

## RESEARCH ANNOUNCEMENTS

The purpose of this department is to provide early announcement of significant new results, with some indications of proof. Although ordinarily a research announcement should be a brief summary of a paper to be published in full elsewhere, papers giving complete proofs of results of exceptional interest are also solicited.

### CONVOLUTION OF SEQUENCES<sup>1</sup>

BY GEORGE BRAUER

Communicated by Edwin Hewitt, October 29, 1962

A summability method is a linear functional on a space of sequences  $s$ . The method  $\phi$  is said to be regular if, for each convergent sequence  $s = \{s_n\}$  we have  $\phi(s) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n$ . In this paper we define various types of convolution (multiplication) of sequences; we use the symbol  $*$  to denote convolution. Our convolution is always distributive, but not necessarily associative or commutative. We consider the regular methods  $\phi$  such that  $\phi(s * t) = \phi(s)\phi(t)$  for all sequences  $s$  and  $t$  in the domain of  $\phi$ ,  $\mathcal{S}(\phi)$ , that is, the regular homomorphisms from  $\mathcal{S}(\phi)$  to the real numbers. We write  $\mathcal{S}(\phi) = \phi(s)$  for each sequence  $s$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\phi)$  and we impose the weak topology on the set of homomorphic methods. In case the multiplication is commutative and associative and we were dealing with complex sequences, then  $\mathcal{S}(\phi)$  would be a complex Banach algebra,  $\mathcal{S}(\phi)$  would be the Fourier transform of the sequence  $s$ , and the weak topology on the set of homomorphic methods would yield the maximal ideal space of  $\mathcal{S}(\phi)$ . Although we shall deal with real sequences, we shall use a certain amount of Gel'fand theory.

The types of convolution to be considered are:

(a) Pointwise multiplication—if  $s$  and  $t$  are two bounded sequences then  $s * t = \{s_n t_n\}$ .

(b) Cauchy multiplication—if  $s$  and  $t$  are two sequences such that

$$S(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad T(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad (a_n = s_{n+1} - s_n, \quad b_n = t_{n+1} - t_n)$$

are analytic and bounded in the unit circle  $D$  in the complex  $z$ -plane, then  $s * t = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n a_k b_{k-j} \right\}$ . We note that the power corresponding to  $s * t$  is  $S(z)T(z)$ .

(c) If  $s$  and  $t$  are bounded sequences, and  $B = (b_{nk})$  is a positive

---

<sup>1</sup> This research was supported by Nonr (710)16.

regular triangular summation matrix, we define  $s * t = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n b_{nk} s_k t_k \right\}$ .

(d) If  $s$  and  $t$  are two bounded sequences,  $B$  has all properties stated in (c), and, in addition,

$$\lim b_{n,n-r} = 0, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots,$$

then

$$s * t = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n b_{nk} s_k t_{n-k} \right\}.$$

Convolutions (a) and (b) are commutative and associative, convolution (c) is commutative but not associative while convolution (d) is neither commutative nor associative. If  $\phi$  is a regular homomorphism relative to (a), (c), or (d) we turn  $\mathfrak{S}(\phi)$  into a Banach space by imposing the norm  $\|s\| = \sup |s_n|$ ; if  $\phi$  is a homomorphism relative to (b) we use the norm  $\|s\| = \sup S(z)$ , the supremum being taken over all points  $z$  in  $D$ .

**THEOREM 1A.** *If  $\phi$  is a regular homomorphism relative to (a) or (c), and  $s$  is in  $\mathfrak{S}(\phi)$ , then  $\phi(s)$  is a cluster value of  $s$ .*

We first show that  $\liminf s \leq \phi(s) \leq \limsup s$ . If  $\phi(s) = \sigma > \limsup s$  then  $\limsup [s/\sigma]^{(m)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  (here  $s^{(m)}$  denotes the sequence  $s$  convolved with itself  $m$  times). We use the fact that  $\phi$  is a linear continuous functional to conclude that  $\phi(s/\sigma)^{(m)} \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $\phi$  is a homomorphism, we must have  $\phi(s/\sigma^{(m)}) = 1$  for all  $m$ . We have a contradiction; thus  $\phi(s) \leq \limsup s$ . Similarly we see that  $\phi(s) \geq \liminf s$ . In particular  $\phi$  must evaluate the sequence  $(s - \sigma)^{(2)}$  to 0, since  $(s - \sigma)^{(2)}$  is a non-negative sequence when the convolution considered is (a) or (c), 0 must be a cluster value of  $s - \sigma$ . In other words,  $\sigma$  must be a cluster value of  $\phi(s)$ .

**THEOREM 1B.** *If  $\phi$  is a regular homomorphism relative to (b), and  $s \in \mathfrak{S}(\phi)$  satisfies*

$$(1) \quad \sup |s_n| \leq M \sup_{z \in D} |S(z)|$$

for some constant  $M$ , then  $\phi(s)$  is a cluster value of  $S(z)$  as  $z \rightarrow 1 -$ .

**THEOREM 1C.** *If  $\phi$  is a regular homomorphism relative to (d), then  $\liminf s_n \leq \phi(s) \leq \limsup s_n$ , for each sequence  $s$  in  $\mathfrak{S}(\phi)$ .*

When  $\phi$  is a homomorphism relative to (d), we cannot imitate the proof of Theorem 1A to conclude that  $\phi(s)$  must be a cluster value of  $s$ ; with this convolution  $s * s$  may be negative.

**THEOREM 2A.** *Suppose that the sequence  $s$  satisfies (1). If  $s$  is Abel*

summable and evaluated by some method  $\phi$  which is a regular homomorphism relative to (b), then  $\phi(s)$  must equal the Abel sum of  $s$ .

**THEOREM 2B.** *If the bounded sequence  $s$  is evaluated to  $\sigma$  by the matrix  $B$  and it is in  $\mathcal{S}(\phi)$ , where  $\phi$  is a regular homomorphism relative to (c) or (d), then  $\phi(s) = \sigma$ .*

Theorem 2A follows from Theorem 1B; to prove Theorem 2B we note that if the matrix  $B$  evaluates  $s$  to  $\sigma$ , then  $s * 1 \rightarrow \sigma$ .

**THEOREM 3A.** *If  $\phi$  is a regular homomorphism relative to convolution (a) or (c), and  $s$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{S}(\phi)$  which is bounded away from 0, then  $\{1/s_n\}$  is in  $\mathcal{S}(\phi)$ ; if  $s$  and  $t$  are in  $\mathcal{S}(\phi)$ , then the sequences  $s \vee t = \max(s_n, t_n)$  and  $s \wedge t = \min(s_n, t_n)$  are in  $\mathcal{S}(\phi)$ .*

If  $\phi$  is a regular homomorphism relative to (a) or (c),  $s \in \mathcal{S}(\phi)$ , and  $\phi(s) = \sigma$ , then  $(s - \sigma)^{(2)}$  is a non-negative sequence which  $\phi$  evaluates to 0. Consequently, if  $\epsilon$  is a positive number, the set of integers  $n$ , on which  $|s_n - \sigma| > \epsilon$  is sparse. The same must be true for the set of integers on which  $|1/s_n - 1/\sigma| > \epsilon$  and  $\phi(\{1/s_n\}) = 1/\sigma$ .

To show that  $s \vee t$  is in  $\mathcal{S}(\phi)$ , we note that if  $\phi(s) = \sigma$ ,  $\phi(t) = \tau$ , then there exist subsequences  $\{s_{n_j}\}$ ,  $\{t_{m_j}\}$  which converge to  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ ; moreover the sequences of integers  $\{n_j\}$  and  $\{m_j\}$  are fairly dense. Consequently, the sequence  $\{n_j\} \cap \{m_j\}$  is also fairly dense and  $s \vee t$  has a subsequence converging to  $\max(\sigma, \tau)$  along this intersection. Hence  $\phi(s \vee t) = \max[\phi(s), \phi(t)]$ , and similarly  $\phi(s \wedge t) = \min[\phi(s), \phi(t)]$ .

This theorem could have been proved by Banach algebra theory in the case where  $\phi$  is a regular homomorphism relative to (a). By such a method we can prove:

**THEOREM 3B.** *If  $\phi$  is a homomorphism relative to (b), and  $s$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{S}(\phi)$  such that the corresponding power series  $S(z)$  is bounded away from 0 and (1) is satisfied, then the sequence corresponding to  $1/S(z)$  is in  $\mathcal{S}(\phi)$ .*

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $\phi$  is a regular homomorphism relative to (b), and  $\{s_n\}$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{S}(\phi)$ , then  $\{s_{n+1}\}$  is in  $\mathcal{S}(\phi)$  and  $\phi(\{s_{n+1}\}) = \phi(s_n)$ .*

Let  $\phi_0$  be a regular homomorphism and let  $\phi$  denote the set of all homomorphisms  $\phi$  such that  $\mathcal{S}(\phi) \supseteq \mathcal{S}(\phi_0)$ . According to the weak topology a regular homomorphism is in the closure of a set  $\{\phi_\alpha\}$  if and only if  $s(\phi_0)$  is a cluster value of the set  $\{s(\phi_\alpha)\}$  for each  $s$  in the common convergence field. We denote the topological spaces formed by  $\Phi_a, \Phi_b, \Phi_c, \Phi_d$ , according as the convolution is (a), (b), (c) or (d).

THEOREM 5A. *The spaces  $\Phi_a$  and  $\Phi_c$  are totally disconnected.*

The proof depends on the fact that if  $\phi$  is a regular homomorphism relative to (a) or (c), then each sequence in  $\mathfrak{S}(\phi)$  has a very dense subsequence which converges to  $\phi(s)$ .

Now suppose that  $s$  is a sequence such that the corresponding power series  $S(z)$  is analytic in  $|z| < 1$ . If  $\sigma$  is a number between  $\limsup_{z \rightarrow 1-} S(z)$  and  $\liminf_{z \rightarrow 1-} S(z)$ , then there exists a sequence of points  $\{z_n\}$  such that  $z_n \rightarrow 1-$  and  $S(z_n) \rightarrow \sigma$ . The functional  $\phi(s) = \lim_{z_n \rightarrow 1-} S(z_n)$  is a regular homomorphism relative to (b). In other words, for regular homomorphisms relative to (b),  $\mathfrak{S}(\phi)$  takes on each value between its upper and lower bound. Thus

THEOREM 5B. *The space  $\Phi_b$  contains a continuum.*

The following is an example of a totally disconnected space  $\Phi_d$ . Let the matrix  $B = (b_{nk})$ , defining the convolution, be given by

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n,n/2} &= 1, & b_{nk} &= 0, & k &\neq n/2, & n &\text{ even,} \\ b_{n,k} &= 1/(n+1), & k &\leq n, & b_{n,k} &= 0, & k &> n, & n &\text{ odd.} \end{aligned}$$

Let the method  $\phi_0$  be defined by the matrix  $A = (a_{nk})$  where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n,n} &= 1, & a_{n,k} &= 0, & k &\neq n, & n &\text{ even,} \\ a_{n,n-1} &= 1, & a_{n,k} &= 0, & k &\neq n-1, & n &\text{ odd.} \end{aligned}$$

The set of regular homomorphisms  $\phi$  such that  $\mathfrak{S}(\phi) \supseteq \mathfrak{S}(A) = \mathfrak{S}(\phi_0)$  forms a totally disconnected space  $\Phi_d$  under our weak topology.

I do not know whether spaces  $\Phi_d$  containing a continuum exist.

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA