrow (b), (b) \Rightarrow (a). In these examples the field \mathfrak{F} may be taken to be perfect.

A toral algebra \mathfrak{L} over \mathfrak{F} is called *anisotropic* if condition (a) of Theorem 7 is satisfied, *semisplit* if \mathfrak{L} has a composition series with factors isomorphic to \mathfrak{F} . A semisplit toral algebra need not be split, even over a finite field.

THEOREM 8. *Let \mathfrak{L} be toral over \mathfrak{F} . Then \mathfrak{L} has a unique maximal anisotropic subalgebra \mathfrak{A} and a unique maximal semisplit subalgebra \mathfrak{S} . If \mathfrak{F} is finite, $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathfrak{S}$. There exist examples, as in Theorem 7, where $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathfrak{S}$, $\mathfrak{A} \cap \mathfrak{S} \neq 0$, and examples where $\mathfrak{A} \cap \mathfrak{S} = 0$ but $\mathfrak{L} \neq \mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathfrak{S}$.*

The results above are imperfectly analogous with some for algebraic tori [1], [4], [5]. The final two theorems relate toral algebras and algebraic tori:

THEOREM 9. *Let T be an algebraic torus defined over the field \mathfrak{F} of characteristic $p \neq 0$. Let \mathfrak{R} be a minimal (separable) splitting field for T , \mathfrak{G} the Galois group of $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{F}$, $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{L}(T)$ the Lie algebra of T . Then $X(\mathfrak{L})$, the character group (under addition) of \mathfrak{L} , is isomorphic with $X^*(T)/pX^*(T)$ as groups with \mathfrak{G} as operators ($X^*(T) = \text{character group of } T$). If $p \neq 2$, \mathfrak{R} is a minimal splitting field for \mathfrak{L} , so that T is split if and only if $\mathfrak{L}(T)$ is. This assertion fails for $p = 2$.*

If $m = \dim T = \dim \mathfrak{L}(T)$, then $X^*(T)$ is isomorphic to the free abelian group \mathbb{Z}^m ; if \mathfrak{L} is toral of dimension m , $X(\mathfrak{L})$ is an elementary p -group of order p^m . Thus the following is a converse to Theorem 9:

THEOREM 10. *Let \mathfrak{L} be an m -dimensional toral algebra over \mathfrak{F} . Suppose there is a finite Galois extension \mathfrak{R} of \mathfrak{F} splitting \mathfrak{L} , an action of $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{G}(\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{F})$ on \mathbb{Z}^m , and a \mathfrak{G} -homomorphism of \mathbb{Z}^m onto $X(\mathfrak{L})$. Then there is an algebraic torus T defined over \mathfrak{F} , split by \mathfrak{R} , such that \mathfrak{L} is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{L}(T)$.*

Proofs of these results will appear elsewhere.

REFERENCES

1. A. Borel and J. Tits, *Groupes réductifs*, Publ. Maths. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. **27** (1965), 55–151.
2. C. Chevalley, *Théorie des groupes de Lie, II: Groupes algébriques*, Hermann, Paris, 1951.
3. N. Jacobson, *Lie Algebras*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
4. T. Ono, *Arithmetic of algebraic tori*, Ann. of Math. **74** (1961), 101–139.
5. I. Satake, *On the theory of reductive algebraic groups over a perfect field*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **15** (1963), 210–235.
6. H. Zassenhaus, *On trace bilinear forms on Lie algebras*, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. **4** (1959), 62–72.