

REFERENCES

1. Jacques Herbrand, *Recherches sur la théorie de la démonstration*, Travaux de la Société des Sciences et des Lettres de Varsovie, Classe III sciences mathématiques et physiques, no. 33 (1930).
2. ———, *Sur le problème fondamental de la logique mathématique*, Comptes Rendus des séances de la Société des Sciences et des Lettres de Varsovie, Classe III sciences mathématiques et physiques, no. 24 (1931).
3. John van Heijenoort, Editor, *Jacques Herbrand, Écrits Logiques*, Presses Universitaires, Paris (to appear)

HARVARD UNIVERSITY AND
PRINCETON UNIVERSITY

SIMPLY INVARIANT SUBSPACES¹

BY T. P. SRINIVASAN

Communicated by Edwin Hewitt, March 18, 1963

Let L^1 , L^2 denote respectively the spaces of summable and square summable functions on the circle group and H^1 , H^2 their subspaces consisting of those functions whose Fourier coefficients vanish for negative indices. A closed subspace M of L^1 or L^2 is "invariant" if

$$\chi M \subset M$$

and "simply invariant" if the above inclusion is strict, where χ is the character

$$\chi(x) = e^{iax}.$$

The structure of simply invariant subspaces is known, namely, they are precisely the subspaces of the form qH^1 or qH^2 (respectively) where q is a measurable function of modulus 1 a.e. Beurling [1] first proved this for subspaces $M \subset H^2$; for $M \subset H^1$, this is due to de Leeuw-Rudin [5]; for $M \subset L^2$, due to Helson-Lowdenslager [3] and for $M \subset L^1$, due to Forelli [2]. In [3] Helson-Lowdenslager also gave a simple proof of the H^2 case, free of function theoretic considerations. Using their arguments Hoffman [4] extended this result to *simply invariant* subspaces of $H^2(dm)$ defined over *logmodular algebras*. In this paper we prove this result for simply invariant subspaces of $L^2(dm)$ and $L^1(dm)$ over logmodular algebras; the results of the previous authors follow as a corollary. The proofs of the previous authors

¹ This work was done while I held a visiting appointment at the University of California, Berkeley.

I thank Professors Helson and Ju-kwei Wang for the useful discussions I had with them.

do not extend to this general case as they depend on facts which either have no analogues or are not true for the logmodular algebras; when specialised to their contexts, our proof turns out to be even simpler. Our proof for the case of $L^2(dm)$ was inspired by that of Helson-Lowdenslager for the H^2 case and is in the same spirit as theirs.

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and \mathbf{A} a subalgebra of the algebra $C(X)$ of complex continuous functions on X with the uniform norm.

\mathbf{A} is *logmodular* if

- i. \mathbf{A} is uniformly closed,
- ii. \mathbf{A} contains the constant functions,
- iii. \mathbf{A} separates the points of, X and
- iv. the set of functions $\log |f|$ where $f, 1/f \in \mathbf{A}$, is uniformly dense in the algebra of real continuous functions on X .

Let m be a probability Baire measure on X which is "multiplicative" on \mathbf{A} , meaning

$$\int fg \, dm = \int f \, dm \int g \, dm$$

for all $f, g \in \mathbf{A}$ (such measures always exist), and let $H^1(dm)$, $H^2(dm)$ denote the closures of \mathbf{A} in $L^1(dm)$, $L^2(dm)$ respectively. The *invariant* subspaces \mathbf{M} are now closed subspaces of $L^1(dm)$, $L^2(dm)$, which are invariant under multiplication by functions in \mathbf{A} or equivalently by functions in \mathbf{A}_0 , where

$$\mathbf{A}_0 = \left\{ f \mid f \in \mathbf{A}, \int f \, dm = 0 \right\}$$

and the *simply invariant* \mathbf{M} 's are those for which the inclusion $\mathbf{A}_0\mathbf{M} \subset \mathbf{M}$ is strict.²

In the case considered earlier, X was the unit circle, \mathbf{A}_0 was the uniform closure of the algebra generated by χ in $C(X)$ and m the normalised Lebesgue measure. We have

THEOREM.

1. The simply invariant subspaces of $L^2(dm)$ are precisely the subspaces of the form $qH^2(dm)$ where $q \in L^2(dm)$ and $|q| = 1$ a.e. (dm).
2. The simply invariant subspaces of $L^1(dm)$ are precisely the subspaces of the form $qH^1(dm)$ where $q \in L^1(dm)$ and $|q| = 1$ a.e. (dm).³

² $\mathbf{A}_0\mathbf{M}$ should be replaced by its closure in $L^2(dm)$ respectively $L^1(dm)$, which necessitates changes in the proof.

³ The details of the proof of the L^1 theorem and its function theoretic consequences will be published separately.

PROOF. It is obvious that subspaces of the form $q\mathbf{H}^2(dm)$, $q\mathbf{H}^1(dm)$ are invariant; they are simply invariant because for instance, $q \in q\mathbf{H}^2(dm)$, $q\mathbf{H}^1(dm)$ while $q \notin q\mathbf{A}_0\mathbf{H}^2(dm)$, $q\mathbf{A}_0\mathbf{H}^1(dm)$. To prove the converse:

1. We need the following facts about logmodular algebras [4, pp. 284, 293]:

(a) $\mathbf{A} + \bar{\mathbf{A}}$ is dense in $L^2(dm)$ where the bar denotes complex conjugation,

(b) if μ is any positive Baire measure on X such that $\int f d\mu = 0$ for all $f \in \mathbf{A}_0$ then $d\mu = c dm$ for some constant c .

Now let $M \subset L^2(dm)$ be simply invariant and let $q \in M \ominus \mathbf{A}_0M$, $q \neq 0$. Then $q \perp \mathbf{A}_0q$, so $\int |f| |q|^2 dm = 0$ for all $f \in \mathbf{A}_0$ and by (b), $|q|^2 = c$ a.e. By modifying q we may assume that $|q| = 1$ a.e.

Clearly $q\mathbf{H}^2(dm) \subset M$, because of invariance of M . Let $g \in M \ominus q\mathbf{H}^2(dm)$. Then $g \perp q\mathbf{A}$, so $g\bar{q} \perp \mathbf{A}$. Also $\mathbf{A}_0g \subset \mathbf{A}_0M$, so $q \perp \mathbf{A}_0g$ so that $g\bar{q} \perp \bar{\mathbf{A}}_0$. Thus $g\bar{q} \perp \mathbf{A} + \bar{\mathbf{A}}$, hence $g\bar{q} = 0$ a.e. by (a) and since $|q| = 1$ a.e., $g = 0$. Thus $M = q\mathbf{H}^2(dm)$.

2. We use (1) to prove (2). Let $N \subset L^1(dm)$ be simply invariant and let $M = N \cap L^2(dm)$. M is clearly an invariant subspace of $L^2(dm)$. We shall show that it is actually simply invariant. Let $f \in N$. We can find $f_1, f_2 \in L^2(dm)$ such that $f = f_1 f_2$; we may also assume that one of them, say, f_2 is nonzero a.e. Then $f_2\mathbf{H}^2(dm)$ is a simply invariant subspace of $L^2(dm)$ and is by (1) of the form $q_2\mathbf{H}^2(dm)$, $|q_2| = 1$ a.e. Now

$$f_1 q_2 \in f_1 q_2 \mathbf{H}^2(dm) = f_1 f_2 \mathbf{H}^2(dm) = f \mathbf{H}^2(dm) \subset N.$$

Also $f_1 q_2 \in L^2(dm)$. Hence $f_1 q_2 \in M$. Suppose $M = \mathbf{A}_0 M$. Then $f_1 q_2 \in \mathbf{A}_0 M$. Let

$$f_1 q_2 = f_0 g, \quad f_0 \in \mathbf{A}_0, \quad g \in M \subset N$$

and

$$f_2 = q_2 h, \quad h \in \mathbf{H}^2(dm).$$

Then

$$f = f_1 f_2 = f_1 q_2 h = f_0 g h \in \mathbf{A}_0 N \mathbf{H}^2(dm) \subset \mathbf{A}_0 N$$

and it follows that $N = \mathbf{A}_0 N$. Hence if N is simply invariant, so is M .

Let then $M = q\mathbf{H}^2(dm)$ by (1). We shall show that $N = q\mathbf{H}^1(dm)$. Clearly $q\mathbf{H}^1(dm) \subset N$. Let $f \in N$ and f_1, f_2, q_2, h be as above. Then $f_1 q_2 \in M = q\mathbf{H}^2(dm)$. Let $f_1 q_2 = gh', h' \in \mathbf{H}^2(dm)$. Then

$$f = f_1 f_2 = f_1 q_2 h = qh'h \in q\mathbf{H}^1(dm)$$

as $h', h \in \mathbf{H}^2(dm)$. It follows that $N = q\mathbf{H}^1(dm)$.

We may remark that if $M \subset H^2(dm)$ is invariant and we assume with Hoffman [4, p. 293] that $\int g dm \neq 0$ for at least one $g \in M$ then M is certainly simply invariant and Hoffman's result follows. But this latter condition is not necessary for simple invariance as the example of $z^k H^2$, $k \geq 1$ shows.

REFERENCES

1. A. Beurling, *On two problems concerning linear transformations in Hilbert space*, Acta Math. **81** (1949), 239-255.
2. F. Forelli, *Invariant subspaces in L^1* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (1963), 76-79.
3. H. Helson and D. Lowdenslager, *Invariant subspaces*, Proc. Internat. Sympos. on Linear Spaces, Jerusalem, 1960, pp. 251-262.
4. K. Hoffman, *Analytic functions and logmodular Banach algebras*, Acta Math. **108** (1962), 271-317.
5. K. de Leeuw and W. Rudin, *Extreme points and extremum problems in H_1* , Pacific J. Math. **8** (1958), 467-485.

PANJAB UNIVERSITY, CHANDIGARH, INDIA AND
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY