

THE NOETHERIAN DIFFERENT OF PROJECTIVE ORDERS¹

BY ROBERT M. FOSSUM²

Communicated by I. Reiner, May 4, 1966

1. Let K be a commutative ring and Λ a K -algebra (all rings will have identities and all modules will be unitary). Let $Z(\Lambda)$ be the center of Λ . Let $\phi_\Lambda: \Lambda \otimes_K \Lambda^0 \rightarrow \Lambda$ be given by $\phi_\Lambda(x \otimes y^0) = xy$. This is a homomorphism of left Λ^e -modules ($\Lambda^e = \Lambda \otimes_K \Lambda^0$). The set

$$N(\Lambda/K) = \{a \in Z(\Lambda) : \exists f \in \text{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, \Lambda^e) \text{ with } \phi_\Lambda f = aI_\Lambda\}.$$

is an ideal in $Z(\Lambda)$ called the *Noetherian different* of Λ over K .

In this note we announce an extension of a result of D. G. Higman [2] to projective central orders over integrally closed integral domains. Details will appear in a paper of the same title in the *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelle)*.

It should be noted here that several authors have studied this ideal. See, for example, the papers listed in the bibliography.

2. Let K be an integrally closed integral domain with quotient field L . Let Λ be a K -order in a central simple L -algebra, Σ , which is projective as a K -module. Let $T: \Sigma \rightarrow L$ be the reduced trace from Σ to L . The hypothesis that K is integrally closed in L and that Λ is finitely generated as a K -module (it is an order) insures that $T(\Lambda) \subset K$. The complementary module, $C = C(\Lambda/K)$, and the Dedekind different, $D = D(\Lambda/K)$, are defined, as usual, as follows:

$$C = \{x \in \Sigma : T(x\Lambda) \subset K\},$$

$$D = \{x \in \Sigma : Cx \subset \Lambda\}.$$

Define the K -homomorphism $t: C \rightarrow \text{Hom}_K(\Lambda, K)$ by $t(x)(y) = T(xy)$ for all $x \in C$, all $y \in \Lambda$.

PROPOSITION 1. t is an isomorphism and $t(D)(1) = T(D(\Lambda/K)) = N(\Lambda/K)$.

OUTLINE OF PROOF. It is shown first that each of the K -modules defined behaves nicely under localization at a maximal ideal of K . One

¹ This research was partially supported by the National Science Foundation at The University of Michigan. (G 24333)

² The author wishes to thank Professor J. E. McLaughlin for his invaluable guidance and criticism.

such result, for instance, is $C(\Lambda \otimes_K K_M/K_M) = C(\Lambda/K) \otimes_K K_M$ where K_M is the ring K localized at a maximal ideal M .

This permits the study of the problem when Λ is free as a K -module. In this case an argument similar to Higman's in [2] yields the conclusion.

3. The following result permits the extension of Proposition 1 to a slightly more general class of ground rings K .

LEMMA 2. *Let Λ_i be K -algebras, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then*

$$N\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \Lambda_i/K\right) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n N(\Lambda_i/K).$$

Proposition 1 may now be restated as follows:

THEOREM 3. *Let L be a field and Σ a separable L -algebra. Let K be an integrally closed subring of the center of Σ and Λ a K -order in Σ which is projective as a K -module. Let $T: \Sigma \rightarrow Z(\Sigma)$ be the direct sum of the corresponding reduced traces in the decomposition of Σ into central simple algebras. Define $C(\Lambda/K)$, $D(\Lambda/K)$ and t as before. Then t is an isomorphism and $T(D(\Lambda/K)) = N(\Lambda/K)$.*

4. When K is a Dedekind domain the theory of elementary ideals may be applied to the K -torsion module $C(\Lambda/K)/D(\Lambda/K)$ where Λ is a K -algebra as in §2. In fact:

PROPOSITION 4. *Let $H_1 \supseteq H_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq H_n$ be the elementary ideals of C/D . Then $H_1 = N(\Lambda/K)$.*

This result may be used to prove

PROPOSITION 5. *If K is a Dedekind domain with perfect residue class fields and Λ is a maximal order in a central simple L -algebra (L is the quotient field of K) then $N(\Lambda/K)$ is a square free product of those prime ideals containing $D(\Lambda/K) \cap K$.*

This last result suggests that the Noetherian different is a weaker invariant than the Dedekind different, or the discriminant, in the case of central K -algebras, since it does not reflect the amount of ramification between K and Λ .

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. E. Noether, *Ideal Differentiation und Differenten*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **195** (1950), 1-21.
2. D. G. Higman, *On orders in separable algebras*, Canad. J. Math. **7** (1955), 509-515.

3. ———, *Relative cohomology*, *Canad. J. Math.* **9** (1957), 19–34.
4. M. Auslander and D. A. Buchsbaum, *Ramification theory in noetherian rings*, *Amer. J. Math.* **81** (1959), 749–765.
5. M. Auslander and O. Goldman, *The Brauer group of a commutative ring*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **97** (1960), 367–409.
6. E. Kunz, *Die Primideale der Differenten in all-gemeinen Ringen*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **204** (1960), 165–182.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS